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We present a search for the radiative leptonic decay $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$, where $\ell = e, \mu$, using a data sample of $465 \times 10^6 \ B\bar{B}$ pairs collected by the BABAR experiment. In this analysis, we fully reconstruct the hadronic decay of one of the $B$ mesons in $Y(4S) \rightarrow B^+ B^-$ decays, then search for evidence of $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ in the rest of the event. We observe no significant evidence of signal decays and report model-independent branching fraction upper limits of $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma) < 17 \times 10^{-6}$, $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} \gamma) < 24 \times 10^{-6}$, and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma) < 15.6 \times 10^{-6} (\ell = e$ or $\mu$), all at the 90% confidence level.
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The leptonic decay $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ [1], where $\ell = e$ or $\mu$, proceeds via quark annihilation into a virtual $W^+$ boson with the radiation of a photon. The presence of the photon removes the helicity suppression of the purely leptonic decays, $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$, although it introduces an additional suppression by a factor of $\alpha_{em}$. The branching fraction of $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ is predicted to be of order $10^{-6}$ [2], making it potentially accessible at $B$ factories. The most stringent published limits are from the CLEO Collaboration with $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma) < 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu} \gamma) < 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ at the 90% confidence level (C.L.) [3].

The differential branching fraction versus photon energy $E_\gamma$ involves two form factors, $f_{V}$ and $f_{A}$, which contain the long-distance contribution of the vector and axial currents, respectively, in the $B \rightarrow \gamma$ transition

$$
\frac{d\mathcal{B}}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{em} G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{48 \pi^3} m_b^3 \tau_B [f_A^2(E_\gamma) + f_V^2(E_\gamma)](1 - y)^3,
$$

where $G_F$ is the Fermi constant, $V_{ub}$ is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark-mixing matrix element describing the coupling of $b$ and $u$ quarks, $m_B$ and $\tau_B$ are the $B$-meson mass and lifetime, respectively, and $y = 2E_\gamma/m_B$. While $f_A = f_V$ in most models [4], some suggest $f_A = 0$ [5]. The branching fraction is given by Ref. [6] as

$$
\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma) = \frac{\alpha_{em} G_F^2 |V_{ub}|^2}{288 \pi^2} f_B^2 m_B^5 \tau_B \left( \frac{Q_u}{\lambda_B} - \frac{Q_b}{m_B} \right)^2.
$$

where $f_B$ is the $B$-meson decay constant, $Q_{u,b}$ are the $u$- and $b$-quark charges, and $m_b$ is the $b$-quark mass. The first inverse moment of the $B$-meson distribution amplitude $\lambda_B$ is expected to be of order $\Lambda_{QCD}$ but its theoretical estimation suffers from large uncertainties [7]. It also appears in the branching fractions of two-body hadronic $B$-meson decays, such as $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$, and plays an important role in QCD factorization [4]. Since there are no hadrons in the final state, an experimental measurement of $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ can provide a clean determination of $\lambda_B$.

We present the first search for $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ that exploits the hadronic “recoil” technique, in which one $B$ meson is exclusively reconstructed in a hadronic final state before searching for the signal decay within the rest of the event. This technique improves the handling of event kinematics, providing adequate background suppression without requiring model-dependent constraints on the signal kinematics. Thus, this analysis is valid for all $B \rightarrow \gamma$ form-factor models and over the full kinematic range. This analysis uses a data sample of $465 \pm 5$ million $B\bar{B}$ pairs, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 423 fb$^{-1}$ collected at the $Y(4S)$ resonance. The data were recorded with the BABAR detector at the asymmetric-energy PEP-II $e^+e^-$ storage ring at SLAC. The BABAR detector is described in detail elsewhere [8].

