Self-Similar Nanocavity Design with Ultrasmall Mode Volume for Single-Photon Nonlinearities

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As Published</td>
<td><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.223605">http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.223605</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>American Physical Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Final published version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessed</td>
<td>Sun Dec 23 01:29:52 EST 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable Link</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/110298">http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/110298</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Terms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-Similar Nanocavity Design with Ultrasmall Mode Volume for Single-Photon Nonlinearities

Hyeongrak Choi,1,* Mikkel Heuck,1,2 and Dirk Englund1,3

1Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
2Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

(Received 30 December 2016; published 30 May 2017)

We propose a photonic crystal nanocavity design with self-similar electromagnetic boundary conditions, achieving ultrasmall mode volume ($V_{\text{eff}}$). The electric energy density of a cavity mode can be maximized in the air or dielectric region, depending on the choice of boundary conditions. We illustrate the design concept with a silicon-air one-dimensional photon crystal cavity that reaches an ultrasmall mode volume of $V_{\text{eff}} \sim 7.01 \times 10^{-5} \lambda^3$ at $\lambda \sim 1550$ nm. We show that the extreme light concentration in our design can enable ultrastrong Kerr nonlinearities, even at the single-photon level. These features open new directions in cavity quantum electrodynamics, spectroscopy, and quantum nonlinear optics.
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Optical nanocavities with small mode volume ($V_{\text{eff}}$) and high quality factor ($Q$) can greatly increase light-matter interaction [1] and have a wide range of applications including nanocavity lasers [2–4], cavity quantum electrodynamics [5,6], single-molecule spectroscopy [7], and nonlinear optics [8–10]. Planar photonic crystal cavities can enable high $Q$ factors, exceeding $10^6$ [11], together with mode volumes that are typically on the order of a cubic wavelength. However, it was shown that by introducing an air slot into a photonic crystal (PhC) cavity, it is possible to achieve the electromagnetic (EM) mode with small $V_{\text{eff}}$ on the order of $0.01 \lambda^3$ [12], where $\lambda$ is the freespace wavelength. This field concentration results from the boundary condition on the normal component of the electric displacement ($D$). Here, we propose a method to further reduce $V_{\text{eff}}$ by making use of the second EM boundary condition, the conservation of the parallel component of the electric field. Furthermore, these field concentration methods can be concatenated to reduce $V_{\text{eff}}$ even further, limited only by practical considerations such as fabrication resolution. The extreme field concentration of our cavity design opens new possibilities in nonlinear optics. In particular, we show that Kerr nonlinearities, which are normally weak, would be substantially enhanced so that even a single photon may shift the cavity resonance by a full linewidth, under realistic assumptions of materials and fabrication tolerances.

The mode volume of a dielectric cavity [described by the spatially varying permittivity $\epsilon(\vec{r})$] is given by the ratio of the total electric energy to the maximum electric energy density [13],

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int \epsilon(\vec{r}) |E(\vec{r})|^2 dV}{\max\{\epsilon(\vec{r}) |E(\vec{r})|^2\}}. \tag{1}$$

In typical PhC cavity designs, the minimum cavity mode volume is given by a half-wavelength bounding box, or $V_{\text{eff}} \sim (\lambda/2n)^3$ [14], agreeing with the diffraction limit. However, as is clear from Eq. (1), the mode volume is determined by the electric energy density at the position where it is maximized. Thus, it is not strictly restricted by the diffraction limit. A strong local inhomogeneity in $\epsilon(\vec{r})$ can greatly increase this electric energy density and correspondingly shrink the mode volume.

Figure 1(a) plots the fundamental mode of a silicon-air one-dimensional PhC cavity produced by three-dimensional FDTD simulations. This mode pattern (represented here as $|E|$ at the cavity center plane, $z = 0$) is modified only weakly for small perturbations of $\epsilon(\vec{r})$ in the cavity center, and therefore serves to approximate the numerator of Eq. (1). Robinson et al. [12] were able to increase the maximum electric field term in the denominator of Eq. (1) by introducing a thin air slot in the cavity center. This concentration results from the boundary condition on the normal component of the electric displacement (called here the type-1 BC), as illustrated in Fig. 1(b),

$$\epsilon_l E_{l\perp} = D_{l\perp} = D_{h\perp} = \epsilon_h E_{h\perp}, \tag{2}$$

$$E_{l\perp} = \frac{\epsilon_h}{\epsilon_l} E_{h\perp}, \tag{3}$$

where $\epsilon_l$ and $\epsilon_h$ are the permittivities of the low and high index materials, respectively, and the subscript $\perp$ represents the normal component of the field with respect to the dielectric boundary. The maximum electric energy density is thus increased by a factor of

$$\frac{W_{\epsilon_l}}{W_{\epsilon_h}} = \frac{\epsilon_l |E_l|^2}{\epsilon_h |E_h|^2} \approx \frac{\epsilon_h}{\epsilon_l}, \tag{4}$$

