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Abstract

Targeting chromatin-mediated epigenetic regulation has emerged as a potential avenue for developing novel therapeutics for a wide range of central nervous system disorders, including cognitive disorders and depression. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have been pursued as cognitive enhancers that impact the regulation of gene expression and other mechanisms integral to neuroplasticity. Through systematic modification of the structure of crebinostat, a previously discovered cognitive enhancer that affects genes critical to memory and enhances synaptogenesis, combined with biochemical and neuronal cell-based screening, we identified a novel hydroxamate-based HDAC inhibitor, here named neurinostat, with increased potency compared to crebinostat in inducing neuronal histone acetylation. In addition, neurinostat was found to have a pharmacokinetic profile in mouse brain modestly improved over that of crebinostat. This discovery of neurinostat and demonstration of its effects on neuronal HDACs adds to the available pharmacological toolkit for dissecting the molecular and cellular mechanisms of neuroepigenetic regulation in health and disease.
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Chromatin-mediated epigenetic regulation of gene expression is an integral part of neuroplasticity [1], dysregulation of which is associated with aging and a broad range of central nervous system (CNS) disorders, including Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [2], and mood disorders [3, 4]. Inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs) has emerged as a promising new therapeutic avenue for CNS disorders [5, 6]. As summarized in Figure 1, there are three main chemical structure classes of HDAC inhibitors that have been developed as pharmacological probes with more recent use in the context of CNS disorders: 1) carboxylic acids (e.g., butyric acid, valproic acid); 2) hydroxamic acids (e.g., suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), trichostatin A (TSA)); and 3) ortho-amino anilides (e.g., RGFP136, CI-994). All three classes of HDAC inhibitors have been shown to enhance learning and memory in normal, aged, or age-associated neurodegenerative animal models, and also to increase histone acetylation and change gene expression related to neuroplasticity in relevant brain regions [7-10]. An anti-depressant-like effect has also been shown in preclinical settings in rodent models for several HDAC inhibitors including sodium butyrate [11, 12], SAHA and MS-275 [13], a HDAC1/2-selective inhibitor Cpd-60 [14], and HDAC6-selective inhibitors ACY-738 and ACY-775 [15].

While accumulating evidence indicates certain HDACs facilitate, while others play a suppressive role, in cognitive processes [2, 4, 5], the understanding of the precise relationship between HDAC subtype activity, critical epigenetic substrates, the activity of specific HDAC complexes, and therapeutically beneficial effects relevant to neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric disorders remains incomplete. Thus, the development of potent and subtype-selective HDAC inhibitors that are functionally active toward relevant HDAC complexes and that can achieve sufficient brain exposure to be biochemically and behaviorally active is required [2, 16-18]. Toward this goal, by screening for enhancers of CREB-mediated transcription and modulators of chromatin-mediated neuroplasticity, our previous studies identified a potent hydroxamate HDAC inhibitor, crebinostat, which produced robust enhancement of cognition in mice [19]. Here, through systematic dissection of the structure-activity-relationship (SAR) of crebinostat, we identified an improved analog of crebinostat with enhanced cellular activity in inducing histone acetylation in mouse neuronal cultures and a modestly improved in vivo pharmacokinetic (PK) profile in mice.
Structural studies of hydroxamate HDAC inhibitors such as SAHA and TSA have revealed three key features: a surface-recognition cap region that interacts with the HDAC enzyme surface adjacent to the catalytic site; a linker region that extends into the catalytic site; and a terminal metal-chelating hydroxamic acid moiety that interacts with the catalytic site zinc ion [20, 21] (Figure 2A). The cognitive enhancer crebinostat, discovered by a high-throughput, cell-based screen of small molecule libraries, shares this “cap-linker-zinc chelator” pharmacophore model [16] (Figure 2A). Structurally, although both are hydroxamic acids, crebinostat differs from SAHA in the cap moiety and length of the aliphatic linker. Functionally, crebinostat demonstrated improved activities over SAHA in in vitro assays and in neuronal cellular assays of histone acetylation [19].

Given interest in identifying novel HDAC inhibitors with improved potency, HDAC subtype selectivity and suitable brain PK properties, we synthesized a series of crebinostat derivatives. Crebinostat contains a 5-methylene chain linker while SAHA has a 6-methylene linker. The surface-recognition cap moieties are also different for crebinostat and SAHA. To dissect the structural composition that contributes to the advantageous properties in crebinostat, we first assessed the effect of linker length by synthesizing crebinostat analogs with 4-6 carbon aliphatic chain linkers (Figure 2B). This range of linker lengths was considered appropriate to explore considering the 5- and 6-methylene linker distance in the prototype hydroxamic inhibitors TSA and SAHA (Figure 1), the distance between cap and catalytic site observed in co-crystal structures of HDACs and inhibitors, and based on previous reports in the literature [22, 23].

