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In eukaryotes, sulfur is mobilized for incorporation into multiple biosynthetic pathways by a cysteine desulfurase complex that consists of a catalytic subunit (NFS1), LYR protein (ISD11), and acyl carrier protein (ACP). This NFS1–ISD11–ACP (SDA) complex forms the core of the iron–sulfur (Fe–S) assembly complex and associates with assembly proteins ISCU2, frataxin (FXN), and ferredoxin to synthesize Fe–S clusters. Here we present crystallographic and electron microscopic structures of the SDA complex coupled to enzyme kinetic and cell-based studies to provide structure-function properties of a mitochondrial cysteine desulfurase. Unlike prokaryotic cysteine desulfurases, the SDA structure adopts an unexpected architecture in which a pair of ISD11 subunits form the dimeric core of the SDA complex, which clarifies the critical role of ISD11 in eukaryotic assemblies. The different quaternary structure results in an incompletely formed substrate channel and solvent-exposed pyridoxal 5′-phosphate cofactor and provides a rationale for the allosteric activator function of FXN in eukaryotic systems. The structure also reveals the 4′-phosphopantetheine–conjugated acyl-group of ACP occupies the hydrophobic core of ISD11, explaining the basis of ACP stabilization. The unexpected architecture for the SDA complex provides a framework for understanding interactions with acceptor proteins for sulfur-containing biosynthetic pathways, elucidating mechanistic details of eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthesis, and clarifying how defects in Fe–S cluster assembly lead to diseases such as Friedreich's ataxia. Moreover, our results support a lock-and-key model in which LYR proteins associate with acyl-ACP as a mechanism for fatty acid biosynthesis to coordinate the expression, Fe–S cofactor maturation, and activity of the respiratory complexes.
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Iron–sulfur (Fe–S) clusters are protein cofactors and are required for critical biological processes such as oxidative respiration, nitrogen fixation, and photosynthesis. The iron–sulfur cluster (ISC) biosynthetic pathway, which is found in most prokaryotes and in the mitochondrial matrix of eukaryotes, is responsible for the synthesis of Fe–S clusters and distribution of these cofactors to the appropriate target proteins. Despite the homology between analogous components of the prokaryotic and eukaryotic ISC pathways, there are key unexplained differences, such as the requirement of the LYR protein ISD11 and acyl carrier protein (ACP) for function in eukaryotic but not prokaryotic ISC systems (1, 2).

Mitochondrial LYR proteins are members of a recently identified superfamily that are characterized by their small size (10–22 kDa), high positive charge, invariant Phe residue, and eponymous Leu–Tyr–Arg (LYR) motif near their N terminus (3). LYR proteins function as subunits or assembly factors for respiratory complexes I, II, III, and V. Human LYRM4, also known as ISD11, is critical for the function of the Fe–S assembly complex (4–9), whereas LYRMs, a key maturation factor for mitochondrial complex II, interacts with the HISC20 chaperone (10), which is important for Fe–S cluster delivery to apo targets. Despite their vital roles in Fe–S cluster cofactor biogenesis and oxidative respiration, structure-function details for these LYR proteins are poorly understood.

An emerging theme for LYR proteins is their interaction with ACP (3, 11). ACP operates in the mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis (mtFAS) pathway to synthesize fatty acids using a 4′-phosphopantetheine (4′-PPT) prosthetic group covalently attached to a serine residue on ACP. Acyl intermediates are linked by a thioester bond and shuttled between fatty acid biosynthetic enzymes to generate medium and long chain fatty acids (12–15). One of the best known functions of the mtFAS pathway is to generate octanoyl-ACP, which is required for lipidic acid biosynthesis. However, mitochondrial ACP also functions as a required subunit for respiratory complex I and is predominately associated with the long-chain fatty acid 3-hydroxytetradecanoate (16–23). More recently, ACP has been identified as an essential functional component of the eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthetic complex (1). Currently, there are no X-ray crystal structures that provide a framework for understanding interactions with acceptor proteins for sulfur-containing biosynthetic pathways, elucidating mechanistic details of eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthesis, and clarifying how defects in Fe–S cluster assembly lead to diseases such as Friedreich's ataxia. Moreover, our results support a lock-and-key model in which LYR proteins associate with acyl-ACP as a mechanism for fatty acid biosynthesis to coordinate the expression, Fe–S cofactor maturation, and activity of the respiratory complexes.

Significance

Prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms use analogous pathways to synthesize protein cofactors called iron–sulfur clusters. An unexplained difference between pathways is the functional requirements of the respective cysteine desulfurases. In eukaryotes, the cysteine desulfurase NFS1 requires additional accessory subunits for function. The lack of structural information has limited mechanistic insight into the role of these accessory proteins in mitochondrial Fe–S cluster biosynthesis. Here we determined crystallographic and electron microscopic structures of the NFS1–ISD11–ACP subcomplex. These results reveal an unexpected cysteine desulfurase architecture that reconciles mechanistic differences between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic systems, reveals the basis of control of iron–sulfur cluster assembly through fatty acid synthesis, and serves as a structural foundation for investigating human diseases related to iron–sulfur cluster assembly.
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detail interactions between ACP and LYR proteins that provide insight into the eukaryotic adaption of ACP for these moonlighting functions.