assuming the cavity electric field is highly polarized orthogonal to the slot. Because the numerator in Eq. (1)
is roughly unchanged with the introduction of the thin air slot, $V_{\text{eff}}$ is ultimately reduced by a factor of $\sim \epsilon_h / \epsilon_l$. Recently, Seidler et al. demonstrated a silicon-air PhC cavity with an air gap to reduce the cavity mode volume by a factor of $12.1$ to $V_{\text{eff}} \sim 0.01 \lambda^3$ [15]. The type-1 BC is wavelength independent, which provides some tolerance to fabrication imperfections. However, applications of this “air-mode cavity” design, which we define as a cavity with the highest electric energy density in the low-index medium, have been limited because the electric field is maximized in the low-index material.

Here, we introduce a method to further reduce $V_{\text{eff}}$ by also making use of the boundary condition on the parallel component of the electric field (type-2 BC). A high-index bridge of width $b = 5$ nm is introduced across the slot of width $s = 40$ nm, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). The parallel component of the electric field across this bridge is given by

$$E_{\parallel} = E_h |_{\parallel},$$

where $||$ represents the parallel component of the electric field. Type-2 BC forces the electric field in the bridge to be same as that in the slot. Compared to the slot cavity, the maximum electric energy density is enhanced by a factor of

$$\frac{W_{\text{eff}}}{W_{\text{el}}} = \frac{\epsilon_h |E_h|^2}{\epsilon_l |E_l|^2} \approx \frac{\epsilon_h}{\epsilon_l}.$$  

(6)

For a vanishingly narrow bridge, the numerator of Eq. (1) is unchanged, so that $V_{\text{eff}}$ is reduced by an additional factor of $\epsilon_h / \epsilon_l$. As opposed to the slot cavity, this modified design produces a “dielectric-mode cavity,” defined as a cavity with the highest electric energy density in the high-index dielectric. This type of cavity enables enhanced light-matter interactions with embedded emitters or the bridge material itself that could not be covered by a slot cavity.

What happens if the bridge has finite width? For the $b = 5$ nm bridge shown in Fig. 1(c), our FDTD simulation yields $V_{\text{eff}} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \lambda^3$. This mode volume is reduced by a factor of $\sim 10$ compared to the air-slot cavity ($2.5 \times 10^{-2} \lambda^3$) in Fig. 1(b). This factor is only slightly smaller than the analytically predicted value of $\epsilon_h / \epsilon_l = 12.1$. If $b$ is increased to 10 nm (40 nm), as shown in Fig. 1(d) [Fig. 1(e)], the mode volume expands to $\sim 4.3(7.7) \times 10^{-3} \lambda^3$. For all of these bridge widths, $V_{\text{eff}}$ remains below that of the original slot cavity. As the bridge width is increased, $V_{\text{eff}}$ also increases because of a weaker effect from type-2 BC [16]. We note that if $b = 5$ nm, $V_{\text{eff}}$ is reduced by an additional factor of $\sim 10$ compared to the air-slot cavity [15]. The small bridge dimensions require extremely memory-intensive and slow three-dimensional FDTD simulations because of the requirement for nanometer-scale meshing. However, provided that the cavity modes are nearly identical in the unperturbed region in Fig. 1(a), and the EM problem is quasistatic in the deeply subwavelength scale of the cavity center, it is even necessary to perform three-dimensional FDTD simulations to estimate the mode volume and fields? The bottom panels in Figs. 1(b)–1(e) plot the electric fields obtained by two-dimensional electrostatic simulations when an (arbitrary) potential difference $\Delta V$ is applied between the upper and lower boundaries [16]. These two-dimensional electrostatic simulations based on finite element methods (FEM) are several orders of magnitude faster than three-dimensional FDTD simulations. Remarking, the $|E|$ distributions are very similar for the simple two-dimensional FEM and the laborious three-dimensional FDTD simulations, allowing a rapid exploration of the design space of the subwavelength dielectric structuring [16].

Combinations of type-1 and type-2 BCs open a wide design space. Introducing a low-index slot (i.e., exploiting the type-1 BC) changes the cavity from a dielectric-mode cavity into an air-mode cavity. Conversely, introducing a bridge (i.e., using the type-2 BC) changes the cavity from an air-mode cavity into a dielectric-mode cavity. As a result, alternate applications of slots (type-1 BC) and bridges
The number of concatenations, resulting in vanishing electric energy density, increases exponentially with the distribution with an expanding symmetry. This means that the self-similar permittivity distribution implies field distribution with both have scale invariance. Thus, the expanding symmetry of the self-similar design with panels on the right of Fig. 2 shows the extreme field concentration in the horizontal (red) and vertical (blue) traces. Here we described an air-mode cavity with a disconnected tip, but the dielectric-mode cavity can also be implemented with connected tips. These designs with tips have the advantages in fabrication because they are easier to be fabricated than small size, 90° bridges and slots.