**Synthesis of Compounds 9a, 9b and 9c**

Chemical Schemes 1-3 describe the procedures used to synthesize three compounds (9a, 9b, 9c) with 4, 5, or 6-methylene linker length respectively, but with the same biphenyl cap and hydroxamate zinc-binding motifs. In order to obtain 9a, 9b, 9c, we synthesized intermediate linker compounds (3a, 3b, 7) terminated by a hydrazide on one side and a hydroxamic acid on the other side (Schemes 1, 2). Acyl hydrazones 9a, 9b and 9c were synthesized according to Scheme 3 by difluoroacetic acid catalyzed condensation of the biphenyl aldehyde (8) with hydrazides 3a, 3b and 7, respectively. Compound 9b corresponds to the previously characterized crebinostat. The physicochemical properties of synthesized compounds are shown in Figure 2B and Supplemental Table S1. Analytical LC-MS spectra for these compounds are included in Supplemental Figure S1.

**Optimal Linker Length for HDAC Inhibitory Activities in Biochemical Assays**

After having synthesized compounds 9a, 9b (crebinostat), and 9c, which share the same cap and zinc-binding elements, and differ in the linker length, we first determined the ability of these compounds to inhibit the enzymatic activity of HDAC1, 2 or 3. The inhibitory activities of compounds 9a, 9b, and 9c were measured in dose responses with the IC$_{50}$ values reported in Figure 3A. Consistent with our previous report [19], crebinostat (9b) was a more potent inhibitor than SAHA of HDAC1, 2 or 3, with more than a 5-fold reduction in its IC$_{50}$. 9c exhibited further reduced IC$_{50}$’s; its IC$_{50}$ values (0.7, 1.0 and 2.0 nM for
HDAC1-3 respectively) are half of those measured for crebinostat (9b). Apparently, the 4-methylene linker conferred a disadvantage to the inhibitory activity; 9a exhibited an approximately 20-fold increase in IC$_{50}$’s over those of crebinostat (9b). Presumably, the cap to chelator distance produced by the 4-methylene linker precluded correct positioning of the surface-recognition and zinc-interacting elements. These data, though no magnitude difference of IC$_{50}$ values between 9c and crebinostat (9b), indicate that the 6-methylene linker length is optimal among the three compounds, with ~2 fold improved potency for inhibiting HDAC1-3 activities in the biochemical reaction. Previous work examining linker length of benzothiazole-containing analogs of SAHA reported that a 6-carbon linker showed better inhibition of HDAC3 and HDAC4 than a 4-carbon linker [22]. Studies involving thirty-six acylurea connected aliphatic linker hydroxamates identified close analogs of SAHA with 5-methylene linkers to be more potent than SAHA against HDAC1 or HDAC6 [23].

For all three compounds, we did not observe significant isoform specific activities between HDAC1-3; inhibitory activities for HDAC1-3 were in the same magnitude for each compound albeit inhibitory activities for HDAC1 were about half of inhibitory activities for HDAC2, which were about half of inhibitory activities for HDAC3. Therefore, changing the linker length did not lead to HDAC1, 2 or 3 isoform selective inhibitory activities in this structural series.

**Optimal Linker Length for HDAC Inhibitory Activities in Neuronal Assays**

Given interest in developing novel HDAC inhibitors as therapeutics of CNS disorders, we examined cellular activities of our novel hydroxamate HDAC inhibitors in induction of neuronal histone acetylation [19, 24, 25]. After 24 hour compound treatments, neuronal cultures were fixed and immunostained for histone acetylation marks H3K9ac and H4K12ac. The acetylation levels of H3K9 and H4K12 have been implicated in cognition enhancement in normal and aged mice [8, 26, 27]. Inhibition of HDAC activities by inhibitors leads to elevated H3K9ac and H4K12ac fluorescence intensities in neuronal nuclei. To assess the HDAC inhibitory activities in neurons, we tested 9a, 9b (crebinostat) and 9c, as well as SAHA, at two concentrations: 1 and 10 μM, and their cellular activities are reported relative to those of SAHA (Figure 3B). Crebinostat (9b) and 9c treatment resulted in greater increases in both H3K9ac and H4K12ac than SAHA at both 1 μM and 10 μM. Despite the higher potencies measured for 9c containing the 6-methylene linker in the *in vitro* HDAC enzymatic assays described in the previous section, the cellular activities of 9b (crebinostat) were modestly greater than those of 9c, suggesting that the 5-methylene linker may make hydroxamate HDAC inhibitors with biphenyl moieties more accessible to endogenous HDAC complexes.

