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One of the primary science goals of the next generation of hard x-ray timing instruments is to determine the equation of state of matter at supranuclear densities inside neutron stars by measuring the radius of neutron stars with different masses to accuracies of a few percent. Three main techniques can be used to achieve this goal. The first involves waveform modeling. The flux observed from a hotspot on the neutron star surface offset from the rotational pole will be modulated by the star’s rotation, and this periodic modulation at the spin frequency is called a pulsation. As the photons propagate through the curved spacetime of the star, information about mass and radius is encoded into the shape of the waveform (pulse profile) via special and general-relativistic effects. Using pulsations from known sources (which have hotspots that develop either during thermonuclear bursts or due to channeled accretion) it is possible to obtain tight constraints on mass and radius. The second technique involves characterizing the spin distribution of accreting neutron stars. A large collecting area enables highly sensitive searches for weak or intermittent pulsations (which yield spin) from the many accreting neutron stars whose spin rates are not yet known. The most rapidly rotating stars provide a clean constraint, since the limiting spin rate where the equatorial surface velocity is comparable to the local orbital velocity, at which mass shedding occurs, is a function of mass and radius. However, the overall spin distribution also provides a guide to the torque mechanisms in operation and the moment of inertia, both of which can depend sensitively on dense matter physics. The third technique is to search for quasiperiodic oscillations in x-ray flux associated with global seismic vibrations of magnetars (the most highly magnetized neutron stars), triggered by magnetic explosions. The vibrational frequencies depend on stellar parameters including the dense matter equation of state, and large-area x-ray timing instruments would provide much improved detection capability. An illustration is given of how these complementary x-ray timing techniques can be used to constrain the dense matter equation of state and the results that might be expected from a 10 m² instrument are discussed. Also discussed are how the results from such a facility would compare to other astronomical investigations of neutron star properties.
I. SUPRANUCLEAR DENSITY MATTER

A. Introduction

Neutron stars are the densest observable objects in the Universe, attaining physical conditions of matter that cannot be replicated on Earth. Inside neutron stars, the state of matter ranges from ions (nuclei) embedded in a sea of electrons at low densities in the outer crust, through increasingly neutron-rich ions in the inner crust and outer core, to the supranuclear densities reached in the center, where particles are squeezed together more tightly than in atomic nuclei, and theory predicts a host of possible exotic states of matter (Fig. 1). The nature of matter at such densities is one of the great unsolved problems in modern science, and this makes neutron stars unparalleled laboratories for nuclear physics and quantum chromodynamics (QCD) under extreme conditions.

The most fundamental macroscopic diagnostic of dense matter is the pressure-density-temperature relation of bulk matter, the equation of state (EOS). The EOS can be used to infer key aspects of the microphysics, such as the role of many-body interactions at nuclear densities or the presence of deconfined quarks at high densities (Sec. I.B). Measuring the EOS of supranuclear density matter is therefore of major importance to nuclear physics. However, it is also critical to astrophysics. The dense matter EOS is clearly central to understanding the powerful, violent, and enigmatic objects that are neutron stars. However, neutron star–neutron star and neutron star–black hole binary inspiral and merger, prime sources of gravitational waves and the likely engines of short gamma-ray bursts (Nakar, 2007) also depend sensitively on the EOS (Shibata and Taniguchi, 2011; Bauswein et al., 2012; Faber and Rasio, 2012; Lackey et al., 2012; Takami, Rezzolla, and Baiotti, 2014). The EOS affects merger dynamics, black hole formation time scales, the precise gravitational wave and neutrino signals, any associated mass loss and r-process nucleosynthesis, and the attendant gamma-ray bursts and optical flashes (Metzger et al., 2010; Hotokezaka et al., 2011; Kumar and Zhang, 2015; Rosswog, 2015). The EOS of dense matter is also vital to understanding core collapse supernova explosions and their associated gravitational wave and neutrino emission (Janka et al., 2007).1

