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Abstract—We present a new concept of bearingless slice motor that levitates and rotates a ring-shaped solid rotor. The rotor is made of a semi-hard magnetic material exhibiting magnetic hysteresis, such as D2 steel. The rotor is radially biased with a homopolar permanent-magnetic flux, on which the stator can superimpose 2-pole flux to generate suspension forces. By regulating the suspension forces based on position feedback, the two radial rotor degrees of freedom are actively stabilized. The two tilting degrees of freedom and the axial translation are passively stable due to the reluctance forces from the bias flux. In addition, the stator can generate a torque by superimposing 6-pole rotating flux, which drags the rotor via hysteresis coupling. This 6-pole flux does not generate radial forces in conjunction with the homopolar flux or 2-pole flux, and therefore the suspension force generation is in principle decoupled from the driving torque generation. We have developed a prototype system as a proof of concept. The stator has twelve teeth, each of which has a single phase winding that is individually driven by a linear transconductance power amplifier. The system has four reflective-type optical sensors to differentially measure the two radial degrees of freedom of the rotor. The suspension control loop is implemented such that the phase margin is 25 degrees at the cross-over frequency of 110 Hz. The prototype system can levitate the rotor and drive it up to about 1730 rpm. The maximum driving torque is about 2.7 mNm.

Index Terms—Hysteresis motors, bearingless motors, flux-biasing, magnetic bearings, slice motors.

I. INTRODUCTION

BEARINGLESS motors, or self-bearing motors, are special types of electric machines that can levitate and drive a rotor with a single stator unit. The stator of bearingless motors can apply not only a driving torque about the rotor rotational axis, but also constraint forces and torques for the other degrees of freedom. This enables elimination of mechanical bearings in a compact form factor. Since 1980s, bearingless motor technology has drawn international research efforts, and therefore led to developments of bearingless motors of various types, including permanent magnet types, induction types, and reluctance types [1].

Some pump applications, such as those for blood or high-purity chemical processes, find bearingless motors especially useful due to their contact- and contamination-free operation. Bearingless slice motors [2], [9], [10] are particularly suitable for these applications: They actively control the axial impeller rotation for a driving torque and the two radial translations for feedback stabilization. The remaining three degrees of freedom are passively stable due to the reluctance forces between the stator teeth and the impeller containing a disk-shaped permanent magnet. The passive stabilization saves the bearingless motors from otherwise required extra components, such as sensors, power amplifiers, and windings. Gruber et al. developed a bearingless slice motor that drives a reluctance rotor [11]. Here, the magnet is eliminated from the rotor and placed on the stator to provide homopolar flux-biasing to the rotor. Such bearingless motors with a magnet-free rotor can save operational costs in applications that require disposable
In this paper, we present a new concept of a bearingless slice motor that drives a ring-shaped hysteresis rotor. Replacing the toothed ring in [11] with an axisymmetric solid ring enables some favorable characteristics. First, the rotor is robust and simple to construct. Second, superimposing 2-pole flux on the homopolar bias flux generates a suspension force that is independent of the rotor angle but only dependent on the direction and magnitude of the 2-pole flux. Third, additional superposition of rotating flux of higher pole numbers, i.e., 6- or 8-pole, can generate a hysteresis torque in such a way that it does not interfere with the suspension force generation. Specifically, the suspension force generation is not affected by the relative angle between the 2-pole flux and the higher-pole flux. Therefore, our bearingless motor is free from angle sensors and does not require the associated commutation algorithm for suspension force generation as well as for torque generation. These characteristics, together with the inherently smooth torque of hysteresis motors, make our new bearingless motor suitable for special applications, such as pumps for blood or other delicate biological samples that require disposable rotor/impeller units.