In eukaryotes, Fe–S clusters are synthesized by a multicomponent assembly complex (24–26). At the center of the complex, the pyridoxal 5′-phosphate (PLP)-dependent cysteine desulfurase NFS1 forms a tight complex with ISD11 (4, 8, 9, 27). The NFS1–ISD11 complex catalyzes the conversion of l-cysteine to l-alanine and generates a persulfide intermediate on a cysteine of the mobile S-transfer loop of NFS1 (S-loop) (24, 28). The terminal sulfur of this intermediate is transferred to the scaffold protein ISCU2, where it is combined with ferrous iron and electrons, from a ferredoxin (29, 30), to form Fe–S clusters. In humans, a low-activity Fe–S assembly complex consisting of NFS1–ISD11 and the catalytic subunit ISCU2 can be activated by binding of the allosteric activator frataxin (FXN) (25). NFS1 also provides sulfur for other processes including tRNA synthesis (31) and molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis (27). Importantly, clinical mutations of NFS1 (R72Q) (32) and ISD11 (R68L) (33) result in myopathy with exercise intolerance (34) and Friedreich’s ataxia (35), respectively. The lack of structural data for eukaryotic cysteine desulfurase and Fe–S assembly complexes has limited the mechanistic understanding of these critical biosynthetic processes, the role of the essential proteins ISD11 and ACP, and insight into how clinical mutants compromise function.

Results

Structure of the Cysteine Desulfurase Subcomplex for Eukaryotic Fe–S Cluster Biosynthesis. We identified recombinant coexpression conditions that allowed the purification of a complex between human NFS1–ISD11 and native Escherichia coli ACP (ACPec, 44% identical to human mitochondrial ACP) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1), consistent with a recent report (36). An X-ray crystal structure of the NFS1–ISD11–ACPec (SDAec) complex was determined using molecular replacement–single wavelength anomalous dispersion (MR-SAD) and refined to a resolution of 3.09 Å (Rwork/Rfree of 21.2/25.9%) with excellent geometry (SI Appendix, Table S1). The SDAec structure exhibited an overall αβ2γ2 assembly (Fig. 1 A) with two αβ3γ2 subassemblies in the asymmetric unit. The NFS1 fold is highly similar to E. coli IscS (Fig. 1 B) (37) and shares 60% sequence identity (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). NFS1, like IscS, contains a larger domain that includes a PLP active site and a smaller domain that likely interacts with ISCU2. The primary differences between NFS1 and IscS include a small extension in NFS1 α-helix 2 (Na2), a larger disordered region for the mobile S loop, and disorder in a triple glycine-containing segment (GGG motif; see Subunit Orientation and Assembly of SDAec). In addition, some subunits display a different conformation for the equivalent C-terminal α-helix that is used by IscS (So13) to interact with IscU (38, 39). Surprisingly, this mitochondrial cysteine desulfurase crystal structure also revealed an ACP–lipid–ISD11 motif and a fundamentally different oligomeric architecture for NFS1 compared with its bacterial orthologs.

Identification of the ACP–Lipid–ISD11 Motif. The electron density revealed a 3-helix bundle fold for ISD11 that included most of the highly conserved residues (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 and Table S2). ACPec displays a conformation similar to both uncomplexed bacterial ACP (40) and human ACP structures (SI Appendix, Fig. S4) with large B-factors (SI Appendix, Table S2), consistent with the reported high flexibility and dynamic properties of ACP (41). ACPec interacts with ISD11 through two distinct interfaces. The first interface is mediated by a 4′-PPT–conjugated fatty acid covalently attached to ACPec, which is threaded into a groove on ISD11 (Fig. 2 A). To generate this groove and accommodate the fatty acid, ISD11 adopts an unusual 3-helix bundle conformation in the SDAec structure that lacks a traditional hydrophobic core and contains remarkably few side-chain interactions between ISD11 α-helices Ia2 and Ia3. At least 12 carbons in the acyl-chain (dodecyl-ACP) were evident in the electron density (Fig. 2 B), but longer acyl-chains could also be accommodated in the ISD11 core. In fact, we determined recombinant SDAec contains primarily a...
16-carbon acyl-chain by performing gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) on isolated fatty-acid methyl esters (FAMEs) that were obtained from SDA through a transesterification procedure (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The aliphatic portion of the 4′-PPT cofactor is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions contributed by invariant residues on ISD11 including F40, which packs against the lipid cofactor, and F23, which is near the tip of the acyl-chain (Fig. 2A). The functional importance of F40 was verified using a chromosomally ISD11-depleted Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain covered with an ISD11 plasmid to test mutants. S. cerevisiae mitochondria with Isd11 containing the F43A variant (equivalent to human F40A) showed comparable expression levels to native Isd11 but decreased amounts of the Nfs1–Isd11 complex (Fig. 2C and E). A similar result is observed for mitochondria either depleted in Acp1 (yeast ACP homolog) or with an Acp1 variant incapable of attaching the 4′-PPT prosthetic group (1). Conserved residues on ISD11 have key roles in stabilizing the 3-helix bundle by providing a second, primarily electrostatic, interface with ACPec. The phosphate linker of the 4′-PPT is stabilized in the SDAec structure through interactions with side chains of conserved R6 and K44 residues on ISD11 (Fig. 2B). R29 interacts with backbone carbonyls of the F23-containing loop of ISD11 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A), whereas R37 and R41 form salt bridges with ACPec residues E42 and D39, respectively (Fig. 2B).