*In vitro* enzymatic assays assessed HDAC inhibitory activities of the reported compounds by direct enzyme engagement whereas the *ex vivo*, neuron-based functional assays enabled us to evaluate these compounds for additional properties such as cell membrane permeability and target accessibility. The degree of hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity and the size of small molecules can affect their diffusion into intact cells through membrane penetration as well as their ability to reach target enzymes existing in multi-protein complexes. Crebinostat (9b)
and 9c have the same topological polar surface area (tPSA), and crebinostat (9b) has slightly lower calculated LogP than 9c (Figure 2B), the latter of which may give crebinostat (9b) better cell membrane permeability, and therefore better cellular activity. Our results presented a case where 9c, containing a 6-methylene linker, was modestly more potent than crebinostat (9b), containing a 5-methylene linker, in the biochemical assay, but less potent in the cell based assay. Given the necessity for HDAC inhibitors to have strong intracellular activity in order to be effective when used in vivo, and that crebinostat (9b) is well characterized, the 5-methylene linker was chosen for further study of the structure-activity relationship of the crebinostat series.

All of our novel compounds are hydrazone derivatives, which are known to be labile in the cellular environment at lower pH [28]. To ensure that the cellular activities of induction of neuronal histone acetylation that we observed were from the intact molecule, but not from the fragmented linker with zinc-chelating moiety, we also tested the intermediate linker compounds 3a, 3b, and 7 in the neuronal histone acetylation assay (Supplemental Figure S2). No induction of histone acetylation of H3K9 or H4K12 was detected for 3a, 3b, and 7, indicating that the observed functional activities for 9a-c were from the intact synthesized compounds. As these linker-only compounds also contain hydroxamates that may chelate divalent metal ions, this also indicates that the presence of a chelating moiety alone on a linker is insufficient to cause a measurable change in histone acetylation.

**Synthesis of Compounds 9d-9k**

After evaluation of the effect of aliphatic linker length on HDAC inhibitory activities, and having identified the 5-methylene linker as most promising for further SAR studies, we proceeded to varying the surface-recognition caps for potentially improved potency or HDAC subtype selectivity. The biphenyl cap moiety in crebinostat (9b) was replaced with eight different substituted and hetero aromatic moieties (Table 1) that cover a wide range of calculated LogP from 1.26 to 4.06, as LogP is one of the key factors that determine the ability of small molecules to penetrate the cell membrane. Scheme 4 was followed to synthesize eight compounds (9d-9k) using the same strategy as in Scheme 3, in which various aldehydes were coupled with hydrazide 3b. Physicochemical properties of synthesized compounds are reported in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2, and analytical LC-MS spectra for key compounds are shown in Supplemental Figure S1.

**Compound 9f Surpasses 9b (crebinostat) in Induction of Neuronal Histone Acetylation**

All of the synthesized final analog compounds were tested in the in vitro HDAC1-3 inhibition assays and the results showed that most were potent with low nanomolar IC\textsubscript{50} values (Table 1). All analogs except 9k maintained single-digit IC\textsubscript{50}’s against HDAC1 and 3, around the same magnitude as crebinostat (9b), while four out of seven of these analogs had decreased potency against HDAC2 to double-digit IC\textsubscript{50}’s. Compounds 9f and 9j surpassed crebinostat (9b) in inhibiting HDAC1 and 3, but both had decreased potency against HDAC2. Changing the terminal phenyl group of the biphenyl moiety of crebinostat structure to a pyridinyl group fine tuned HDAC inhibitory activities (9e, 9f, 9g and 9h). The
pyridinyl nitrogen at meta position \((9f)\) improved potency for HDAC1 and 3 inhibition. The result of \(9j\) having improved potency against HDAC1 and 3, and comparable potency against HDAC2 is intriguing in that \(9j\) contains 2-bromo-5-methoxy benzylidene group as a cap element, one of three cap moieties in the series least similar to the biphenyl group of crebinostat. Also interesting is that \(9i\), which has a thiophenyl phenyl group in the cap region, scored as a potent HDAC inhibitor as well. The least favorable substitution occurred in \(9k\), with a dimethoxyphenol moiety replacing the biphenyl group, resulting in dropped potency to double-digit IC\(_{50}\)'s for HDACs 1 and 3, and a three-digit IC\(_{50}\) for HDAC2.