TABLE II
BEARINGLESS SLICE MOTORS DEVELOPED FOR BLOOD PUMP APPLICATIONS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Rotor Type</th>
<th>Rotor Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Rotor Height (mm)</th>
<th>Rotor Mass (g)</th>
<th>Magnetic Air-gap (mm)</th>
<th>Axial Stiffness (N/mm)</th>
<th>Radial Stiffness (N/mm)</th>
<th>Tilting Stiffness (N/mm)</th>
<th>Force Sensitivity (N/A)</th>
<th>Max. Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Max. Torque (nNm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barletta et al. [2]</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ueno et al. [3]</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schöb et al. [4]</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onuma et al. [5]</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asama et al. [6]</td>
<td>PM/Reluctance</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-43</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asama et al. [7]</td>
<td>PM/Reluctance</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onuma et al. [8]</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our prototype</td>
<td>Hysteresis</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rotation flux

induced magnetization generates a torque

$$\tau = \frac{V P_r}{4 \pi} \int_C B_0 \, dH_0$$

for sufficiently thin-walled rotors [19]. Here, \(V\) is the rotor volume, \(P_r\) is the pole-number of the rotating excitation fields, \(H_0\) is the tangential component of the magnetic field intensity in the rotor, \(B_0\) is the tangential component of the magnetic flux density in the rotor, and \(C\) is the circumferential contour in the thin-walled rotor.

The torque generation mechanism can be implemented in such a way that it does not interfere with the force generation mechanism. This is possible by choosing the pole-number \(P_r\) of the rotation flux such that

$$P_r \neq P_b \pm 2$$

and

$$P_r \neq P_s \pm 2,$$

where \(P_b = 0\) is the pole-number of bias flux and \(P_s = 2\) is the pole-number of suspension flux. Equations (2) and (3) are based on the \(P\pm 2\) principle [1], which states that given the flux distribution of pole-number \(P_1\), the necessary and sufficient condition to generate a suspension force is to superimpose additional flux distribution of pole-number \(P_2 = P_1 \pm 2\). We consider homopolar bias flux as a flux wave with zero pole number, which enables application of the \(P \pm 2\) principle to homopolar-type magnetic bearings and bearingless motors [1, p. 274]. In our design, we choose the rotation flux of pole-number \(P_r = 6\) so that it does not generate radial forces by interacting with either of the homopolar bias flux \((P_b = 0)\) and the 2-pole suspension flux of \((P_s = 2)\).

As well, the suspension force generation does not interfere with the torque generation. That is because the homopolar bias flux and the 2-pole suspension flux do not generate a significant drag torque. When the rotor is stabilized at the center under low disturbance force, the variation of the bias flux and suspension flux along the rotor circumference is small, thereby generating negligible hysteresis and eddy-current drags. Thus, the mechanisms for torque generation and suspension force generation are decoupled in principle.

### III. Prototype System

We have built a prototype system as shown in Figure 1b. Here, the stator is mounted on an optical breadboard. In the photograph, the rotor is taken out of the stator and placed next to it. Four optical sensors mounted on the stator measure the \(x\)- and \(y\)-positions of the rotor. The position measurements are sent to the real-time controller, in which the suspension and rotation control algorithms are implemented. The controller sends reference current signals to twelve transconductance power amplifiers, which individually drive the twelve-phase stator windings. The rest of this section discusses each module in more detail.
The rotor is made from solid D2 steel machined into a ring-shape with 57-mm outer diameter, 45-mm inner diameter, and 5-mm height. D2 steel is a semi-hard magnetic material that exhibits B-H hysteresis as shown in Figure 3. Here, the hysteresis loops are measured from a toroidal D2 steel sample of 55-mm outer diameter, 45-mm inner diameter, and 5-mm height at the excitation frequency of 20 Hz, in accordance with the IEC 60404-6 international standard. The permeability of D2 steel is relatively high ($\mu \approx 300\mu_0$), which is advantageous to generate reluctance forces for magnetic suspension. The aspect ratio (height/diameter) of the rotor is designed relatively small, so as to passively stabilize three rotor degrees of freedom, $\theta_x$, $\theta_y$, and $z$, as in slice motors.

