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Abstract

We define an indefinite Wishart matrix as a matrix of the form $A = W^T W \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is an indefinite diagonal matrix and $W$ is a matrix of independent standard normals. We focus on the case where $W$ is $L \times 2$ which has engineering applications. We obtain the distribution of the ratio of the eigenvalues of $A$. This distribution can be “folded” to give the distribution of the condition number. We calculate formulas for $W$ real ($\beta = 1$), complex ($\beta = 2$), quaternionic ($\beta = 4$) or any ghost $0 < \beta < \infty$. We then corroborate our work by comparing them against numerical experiments.

Problem Statement

Let $W$ be an $L \times 2$ matrix whose elements are drawn from a normal distribution. Let the two real eigenvalues of $A = W^T W \Sigma$ be denoted by $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$. The condition number of $A$ is $\sigma = \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}}{\lambda_{\text{min}}}$, where $|\lambda_{\text{max}}| = \max(|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|)$ and $|\lambda_{\text{min}}| = \min(|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|)$. What is the condition number distribution of $A = W^T W \Sigma$, where $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is full rank with $\text{sgn}(x_2) = -\text{sgn}(x_1)$? Though much is known when $\Sigma$ is positive definite [5], to the extent of our knowledge, the indefinite case is rarely considered. We work out the distribution of the ratio of the eigenvalues and the condition number of $A$ as it has applications in hypersensitive ground based radars [1, 2].

A ghost $\beta$–normal is a construction that works in many ways like a real ($\beta = 1$), complex ($\beta = 2$), and quaternionic ($\beta = 4$) standard normal [6]. In particular, its absolute value has a $\chi_\beta$ distribution on $[0, \infty)$. In general, we allow $W$ to be an $L \times 2$ matrix sampled from a $\beta$–normal distribution but we immediately turn this into a problem involving real matrices namely the condition number of $R \Sigma R^T$, where $R \sim \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{L\beta} & \chi_\beta \\ \chi_\beta \chi_{(L-1)\beta} \end{bmatrix}$.

Distribution of the Ratio of the Eigenvalues

We first write $W = QR$, where $Q$ is an $L \times 2$ orthogonal matrix and $R$ is an $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrix [3] reduced QR factorization. Note that $W \Sigma W^T = Q R \Sigma R^T Q^T$ and that $A$ is similar to $R \Sigma R^T$. The elements of $R$ may be
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chosen to be non-negative in which case it is well known that they have independent \( \chi \)-distributions

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} \chi_{L,\beta} & \chi_{\beta} \\ \chi_{(L-1),\beta} & \end{bmatrix}
\]

and that [5]

\[
\chi_k \sim \frac{x^{k-1}e^{-x^2/2}}{2^{k/2-1}\Gamma(k/2)}, \quad x \geq 0
\]

where \( k \) denotes degrees of freedom and \( \beta = 1 \) corresponds to entries being real, \( \beta = 2 \) complex, \( \beta = 4 \) quaternionic and general \( \beta \) for any ghost [6]. The positivity of \( R_{1,2} \) merits some comment as it does not generalize well beyond two columns. With two columns, even for \( \beta \neq 1 \), a phase can be pulled out of the rows and columns of \( R \) and absorbed elsewhere without any loss of generality.

Comment: The concept of a QR decomposition is often sensible for \( W \)'s with entries drawn from \( \beta \)-normal distribution. As with reals, complex, and quaternions, \( R \) can be chosen to have positive diagonal elements for rank 2 matrices, and \( R_{1,2} \) can be chosen non-negative as well, by absorbing "phases" or unit-ghosts either into \( Q \) or \( R \Sigma R^T \).

This argument follows the ghost methodology described in [6]. However, mathematically the point of departure can be the computation of the condition number distribution for the real matrix \( R \Sigma R^T \) without any mention of the ghosts or their interpretation.

