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Acoustic fields have been widely used for manipulation of particles and cells within microfluidic systems. In this Letter, we explore a novel acoustofluidic phenomenon for particle patterning and focusing, where a periodic acoustic pressure field is produced parallel to internal channel boundaries with the imposition of either a traveling or standing surface acoustic wave (SAW). This effect results from the propagation and intersection of edge waves from the channel walls according to the Huygens-Fresnel principle and classical wave fronts from the substrate-fluid interface. We demonstrate versatile control over this effect to produce both one- and two-dimensional acoustic patterning from one-dimensional SAW fields and its utility for continuous particle focusing. Uniquely, this channel-guided acoustic focusing permits the generation of robust acoustic fields without channel resonance conditions and particle focusing positions that are difficult or impossible to produce otherwise.
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High frequency acoustic fields are a promising non-contact alternative for the manipulation of microscale objects. Applications include the patterning and sorting of cells and particles in industry and clinical settings, where strong yet biocompatible [1,2] acoustic forces result in rapid movement and/or stable trapping of cells and other bioparticles in both liquids [3] and gases [4] for continuous sorting [5–7] and cell manipulation [8–10]. To generate the desired particle behavior, the acoustic field must be appropriately shaped, e.g., with the required spatially localized phase and wavelength properties. While it is possible to shape the wave fronts emanating from a monolithic acoustic transducer by shaping the transducer itself [11,12], refined acoustofluidic manipulation in specific microfluidic channel regions often requires the careful alignment and/or integration of the acoustic source with the microfluidic device. Surface acoustic waves (SAWs) are one such actuation technology that permits localized acoustic fields from micropatterned transducers [13–17], including those with electrodes designed to create shaped acoustic beams [18–20]. Superimposing two orthogonal standing wave fields from four transducers, for example, generates a time-averaged two-dimensional field [21,22] for movement [23], 3D manipulation [24], and single-cell patterning [1]. Acoustic metamaterials [25], holographic intermediaries [26], phase variable fields [27], and phononic structures [28–30] are suitable avenues for shaping such fields. A typical SAW device, however, generates an acoustic field in a fluid mostly irrespective of the overlaying channel elements. As a result, manipulating particles into specific channel locations requires precise alignment to position acoustic force potential minima [31], independent phase tuning of opposing transducers [32], or channel resonance [33]. Waveguide features, typically taking the form of a microstructured pillar bridging a gap between the substrate and a fluid channel, can be used to localize the wave transmission into defined regions [34–37]. The transmitted wave, however, still reflects the underlying substrate conditions, where the trapping locations above the pillar are a function of the substrate alignment in the case of a standing SAW [38].

It would be ideal if the acoustic field could be directly guided by microfluidic channel features, as in the case of optical waveguides [39]. This characteristic is integral to bulk acoustic wave (BAW) acoustofluidic devices [40–42], though the applied frequency of an affixed BAW transducer must correspond to resonance conditions within a microfluidic channel for effective manipulation, though impedance-matched materials can be used to circumvent this limitation [41]. Devendran et al. recently exploited diffractive effects to create lines of particles using a traveling wave with actuation by a single traveling SAW [43]. However, this
work only examined the generation of acoustic fields where
the channel was oriented explicitly parallel to the wave
fronts and required very high aspect ratio channels (∼100×)
to isolate this effect. In this Letter, we demonstrate robust
particle patterning from channel-based waveguides with
conventional microchannel dimensions and unique manip-
ulation that arises when opposing channel walls are oriented
parallel to the wave front propagation direction. This effect
results from the interference of spherical waves emanating
from a channel-limited transducer region according to the
Huygens-Fresnel principle. Using this principle, we gen-
erate time-averaged pressure fields that are effectively self-
aligned with the channel features. Importantly, by decou-
pling the orientation of the acoustic field that is generated in
the fluid from that on the substrate, a wide range of particle
migration behaviors and complex acoustic fields become
viable with the imposition of only one-dimensional SAWs.
This notably includes the preferential migration of solid,
dense particles to channel boundaries. Here we utilize this
boundary-dependent acoustofluidic phenomenon for both
two-dimensional particle pattern generation from a one-
dimensional standing wave and continuous particle focusing
with a single traveling wave.