The stator mainly consists of a bottom plate, twelve teeth, twelve single-phase windings, and a flux-biasing structure in the middle. The stator bottom plate and teeth are made with laminated steel sheets of 0.5-mm thickness. The steel sheets are first bonded to form a laminated stack, cut via wire-electrical discharge machining (wire-EDM) into the desired shape, and finally assembled together. Each stator tooth is made with electrical discharge machining (wire-EDM) into the desired shape, and finally assembled together. Each stator tooth is wound with a short-pitched winding, which is made of 0.5-mm diameter magnet wire of 800 turns. Each phase winding has a series self-inductance of 1083-4435 (c) 2017 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

A. Rotor and stator

The hysteresis loops are measured from a toroidal D2 steel sample of 57-mm outer diameter, 45-mm inner diameter, and 25.4-mm height. D2 steel is a semi-hard magnetic material that exhibits B-H hysteresis as shown in Figure 3. Here, the hysteresis loops are measured from a toroidal D2 steel sample of 55-mm outer diameter, 45-mm inner diameter, and 5-mm height at the excitation frequency of 20 Hz, in accordance with the IEC 60404-6 international standard. The permeability of D2 steel is relatively high ($\mu \approx 300\mu_0$), which is advantageous to generate reluctance forces for magnetic suspension. The aspect ratio (height/diameter) of the rotor is designed relatively small, so as to passively stabilize three rotor degrees of freedom, $\theta_x$, $\theta_y$, and $z$, as in slice motors.

The stator mainly consists of a bottom plate, twelve teeth, twelve single-phase windings, and a flux-biasing structure in the middle. The stator bottom plate and teeth are made with laminated steel sheets of 0.5-mm thickness. The steel sheets are first bonded to form a laminated stack, cut via wire-electrical discharge machining (wire-EDM) into the desired shape, and finally assembled together. Each stator tooth is wound with a short-pitched winding, which is made of 0.5-mm diameter magnet wire of 800 turns. Each phase winding has a series self-inductance of 1083-4435 (c) 2017 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.
Fig. 4. FEA simulation results: (a) The relation between the suspension force and the 2-pole suspension MMF amplitude $\Psi_s$ as computed with FEA simulations. (b) FEA simulation results showing tangential flux density distribution in the rotor induced by 6-pole rotation MMF. The electrical angle $\theta_e$ simulates a shift of the flux density as well as the MMF wave. The harmonic distortion is due to the effect of stator teeth.

TABLE III
OPEN-LOOP PERFORMANCE ESTIMATES FROM FEA SIMULATIONS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_r$ Radial stiffness</td>
<td>$-5.39$ N/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_z$ Axial stiffness</td>
<td>$1.17$ N/mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\phi}$ Tilting stiffness</td>
<td>$0.36$ Nm/rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_i$ Force constant</td>
<td>$21$ N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_z$ Hysteresis torque ($\Psi_r = 300$ amp-turns)</td>
<td>$3.23$ mNm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Position sensing module: (a) Schematic diagram of the optical sensor (QRE1113) and the rotor. (b) Measured sensor outputs as functions of the distance to the rotor.

B. Position sensors

We use reflective-type optical sensors (QRE1113 from Fairchild Semiconductor) to measure the rotor radial positions. Each of the sensors views the rotor radially inwards through the outer air-gap, and measures the rotor radial displacement. Figure 5a shows a schematic diagram of the sensor in conjunction with the rotor. The sensor consists of an infrared LED and a phototransistor facing the same direction. With external voltage sources and resistors connected, the LED shines an infrared beam on the rotor outer surface, and the phototransistor picks up the reflected light. The rotor surface
is coated with white electrical tape for better reflectivity. A current-limiting resistor of $R_D = 200 \Omega$ is connected in series with the LED. The emitter resistor $R_E$ is tuned such that the peak output voltage is 10 V. As shown in Figure 7, four sensors (A through D) are inserted between the stator teeth on the two orthogonal diametric axes. One differential pair, A and B, is used to measure $y$-axis position, and the other differential pair, C and D, is used to measure $x$-axis position.

The sensor sensitivity to the distance is measured as follows: An optical sensor is mounted on a structure fixed on an optical breadboard, and the rotor is mounted on a single-axis linear micrometer stage that is fixed on the same optical breadboard. The optical sensor and the rotor are aligned so that the optical sensor’s sensitive axis points at rotor’s center, as illustrated in Figure 5a. The gap between the optical sensor and the rotor surface is varied from $d = 0$ to $d = 3.937 \text{ mm}$ with an increment of $\Delta d = 0.127 \text{ mm}$, and the sensor output is measured using an oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS2014C).