B. The nature of matter: Major open questions

The properties of neutron stars, like those of atomic nuclei, depend crucially on the interactions between protons and neutrons (nucleons) governed by the strong force. This is evident from the seminal work of Oppenheimer and Volkoff (1939), which showed that the maximal mass of neutron stars consisting of noninteracting neutrons is 0.7M⊙. To stabilize heavier neutron stars, as realized in nature, requires repulsive interactions between nucleons, which set in with increasing density. At low energies, and thus low densities, the interactions between nucleons are attractive, as they have to be to bind neutrons and protons into nuclei. However, to prevent nuclei from collapsing, repulsive two-nucleon and three-nucleon interactions set in at higher momenta and densities. Because neutron stars reach densities exceeding those in atomic nuclei, this makes them particularly sensitive to many-body forces (Akmal, Pandharipande, and Ravenhall, 1998), and recently it was shown that the dominant uncertainty at nuclear densities is due to three-nucleon forces (Hebeler et al., 2010; Gandolfi, Carlson, and Reddy, 2012).

1Note that while most neutron stars, even during the binary inspiral phase, can be described by the cold EOS that is the focus of this Colloquium (see Sec. I.C), temperature corrections must be applied when describing either newborn neutron stars in the immediate aftermath of a supernova or the hot differentially rotating remnants that may survive for a short period of time following a compact object merger. The cold and hot EOS must of course connect and be consistent with one another.
At low energies, effective field theories based on QCD provide a systematic basis for nuclear forces (Epelbaum, Hammer, and Meißner, 2009), which make unique predictions for many-body forces (Hammer, Nogga, and Schwenk, 2013) and neutron-rich matter (Tolos, Friman, and Schwenk, 2008; Heberer and Schwenk, 2014; Heberer et al., 2015). While two-nucleon interactions are well constrained, three-nucleon forces are a frontier in nuclear physics, especially for neutron-rich nuclei (Wienholtz et al., 2013). Such exotic nuclei are the focus of present and upcoming laboratory experiments. Neutron star observations probe the same nuclear forces at extremes of density and neutron richness. In addition to effective field theories, there are nuclear potential models, such as the Argonne two-nucleon and Urbana/Illinois three-nucleon potentials, which are fit to two-body scattering data and light nuclei (Carlson et al., 2014; Gandolfi et al., 2014).

At high densities, neutron stars may be affected by exotic states of matter. This regime is not accessible to first principle QCD calculations due to the fermion sign problem [see, for example, Hands (2007) and Miller (2013)]. Therefore, at present, one has to resort to models, and experiment and observation are vital to test theories and drive progress. In addition, perturbative QCD calculations have recently been performed at very high densities (above 10 GeV/fm$^3$, $\sim 6\rho_{\text{sat}}$), and used to interpolate to the EOS at low densities (Kurkela et al., 2014).

For symmetric matter (with an equal number of neutrons and protons) at the nuclear saturation density $\rho_{\text{sat}} = 2.8 \times 10^{14}$ g/cm$^3$ (the central density in very large nuclei when the Coulomb interaction is neglected) there is a range of experimental constraints. This includes nuclear masses and charge radii (Klüpfel et al., 2009; Kortelainen et al., 2010, 2014; Nikšić et al., 2015) as well as giant dipole resonances and dipole polarizabilities (Trippa, Colò, and Vigezzi, 2008; Tamii et al., 2011; Piekarewicz et al., 2012). Neutron-rich matter can be probed by measuring the neutron skin thickness of heavy nuclei (Horowitz et al., 2001; Roca-Maza et al., 2011). However, all of these laboratory experiments probe only matter at nuclear densities and below. Low-energy heavy-ion collisions probe hot and dense matter, but have uncontrolled extrapolations to zero temperature and to extreme neutron richness (Tsang et al., 2009). Neutron stars therefore provide a unique environment for testing our understanding of the physics of the strong interaction and dense matter.

