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We explore the effect of precisely defined geometric imperfections on the buckling load of spherical shells under external pressure loading, using finite-element analysis that was previously validated through precision experiments. Our numerical simulations focus on the limit of large amplitude defects and reveal a lower bound that depends solely on the shell radius to thickness ratio and the angular width of the defect. It is shown that, in the large amplitude limit, the buckling load depends on a single geometric parameter, even for shells of moderate radius to thickness ratio. Moreover, numerical results on the knockdown factor are fitted to an empirical, albeit general, functional form that may be used as a robust design guideline for the critical buckling conditions of pressurized spherical shells. [DOI: 10.1115/1.4035665]

1 Introduction
Since the beginning of the 20th century, it has been observed that experimental studies on the buckling of thin shells can result in maximum loads as low as 20% of the classical theoretical predictions [1]. The ratio between the experimentally observed and theoretically predicted values of the critical buckling pressure is known as the knockdown factor. Early efforts to come to terms with this discrepancy between experiments and shell theory focused on the post-buckling behavior of imperfect shells [2,3] and their extreme sensitivity to initial imperfections [4]. Following extensive studies involving a variety of shell structures, loading conditions, and imperfections [5–9], it became well established that the primary cause for this knockdown factor is the presence of geometric imperfections [10]. Nonetheless, despite significant theoretical and computational efforts in understanding imperfection sensitivity, practical designs of curved shells have traditionally made use of empirical knockdown factors [11,12]. In contrast to this approach, efforts currently underway by NASA and others in the aerospace industry aim at developing deterministic design guidelines based on manufacturing-specific imperfection distribution [13–15].

Leveraging a novel rapid prototyping technique to fabricate elastomeric spherical shells with near uniform thickness [16], we have recently investigated [17] the critical buckling conditions of spherical shells containing precisely engineered geometric imperfections. By means of experiments, simulations, and theory, our results showed that the experimental buckling pressures can be accurately predicted, as long as the exact geometry of the imperfection is appropriately included in the theoretical construct [17,18]. Moreover, for large imperfections, we found that a critical buckling pressure can become independent of the amplitude of the defect, such that the knockdown factor exhibits a robust plateau. Note that an earlier study [19] had provided numerical evidence for the existence of this plateau, but a systematic characterization had not been done until our more recent investigation [17]. These findings provide further evidence that replacing the current empirical knockdown guidelines [12] by a deterministic framework may be within reach.

In this technical brief, we augment our previous analysis [17] to provide a quantitative characterization of the lower bounds of the critical buckling pressure of large-amplitude, dimple-like geometric defects, which we show depend on a combination of shell radius to thickness ratio and angular width of the defect. Whereas previously we focused on a combination of experiments, reduced shell theory and simulations, here, we focus on gaining further insight from the previously validated finite-element analysis.

2 Methodology: Finite-Element Analysis
The simulations were performed using the commercial finite element modeling package ABAQUS/STANDARD. The shells are hemispheres clamped at the equator. We use axisymmetry to reduce the computational cost, since it has been shown that nonaxisymmetric bifurcations only take place far into the post-buckling regime [18]. The material was treated as an incompressible neo-Hookean solid, with reduced hybrid axisymmetric elements CAX4RH. The loading was modeled as live pressure on the outer surface of the structure. Given the unstable post-buckling behavior of the shells, the simulations used the Riks method [20], which simultaneously solves for loads and displacements, measuring the progress of the simulation with the arc-length of the load–displacement curve.

The buckling pressure was defined as the maximum pressure, $p_{\text{max}}$, attained during the analysis, and the knockdown factor is defined as $k_d = p_{\text{max}}/p_c$. Here, $p_c$ is the classic theoretical buckling load for a spherical shell under uniform external pressure, obtained from a linear buckling analysis [21]

$$p_c = \frac{2E}{\sqrt{3(1-\nu^2)}} \eta^{-2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $E$ is the Young’s modulus, $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio, and $\eta = R/r$ is the dimensionless radius of the shell, of radius $R$ and thickness $t$. The radius and thickness of our shells have been varied systematically to explore the range $50 < \eta < 1000$. The mesh density was varied with $\eta$ to keep a regular aspect ratio for the elements, while maintaining a sufficiently fine mesh through the thickness. The discretization in the radial direction involved between 6 and 20 elements, and between 1000 and 5000 in the angular direction.

The initial geometric imperfections were directly introduced in the mesh as a normal displacement of the middle surface, with the profile of a Gaussian dimple.
where $\beta$ is the angular measure from the pole, and $\delta$ and $\beta_0$ are parameters that control the depth and angular width of the defect, respectively. The rest of the mesh is then defined assuming the constant thickness $r$ perpendicular to the middle surface. For the remainder of this article, we normalize the amplitude of the defect by the thickness of the shell, $\delta = \delta/t$.

Finally, following the work of Koga and Hoff [22], we introduce the geometric parameter:

$$\lambda = \sqrt{12(1 - \nu^2)}^{1/4} \eta^{1/2} \beta_0$$

which combines the radius to thickness ratio of the shell, $\eta$, and the angular width of the imperfection, $\beta_0$, in a single parameter. It should be noted that this parameter is often used in the literature to describe the geometry of shallow spherical shells, in which case it is defined using the edge-angle of the complete shell [23–26]. Our previous results [17] indicated that $\lambda$ is an appropriate and effective single geometric parameter to characterize how the defect dictates the imperfection sensitivity of our imperfect shells.