The joint distribution of the elements \( a, b, c \) of \( R \) with parameters \( L \) and \( \beta \) is:

\[
\rho_R (a, b, c; L, \beta) = \frac{2^{-\beta L+3}a^{\beta L-1}b^{\beta-1}c^{\beta(L-1)-1}\exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \right]}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\beta L}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{2} (L-1) \right)};
\]

in particular

\[
\rho_R (a, b, c; L, \beta = 1) = \frac{2a^{L-1}c^{L-2}\exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \right]}{\pi \Gamma (L-1)},
\]

\[
\rho_R (a, b, c; L, \beta = 2) = \frac{2^{-2L+3} (L-1) a^{2L-1}b^{2L-3}c \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \right]}{\Gamma^2 (L)},
\]

\[
\rho_R (a, b, c; L, \beta = 4) = \frac{2^{-4L(L-1)} (L-1) (2L-1) a^{4L-1}b^3c^{4L-5} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \right]}{\Gamma^2 (2L)}.
\]

The first change of variables computes the matrix whose condition number we are seeking, \( R \Sigma R^T \) as:

\[
R \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} R^T = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 x_1 + b^2 x_2 & b c x_2 \\ b c x_2 & c^2 x_2 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} d & f \\ f & e \end{bmatrix}.
\]

From Eq. (1) we see that \( a, b, c \) are real and non-negative. The old and new variables are related by

\[
c = \sqrt{e/x_2}, \quad b = \frac{f}{x_2 \sqrt{e/x_2}}, \quad a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{x_1} \left( d - \frac{f^2}{e} \right)}.
\]

Note that \( c > 0 \) implies \( \text{sgn} (e) = \text{sgn} (x_2) \) and \( f = b c x_2 \Rightarrow \text{sgn} (f) = \text{sgn} (x_2) \). The Jacobian associated with this transformation is

\[
\frac{\partial (a, b, c)}{\partial (d, e, f)} = \frac{1}{2 a c x_1 x_2}.
\]

We make the eigenvalue decomposition of the symmetric matrix
We integrate where here and below implicitly it is easy to see this is required by Eq. (7). Conversely given \( \text{sgn} \) implying

\[
\text{d} = \lambda_1 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \sin^2 \theta
\]

\[
\text{e} = \lambda_1 \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \cos^2 \theta
\]

\[
f = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2).
\]

The Jacobian associated with this transformation is \( \frac{\partial (d,e,f)}{\partial (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta)} = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \). The choice of \( \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \) nails down the ordering of the eigenvalues

\[
x_2 < 0 < x_1 \Rightarrow \text{f} < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 < 0 < \lambda_2
\]

\[
x_1 < 0 < x_2 \Rightarrow \text{f} > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_1.
\]

In summary, given \( \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \), the constraints on \( d, e, f \) are

\[
x_2 < 0 < x_1 \Rightarrow d > \frac{\text{f}}{e}, \; e < 0, \; f < 0, \Rightarrow \lambda_1 < 0 < \lambda_2
\]

\[
x_1 < 0 < x_2 \Rightarrow d < \frac{\text{f}}{e}, \; e > 0, \; f > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_1.
\]

Intersecting \( t \equiv -\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \geq 0 \) with the constraint space, we obtain

\[
\tan^2 \theta > t.
\]

It is easy to see this is required by Eq. (7). Conversely given \( \text{sgn} \) we can solve for \( d \) and \( f \) so that \( d, e \) and \( f \) meet all of the constraints.

The next change of variables is to write the distribution as a ratio of the eigenvalues, i.e., \( \rho \) is

\[
\rho(t, \theta; L, \beta) = \frac{2 (-x_1 x_2)^{\beta L} \Gamma (\beta L) t^{\frac{\beta L}{2} - 1} (t + 1)^\beta (\sin \theta \cos \theta)^{\beta - 1} (\cos \theta \sin \theta)^{\beta - 1} [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{\beta (L - 1)} \lambda_1}{\Gamma (\frac{\beta L}{2}) \Gamma (\frac{\beta L}{2} (L - 1)) \Gamma (\frac{\beta L}{2}) x_2^{\beta L} x_1^{\beta L} [t \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta]^{\beta L}},
\]

where here and below implicitly \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are parameters as well. This in the special cases reads

\[
\rho(t, \theta; L, \beta = 1) = \frac{2 (-x_1 x_2)^{L/2} \Gamma (L) t^{\frac{L}{2} - 1} (t + 1)^2 [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{L - 1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma (\frac{L}{2}) \Gamma (\frac{L}{2} (L - 1)) [x_2^{L} [t \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta]^{L} - x_1^{L} [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{L - 1}]},
\]