The residues of the characteristic ISD11 LYR motif contribute L12 hydrophobic interactions to an interface between ISD11 helices, Y13 forms a hydrogen bond to ACPec E42, and R14 forms a salt bridge with ACPec E48 (Fig. 2B). S. cerevisiae mitochondria containing R32D, R40D, and R44D ISD11 point mutations (equivalent to human ISD11 R29D, R37D, and R41D, respectively) or substitution of the LYR motif had decreased levels of Isd11 and loss of the Nfs1–Isd11 complex (Fig. 2D and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 B and C). These results are consistent with a role for these residues in Isd11 stability and/or association with Acp1 (1). The residues on ACPec that interact with ISD11 in the SDAec structure are also conserved between E. coli and human mitochondrial ACP (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B) and suggest similar interactions will likely contribute to the interface between NFS1–ISD11 and human ACP. Together, these results provide insight into the unexpected role of ACP in eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthesis by identifying ACP–lipid interactions that promote the stability of ISD11 and function of the Fe–S assembly complex.

Subunit Orientation and Assembly of SDAec. ISD11 forms two different interfaces with NFS1 that stabilize the SDAec subcomplex. In the first and larger interface, the Iα2 helix and acyl-chain are positioned in a pocket that is formed between the Nα2, Nα9, and Nα12 helices of NFS1 (Fig. 3A). The residues of the characteristic ISD11 LYR motif contribute L12 hydrophobic interactions to an interface between ISD11 helices, Y13 forms a hydrogen bond to ACPec E42, and R14 forms a salt bridge with ACPec E48 (Fig. 2B). S. cerevisiae mitochondria containing R32D, R40D, and R44D ISD11 point mutations (equivalent to human ISD11 R29D, R37D, and R41D, respectively) or substitution of the LYR motif had decreased levels of Isd11 and loss of the Nfs1–Isd11 complex (Fig. 2D and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S6 B and C). These results are consistent with a role for these residues in Isd11 stability and/or association with Acp1 (1). The residues on ACPec that interact with ISD11 in the SDAec structure are also conserved between E. coli and human mitochondrial ACP (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B) and suggest similar interactions will likely contribute to the interface between NFS1–ISD11 and human ACP. Together, these results provide insight into the unexpected role of ACP in eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthesis by identifying ACP–lipid interactions that promote the stability of ISD11 and function of the Fe–S assembly complex.
this ISD11-binding pocket are highly conserved in eukaryotes; whereas the analogous residues for bacterial IscS are more variable (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Notable interactions in this interface include NFS1 residue R72, which forms a hydrogen bond with invariant Y31 on ISD11, as well as the highly conserved NFS1 P71 and ISD11 F23, and appear to cap the end of the acyl-chain (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the R72Q NFS1 variant is associated with a Fe–S cluster disease, infantile mitochondrial complex II/III deficiency (32), suggesting that these interactions are functionally important. In the second, smaller, interface, the adjacent Iα3 helix of ISD11 lays across the Nα2 helix in an antiparallel orientation (Fig. 3C). In contrast to IscS, the NFS1 residues in this second interface with ISD11 are often conserved (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). A hydrophobic pocket is generated by residues L75 and I72 of ISD11 and L74, M77, L78, L81, and I82 of NFS1 (Fig. 3D). This pocket is bridged by hydrogen bonding residues on ISD11 to residues and backbone carbonyls on a loop between NFS1 Nα2 and Nα3.

Interestingly, when two SDAec αβγδ assemblies come together to form the αβγδ architecture (Fig. 4A), the NFS1–ISD11 inter-
faces generate an unusual, interlocking 4-helix bundle core made up of two copies of the ISD11 Iα3 helices and two copies of the NFS1 Nα2 helices (Fig. 3E). A cluster of hydrophobic residues consisting of ISD11 (I72) and NFS1 (L78 and I82) from each of the two αβ1γ1 assemblies stabilizes the 4-helix bundle. The interactions between the four subunits appear to be facilitated by an extension of Nα2 (compared with Sα1 of IscS; Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2) and a kink induced by conserved P79. Moreover, hydrogen bonds across the ISD11–ISD11 interface between side chains of Q69 and Y76, and salt bridge interactions between ISD11 R68 and NFS1 D75 appear to further stabilize the SDAec complex (Fig. 3E). The importance of these interactions was tested through the introduction of single Y79A, double Q72A Y79A, and triple Q72A I75D Y79A (equivalent to human Q69A I72D Y76A) ISD11 point mutants along with the R71D ISD11 substitution (equivalent to human R68D) into S. cerevisiae. Isolated mitochondria showed Isd11 variants exhibit comparable expression levels to native Isd11 but decreased amounts of Nfs1–Isd11 complex (Fig. 3 F–J). These cell-based results coupled to the sequence conservation for interfacial residues (SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3), previous pull-down experiments (5), and involvement of variant ISD11 (R68L) with a mitochondrial genetic disorder (33) support the functional importance of these core SDAec interactions and explain the requirement of ISD11 for eukaryotic Fe–S cluster biosynthesis (4, 8, 9).