At very high densities, possibly reached in neutron star cores, transitions to non-nucleonic states of matter may occur. Some of the possibilities involve strange quarks: unlike heavy-ion collision experiments, which always produce very short-lived and hot dense states, the stable gravitationally confined environment of a neutron star permits slow-acting weak interactions that can form states of matter with a high net strangeness. Strange matter possibilities include the formation of hyperons [strange baryons (Ambartsumyan and Saakyan, 1960; Glendenning, 1982; Balberg, Lichtenstadt, and Cook, 1999; Vidaña, 2015)], deconfined quarks [forming a hybrid star (Collins and Perry, 1975)], or color superconducting phases (Alford et al., 2008). It is even possible that the entire star might convert into a lower energy self-bound state consisting of up, down, and strange quarks, known as a strange quark star (Bodmer, 1971; Witten, 1984; Haensel, Zdunik, and Schaefer, 1986). Other states that have been hypothesized include Bose-Einstein condensates of mesons [pions or kaons, the latter containing a strange quark, see, for example, Kaplan and Nelson (1986) and Kunihiro, Takatsuka, and Tamagaki (1993)]. The densities at which such phases may appear are highly uncertain.

Figure 2 compares the parameter space that can be accessed within the laboratory to that which can be explored with neutron stars. The physical ground state of dense matter is neutron rich, which develops via weak interactions, and it is unbound so gravitational confinement is necessary to realize the ground state of dense matter in nature. Only neutron stars sample this low temperature regime of the dense matter EOS. The exotic non-nucleonic states of matter described previously can be reached only with extreme difficulty in the laboratory.

C. Methodology: How neutron star mass and radius specify the EOS

The relativistic stellar structure equations relate the EOS to macroscopic observables including the mass $M$ and radius $R$ of the neutron star. The dependence of the EOS on
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**FIG. 2.** Hypothetical states of matter accessed by neutron stars and current or planned laboratory experiments (Large Hadron Collider and other heavy-ion collision experiments, shown by black arrows), in the parameter space of temperature against baryon chemical potential (1–2 GeV corresponds to 1–6 times the density of normal atomic nuclei). Quarkyonic matter: a hypothesized phase where cold dense quarks experience confining forces (McLerran and Pitsarski, 2007; Fukushima and Hatsuda, 2011). The stabilizing effect of gravitational confinement in neutron stars permits long-time-scale weak interactions (such as electron captures) to reach equilibrium, generating matter that is neutron rich [see Fig. 2 of Watts et al. (2015)] and may involve matter with strange quarks. This means that neutron stars access unique states of matter that can be created only with extreme difficulty in the laboratory: nuclear superfluids, strange matter states with hyperons, deconfined quarks, and color superconducting phases.
In terms of dependence on the nuclear physics, the maximum mass is determined primarily by the behavior of the cold EOS at the very highest densities \( [\sim (5 - 8)\rho_{\text{sat}}] \) (Lattimer and Prakash, 2005; Özel and Psaltis, 2009; Read et al., 2009; Hebeler et al., 2013). The presence of non-nucleonic phases (such as hyperons or condensates) softens the EOS, reducing pressure support and leading to a smaller maximum mass. The radius, on the other hand, depends more strongly on the behavior of the EOS at \( \sim (1 - 2)\rho_{\text{sat}} \) (Lattimer and Prakash, 2001). The nucleonic EOS at these densities is highly sensitive to three-nucleon forces (Hebeler and Schwenk, 2010; Gandolfi, Carlson, and Reddy, 2012), while the presence of non-nucleonic phases tends to reduce \( R \). The slope of the \( M-R \) relation (i.e., whether \( R \) increases or decreases with \( M \)), for masses \( \gtrsim 1.2M_\odot \) (the observed minimum, consistent with expectations from formation models), depends on the pressure at \( \sim 4\rho_{\text{sat}} \) ( Özel and Psaltis, 2009).