After evaluating HDAC inhibitory activities of cap-modified compounds in enzymatic assays, we again assessed their cellular activities of induction of neuronal histone acetylation using the \textit{ex vivo} primary neuronal culture assay. As shown in Figure 4, all compounds except \(9k\) showed cellular activities comparable to SAHA, with several exceeding SAHA’s activities, at both concentrations (with the exception of \(9d\) which had low H3K9ac activity). \(9k\) was the least potent in the neuronal cell assay, consistent with its relative low biochemical activities for HDAC1-3. While all three compounds (crebinostat \((9b)\), \(9f\), \(9j\)) were more effective in increasing both H3K9ac and H4K12ac than SAHA, \(9f\) was found to be the most potent. The activity differences between \(9f\) and crebinostat \((9b)\) are statistically significant for H3K9ac at 1 μM and for H4K12ac at both 1 and 10 μM \((n=3,\ \text{unpaired t-test, } p<0.05)\). Based on these neuronal cell-based assay data, demonstrating \(9f\) as the most improved analog of crebinostat \((9b)\) identified in our series, \(9f\) became the focus of additional studies, and we named it neurinostat.

To better evaluate the cellular potency of neurinostat \((9f)\), we determined its EC\(_{50}\) by treating neuronal cultures in a 10-point dose response \((1 \text{nM} - 5 \mu\text{M})\) and measuring the acetylation level of H3K9. Figure 5 shows that neurinostat \((9f)\) was modestly more potent than SAHA and crebinostat \((9b)\) in induction of neuronal histone acetylation; it had an EC\(_{50}\) of 140 nM, whereas crebinostat \((9b)\) and SAHA had EC\(_{50}\)s of 210 nM and 950 nM, respectively. The difference in the bioactivities of neurinostat \((9f)\) versus crebinostat \((9b)\) is statistically significant \((\text{comparison of 10-point dose curves, exact sum-of-squares F test, } p=0.0006)\). These data indicate that the pyridinyl nitrogen at meta position in the terminal aromatic ring enhances HDAC inhibitory activities in neurons.

To comprehensively assess inhibitory activities of neurinostat \((9f)\) toward different class categories of HDACs, we tested neurinostat \((9f)\) in HDAC5 (class IIa) and HDAC6 (class IIb) \textit{in vitro} assays. For both neurinostat \((9f)\) and crebinostat \((9b)\), no activity was observed toward HDAC5, whereas IC\(_{50}\) values were obtained as 0.5 nM and 0.6 nM, respectively, toward HDAC6 (Supplemental Figure S3). Collectively, our \textit{in vitro} enzymatic assay data suggest that neurinostat \((9f)\) and crebinostat \((9b)\) have similar HDAC subtype selectivity, and that further SAR studies will be needed to yield any subtype selectivity within this chemical series.
Neurinostat (9f) Possesses Modestly Improved Pharmacokinetic Profiles and Brain Penetration

Given our intention to develop neurinostat (9f) as a cognitive enhancer, we proceeded to evaluate its brain PK properties. Neurinostat (9f) was administered intraperitoneally at 25 mg/kg to mice, and its concentration in the brain was monitored over a 6-point time course by collecting brains from three mice at each time point and measuring neurinostat (9f) concentrations in the processed brain samples. As shown in Figure 6 and Supplemental Table S3, neurinostat (9f) reached peak concentration (C<sub>max</sub>) of 79 nM at one hour after systemic administration of the compound. This C<sub>max</sub> exceeds the IC<sub>50</sub> concentrations measured for HDAC1-3 in biochemical assays and at the comparable level of the EC<sub>50</sub> concentration measured for H3K9ac in cultured mouse neurons. These data indicate that potentially functionally relevant levels of neurinostat (9f) were achieved in the brain after its systemic administration at 25 mg/kg. Though neurinostat’s C<sub>max</sub> was higher than that of crebinostat (9b) administered at the same dose, previously reported to be 60 nM [19], their PK profiles are similar.

In addition to brain tissue, peripheral blood samples were also collected at each time point and analyzed for neurinostat (9f) concentration (Supplemental Tables S4 and S5). Around one hour after injection, neurinostat (9f) reached a maximum concentration of 1.9 μM with a half life of 1.28 hours. Individual brain-to-plasma concentration ratios were between 0.03-0.07 from 0.5 to 2 hours (Supplemental Table S6). At time points 0.5, 1 and 2 hours, the brain-to-plasma ratios of neurinostat (9f) were about twice of those measured for crebinostat (9b), indicating that neurinostat (9f) was distributed to the brain more effectively than crebinostat (9b). The overall low ratios of brain-to-plasma concentrations suggest brain-penetrant properties of neurinostat (9f) and crebinostat (9b) require further improvement.