The positions of the two NFS1 subunits in the SDAec complex are fundamentally different compared with previous cysteine desulfurase structures. The limited, hydrophobic interactions between the Nα2 helices are the only contacts between NFS1 subunits (122 Å2 of buried surface area) in the SDAec complex. This observation is in stark contrast to all previously determined structures of cysteine desulfurases, which exhibit common homodimeric architectures (SI Appendix, Fig. S8) and extensive buried surface area (2,351 Å2 for E. coli IscS (37, 42). The first NFS1–ISD11 interface in the SDAec complex would not exclude a bacterial-like dimer, possibly explaining the recent discovery that ISD11 can interact but not influence the activity of bacterial IscS (43). However, the second NFS1–ISD11 interface in the SDAec complex is not compatible with the IscS dimer. Overlay of an IscS molecule from the bacterial dimer with a NFS1 subunit reveals the second IscS molecule would spatially overlap with ISD11 (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Interestingly, NFS1 and IscS use interactions on orthogonal faces of the equivalent helices (Nα2, Sα1) to generate the different oligomeric arrangements.

To further evaluate the protein assembly architecture of the complex, we used negative stain electron microscopy (EM) (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S9A). A total of 128 class averages were generated from the data (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B), 36 of which were used in an ab initio reconstruction (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C). This reconstruction was refined using 11,481 particles to yield a structure of the SDAec complex to ~15 Å resolution (SI Appendix, Fig. S9 C–E). This reconstruction matched well with the overall arrangement of the SDAec crystal structure (correlation coefficient, 0.70) (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S9F). On the other hand, the 3D EM reconstruction was incompatible with the canonical E. coli IscS dimer (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S9G; correlation coefficient, 0.56). Overall, the crystal and EM structures for the SDAec complex reveal a rare situation in which orthologs with high sequence identity and the same protein fold exhibit distinct oligomeric architectures that result in dramatically different functional properties (see Biophysical and Functional Properties of SDAec Complex).

Biophysical and Functional Properties of SDAec Complex. Crystallographic and EM structural analysis revealed that adaptor proteins (ISD11 and ACP) incorporated into the eukaryotic system support an unprecedented structural framework for the cysteine desulfurase reaction and Fe–S cluster biosynthesis. Incorporation of ISD11–ACPec generates a SDAec complex less prone to aggregation with increased solubility compared with the NFS1–ISD11 complex, consistent with the recently discovered Acp1 requirement for Nfs1–Isd11 stability and function in S. cerevisiae (1). In fact, the SDAec complex elutes from an analytical size-exclusion column with a mass consistent with a (SDAec)2 stoichiometry with no evidence of monomeric or oligomeric species at the concentration analyzed (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Steady-state kinetic analysis on SDAec revealed a significantly lower Km for l-cysteine (Table 1) in comparison with the previously characterized complex that evidently lacked ACPec (25, 27, 33) and exhibited similar FXN binding and kc50 activation phenomena (Table 1) that are hallmarks of mitochondrial cysteine desulfurases (25). Moreover, we found that SDAec variants reproduce the kinetic profiles of previously identified NFS1 (RRR/AAA is analogous to human R72A R275A R277A) (44) and ISD11 (R68L) (33) substitutions that lack FXN-based activation (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Interestingly, these results indicate that NFS1 requires the association of four additional functional proteins (ISD11, ACPec, ISCU2, and FXN) to mimic the stability and steady-state kinetic parameters of the bacterial cysteine desulfurase ortholog IscS.

The active sites were compared to understand the lower cysteine turnover rates for eukaryotic NFS1 complexes compared with prokaryotic IscS. Inspection of the NFS1 active site revealed that most of the interactions with PLP are similar to IscS (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). One notable difference between the NFS1 and IscS active sites is the substrate channel; NFS1 has a shallow substrate-binding pocket and solvent-exposed PLP (SI Appendix, Fig. S13). In contrast, one subunit of the IscS dimer contributes to the active site of the other subunit via interactions from the N35–S40 loop and a second loop that contains the GGG motif and T243, which forms a hydrogen bond to the phosphate of the PLP cofactor (SI Appendix, Figs. S12 and S13 B and C). These contributions to the active site are precluded by the different oligomeric architecture for the eukaryotic complex and may explain the low activity for the SDAec complex as well as the activator requirement in the eukaryotic system.