Electron beam lithography followed by reactive ion etching [17,18], or focused ion beam milling [19], allows the patterning of dielectric tips with a gap below 10 nm. Alternatively, anisotropic etching of crystalline materials, such as wet etching of Si, can produce sharp tips with a radius of curvature on the nanometer scale [20]. This method also has successfully demonstrated in-plane tip fabrication [21]. After, oxidation sharpening can be used, further reducing the radius of curvature to subnanometer [22]. Lastly, the fabrication requirements are more relaxed at longer wavelengths, such as in the midinfrared spectrum.

It is interesting to consider what happens if the tip radius continues to be decreased. The field enhancement at a tip, with \( r = 0 \), is a well-studied problem in electrostatics, both for conductor [23] and dielectric [24] materials. The field at a dielectric tip, which can be expressed by a transcendental equation, diverges at the apex [24], which results in \( V_{\text{eff}} = 0 \), agreeing with our aforementioned proof. However, in this case, \( V_{\text{eff}} \) loses its physical meaning because the dipole approximation of the light-matter interaction no longer holds.

Do the sharp features of the field concentrator still permit a high \( Q \) factor in our cavity? Robinson et al. noted that introducing a slot significantly reduced the \( Q \) factor [12]. This reduction of \( Q \) can be interpreted from perturbation theory, as the radiation loss induced by the permittivity change \( \Delta \epsilon(\vec{r}) \) of the PhC structure. Fortunately, it is generally possible to cancel this radiation in the far field through additional perturbations elsewhere in the PhC structure [25]. In Fig. 3, we summarize our optimization of the \( Q \) factor of the fundamental cavity mode for successive introductions of slots and bridges (S, SB, and SBS), as well as for the tip design with 1 nm gap and \( r = 1 \) nm. We performed these radiation loss minimizations by three-dimensional FDTD, using particle swarm optimization for the length of the slot, the lattice constant, and the positions and radii of the holes symmetrically about the cavity center [16]. This process allowed us to maintain a high \( Q \) over \( 10^6 \) [Fig. 3(a)] across all mode concentration designs.

As shown in Fig. 3(b), the corresponding \( Q/V_{\text{eff}} \) values, in units of \( \lambda^{-3} \), can exceed \( 10^{10} \). It is useful to compare features with the advantages in fabrication because they are easier to be fabricated than small size, 90° bridges and slots.
these $Q/V_{\text{eff}}$ values with recently reported figures obtained by blind numerical optimizations, specifically, using an evolutionary algorithm (EA) [26], inverse design (ID) [27], and topology optimization (TO) [28]. These optimization approaches yielded $Q/V_{\text{eff}}$ ratios of $\sim 1.0 \times 10^5$ (EA), $\sim 1.0 \times 10^6$ (ID), and $\sim 3.0 \times 10^7$ (TO). The corresponding mode volumes, in units of $\lambda^3$, were 0.01 (EA), 0.007 (ID), and 0.001 (TO). These results have practical limitations. The ID and TO approaches require a continuously varying refractive index (which is difficult for commonly used materials), and all approaches produced disconnected dielectric structures (difficult for fabrication).

Remarkably, in reviewing the optimized dielectric structures from these numerical approaches, one discovers a strikingly similar feature to our designs: two concentric tips centered at the cavity, which are disconnected for the EA approach and joined for the ID and TO approaches [16]. Our semianalytical analysis elucidates the origin of this feature. Optimizing this feature simplifies the design process to achieve higher $Q$ and smaller $V_{\text{eff}}$, while ensuring a fully connected binary dielectric constant (that can be fabricated using standard lithography). Also, our design suggests that even smaller mode volume is possible with a (two-dimensional-tapered) conical tip.

Table I summarizes the figures of merit (FOM) that, in addition to $Q/V_{\text{eff}}$, are important for various applications, including spontaneous emission rate enhancement (Purcell effect) of quantum emitters, strong emitter-cavity coupling, optical bistability, and single-photon Kerr nonlinearities. All listed applications benefit from small optical bistability, and single-photon Kerr nonlinearities. These parametric few-photon nonlinearities could produce a strong nonlinearity at extremely low powers (corresponding to a few hundreds of photons in the cavity). These parametric few-photon nonlinearities could have numerous applications in frequency conversion [40], all-optical memory, logic, and routing [41–43], neuromorphic optical computing [44,45], and entangled photon pair production by spontaneous four-wave mixing [46–48].

In conclusion, we have introduced a recipe for the ultrasmall mode volume dielectric cavity. We proposed a tip cavity structure and reviewed optimization results reported. Remarkably, the extreme field concentration enabled by these dielectric features greatly amplifies nonlinear optical interactions. For realistic dielectric materials, a full cavity linewidth shift appears to be possible even for a
single molecule sensing [7], cavity QED [50], optomechanics [51], and quantum nonlinear optics [52].
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