Signal and background decays are studied using Monte Carlo (MC) samples based on GEANT4 [9]. The simulation includes a detailed model of the BABAR detector geometry and response. Beam-related background and detector noise are extracted from data and overlaid on the MC simulations. $Y(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ signal MC samples are generated with one $B$ meson decaying via $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ using the tree-level model of Ref. [6], which is valid for $\gamma > 0.13$, while the other $B$ meson decays generically. We simulate signal MC samples for two form-factor models, with $f_A = f_V$ and $f_A = 0$, respectively, to evaluate the impact of the decay model on the signal selection efficiency. Large MC samples of generic $B\bar{B}$ and continuum ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- or e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, where $q = u, d, s, c$) events are used to optimize the signal selection criteria. However, the final background estimates are obtained directly from a combination of data and exclusive $B^+ \rightarrow X^0_u \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$ MC samples, where $X^0_u$ is a neutral meson containing a $u$ quark. The primary background for $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell} \gamma$ in this analysis is due to $B^+ \rightarrow X^0_u \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$ decays, with $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$ ($B^+ \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$) comprising approximately 73% (18%) of this semileptonic background. The branching fraction and uncertainty for each $B^+ \rightarrow X^0_u \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}$ mode are taken from experimental measurements ($X^0_u = \pi^0$ [10], $\rho$ [10], $\eta$ [11], and $\omega$ [12]). We assume $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}) = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \nu_{\ell}) \times (1 \pm 1)$. We use a light-
cone sum rule model for the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ form factors [13] and use the form factor measured in a BABAR analysis [14] with the shape parameterization given in Ref. [15] for the $\pi^0$ mode.

Event selection begins with the full reconstruction of a charged $B$ meson ($B_{\text{tag}}$) in one of the large number of hadronic final states, $B^- \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)} X_{\text{had}}$. We reconstruct the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^+$; $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$; $D^+ \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^+$, $K^0_S \pi^- \pi^+$, $K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$, $K^+ \pi^- \pi^+$; $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$, $K^- \pi^- \pi^-$; $K^0_S \pi^- \pi^+$; and $K^0_S \pi^- \pi^+$ decay modes. $X_{\text{had}}$ is a collection of at most five mesons, composed of both charged and neutral kaons and pions. Well-reconstructed $B_{\text{tag}}$ candidates are selected using two kinematic variables: $\Delta E = E_{B_{\text{tag}}} - \sqrt{s}/2$ and $m_{\text{ES}} = \sqrt{s}/4 - \vec{P}_{B_{\text{tag}}}^2$, where $E_{B_{\text{tag}}}$ and $\vec{P}_{B_{\text{tag}}}$ are the energy and momentum of the $B_{\text{tag}}$ candidate, respectively, and $\sqrt{s}$ is the total energy of the $e^+e^-$ system, all in the center-of-mass (CM) frame. We require $\Delta E$, which peaks at zero for correctly reconstructed $B$ mesons, to lie between $-0.12$ and $0.12$ GeV or within two standard deviations from its mean for the given $X_{\text{had}}$ mode, whichever is the tighter constraint. We fit the $m_{\text{ES}}$ distribution for each $X_{\text{had}}$ mode and require that the purity, or fraction of well-reconstructed $B$ mesons, is greater than 12% in the region $m_{\text{ES}} > 5.27$ GeV/c$^2$. If more than one $B_{\text{tag}}$ candidate is reconstructed, the one in the highest purity mode is chosen. If there are multiple candidates in this mode, the one that minimizes $|\Delta E|$ is selected.

We define the signal region as $5.27 < m_{\text{ES}} < 5.29$ GeV/c$^2$, since correctly reconstructed $B$ mesons peak in this region near the nominal $B$-meson mass. The $B_{\text{tag}}$ candidates that are incorrectly reconstructed from either continuum events or both $B$ mesons (“combinatoric” events), produce a distribution that is fairly flat below the $m_{\text{ES}}$ signal region and decreases within it, as shown in Fig. 1. The shape of the combinatoric distribution is extrapolated into the $m_{\text{ES}}$ signal region using MC, while the background contribution from combinatoric events is estimated directly from the data. To improve the MC estimate of the $B_{\text{tag}}$ reconstruction efficiency, we normalize the generic MC to the number of data events that peak within the $m_{\text{ES}}$ signal region. Thus, all MC samples are scaled by 90.7%, resulting in good agreement between data and background MC throughout the analysis selection. A charged $B_{\text{tag}}$ is reconstructed in about 0.3% of the signal MC events.