\[
\rho(t, \theta; L, \beta = 2) = \frac{(-x_1 x_2)^{2L} \Gamma (2L) t^{2L - 1} (t + 1)^2 \sin 2\theta [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{2(L - 1)}}{\Gamma (L) \Gamma (L) x_2^{2L} x_1^{2L} [t \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta]^{2L} - x_2^{2L} [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{2L - 1}}},
\]

\[
\rho(t, \theta; L, \beta = 4) = \frac{(-x_1 x_2)^{4L} \Gamma (4L) t^{4L - 1} (t + 1)^4 \sin 3\theta [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{3(L - 1)}}{4 \Gamma (4L - 1) \Gamma (4L) x_2^{4L} x_1^{4L} [t \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta]^{4L} - x_2^{4L} [t \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta]^{4L - 1}}.
\]
Lastly we integrate \( \theta \) from \([0, \pi/2]\) to obtain the distribution corresponding to the absolute value of the eigenvalues \( \rho(t; L, \beta) \). We denote the density after integrating \( \theta \) with the same symbol \( \rho \) without any confusion. In terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function \([7], _2F_1(a, b; c, z)\) we obtain,

\[
\rho(t; L, \beta) = \frac{2^{3L-1}(-x_1x_2)^{1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3L}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\beta(L-1) + 1\right)(t + 1)^{\frac{3L}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}(L-1)\right) \Gamma\left(\beta L - \frac{3}{2} + 1\right)|tx_2 - x_1|^\beta L} \left(\frac{x_1 - tx_2}{tx_1 - x_2}\right)^\beta L \times _2F_1\left(\beta L, \frac{3L}{2}; \beta L - \frac{1}{2} + 1; \frac{x_1 - tx_2}{x_2 - tx_1}\right).
\]

In particular,

\[
\rho(t; L, \beta = 1) = \frac{2^{L-1}(-x_1x_2)^{L/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{L}{2}(L + 1)\right) \Gamma(L)(t + 1)^{\frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{L}{2}(L - 1)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)|tx_2 - x_1|^L} \left(\frac{x_1 - tx_2}{tx_1 - x_2}\right)^L \times _2F_1\left(L, \frac{1}{2}; L + 1; \frac{x_1 - tx_2}{x_2 - tx_1}\right),
\]

\[
\rho(t; L, \beta = 2) = \frac{2^{2(L-1)}(x_1t - x_2)(-x_1x_2)^L \Gamma\left(L - \frac{1}{2}\right)(t + 1)^{L/2} t^{L-2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(L - 1\right)(x_1 - x_2) (tx_2 - x_1)^{2L}} \left(\frac{x_1 - tx_2}{tx_1 - x_2}\right)^{2L} \times _2F_1\left(L - 1, \frac{1}{2}; L; \frac{x_1 - tx_2}{x_2 - tx_1}\right),
\]

\[
\rho(t; L, \beta = 4) = \frac{2^{4L-1}(-x_1x_2)^{2L} \Gamma\left(2L + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(4L - 3)(t + 1)^{4L/2 - 3} t^{2L-3}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(4L - 1)(tx_2 - x_1)^{4L}} \left(\frac{x_1 - tx_2}{tx_1 - x_2}\right)^{4L} \times _2F_1\left(4L - 1; 4L; \frac{x_1 - tx_2}{x_2 - tx_1}\right).
\]

**Distribution of the Condition Number**

We can turn \( \rho(t; L, \beta) \) to the condition number distribution by “folding” the distribution about one, i.e., take \( \sigma \equiv \max\left(t, \frac{1}{t}\right) \). Therefore by folding the answer about one we can form the true condition number distribution from \( \rho(t; L, \beta) \). Mathematically,

\[
1 = \int_0^{+\infty} d\rho(t; L, \beta) = \int_0^1 d\rho(t; L, \beta) + \int_1^{\infty} d\rho(t; L, \beta) \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma}} \int_1^{\infty} d\sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\rho \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}; L, \beta\right) + \rho(\sigma; L, \beta)\right) = \int_1^{\infty} d\sigma f(\sigma; L, \beta).
\]

This way we arrive at the desired distribution function, \( f(\sigma; L, \beta) \), for the condition number of the indefinite Wishart matrices

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta) \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\rho \left(\frac{1}{\sigma}; L, \beta\right) + \rho(\sigma; L, \beta), \quad (13)
\]
where \( \rho \) is as in Eq. [12].