Let $e_A(d)$ and $e_B(d)$ be the outputs of sensor A and B measured as functions of distance $d$. In Figure 5b, the outputs of sensor A and B are plotted as $e_A(d - d_0)$ and $e_B(d_0 - d)$, where $d_0 = 1.65 \text{ mm}$ is the nominal distance between the optical sensor and the rotor surface when the rotor is at the stator center. The differential measurement curve (black) is computed by taking a difference between the two: $e_A(d - d_0) - e_B(d_0 - d)$. The differential output is approximately linear in the range of $\pm 1 \text{ mm}$ with a sensitivity of about $8 \text{ V/mm}$.

The sensor noise characteristics are measured for various rotational speeds of the rotor. The data are acquired as follows: A separate test rig is built, on which a direct-drive DC motor (maxon DC motor RE025-055-35EBA201A) spins the rotor, and an optical sensor measures the surface of the spinning rotor. The distance between the sensor and the rotor surface is adjusted by such an amount that the nominal sensor output is about 5 V. Then, the rotor is spun at constant rotational speeds, and the sensor outputs are acquired at a sampling rate of 10 kHz with a NI cRIO-9076 target equipped with an NI 9205 analog input module of 370 kHz bandwidth. To compensate for the error due to the mechanical eccentricity of the test rig, we subtract the fundamental frequency components from the recorded sensor outputs.

Figure 6a shows the sensor output histograms for three different rotor speeds. When the rotor is stationary, the noise standard deviation is about 0.65 mV. As the rotor is spun, for example at 1000 rpm and 2000 rpm, the noise standard deviation increases to $\sigma = 29 \text{ mV}$, which is about 45 times larger than that of the stationary case. The histograms for the rotor speeds of 1000 rpm and 2000 rpm show similar distributions. Their similarity becomes clearer when we look at the sensor outputs plotted as functions of time in Figure 6b. Here, one trace is approximately a time-scaled version of the other. We hypothesize that the fluctuation is due to the non-uniformity of the electrical tape, in terms of reflectivity and/or thickness, along the rotor circumference. As the rotor speed increases, the spectral distribution of the noise shifts to higher frequencies because the optical sensor sees relatively fast changes of the rotor surface non-uniformity.

The optical sensor is used in our prototype bearingless motor for its small size, low cost, and no interference with the stator fields. We are considering other rotor surface treatments to reduce the sensor noise.

C. Power amplifiers

Transconductance linear power amplifiers, which have been built for driving self-bearing hysteresis motors in [12] are used to drive the twelve-phase stator windings. In each amplifier board, the power stage consists of a linear power op-amp (PA12 from Apex Microtechnology), and the control stage consists of three high-voltage op-amps (OPA 445 or OPA 552 from Texas Instruments). The winding current $i_{\text{out}}$ is measured with a sense resistor of $R_{\text{sense}} = 0.1 \Omega$, and fed
Fig. 7. Block diagram for the control system. The suspension controller forms a feedback loop with the position sensor measurements, whereas the rotation controller operates in an open-loop manner. The control signals from rotation controller and suspension controller are added and sent to twelve power amplifiers as current commands. The amplifiers drive the twelve single-phase stator windings individually.

![Circuit schematic of the power amplifier board.](image)

Fig. 8. The configuration of the PA12 is stable, since the local op-amp loop return ratio has resistive feedback with an attenuation of about 4:1, which satisfies the PA12 limitations of not being unity gain stable [20].

D. Controller

As shown in Figure 7, the control algorithm consists of two sub-algorithms: the suspension controller and the rotation controller. The suspension controller forms a feedback loop with the position sensors. In contrast, the rotation controller runs in open loop. The control signals from the rotation controller \((u_{r,1}, u_{r,2}, \ldots, u_{r,12})\) are added to those from suspension controller \((u_{s,1}, u_{s,2}, \ldots, u_{s,12})\) and sent to the power amplifiers as current commands \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{12})\). That is, the flux superposition happens inside the controller via addition of the current command signals. The control algorithms are implemented in an FPGA of an NI cRIO-9076 target via the LabVIEW programming language. The suspension controller runs at a loop rate of 10 kHz, whereas the rotation controller runs at the higher loop rate of 100 kHz.