The SDAec crystal structure reveals a connection between the acylated 4-PPT and PLP cofactors in which changes in the ACP cofactor could propagate to the NFS1 active site. Although ACPec does not directly interact with NFS1, the acyl-chain attached to 4-PPT is threaded into the ISD11 hydrophobic core and is ~18 Å from the PLP (SI Appendix, Fig. S14). The PLP is covalently attached to K258 and anchored by interactions between the phosphate moiety and the backbone amides and side chains of T128, S255, and H257, which are invariant residues in eukaryotic cysteine desulfurases. Interestingly, the backbone carbonyl of H257 forms a hydrogen bond to the side chain of T67 of the N-terminal loop that, in turn, packs against ISD11. The N terminus and additional adjacent hydrogen-bonding residues appear to function as a relay between the acyl-chain and PLP. Moreover, NFS1 residue R412, which is downstream of the presumed cysteine interacting residue R407, forms backbone interactions with ISD11 R34 and NFS1 Y260 and participates in a salt bridge network with NFS1 residues D70 and the clinical mutant R72. These invariant residues provide a possible link between the substrate-binding site and the acyl-chain that may contribute to the altered Km for cysteine in the presence of ACPec (Table 1).

Discussion
Eukaryotes synthesize Fe–S clusters in the mitochondrial matrix using a biosynthetic pathway that contains the same basic components as the prokaryotic ISC system. However, key, unexplained functional differences exist for the Fe–S assembly complexes that center on the respective cysteine desulfurases. First, two additional proteins, ISD11 and ACP, are required for the stabilization...
tion and function of NFS1 in the eukaryotic system (1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 27). In contrast, there is no evidence for a role of ACP in prokaryotic Fe–S cluster assembly, and the ACP interactions appear limited to a disulfide bond that would inactivate IscS (45, 46). Second, despite sharing 60% sequence identity with IscS, NFS1 is inactive on its own (27, 33). Third, in vitro assays reveal that FXN stimulates the cysteine desulfurase and Fe–S cluster biosynthetic activities in the eukaryotic system (25, 33, 44, 47–51), whereas the prokaryotic FXN homolog CyaY inhibits Fe–S cluster assembly on the scaffold protein IscU (49, 52). Unexpectedly, the cysteine desulfurases and not the FXN homolog control the mode of activation/inhibition in these assays for the respective systems (49). Despite these functional differences, analogous residues for the eukaryotic (SI Appendix, Fig. S11) (44) and prokaryotic (38) cysteine desulfurases appear to contribute to the binding of FXN homologs. Lastly, the electron donor for cluster assembly, ferredoxin (FDX2), appears to bind simultaneously with the FXN homolog in yeast (30) but to compete for binding with CyaY in the prokaryotic system (53, 54). To help explain these functional differences, we determined crystallographic and EM structures of the SDAec complex and demonstrated that the SDAec complex has a fundamentally different architecture compared with all previously determined cysteine desulfurase structures.

The unexpected architecture and incompletely formed substrate-binding channel for the SDAec complex provide a rationale for the differences in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cysteine desulfurase function and their interactions with accessory proteins for Fe–S cluster biosynthesis. Although the residues that interact directly with and tune the chemistry of the PLP cofactor are largely the same (SI Appendix, Fig. S12), the different quaternary structure of SDAec results in the loss of intersubunit interactions that line a tunnel to the active site in IscS (SI Appendix, Fig. S13). We hypothesize that FXN functions as an allosteric activator by promoting a conformation that replaces these interactions. In doing so, FXN guides the NFS1 sulfur-transfer loop trajectory, increasing the efficiency and rate of sulfur transfer chemistry, which results in the observed FXN-based stimulation in the cysteine desulfurase, interprotein sulfur transfer, and Fe–S cluster assembly kinetics (25, 30, 44, 47, 48, 50, 51, 55, 56). Moreover, the cup-like architecture for the SDAec complex, in contrast to the prokaryotic system, results in the two active sites facing one another and opens up the prospect of subunit interactions across the dimeric interface. A model (SI Appendix, Fig. S15)

Table 1. Michaelis–Menten kinetic parameters for cysteine turnover by Fe–S cluster assembly complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>K_M, μM</th>
<th>k_cat, min⁻¹</th>
<th>k_cat/K_M, M⁻¹*s⁻¹</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFS1–ISD11 (SD)</td>
<td>340 ± 60</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>93 ± 20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD + FXN (SDF)</td>
<td>330 ± 60</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>86 ± 20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD + ISCU2 (SDU)</td>
<td>590 ± 50</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDAec</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8,000 ± 2,000</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDAec + ISCU2 (SDAecU)</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.11</td>
<td>13,000 ± 5,000</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDUF</td>
<td>11 ± 3</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7,900 ± 2,000</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDAecUF</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>19,100 ± 2,000</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IscS</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7,400 ± 900</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Single particle reconstruction of the SDAec complex with EM supports the crystallographic architecture. (A) SDAec was reconstructed from EM images of negatively stained specimens (isosurface contoured with dark gray mesh). The SDAec crystal structure (chains C, D, E, F, I, and L) fit well into the EM density map. Subunits are colored as in Fig. 1. (B) The 3D EM reconstruction does not match well with the architecture of the E. coli IscS structure (subunits shown in light and dark pink).
positions the ISCU2 subunits adjacent to one another in the complex and suggests the possibility that [2Fe–2S]-ISCU2 units may be able to reductively couple their clusters to form a [4Fe–4S]-ISCU2 species, consistent with uncomplexed bacterial IscU (57) and a previous report on the mammalian Fe–S assembly complex (56). Overall, the SDA$_{ec}$ complex provides a framework for comprehending the differences in function compared with the prokaryotic system and understanding the binding of accessory proteins to the eukaryotic cytochrome desulfurase.