The condition number distribution written explicitly is

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta) = \frac{2^{\beta L - 1} (-x_1 x_2)^{L/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta L}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \beta (L - 1) + 1 \right) (\sigma + 1)^{\frac{\beta L}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta L}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \beta L - \frac{\beta L}{2} + 1 \right)}
\]

\[(14)\]

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta L}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \beta L - \frac{\beta L}{2} + 1 \right)} \times \left[ \frac{\beta L}{2} F_1 \left( \frac{\beta L}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \beta L - \frac{1}{2}; r \right) + \frac{\beta L}{2} F_1 \left( \beta L - \frac{1}{2}; \beta L - \frac{1}{2} + 1; r \right) \right]
\]

\[(15)\]

In particular,

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta = 1) = \frac{2^{L-1} (-x_1 x_2)^{L/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma (L) (\sigma + 1)^{\frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma \left( \frac{L}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma (L)}
\]

\[(16)\]

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta = 2) = \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi} (x_1 - x_2) \Gamma (L - \frac{1}{2})(\sigma + 1) \left[ (2^{L} + 1) (\sigma + 1)^{2L-1} \right]} \times \left[ \frac{1}{2} F_1 \left( \frac{L}{2}; L + \frac{1}{2}; r \right) + \frac{1}{2} F_1 \left( \frac{L}{2}; L + \frac{1}{2}; r \right) \right]
\]

\[(17)\]

**Special Cases**

Consider the case where \( x_1 = -x_2 = x \) which implies \( r = -1 \) and the eigenvalues of \( A \) and \(-A\) are equi-distributed. The condition number density is

\[
f(\sigma; L, \beta) = \frac{2^{\beta L - 1} \Gamma (\beta L - \beta + 1) (\sigma + 1)^{-\beta L + 1}}{\beta (L - 1) \left\{ \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{2} (L - 1) \right) \right\}^2}
\]

and in particular takes the very simple form

\[
f(\sigma; L = 2, \beta = 1) = \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{\sigma (\sigma + 1)}}.
\]

\[(18)\]

Alternatively as \( \beta \to \infty \) the limiting condition number is non-random and equal to the condition number of the matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{L} & 1 \\
\sqrt{L-1} & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\sqrt{L} \\
\sqrt{L-1}
\end{bmatrix}^T.
\]

This is a simple consequence of the fact that \( \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \chi_s = 1 \) and the condition number is independent of scaling.
Numerical Results

In this section we compare the theoretical condition number distribution, Eq. (14), (red curves) against Monte Carlo data (black dots) for the three special cases of real, complex and quaternionic matrices $W$. In all the plots the number of trials used to generate Monte Carlo data was $10^5$.

Real Matrices $\beta = 1$

![Graphs showing real matrices, $\beta = 1$, given by Eq. (15)]

Figure 1: Real $W$ matrices, $\beta = 1$, given by Eq. (15)

![Graphs showing real matrices, $\beta = 1$, given by Eq. (15)]

Figure 2: Real $W$ matrices, $\beta = 1$, given by Eq. (15)
Complex Matrices $\beta = 2$

Figure 3: Complex $W$ matrices, $\beta = 2$, given by Eq. (16)

Figure 4: Complex $W$ matrices, $\beta = 2$, given by Eq. (16)
Quaternionic Matrices $\beta = 4$

Figure 5: Quaternionic $W$ matrices, $\beta = 4$, given by Eq. (17)

Figure 6: Quaternionic $W$ matrices, $\beta = 4$, given by Eq. (17)

General $\beta$
Here we plot Eq. (14) for a fixed set of parameters $L, x_1, x_2$ for various ghosts.
Figure 7: The condition number density Eq. (14) for various ghosts. As $\beta \to \infty$ the distribution becomes non-random and can be represented by a delta function around 2.395.

Future Work

The natural generalization would be to extend the results to $L \times N$ indefinite matrices. We satisfied ourselves with $N = 2$ given its relevance for applications [1] [2].
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