1) Suspension controller: The two radial translations of the rotor are actively stabilized by the suspension controller. The \(x\)- and \(y\)-positions of the rotor are differentially measured with four optical sensors, i.e., \(x_{mes} = e_C - e_D\) and \(y_{mes} = e_A - e_B\), and fed back to the suspension controller. To achieve a loop rate of 10 kHz, the suspension controller is implemented in the FPGA of the cRIO target. Two identical discrete-time lead controllers run in parallel for the \(x\)- and \(y\)-axes:

\[
K_x(z) = K_y(z) = K_p \frac{z - b_0}{z - a_0} \tag{6}
\]

The controller parameters are set to \(b_0 = 0.9391\) and \(a_0 = 0.5219\), which are computed from Tustin transformation of a continuous-time lead controller with a zero at 100 Hz and a pole at 1 kHz. The proportional control gain of \(K_p = 7\) achieves a cross-over frequency \(\omega_c = 110\) Hz and phase margin \(\phi_{ms} = 25^\circ\) as shown in Figure 9a. The control efforts...
from the lead controllers, \(u_x\) and \(u_y\), are processed through the two-pole distributor block to generate control signals
\[
u_{s,n} = u_x \sin \left(\frac{\pi n}{6} - \frac{\pi}{12}\right) + u_y \cos \left(\frac{\pi n}{6} - \frac{\pi}{12}\right),
\]
where \(n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 12\}\) is the index of the phase windings. The angle correction of \(-\pi/12\) compensates for the angle difference between the sensor axes and stator teeth. The suspension control algorithm does not require any angle-dependent transformations. This is because the suspension force generation is independent of the rotor angle as well as the angle of 6-pole rotating flux.

2) Rotation controller: Rotation about the z-axis is controlled in an open-loop manner. Given the current amplitude \(I_r\) and mechanical angular frequency \(\omega_m\), the rotation controller generates control signals
\[
u_{r,n} = I_r \cos \left(\frac{\pi n}{2} + 3\omega_m t\right),
\]
where \(n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 12\}\) is the index of the phase windings. This control law generates a 6-pole rotating MMF wave whose mechanical angular speed is \(\omega_m\) and amplitude is \(\Psi_r = NI_r\), where \(N = 800\) is the winding turn-number. The output from the rotation controller \(u_{r,n}\) is added to the output from suspension controller \(u_{s,n}\) to generate the current command signals \(u_n = u_{r,n} + u_{s,n}\), which are sent to the power amplifiers as current references. The signals \(u_{r,n}\) may be seen as disturbances to the suspension control loop, but collectively their effects sum to zero because the 6-pole flux does not interfere with the 2-pole flux in suspension force generation as discussed in Section II-B.

IV. PERFORMANCE TESTS

The prototype system can levitate the rotor and drive it up to 1734 rpm. Above 1734 rpm, the system exhibits instability, preventing higher speed operation. We speculate that the instability beyond this rotational speed is due to the sensor noise, whose spectral contents shift toward higher frequencies as the rotor speed increases, amplified around the cross-over frequency of the suspension control loop and pushing the rotor out of the stable operating range. The maximum driving torque is estimated as 2.67 mNm based on braking time measurements. This section discusses the test methods and results in more detail.

A. Suspension test

With the suspension control in operation, the rotor lifts off from the stator teeth and levitates as we gradually increase \(K_p\) in (6) from zero to about 5. We measure the frequency response of the loop return ratio to evaluate the bandwidth and stability margin of the suspension control loop. The frequency responses are measured with an HP 35665A dynamic signal analyzer from 10 Hz to 1 kHz in a frequency sweep mode. Figure 9a shows the Bode plots of the loop return ratio (blue), the controller (red), and the plant (green) for \(K_p = 7\). The loop return ratio crosses through unity magnitude at \(\omega_c = 110\) Hz with a phase margin of \(\phi_m = 25^\circ\). The measured gain curves show a notch and peak around 35 Hz, from which we can infer that the actual plant transfer function has a pair of complex zeros and poles, unexpectedly. We hypothesize that this co-located mode is due to the rotor tilting modes of second-order added to the radial mode; the amplification of tilting motion is observed around 35 Hz excitation during the frequency sweep measurement. Figure 9b shows the Bode plots of the loop return ratio for various \(K_p\) from 5 to 10. As \(K_p\) increases, the magnitude plots shifts up whereas the phase plots remain the same. This trend agrees with the behavior of linear time-invariant systems. Figure 9c shows the Bode plots of the loop return ratio with 6-pole MMF of various amplitude \(\Psi_r\) superimposed. Here, the proportional gain is fixed to \(K_p = 10\). As \(\Psi_r\) increases, the DC gain of the loop return ratio decreases. This is because the superposition of 6-pole flux in addition to the homopolar bias flux increases the net magnitude of radial negative stiffness. This trend of increasing negative stiffness with increasing excitation MMF amplitude has been studied in [21] for the case of 4-pole biased magnetic suspension. The 6-pole flux also increases the passive tilting stiffness, which manifests itself as the resonance peak shifting to higher frequencies.