We propose the cytochrome desulfurase architecture provides a mechanism to integrate activity control elements into the eukaryotic system. In our monomer-based association model (SI Appendix, Fig. S16), a heterotrimeric SDA species is first formed from interactions of monomeric NFS1 with ISD11–ACP. In the second stage, the heterotrimeric SDA species dimerize to form the stable 4-helix bundle core of the SDA$_{ec}$ architecture. Consistent with this model, NFS1–NFS1 interactions appear to be significantly weaker than IscS–IscS interactions and result in a population of monomeric NFS1 (8, 33, 58), which has a tendency to aggregate in the absence of either member of the ISD11–ACP pair (1, 8). In contrast, the SDA$_{ec}$ dimeric architecture is the predominant species that elutes from a size exclusion column (SI Appendix, Fig. S10) and is the major species present in EM samples (Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S9), which are conducted at sub-millimolar concentrations similar to Fe–S assembly protein levels measured in mitochondria (59–61). Additional EM studies of a much larger and functionally distinct oligomeric form of the eukaryotic Fe–S assembly complex are also consistent with an NFS1 quaternary structure different from IscS (62). Another PLP-containing enzyme, ornithine decarboxylase, also uses quaternary structure differences to modulate activity (63). Overall, we propose that eukaryotes have adopted a cytochrome desulfurase architecture that allows FXN and ACP to control Fe–S cluster biosynthesis.

In addition, the SDA$_{ec}$ structure provides another portrait of LYR–ACP interactions. Although the incorporation of ACP is a recently discovered component for the eukaryotic Fe–S cluster assembly machinery, its inclusion follows an emerging paradigm for interactions between mitochondrial ACP and LYR proteins (20). Previous studies demonstrate that ACP, in addition to its central role in mtFAS, forms a complex with LYR3 and LYRM6 of Respiratory Complex I (17, 18, 21–23). Similar to the SDA$_{ec}$ complex, the LYR motif and invariant Phe residue are required to anchor ACP to LYRM6 and to produce a functional complex (20). Overlay of ISD11–ACP with previously determined LYR–ACP structures reveals that all three LYR proteins have the same relative orientation to ACP (Fig. 5A). Mapping the sequence conservation of all 11 human LYR proteins, which include components implicated in Fe–S cluster insertion (10) and assembly factors of respiratory complexes II (64), III (65), and V (66), onto ISD11 reveals a common interface and invariant Phe that could be used to interact with ACP (Fig. 5B). Recent affinity capture MS studies further support this hypothesis by providing evidence that ACP interacts with at least 7 of the 11 human LYR proteins (67, 68), including LYR proteins that also interact with HSC20 and are implicated in the Fe–S cluster delivery mechanism (10, 69). These results suggest that the LYR superfamily forms lock-and-key interactions with ACP-associated 4′-PPT-conjugated fatty acids that influence or control their maturation and function.

The connection between ACP and Fe–S cluster biosynthesis is consistent with a previously proposed model in which mtFAS plays a regulatory role in oxidative respiration (14, 15). Experimental evidence supports a role of ACP-associated fatty acids in the processing of mitochondrial RNA and expression of the respiratory complexes (14, 70), synthesis of Fe–S cluster cofactors (1), and achieving the mature protein assembly and active conformation for the respiratory complexes (3, 23). Thus, it appears from our results and the work of others that the evolutionarily conserved pathways of Fe–S cluster biosynthesis, oxidative phosphorylation, and mtFAS have been connected by LYR proteins and their respective acyl-ACP associations. The mechanistic role for the acyl-chain is still unclear; however, it is possible that acyl-ACP acts as a metabolic sensor for the mitochondria by reporting the abundance of acetyl-CoA, as proposed previously (15), and allowing mtFAS to coordinate the expression, Fe–S cofactor assembly, and activity of the respiratory complexes. Overall, the cross-communication between biosynthetic and primary metabolic pathways through the utilization of LYR-acyl-ACP interactions is a potential and exciting avenue of regulation that warrants further investigation.
glycerol, pH 7.5). The SDA crunchy fractions were pooled, concentrated using the amicon to 280 μM (membrane = 420 nm, ε = 10.9 mM -1 cm -1). Fused in liquid nitrogen at −80 °C. For SeMet-rich samples, proteins were expressed using a PASM-5052 medium as described by Studier (72), and the same purification strategy described above was used. SeMet containing proteins were concentrated to 215 μM, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in a −80 °C freezer until further use. Site-directed mutants of N51 and S511 were constructed following the QuikChange protocol (Agilent). The resulting variants were expressed and purified as described above except that the cation column was skipped for the R88/AAA variant. ISC2U and FXY were expressed and purified as previously described (25). ISC2U and FXN concentrations were determined using extinction coefficients at 280 nm of 8,250 and 26,030 M -1 cm -1, respectively.