Because the two $B$ mesons produced in the $Y(4S)$ decay have low momenta in the CM frame (0.3 GeV/c), their decay products are more isotropic than continuum background. For example, $|\cos \theta_{\pi}|$, where $\theta_{\pi}$ is the angle in the CM frame between the $B_{\text{tag}}$ thrust axis and the thrust axis of all other particles in the event, has a flat distribution for $BB$ events and peaks near one for non-$BB$ events. The continuum background is suppressed by requiring $L_\pi \equiv \prod_i P_{B_\pi}(x_i)/\prod_i P_{B_\pi}(x_i) + \prod_i P_{\pi}(x_i) > 30\%$, where $P_{B_\pi}(x_i)$ ($P_{\pi}(x_i)$) are probability density functions determined from MC that describe $BB$ (continuum) events for the five event-shape variables $x_i$. The variables used are: the ratio of the second to zeroth Fox-Wolfram moment [16] computed using all charged and neutral particles in the event, the cosine of the angle between $\vec{p}_{B_{\text{tag}}}$ and the beam axis, the magnitude of the $B_{\text{tag}}$ thrust, the component of the $B_{\text{tag}}$ thrust along the beam axis, and $|\cos \theta_{\pi}|$. This requirement improves the agreement between data and MC by suppressing unmodeled continuum backgrounds, such as $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\ell^+\ell^- \gamma$ via two photons.

In the sample of selected $B_{\text{tag}}$ candidates, we identify events in which the remaining tracks, calorimeter clusters, and missing momentum vector ($\vec{p}_{\text{miss}}$) are consistent with $B^- \rightarrow \ell^-\nu_\ell\gamma$ candidates. We select events with exactly one track, which reduces the signal efficiency by 25% but removes over 99% of the simulated background events with a reconstructed $B_{\text{tag}}$. This signal track is required to have a charge opposite to that of the $B_{\text{tag}}$, to satisfy particle identification (PID) criteria for either a muon or electron, and to be inconsistent with a kaon hypothesis. In the electron mode, the four-momenta of signal tracks are redefined to include those of any bremsstrahlung photon candidates. Such a candidate is defined as any cluster whose momentum vector, when compared to that of the signal track ($\vec{p}_\ell$), is separated by $|\Delta \theta| < 3^\circ$ and $-3^\circ < \Delta \phi < 13^\circ$, where $Q_\ell = \pm 1$ is the $e^\pm$ charge and $\theta$ ($\phi$) is the polar (azimuthal) angle relative to the beam axis, in the lab frame. Finally, the signal photon candidate is chosen as the cluster with the highest CM energy, excepting bremsstrahlung photon candidates.

We significantly reduce the background by requiring that the kinematics of the signal track and photon candidate are consistent with the existence of a third massless particle originating from the signal $B$ meson. To do this, we use the four-momentum of the expected signal $B$ meson ($\vec{p}_B$), which is assigned an energy of $\sqrt{s}/2$, a momentum vector pointing along $\vec{p}_{B_{\text{tag}}}$, and the nominal $B$-meson mass. The
neutrino mass squared is then defined as $m_\nu^2 \equiv (p_B - p_\ell - p_\gamma)^2$, where $p_\ell$ ($p_\gamma$) is the four-momentum of the signal track (photon candidate). As shown in Fig. 2, the background increases with $m_\nu^2$, while $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$ events peak at $m_\nu^2 = 0$ with an enhanced tail in the electron mode due to unrecovered bremsstrahlung photons. We require $-1 < m_\nu^2 < 0.46 (0.41)$ GeV$^2$/c$^4$ for the electron (muon) modes. In addition, the lepton and neutrino should be emitted back-to-back in the rest frame that recoils from the photon emission, defined as $p_B - p_\gamma$. We require $\cos \theta_{\ell_\nu} < -0.93$ in this frame, where $\theta_{\ell_\nu}$ is the angle between $\vec{p}_\ell$ and $\vec{p}_{\text{miss}}$. After all other selection criteria are applied, the MC indicates that $m_\nu^2$ and $\cos \theta_{\ell_\nu}$ together remove 99% of background events with a 30% and 20% reduction in the signal efficiency for the electron and muon modes, respectively.