We measure the axial and radial load capacity of the suspension system for various \(K_p\) values, as listed in Table IV. The data are acquired as follows: The rotor is pulled vertically and horizontally via threads until the system loses stable suspension. One side of the treads is connected to the rotor, and the other side of the treads is connected to a digital force meter to measure the tension. The force meter is set to a peak detection mode, so that the maximum tension during the pulling is recorded. For axial load capacity tests, three threads are connected to the rotor side, made into a single bundle, and pulled along the positive z-axis. For radial load capacity tests, a single thread is connected to the rotor and pulled radially. The measured axial load capacity is about 2.5 N regardless of the \(K_p\) values, whereas the measured radial load capacity increases from 3.5 N to 4.7 N as \(K_p\) is increased from 5 to 10.

B. Rotation test

For rotation tests, the proportional gain of the suspension controller is set to \(K_p = 7\). As we drive the stator with 6-pole rotating MMF, the rotor starts rotating due to the combination of hysteresis torque and induction torque. The amplitude \(\Psi_r\) and frequency \(\omega_m\) of the 6-pole MMF wave are adjustable for various torques and speeds. With \(\Psi_r = 160\) amp-turns and \(\omega_m = 2000\) rpm, the prototype system can drive the rotor up to \(\omega_m = 1734\) rpm as measured with a laser tachometer. At low speeds, the rotor rotates in near synchronism with
the 6-pole MMF wave, which is a typical characteristic of hysteresis motors. At higher speeds, however, the rotor loses synchronism due to drag torques increasing with the rotational speed. Table V shows a comparison between the command rotational speeds $\omega_m$ and the measured rotational speeds $\omega_n$. The higher command speed causes a larger percentage slip, which implies that the ratio of the induction torque to the hysteresis torque increases. This is because the hysteresis motor torque is known to be constant for all slips [22, p. 462], whereas the induction torque increases proportionally to the slip at low slips. Here, the 6-pole MMF amplitude is set to $\Psi_r = 160$ amp-turns.

As the rotational speed is increased from zero to 1734 rpm, the standard deviation of the rotor $x$-displacement sensed voltage is increased from 1 mV (0.13 μm) to 115 mV (14.38 μm). Figure 10 shows the radial vibration of the levitated rotor spinning at 1734 rpm, as measured with the feedback optical sensors. Notice that a periodic pulse train, indicated with red circles, is embedded in the signals. This alternating pulse train

Table V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command speed ($\omega_m$) [rpm]</th>
<th>Measured speed ($\omega_n$) [rpm]</th>
<th>Slip (%)</th>
<th>Braking time ($\Delta t_o$) [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 rpm</td>
<td>194 rpm</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 rpm</td>
<td>383 rpm</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 rpm</td>
<td>563 rpm</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 rpm</td>
<td>754 rpm</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 rpm</td>
<td>930 rpm</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 rpm</td>
<td>1067 rpm</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 rpm</td>
<td>1262 rpm</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>16.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 rpm</td>
<td>1449 rpm</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>18.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800 rpm</td>
<td>1597 rpm</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>19.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>1734 rpm</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>20.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Measured frequency responses of the suspension control system: (a) frequency responses of the loop return ratio (blue), controller (red), and plant (green) for $K_p = 7$, (b) frequency responses of the loop return ratio for various proportional gains $K_p$, and (c) frequency responses of the loop return ratio for various 6-pole MMF amplitudes $\Psi_r$ for $K_p = 10$.
events when the seam of the electrical tape on the rotor passes the optical sensors. The pulse train is half-wave symmetric because of the differential measurement, and therefore its spectrum can have the odd harmonics as well as the fundamental. We speculate that as the rotor speed increases, the sensor noise spectra shift toward higher frequencies and are amplified more as they come closer to the cross-over frequency of the suspension control loop. Too much amplification of the radial vibration can push the rotor out of the stable operating range, thereby causing the observed suspension failure.