Both traveling and standing SAWs (TSAW and SSAW)
can readily be used for microscale manipulation, where
these fields result in migration away from the SAW
transducer [3,34,35,44] and toward minimum displacement
locations on the substrate, [45] respectively. The common
element with SAW types, however, is that particle migration
is oriented along the axis of SAW propagation. In contrast,
we demonstrate that the channel walls can generate periodic
patterning perpendicular to the substrate wave fronts. In the
Supplemental Material [46], Fig. S1 shows that the inter-
ference pattern above a finite transducer can be approxi-
imated by the intersection of cylindrical waves [47] from
the channel edge and classical wave fronts emanating from
the substrate into the fluid. In the case of a SAW, a finite
transducer extent can be created with the imposition of
channel boundaries, where the acoustic amplitude at a given
point in a fluid is equal to the sum of all contributing
spherically propagating waves. The concept of total internal
reflection prevents acoustic energy arising from certain
regions on the substrate under the solid channel wall, where
a SAW is also present, from influencing the field in the fluid
domain [48]. Acoustic energy can either reflect at or refract
across a boundary between two materials, with dissimilar
sound speeds with different incoming and outgoing angles
of incidence, where \( \theta_i \) is the angle at which an acoustic wave
intersects the interface. According to Snell’s law, there exists
a critical angle \( \theta_c \) for the angle \( \theta_i \) above which the incident
energy is entirely reflected. This principle is illustrated in
Fig. 1(a), which plots the time-averaged pressure field
near a single channel wall when actuated by a TSAW,
which attenuates along the substrate-polydimethylsiloxane
(PDMS) and substrate-water interfaces. For PDMS and
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**FIG. 1.** Principle of channel-based acoustofluidic waveguides.
(a) A time-averaged pressure distribution occurs near the bound-
daries of a spatially finite wave source in the \( x-y \) plane. With a
SAW, this is readily achieved by affixing a channel wall to the
substrate. The acoustic pressure field near a single channel wall is
shown. (b) Placing two channel walls near one another yields a
complex acoustic field, here mapped for a wave source with a
width of \( 4l_i \) in the \( y-z \) plane. Insets show (i) a time-domain image
of the pressure field with a \( 4l_i \) and (ii) \( 100l_i \) transducer width
over the same domain. (c) In the \( y-x \) plane (at \( h = l_i \)), periodicity
develops parallel to the channel waveguides.

water \( (c_{\text{PDMS}} = 1030 \text{ m/s} \) and \( c_l = 1500 \text{ m/s}, \) respectively)
this yields \( \theta_c = 43^\circ \). Bringing two channel walls in prox-
imity to one another results in distinct two-dimensional
nodal positions in the \( y-z \) plane; Fig. 1(b) shows this acoustic
field for a fluid domain with a height and a transducer width
of \( 4l_i \), where \( l_i \) is the acoustic wavelength in the fluid. The
Fig. 1(b) inset examines the effects of limiting the transducer
extent, where (i) shows the transient time-domain wave
fronts emanating from a \( 4l_i \) wide transducer and a (ii) \( 100l_i \)
transducer; in the latter case, the wave fronts are nearly
uniform in this \( 4 \times 4\lambda_i \) domain. Examining the field in the
\( y-x \) plane (here for a height of \( l_i \)) in Fig. 1(c), however,
demonstrates how the channel walls act as an acoustic
waveguide in the plane of the substrate, where a periodic
field develops parallel to the channel wall and perpendicular
to the acoustic wave fronts. Notably, we also recover the
periodic spacing parallel to the acoustic wave fronts \( \lambda_{||} \) as
predicted elsewhere [43].

To determine the periodic spacing that occurs
perpendicular to these wave fronts \( \lambda_{\perp} \), we note that the
effect of a spatially limited transducer is the production of
FIG. 2. Particle patterning via SAW and channel feature interactions. (a) Two-dimensional particle patterning is accomplished with a one-dimensional SSAW. Gray region in the bottom left incorporates air gaps in the channel walls, with no effect on nodal spacing vs solid PDMS walls. (b) Lateral patterning is independently observed with the imposition of a TSAW. Dashed inset (right) shows a channel feature at the edge of the domain, demonstrating patterning in an (effectively) unbounded domain (scale bar is 500 μm). Other insets show selected regions with 200 and 520 μm widths. λSAW = 80 μm and applied power = 1.6 W. Scale bar (lower right) is 1 mm. Left enlarged inset scale bars are 100 μm.

eave waves [49,50]. The interference of these with the classical wave fronts that propagate into the fluid at the Rayleigh (Ra) angle θRa from the SAW substrate [51] that yields an interference pattern in the x-y plane above the transducer (Supplemental Material Fig. S2 [46]). In the case of a low channel height (smaller than a few acoustic wavelengths), the examination of these wave fronts near the substrate gives a good approximation of how these waves interact. The periodicity orthogonal to the SAW propagation direction λ⊥ is given by the lateral spacing of these wave intersections. This spacing can readily be expressed in terms of trigonometric functions by

$$\lambda_\perp = \lambda_{\text{SAW}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda_l}{\lambda_{\text{SAW}}} \right),$$  

(1)

for heights in the fluid \( h \lesssim \lambda_l \). This can similarly be expressed in terms of the liquid and solid sound speeds (with the application of the appropriate trigonometric identities) by

$$\lambda_\perp = \frac{\lambda_l}{\sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{c_l}{c_s} \right)^2}}.$$  