In pursuit of a better understanding of the role of HDACs in chromatin-mediated neuroplasticity and improved CNS disease therapeutics, we systematically dissected the SAR of the hydroxamate-based cognitive enhancer HDAC inhibitor crebinostat (9b), and optimized its linker length and surface recognition cap moieties. These SAR studies identified novel HDAC inhibitors that possess improved potency in HDAC inhibition, especially in functional neurons. The discovery of the neurinostat (9f), which exhibited nanomolar IC<sub>50</sub> in HDAC1-3 inhibition, a lower EC<sub>50</sub> for induction of neuronal histone acetylation than those of crebinostat (9b) and SAHA, and modestly improved brain PK properties compared to crebinostat (9b) provides a new chemical tool to dissect the role of neuroepigenetic mechanisms in regulating neuroplasticity in health and in disease.
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Figure 1.
Three main chemical structure classes of HDAC inhibitors under investigation in the context of central nervous system disorders
**Figure 2. Novel HDAC inhibitors with 4-, 5-, and 6-methylene linkers**

(A) Potent HDAC inhibitors SAHA and crebinostat possess three structural components: surface recognition cap, linker, and a divalent metal (Zn$^{2+}$) binding element. (B) Novel HDAC inhibitors with 4-, 5-, and 6-methylene linkers and their physicochemical properties. tPSA: topological polar surface area. cLogP: calculated LogP.
Figure 3. *In vitro* and *ex vivo* HDAC inhibitory activities of novel HDAC inhibitors with 4-, 5-, and 6-methylene linkers
(A) *In vitro* IC$_{50}$ (nM) with recombinant human HDAC1-3. (B) Increased acetylation of histone H3K9 and H4K12 in mouse forebrain primary neuronal culture (DIV14) detected at both 10 μM and 1 μM (24 hrs). Cellular activity of SAHA at 10 μM was set to 100% as a reference. N=3. Error bars are SEM.
Figure 4. Induction of histone H3K9 and H4K12 acetylation in mouse forebrain primary neuronal cultures by crebinostat analogs

Neurons (DIV13) were treated at the indicated concentration (n=3) for 24 hrs and then imaged after immunofluorescence-based detection. Error bars are SEM.
Figure 5. Dose response profiles of histone H3K9 acetylation in mouse forebrain primary neuronal cultures

Neurons (DIV13) were treated at the indicated concentrations (n=2) for 24 hrs and then imaged after immunofluorescence-based detection. Error bars are SEM.
Figure 6. Mouse brain pharmacokinetics of compound neurinostat (9f)
Neurinostat (9f) and Crebinostat (9b) (as published previously [19]) were injected intraperitoneally at 25 mg/kg. N=3 for each time point. Error bars are SEM.
Scheme 1.

Reagents and Conditions: (i) NH$_2$-$\text{NH}_2$ (excess), MeOH, 60 °C, 48 h; (ii) NH$_2$OH.HCl/NaOH, MeOH, RT, 36 h
Scheme 2.

Reagents and Conditions: (iii) BnONH$_2$·HCl, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDCI), hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT), i-Py·NEt, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT, 4 h; (iv) NH$_2$·NH$_2$ (excess), MeOH, 60 °C, 48 h; (v) 10% Pd/C, H$_2$ balloon, THF-MeOH, RT, 2 h
Scheme 3.

Reagents and Conditions: (vi) difluoroacetic acid (cat), MeOH, RT, 30 min
Scheme 4.

Reagents & Conditions: (vi) difluoroacetic acid (cat), MeOH, RT, 30 min
Table 1

*In vitro* HDAC inhibitory activities of crebinostat analogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>tPSA</th>
<th>cLogP</th>
<th>IC50 values (nM) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HDAC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b (crebinostat)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.79</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9d</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.79</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.01</td>
<td>18.8 ± 2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9e</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103.15</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9f</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103.15</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.01</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9g</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>103.15</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.02</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9h</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>115.51</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.06</td>
<td>21.0 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9i</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.79</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>tPSA</td>
<td>cLogP</td>
<td>HDAC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9j</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 9j" /></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9k</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 9k" /></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>129.48</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>31.4 ± 3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>