### 3 Results

We start our investigation by further substantiating the appropriateness of using the parameter $\lambda$ defined in Eq. (3) to characterize the effect of dimple-like defects. In Fig. 1(a), we plot a contour map of the knockdown factor $\kappa_d$, for a constant normalized defect amplitude $\delta = 1$ and different values of the dimensionless radius, $\eta$, and the angular width of the defect, $\beta_0$. The data show contour lines of constant $\kappa_d$ align with lines of constant $\lambda$ (solid, dashed, and dashed–dotted red lines). In particular, $\lambda = 2.625$ is the critical geometric parameter for the chosen defect amplitude, $\delta = 1$: it describes the combination of $\eta$ and $\beta_0$ for which a defect of the same amplitude as the shell thickness results in the largest reduction of critical buckling load. A departure between our numerical results and a description that depends only on $\lambda$ is only observed when $\lambda > 10$, for which the influence of the defect on the buckling pressure is too small to be captured accurately by our simulations.

In order to further investigate how the knockdown factor depends on the value of the radius to thickness ratio, in Fig. 1(b), we plot $\kappa_d$ versus $\eta$, for different values of $\lambda$ and $\delta$. Each curve is normalized by the value corresponding to the closest case to the thin shell limit considered in this study ($\eta = 1000$). As expected, the results show a clear convergence as $\eta$ increases. Even for $R/t = 100$, the deviations between curves with different values of $\lambda$ and $\delta$ are at most 5%. It is important to note that the chosen combinations of $\delta$ and $\lambda$ correspond to values of the knockdown factor spanning the range $0.2 < \kappa_d < 0.7$, further emphasizing the generality of the results.

As it was also shown in our previous study [17], for a given choice of $\lambda$, $\kappa_d$ decreases as the amplitude of the defect $\delta$ increases. Importantly, past a critical defect amplitude, $\delta_{\text{plateau}}$, the curve reaches a plateau; once the defects are sufficiently large, the buckling pressure of the shell does not depend on the magnitude of the defects. In the inset of Fig. 2, we plot a typical example of such behavior, for $\eta = 100$ and $\lambda = 2.5$. We now turn to study the knockdown factor in the plateau regime, $\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}}$, which in this case is defined as the value of the curve in which $|d\kappa_d/d\delta| \leq 0.025$. Using different values for this threshold (e.g., 0.005, 0.01, or 0.05), it has a negligible effect on the results.

In Fig. 2(a) we plot $\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}}$ as a function of the geometric parameter $\lambda$, for different values of $\eta$. As it was the case in Fig. 1(b), the deviations as a function of $\eta$ are small, especially for $\lambda > 2$. The values of $\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}}$ decay as $\lambda$ increases, which corresponds physically to either shells of decreasing $\eta$, or increasing defect width. It should be pointed out that for $\eta \geq 100$ it was not possible to scan the whole range $0.35 < \lambda < 6$, as with the other shells, since our definition of the deformed geometry, Eq. (2), resulted in self-contact of the shells for such narrow defects.

The numerical results plotted in Fig. 2(a) have been used to fit an empirical function of the form

$$\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}} = \frac{1 - b}{1 + \lambda^2} + b$$

which fulfills the condition of $\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}} = 1$ for defects of negligible width (in the limit of $\lambda \to 0$) and allows for a constant value for large values of $\lambda$. In Fig. 2(a), we superpose the fit (solid line) to the data, for the specific case of $\eta = 1000$. The corresponding values of the fitting parameters $(b, a)$, are shown in Table 1 (along with those for other cases of $\eta$). The results are very similar for different values of the radius to thickness ratio, with a clear convergence to the thin shell limit once $\eta \geq 200$. In fact, the fitting parameters $a = -1.23 \pm 0.01$ and $b = 0.036 \pm 0.003$, obtained using the complete set of data, provide an approximation with at most 11% of error in the case of $\eta = 50$, and less than 5% for all other values of $\eta$.

In order to provide a more physical interpretation of our data, in Fig. 2(b) we plot the same values of $\langle \kappa_d \rangle_{\text{plateau}}$ shown in Fig. 2(a), but now as a function of the angular width of the defect, $\beta_0$. These data can be used to estimate a lower bound for the knockdown factor of a spherical shell of known $\eta$, as a function of the expected angular width of the defects (i.e., area), and independently of their amplitude (i.e., depth). The results show that the knockdown factor decreases sharply with the angular width of $\beta_0$.
the critical buckling conditions for hemispherical shells were indistinguishable from the full spherical case, such that our results, here, can be regarded as representative of spherical shells. First, we provided significant evidence that the geometric parameter \( \lambda \) provides a compact quantitative description of the effect of geometric imperfections, in shells of moderate radius to thickness ratio (e.g., \( 50 < \eta < 100 \)). Moreover, we presented a parametric study of the knockdown factor in the plateau regime, \( (k_d)_{\text{plateau}} \), reached after the amplitude of the defect reaches a critical value, \( \delta_{\text{plateau}} \).

Note that, in some cases (relatively thicker shells, e.g., \( \eta < 200 \)), with large values of the geometric parameter, e.g., \( \lambda = 5 \) the defect may be large enough for the spherical geometry of the shell to be questionable. However, for increasingly thinner shells (e.g., \( \eta > 200 \)) the plateau behavior described throughout this paper is still applicable for these shells that are much closer to the ideal spherical geometry (i.e., small defects). As such, our description of the plateau does appear to be general and intrinsic to the buckling of spherical shells containing geometric imperfections.

Our results, combined with information regarding the expected width of the defects in a given spherical shell, can be used to provide a lower bound for the knockdown factor, independently of the defect amplitude. This is in contrast with the traditional approach, in which design is based on the empirical knockdown factors, obtained from experiments on a wide range of shells. We hope that this deterministic design guideline will provide further motivation to the recent resurgence of interest on the buckling of thin shells. In particular, to further establish the generality of the present results, it will be important to systematically consider other shapes of the initial geometric imperfection, including, but not limited to, nonaxisymmetric defects.
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