In testing EOS models, there are two potential approaches. One is simply to compute, for a given EOS model, the resulting \( M-R \) relation and then determine the likelihood of obtaining the measured values of \( M, R \) (with uncertainties) if this model is correct. The other option is to perform the inverse process and to map from the measured values of \( M-R \) (with their uncertainties) to the EOS. The first attempt to address this problem, which made no assumptions about the form of the EOS, was made by Lindblom (1992). Since then the approach has been refined by several others. Newer analyses rely on parametrized representations of the EOS that are a good characterization of many specific EOS models, but contain the lowest possible number of adjustable parameters [e.g., piecewise polytropic fits as employed by Özel and Psaltis (2009), Read et al. (2009), and Steiner, Lattimer, and Brown (2010), or spectral representations as employed by
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**FIG. 3.** The pressure density relation (EOS, left) and the corresponding \( M-R \) relation (right) based on models with different microphysics. The three individual models that extend to the highest pressures and masses (red): nucleonic EOS. From Lattimer and Prakash, 2001. Solid black curves: hybrid models (strange quark core, Zdunik and Haensel, 2013). Dashed black curves: hyperon core models (Bednarek et al., 2012). Dash-dotted magenta curves: a self-bound strange quark star model (Lattimer and Prakash, 2001). Shaded gray bands: range of a parametrized family of nucleonic EOS based on chiral effective field theory at low densities, which provides a systematic expansion for nuclear forces that allows one to estimate the theoretical uncertainties involved, combined with using general extrapolations to high densities [see Fig. 12 of Hebeler et al. (2013) for examples of specific representative EOS lying within this band].
The requirement to generate neutron stars with masses of at
induce a strong softening of the EOS that would lead to
hyperons in neutron stars is energetically probable, but should
approach the EOS of quark matter at high density computed
immediately clear (Demorest et al., 2013; Guillot et al., 2013; Guillot and Rutledge, 2014), and when they exhibit thermonuclear
(type I) x-ray bursts due to unstable nuclear burning in accreted surface layers (van Paradijs, 1979; van Paradijs and Lewin, 1987; Özel, 2006; Özel, Güver, and Psaltis, 2009; Güver, Özel et al., 2010; Güver, Wroblewski et al., 2010; Suleimanov et al., 2011; Özel, Gould, and Güver, 2012; Güver and Özel, 2013).

The essence of the method is the fact that the surface spectrum is close to a diluted blackbody with a color temperature that is larger than the effective temperature of the star $T_c = f_c T_{\text{eff}}$ by the color-correction factor $f_c \approx 1.3 - 2$ (London, Taam, and Howard, 1986; Zavlin, Pavlov, and Shibanov, 1996; Madej, Joss, and Róžańska, 2004; Heinke et al., 2006; Suleimanov, Poutanen, and Werner, 2011, 2012). This factor depends on the atmospheric composition and on the effective surface gravity. For a slowly spinning neutron star at a known distance $D$, measuring the flux $F$ of surface emission and the color temperature $T_c$ gives the following relation between $M$ and $R$:

$$R^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{Rc^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{FD^2 f_c^2}{\sigma T_c^4} ,$$

where $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. Spectroscopic measurements using quiescent neutron stars, for which no additional information is available, result only in broad constraints between the neutron star masses and radii (Guillot and Rutledge, 2014; Heinke et al., 2014), although ensemble constraints may be tighter (Özel et al., 2015).

Studies of the spectra during thermonuclear x-ray bursts allow additional constraints to be obtained that can break the degeneracy between $M$ and $R$ [see reviews by Lewin, van Paradijs, and Taam (1993) and Özel (2006)]. The radius expansion bursts (during which radiation forces lift the neutron star photospheres) serve as a good laboratory because, from the burst flux $F_{\text{Edd}}$ at the touchdown point, one can get a measurement of the Eddington luminosity, which is a different function of $M$ and $R$:

$$L_{\text{Edd}} = 4\pi D^2 F_{\text{Edd}} = \frac{4\pi GMc}{\kappa_e} \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{Rc^2}\right)^{1/2} .$$

Here $\kappa_e = 0.2(1 + X)$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ is the electron scattering opacity and $X$ is the hydrogen mass function. Combining this with the measurement of the surface area based on the thermal flux leads to a weakly correlated inference of both $M$ and $R$ (Özel and Psaltis, 2009; Güver, Özel et al., 2010; Güver, Wroblewski et al., 2010; Suleimanov et al., 2011; Özel, Gould, and Güver, 2012; Güver and Özel, 2013; Poutanen et al., 2014).