Crystallization and Cryo-Data Collection. SDA crunchy aliquots, which were stored in buffer E, were thawed and diluted to 177 μM with 50 mM Hepes, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, for crystallization. Optimized crystallization conditions were identified using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method in which 2 μL of 177 μM SDA crunchy was combined with 2 μL of a well solution prepared by mixing a 450 μL crystallization solution of 0.1 M Citrate Bis-Tris propane (pH 6.25), 0.1 M MgCl2, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.2 M Trisglycine, 5 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), and 8% (w/v) PEG 3350 with 50 μL of 40% (v/v) acetonitrile. Single crystals grew within 1 wk at 22 °C. SeMet-labelled SDA crunchy crystals were grown under similar conditions with a 10-fold volume of 0.1 M Citrate Bis-Tris propane (pH 6.25), 0.1 M MgCl2, 0.2 M NaCl, 0.2 M Trisglycine, 6 mM TCEP, and 4% (w/v) PEG 3350, which was also mixed with a 40% (w/v) acetonitrile solution to provide a final well solution containing 4% (v/v) acetonitrile. Crystals were harvested and cryoprotected by removing most of the liquor surrounding the crystal and gradually increasing the PEG concentration to 5% (v/v) increments (30-s soaks) until the final concentration reached 25% (vol/vol). Crystals were then removed from the mother liquor, transferred to a 15-mL glass screw cap vial, and evaporated under N2. Two additional 15-mL extractions with hexane were conducted with vigorous mixing at 37 °C for 1 h each. After all of the hexane had been evaporated, small portions of hexane were used to rinse the vial for transfer of the isolated product to a small 1-mL screw cap vial. The solvent was completely evaporated from the 1-mL vial under N2, and finally, 100 μL of hexane was added to the vial to prepare the sample for GC-MS analysis.

GC-MS Analysis of FAMES. GC-MS was performed on an Ultra GC/DSQ (ThermoElectron) using electron impact ionization (EI). An injection volume of 1 μL, inlet temperature of 225 °C, and Rxi-5ms (60 m length, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness) column were used. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow of 1.5 mL/min. For sample analysis, the oven temperature was initially held at 50 °C for 5 min, increased to 320 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, and then held at 320 °C for 5 min. All standards were prepared at a concentration of 1 mg/mL in hexane. Identification of standards and samples were used to both retention time and EI fragmentation.

Yeast Strains and Growth Conditions. S. cerevisiae R1158 (BY4741 derivative; MATA, URA3-403, CEN6-2A, CEN11-2A, CEN4-2A, CEN5-2A, CEN12-2A, CEN13-2A, CEN17-2A; LYS2, HPH2, TRP1, HIS3, LEU2, ARG4) was used as the wild-type strain. Mutants were generated using standard methods by homologous recombination of PCR-amplified fragments. Yeast transformations were performed by the standard lithium acetate method, and transformed cells were recovered and grown in synthetic complete glucose (SD) medium lacking the appropriate amino acid(s) for selection purposes. S. cerevisiae cells were grown in YPG media containing 1% extract, peptone, and adenine. A synthetic minimal medium supplemented with 2% glucose, 2% raffinose, or 2% glycerol. S511 mutants were tested with an ISD11 knockdown strain (R1158 plus id11:Kan-TetO-CYC1-TATA-ISD11 and a plasmid (ISD11-V5) previously constructed (1). To suppress ISD11 expression from the TetO–ISD11 allele, overnight cultures were used to inoculate synthetic media containing either 2% glucose or 2% raffinose and 10 μg/mL doxycycline (DOX) to an approximative OD600 of 0.05 and were incubated for 16–24 h as indicated.

Isolation of Yeast Mitochondria and Analysis of Steady-State Protein Levels. Cell pellets were washed once with ddH2O and incubated in TB buffer (100 mM Tris-SO4, pH 9.4 and 100 mM DTT) for 15 min at 30 °C. Spheroplasts were obtained by incubating cells in SP buffer (1.2 M sorbitol and 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.3 mg/mL lyticase for 1 h at 30 °C to remove the cell wall. Spheroplasts were solubilized in Laemmli buffer supplemented with 0.5 mM EGTA for 15 min at 30 °C. The resulting suspension was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C.