(2)

The \( \perp \) symbol denotes that the predicted spacing is for a channel that is perpendicular to the SAW wave fronts (\( \theta = 90^\circ \)). As the periodicity resulting from the channel wall is a function of \( \theta \), defined as the orientation of the channel wall with respect to the wave propagation direction, we examine this \( \theta = 90^\circ \) case, as this is the only one that will result in equal spacings for parallel channel walls, therefore permitting channel waveguides and uniform particle pattern spacings. As discussed later, though patterning spacing is reflected by this simple model, it is necessary to simulate the system in three dimensions to show how the distance between opposing channel boundaries can influence \( \lambda_\perp \).

Our system is comprised of a SAW actuator and a channel bonded to the underlying SAW substrate. The SAW device is composed of a piezoelectric 128° y-cut, \( \lambda \)-propagating lithium niobate (LN, LiNbO₃) substrate, with patterned interdigital transducer (IDT) electrode finger pairs on the surface. Devices with SAW wavelengths of 60, 78, 80, and 140 μm (2, 8, 13.8, and 8 mm apertures, respectively) and a slanted finger IDT device [20,52,53] with wavelengths between 40 and 80 μm (7.8 mm aperture) were used in this study. For a detailed description on SAW devices for acoustofluidic applications, the reader is encouraged to read one of a few representative reviews on the topic [13–17,54,55]. A PDMS channel 22 μm in height with 160 μm wide channel features is bonded after oxygen plasma treatment of both surfaces (PDC 32G, Harrick Plasma, USA). The attenuation of the SAW prior to the fluidic channel is minimized with a 50 μm wide channel wall.

Simulated pressure fields are obtained by discretizing the SAW-fluid interface into a grid of point sources for spherical waves and summing the spherical waves at each point in the liquid. In the y-z plane, this simplifies to an integral of a spherical wave function across the acoustic aperture. In the x-y plane, this becomes a convolution of the spherical wave function with an appropriate SAW displacement boundary condition. Here, we used the boundary conditions for SAW propagation beneath a liquid channel reported previously [56].

In these results, we demonstrate the use of channel features to produce particle patterning independent of the underlying acoustic wave nodal positions. Figure 2(a) shows the periodic spacing that develops with the imposition of both a SSAW and TSAW. As the standing wave is composed of two intersecting traveling wave components, each with identical \( \lambda_\perp \), the corresponding \( \lambda_\perp \) will be the same. Because of the dimensions of the channel shown (≈6.2 mm width), this image is composed of several stitched images obtained with a 4× objective. The gray region in the lower left of Fig. 2(a) is superimposed to show the effect of a hollow channel pillar feature (the dotted lines here represents the air-PDMS edge). Notably, a hollow pillar has negligible effect on the patterning, since the total internal reflection that occurs in the interior of a solid pillar is sufficient to produce the discontinuity in the substrate wave source. The spacing between neighboring channel features varies here between 80 and 520 μm, in increments of 40 μm, with decaying strength of the lateral patterning component for larger channel spacings. Figure 2(b) shows the same system with single-side IDT actuation, with the
TSAW propagating in the +x direction. The left inset here shows the expected equivalent lateral spacing between SAW and TSAW cases. The right inset shows that, while neighboring channel features are desirable for producing symmetrical particle patterning (occurring between the opposing channel elements), they are not a necessary condition for the generation of lateral periodicity. Here, the unbounded right edge (nearest channel edge is 1200 μm to the right) of the channel feature nevertheless results in several (decaying) force potential minima, noted also in the 520 μm wide pillar spacing (inset bottom). This is a consequence of the inverse relationship between wave front displacement amplitude and distance from the source (i.e., displacement magnitude in the liquid $\xi_l \propto r^{-1}$).