The dominant backgrounds are due to $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ ($\eta \ell^+ \nu_\ell$) events in which $\pi^0(\eta) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ fakes the $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$ signal photon. To suppress this background, we reject events containing a $\pi^0(\eta)$ candidate, reconstructed using the signal photon candidate and a second cluster having CM energy $E_{\gamma\gamma}$. For $\pi^0$ candidates, we require a $\gamma \gamma$ invariant mass between 120–145 MeV/c$^2$ with $E_{\gamma\gamma} > 30$ MeV or between 100–160 MeV/c$^2$ with $E_{\gamma\gamma} > 80$ MeV. For $\eta$ candidates, we require a $\gamma \gamma$ invariant mass between 515–570 MeV/c$^2$ with $E_{\gamma\gamma} > 100$ MeV. Likewise, $B^+ \rightarrow \omega \ell^+ \nu_\ell \rightarrow [\pi^0 \gamma] \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ events are suppressed by rejecting any event in which the signal photon candidate and a $\pi^0$ candidate produce an invariant mass between 730–830 MeV/c$^2$. This $\pi^0$ candidate is defined as any two clusters with CM energy $>70$ MeV which produce a $\gamma \gamma$ invariant mass between 115–145 MeV/c$^2$.

After applying all other selection criteria, these vetoes reduce the $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $B^+ \rightarrow X_0^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ background events, with $X_0^0 \neq \pi^0$, by 65% and 50%, respectively. Finally, we require the lateral moment [17] of the calorimeter energy deposit for the signal photon candidate, which peaks at 25% for single photons, to be between 0 and 55%. This suppresses $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ events in which the two photons from the $\pi^0$ decay are reconstructed as a single merged photon.

Once the $B_{\tag{sig}}$, signal photon, and lepton are identified, $B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell \gamma$ events are expected to contain little or no additional energy within the calorimeter. However, additional energy deposits can result from hadronic shower fragments, beam-related photons, and photons from unrecorded $D^+ \rightarrow D\gamma/\pi^0$ transitions in the $B_{\tag{sig}}$ candidate. The total energy of all additional clusters is required to be less than 0.8 GeV, counting only clusters with lab-frame energy greater than 50 MeV. We also require that $\vec{p}_{\text{miss}}$ points within the fiducial acceptance of the detector.

To avoid experiment error bias, we optimize all the selection criteria and determine the number of expected background events in the signal region ($N_{\ell\tag{bkg}}$), for $\ell = e$ or $\mu$, before looking at any data events selected by the criteria. We optimize by maximizing the figure of merit $\varepsilon_{\ell \tag{sig}} / (\varepsilon_{\ell \tag{bkg}} + \sqrt{N_{\ell\tag{bkg}}})$ [18], where $n_{\sigma} = 1.3$ and $\varepsilon_{\ell \tag{sig}}$ is the total signal efficiency including that of the $B_{\tag{sig}}$ reconstruction. The signal branching fraction is calculated using $B_{\ell} = (N_{\ell \tag{obs}} - N_{\ell\tag{bkg}}) / \varepsilon_{\ell \tag{sig}} N_{B^+}$, where $N_{B^+} = 465 \times 10^6$ is the number of $B^+$ mesons in the data sample and $N_{\ell \tag{obs}}$ is the number of data events within the signal region.

To verify the modeling of $\varepsilon_{\ell \tag{sig}}$, we remove the $B^+ \rightarrow X_0^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ vetoes, select events containing a $\pi^0$ candidate, and substitute the $\pi^0$ in place of the signal photon candidate. The resulting $m_\nu^2$ distribution from $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ is expected to resemble that of the signal. We observe a peak in the data that agrees with MC expectations within the 15% statistical uncertainty of the data, as shown in Fig. 3. For cross-check purposes only, we determine the $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ efficiency using an exclusive $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ MC sample and the background contribution using generic MC. The peak in data corresponds to $B(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = (7.8_{-0.9}^{+1.7}) \times 10^{-5}$, where the uncertainty is statistical. This branching fraction is consistent with the current world-average value of $(7.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$ [10], which is also the value used in the MC samples.
Thus, only \( B(B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu \gamma) \) is expected to be independent of the lepton type, we also combine the two modes by maximizing a likelihood function defined as the product of both Poisson probabilities in \( N^\text{bkg}_\ell \), where \( B_\ell \) is the mean.