Single-Particle EM of the SDA Crunchy Complex. The SDA crunchy complex (5.0 μg/mL) was diluted to 15 μg/mL in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.2, 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP. A 5-μL sample was applied to a freshly glow-discharged carbon film supported on a 300 mesh copper EM grid (Electron Microscopy Sciences). After ~1 min the protein solution was blotted from the grid and replaced with 5 μL 1% uranyl acetate. The blot and stain wash were repeated two more times. The final drop of stain was allowed to stand on the grid for ~1 min before blotting slowly from the grid, leaving a thin film of stain that was air-dried.

Semia (87) was used to operate a Tecnai F30 microscope (FEI) at 300 kV and a Tecnai F30 equipped with a Falcon II direct electron detector (FEI) at a magnification of 160,000×, resulting in a pixel size of 1.83 Å at the specimen level, and acquire 109 images of the specimen with exposures of 42 e−/Å2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S9A).

Defocus of each image was estimated using CTFIND4D (88). In RELION-1.4 (89), each image was classified using CTFIND4D (88). Cory et al. E5332 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1702849114
an initial set of 4,167 particles were manually selected and windowed from 17 images, and a preliminary set of class averages were generated. Three averages with well-defined but dissimilar features were used to automatically select particles from all 109 images in RELION, the selections were visually assessed, and 21,973 particles were windowed. After an initial sorting procedure in RELION (90), 21,276 particles remained and these were further cleaned by 2D classification, yielding 12 class averages (SI Appendix, Fig. S59). Sixty-three classes of incomplete complexes roughly the size of an NFS1 monomer and 20 classes of poorly defined aggregates or particles with encroaching neighbors were manually selected and windowed (SI Appendix, Fig. S59). In total, 36 classes averages were input to e2initialmodel.py (EMAN2 version 2.12) to generate initial reconstructions with imposed C2 symmetry (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C). Among the resulting initial models, a 3D map with projections that matched well with the averages was selected to initiate 3D refinement with 11,481 particles that remained following an additional round of 2D classification. The 3D classification within RELION into four classes failed to further separate the particles into meaningfully different groups, and therefore, the 11,481 particles were considered to be structurally homogeneous and without further cleaning were used for refinement of the initial reconstruction in RELION with a strict high-resolution cutoff of 12 Å to prevent overfitting (SI Appendix, Fig. S9D). Fourier shell correlation calculated within RELION from independently refined maps of half of the data indicates that the final resolution of the map is ∼15 Å (SI Appendix, Fig. S9E). The final reconstruction was fit with atomic models of the SDAP_cysteine structure or an E. coli IscC dimer (PDB ID code 3LVM) (38) and was rendered with UCSF Chimera (92). Difference maps (SI Appendix, Fig. S9 F and G) and correlation coefficients were calculated with the “measure correlation” and “vop subtract” commands in Chimera.

**Analysis of Size Exclusion Chromatography.** Standards (Sigma Aldrich) including albumin (2.5 mg, 66 kDa), apoferritin (2.5 mg, 440 kDa), thyroglobulin (2 mg, 669 kDa), alcohol dehydrogenase (1.25 mg, 150 kDa), β-amylase (1 mg, 200 kDa), and carbonic anhydrase (1.25 mg, 29 kDa) were dissolved in 500 μL of 50 mM Hepes, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7.2. Approximately 250 μL of this standard mixture was loaded into a 500-μL sample loop that contained the same buffer as mentioned above. The entire sample loop (500 μL) was injected onto a Superdex 200 10/300 GL (5200, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) analytical size exclusion column. This procedure was conducted in triplicate. To determine the void volume of the column, 1 mg of blue dextran (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in 500 μL of 50 mM Hepes, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7.2. The entire sample was loaded into the sample loop and injected onto the 5200 column. This experiment was conducted in duplicate providing a void volume (Vv) of 7.26 ± 0.10 mL. The factory provided column volume was 24 mL. The Kav of 4–10 μM SDAP_cysteine were prepared by diluting frozen protein stock in the same buffer as mentioned above. Volumes of 500 μL were loaded into a 500-μL sample loop and the entire sample loop injected onto the 5200 column. The Kav for the elution peak was determined as described above, and for the molecular mass was estimated using a plot of the Kav for the standards versus log of molecular mass. An average of five runs provided a molecular mass of 123 ± 7 kDa. Protein was monitored at 280 nm.

**Cysteine Desulfurase Activity Measurements.** The methylene blue assay for cysteine turnover was conducted as previously described (25) with minor modifications. Briefly, 800-μL reactions containing 0.5 μM SDA, 4 mM NADPH, 1 mM 2-oxoglutarate, and 10 μM PLP in 50 mM Hepes, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, were incubated for 15 min at 37 °C with and without three equivalents of the designated accessory subunitsubunits (Table 1). Reactions were initiated with up to 600 μM l-cysteine and were quenched as previously described after 6 min. The absorbance at 670 nm was converted to the concentration of sul´fide using a standard curve generated from a sodium sulfide standard. Kinetic experiments were conducted in at least triple replicate and fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation with KaleidaGraph (Synergy software). The errors in Table 1 represent errors in the fit.
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