Figure 3(a) compares predicted, measured, and simulated periodicities in a 200 μm wide channel across a range of acoustic wavelengths. The wavelengths reported here for the straight IDT devices ($\lambda_{\text{SAW}} = 60, 80$, and 140 μm) and the slanted IDT device ($\lambda_{\text{SAW}} \approx 44, 46, 49, 52, 56, 60, 66$, and 71 μm) correspond to the IDT pitches resulting in resonance at the given applied frequencies ($f = 66, 49$, and 28 MHz for straight IDTs; $f = 90, 85, 80, 75, 70, 65, 60$, and 55 MHz for slanted IDT), as examined elsewhere [20]. Supplemental Material Fig. S3 [46] shows how the pattern periodicity in the x and y directions are examined independently for an example case with a 60 μm SAW wavelength. In the x direction, we extract the expected periodicity with particle aggregation in each nodal position along the substrate (every $\lambda_{\text{SAW}}/2$). While Eq. (1) provides a good first-order approximation of $\lambda_{\perp}$, the actual spacing in practice is subject to the precise ratio of the acoustic
wavelength to the channel dimensions, which affects how the field evolves in the $y-z$ plane (examined later in Fig. 4). The simulated spacing in Fig. 3(a) assumes that particles aggregate in a nodal position one-half of a fluid wavelength from the channel roof, where a minimum time-averaged pressure condition develops in the $z$ direction. Figure 3(b) demonstrates the importance of the $\lambda_\perp$ parameter from Eq. (1) and our control over the acoustic field shape by taking this value into account, here plotted with a channel width of (i) $0.5 \lambda_\perp$, (ii) $\lambda_\perp$, (iii) $2 \lambda_\perp$, and (iv) $4 \lambda_\perp$. Arrows denote the direction of particle migration in this field. We see here the direct relationship between the width of the channel in perpendicular wavelengths and the number of pressure features in the $y$ direction at a given channel height; i.e., the number of pressure antinodes near the substrate is equal to the width in $\lambda_\perp$. This results in particle migration (i) away from the transducer, (ii) toward the channel walls, or (iii), (iv) more complex 2D patterning behavior, depending on the channel dimensions. Notably, cases (i) and (ii) are unique to this channel waveguide method as compared to most BAW and SAW standing wave results, as they set up either a rapidly decaying (in the $z$ direction) surface localized pressure field for a very narrow channel width ($w < \lambda_\perp$) or nodal positions that are exclusively at the channel edges when the width is on the order of $\lambda_\perp$.

In (ii), the channel width is still smaller than the underlying SAW wavelength. As lateral particle positioning can be readily measured, we examine this case experimentally. In Fig. 4, we demonstrate continuous particle focusing using a SAW device with an IDT wavelength of 78 $\mu$m above (53.3 MHz) and below (46.2 MHz) the design frequency of approximately 50 MHz [$f = c/\lambda_{\text{SAW}} = (3931 \text{ m/s})/(78 \mu\text{m})$] and within the calculated 3 dB bandwidth for this device ($\pm 4.4$ MHz) [57]. Figure 4(a) shows an image of this device prior to excitation, where air cavities are used on either side of the channel features to minimize SAW attenuation. Figure 4(b) models the pressure distribution in an 800 $\mu$m long channel section that is oriented parallel to the SAW propagation direction in the $x-y$ and $y-z$ planes, showing two local pressure minima in the case of a 46.2 MHz signal ($\lambda_{\text{SAW}} \approx 85 \mu$m) and three in the case of a 53.3 MHz signal ($\lambda_{\text{SAW}} \approx 74 \mu$m); this third minimum appears in the channel center and is visible in the $x-y$ plane in the $z = 3 \mu$m image for this frequency. The experimental images in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) are manifestations of these acoustic fields, where fluorescent 2 $\mu$m polystyrene beads aggregate in the predicted local minima in a continuous flow, with a significant change in particle focusing behavior, despite a small change in applied frequency (see Video SM1 in the Supplemental Material [46]). As in other acoustofluidic systems, focusing performance at a given applied power is expected to degrade with increasing flow rate [58].

The results in Fig. 4 are unique for two important reasons. First, in contrast to half-wavelength resonators where nodal positions occur in the channel center [42,58,59], the inverse occurs here, with nodal positions adjoining channel boundaries. This permits the negative sorting of particles that are denser than the surrounding media, previously only possible with less dense specimens (i.e., fat cells) [60]. Second, this method promises to be more energetically efficient than comparable standing wave methods, which may only couple energy into the fluid over one half-wavelength [61]. Using a channel-based waveguide the length of the channel along the SAW propagation direction can be arbitrarily long; longer channel elements increase the proportion of substrate energy that couples into the overlaying fluid, where the translating effect (though not the intensity) of the acoustic field is relatively uniform along the channel length.

We have demonstrated the importance of channel boundaries for the generation of nontraditional pressure fields in acoustofluidic systems. By limiting the spatial extent of acoustic coupling with channel boundaries, we generate time-averaged acoustic fields whose alignment and positions are determined by channel locations. This permits acoustic fields that are self-aligned with channel features, bringing this important quality of conventional BAW transducers to SAW designs, while also allowing the use of materials compatible with soft lithography (as opposed to the etched silicon and glass devices used with BAWs). We utilize these fields for 1D and 2D patterning with the imposition of a 1D TSAW and SSAW, respectively, and further demonstrate its utility for continuous particle focusing. In this latter case, focusing occurs at the channel edges rather than in the center as with half-wavelength resonant channels [58,62]. This shows promise for expanding the range of acoustofluidic manipulations that can be performed, where hydrodynamic interactions with channel walls [63–65] are enhanced and total residence time is increased in the low fluid velocities near channel boundaries, offering additional time for chemical processing or optical detection.
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