The drag torque of the magnetic suspension is estimated as follows: First, the rotor is driven with 6-pole until it reaches the steady-state speed. Then, we turn off the 6-pole MMF and measure a braking time. That is, we measure the elapsed time \( \Delta t_0 \) for the rotor to reach zero speed after the 6-pole MMF amplitude is set to \( \Psi_r = 0 \). The third column of Table V lists the mean braking time for each steady-state speed, from which we can estimate the mean drag torque as follows:

\[
\tau_{\text{drag}} \approx I_z \frac{\Delta \omega_m^*}{\Delta t_0}.
\]

Here, \( I_z = 24.4 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{mm}^2 \) is the rotor axial moment of inertia.

The driving torque is estimated in a similar manner. First, we drive the rotor and wait for the steady-state. Then, we set the 6-pole MMF rotational speed to \( \omega_m = 0 \) and record a braking time \( \Delta t_1 \). The driving torque estimate is computed as follows:

\[
\tau_{\text{drive}} = \tau_{\text{brake}} - \tau_{\text{drag}} \approx I_z \frac{\Delta \omega_m^*}{\Delta t_1}.
\]

Three cases for different MMF amplitudes are tested and the results are listed in Table VI. As the 6-pole MMF amplitude \( \Psi_r \) is increased from 160 amp-turns to 240 amp-turns, the driving torque increases from 1.47 mNm to 2.67 mNm. That is, a 50% increment in amp-turns leads to an 80% torque improvement. However, higher 6-pole MMF amplitudes, for example \( \Psi_r = 300 \text{ amp-turns} \) as in the simulation, lead to failure of the stable suspension with command speeds of \( \omega_m = 1000 \text{ rpm} \). This is because the higher 6-pole MMF amplitude decreases the low-frequency gains of the suspension loop return ratio, and therefore lowers the cross-over frequency and phase margin of the suspension control loop. Increasing \( K_p \) can recover the cross-over frequency and phase margin but at the expense of further sensor noise amplification, which prevents stable suspension as explained above.

V. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a new concept of bearingless motors that drives a ring-shaped hysteresis rotor. Our magnetic design combines the force generation mechanism of homopolar flux-biased magnetic bearings and the torque generation mechanism of hysteresis motors. The two mechanisms are combined in such a way that they do not interfere with each other, thereby enabling decoupled control of suspension and rotation. As a result, the suspension and rotation control does not require angle sensors and associated commutation algorithms. This characteristic, along with a simple rotor construction, is favorable for reducing the cost of the overall system. In particular, our bearingless motor shows promise in pump applications that require frequent impeller replacement, such as blood pumps.

We have built a prototype system and performed tests to evaluate the speed and torque performance. Although satisfactory as a proof of concept, the current prototype requires improvements for an application to an extra-corporeal blood pump that we aim to develop. We are currently investigating an alternative sensing scheme that can measure the rotor radial positions through a blood channel, such as eddy-current sensors. This can provide a rotor position measurement that is less sensitive to the local surface property, thereby addressing the noise issue observed in the low-cost optical sensors. To improve the torque capability, we plan to increase the thickness of the rotor, i.e., from 5 mm to 10 mm, to enlarge the traction surface area, thereby increasing the torque for the same airgap shear stress. This also increases the inertia of the levitated body, which can reduce the unstable pole frequency for the same negative stiffness and make the suspension control easier. Also, larger inertia can improve the dynamic stiffness at high frequencies [23, P. 67]. Utilizing a more magnetically-hard material, such as Alnico, can further increase the torque capability within the same rotor volume. We also plan to design a new winding scheme consisting of two separate sets, one for suspension and the other for rotation. In each winding set, multiple coils can be connected in series to form phases of less number, i.e., two or three phases, so as to reduce the number of power amplifiers required.
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