We observe 4 (7) data events within the signal region for the electron (muon) mode, compared to an expected background of 2.7 ± 0.6 (3.4 ± 0.9) events. This corresponds to a signal significance of 1.2σ (1.8σ), a combined significance of 2.1σ, and the results given in Table I. The effective detector and PID thresholds are about 20 MeV for photon energy and 400 (800)MeV/c for electron (muon) momentum, and we apply no minimum energy requirements. Thus, this analysis is essentially independent of the kinematic model; we assume the \( f_A = f_V \) signal model, but the \( f_A = 0 \) model yields consistent \( \epsilon^\text{sig}_\ell \) values. Since certain theoretical calculations are most reliable at high \( E_\gamma \) [7], we also report a partial branching fraction limit \( \Delta B \) by selecting events with a photon candidate energy greater than 1 GeV, which reduces \( \epsilon^\text{sig}_\ell \) by 30%.

We observe 2 (4) data events with \( N^\text{bkg}_\ell = 1.4 \pm 0.3 (2.5 \pm 1.0) \), resulting in \( \Delta B(B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu \gamma) < 1 \times 10^{-6} \) at 90% C.L.

In Table I, we also report model-specific limits by introducing a kinematic relationship on the relationship between \( \cos \theta_{\ell \gamma} \) and \( \cos \theta_{V \gamma} \), where \( \theta_{\ell \gamma} \) (\( \theta_{V \gamma} \)) is the angle between the photon candidate momentum and \( p_\ell \) (\( p_{\text{miss}} \)) in the signal B rest frame. The photon is emitted preferentially back-to-back with the lepton in the \( f_A = f_V \) model, and with either the lepton or neutrino when \( f_A = 0 \). Thus, we require \( (\cos \theta_{\ell \gamma} - 1)^2 + (\cos \theta_{V \gamma} + 1)^2/3 > 0.4 \) or \( (\cos \theta_{\ell \gamma} - 1)^2 + (\cos \theta_{V \gamma} + 1)^2/3 > 0.4 \) for the \( f_A = 0 \) model, and only the former relationship for \( f_A = f_V \). This reduces \( \epsilon^\text{sig}_\ell \) in both modes and models by 40%. We observe 0 (0) data events in the electron (muon) mode with \( N^\text{bkg}_\ell = 0.6 \pm 0.1 (1.0 \pm 0.4) \) for the \( f_A = f_V \) model, and 3 (2) data events with \( N^\text{bkg}_\ell = 1.2 \pm 0.4 (1.5 \pm 0.6) \) for \( f_A = 0 \).

In conclusion, we have searched for \( B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu \gamma \) using a hadronic recoil technique and observe no significant signal within a data sample of 465 \times 10^6 \( B\bar{B} \) pairs. We present model-specific branching fraction limits in Table I. We also report a model-independent limit of \( \Delta B(B^+ \to \ell^+ \nu \gamma) < 15.6 \times 10^{-6} \) at the 90% C.L., which is consistent with the standard model prediction and is the most
TABLE I. Expected background yields $N_{\text{bkg}}^\ell = N_{\text{comb}}^\ell + N_{\text{peak}}^\ell$, signal efficiencies $\epsilon_{\text{sig}}^\ell$, number of observed data events $N_{\text{obs}}^\ell$, resulting branching fraction limits at 90% C.L., and the combined central value $B_{\text{combined}}$. Model-specific limits are also presented. Uncertainties are given as statistical ± systematic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_\gamma$</th>
<th>$B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\gamma$</th>
<th>$B^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{comb}}^\ell$</td>
<td>$0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{peak}}^\ell$</td>
<td>$2.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{bkg}}^\ell$</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$3.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{sig}}^\ell$</td>
<td>$(7.8 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$(8.1 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{obs}}^\ell$</td>
<td>$4$</td>
<td>$7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model-independent limits</td>
<td>$&lt;17 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$&lt;26 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_A = f_V$ limits</td>
<td>$&lt;8.4 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$&lt;6.7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_A = 0$ limits</td>
<td>$&lt;29 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$&lt;22 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{\text{combined}}$</td>
<td>$(6.5^{+3.6/+2.8}_{-4.7/-0.8}) \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$&lt;15.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stringent published upper limit to date. Using Eq. (2) with $f_B = 0.216 \pm 0.022 \text{ GeV}^3$ [20], $m_B = 5.279 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\tau_B = 1.638 \text{ ps}$, $m_\ell = 4.20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, and $|V_{uB}| = (3.93 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}$ [10], the combined branching fraction likelihood function corresponds to a limit of $\lambda_B > 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ at the 90% C.L.
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[1] Charge conjugation is implied throughout this paper.