Different spectroscopic methods and burst selection criteria have been used to obtain constraints on the EOS. Özel et al. (2010) and Steiner, Lattimer, and Brown (2010, 2013) found that large ($\gtrsim 13$ km) radii are ruled out, while others (Suleimanov et al., 2011; Poutanen et al., 2014) get radii in the range 11–15 km. Clearly, the $\approx 5\%$ accuracy in the radius required to pinpoint the value of the pressure beyond the nuclear saturation density has not yet been achieved.
Both source types are affected by uncertainties in the composition of the atmosphere and in many cases lack of prior knowledge of the distance to each source (Heinke et al., 2014). In the case of quiescent neutron stars, there are additional sources of uncertainty related to the composition of the intervening interstellar medium as well as the effects of residual accretion (Guillot and Rutledge, 2014; Heinke et al., 2014, but see also Bahramian et al., 2015). In the case of the x-ray bursters, there are several uncertainties: a systematic spread in the angular size of the source and the Eddington flux (Güver, Özel, and Psaltis, 2012; Güver, Psaltis, and Özel, 2012) which may reflect some level of nonuniform emission over the stellar surface, identification of the touchdown point (Galloway, Özel, and Psaltis, 2008; Güver, Psaltis, and Özel, 2012; Miller, 2013), and the role of accretion (Kajava et al., 2014; Poutanen et al., 2014). Perhaps more importantly, both types of spectroscopic measurements of neutron star masses and radii rely on the particular astrophysical interpretations of two types of observations: that the quiescent emission is powered by deep crustal heating and that the Eddington critical flux can be estimated accurately from the data. The timing techniques discussed later in this Colloquium, on the other hand, do not rely on these interpretations and, therefore, provide an independent measurement of masses and radii with orthogonal systematic uncertainties and biases. Obtaining multiple measurements of masses and radii with different techniques, and in many cases for the same sources, will allow us to address and correct for the systematic uncertainties of each method.

Timing-based techniques for constraining $M$ and $R$ rely on the presence of surface inhomogeneities, leading to emission that varies periodically as the star rotates. Three types of neutron star systems are suitable for a timing analysis, and several attempts have already been made: for the accretion-powered pulsars (Poutanen and Gierliński, 2003; Leahy, 2004; Leahy et al., 2009; Leahy, Morsink, and Chou, 2011; Morsink and Leahy, 2011), for accreting neutron stars that show oscillations during their thermonuclear x-ray bursts (Bhattacharyya, Strohmayer et al., 2005), and for rotation-powered x-ray pulsars (Bogdanov, Rybicki, and Grindlay, 2007; Bogdanov, Grindlay, and Rybicki, 2008; Bogdanov and Grindlay, 2009; Bogdanov, 2013). All of the $M$-$R$ constraints coming from these observations to date have very large error bars. However, the method has great promise (see Sec. II.A).

Laboratory experiments also provided some constraints on the EOS. Neutron star radii, for example, have been shown to depend strongly on the density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy close to $\rho_{\text{sat}}$ (Lattimer and Steiner, 2014), and the behavior of this quantity for densities up to $\rho_{\text{sat}}$ is now being probed by laboratory experiments (Tsang et al., 2012). Other experimental observables, such as $K^+$ meson production in nuclear collisions at subthreshold energies (Sturm et al., 2001) and the nuclear elliptic flow in heavy-ion collisions (Danielewicz, Lacey, and Lynch, 2002), have been used as a sensitive probe for the stiffness of nuclear matter for high densities. $K^+$ meson production seems to suggest a soft EOS for 2–3 times saturation density. Indeed, these results on heavy-ion collisions have been used to constrain the features of neutron stars (Sagert et al., 2012). Meanwhile results on
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3Note that, in principle, spectroscopic measurements rely on the absolute flux calibration of x-ray telescopes. However, a limited number of studies currently seem to indicate that there is no significant flux calibration bias (Suleimanov et al., 2011; Güver et al., 2015).
elliptic flow rule out strongly repulsive nuclear EOS from relativistic mean field theory and weakly repulsive EOS with phase transitions at densities less than 3 times that of stable nuclei, but not EOS softened at higher densities due to a transformation to quark matter. However, heavy-ion observables are obtained in highly symmetric systems at high temperatures; thus the analysis of the EOS for asymmetric zero-temperature systems must be treated with caution. A reliable analysis of the EOS for zero temperature in asymmetric matter can be achieved only by neutron star measurements.

E. Future observational constraints on the cold dense EOS

The dense matter EOS will be a target science area for a number of different telescopes over the next decade, operating in very different wavebands. To set the techniques that can be exploited in the hard x-ray band in context, we first review the advances that are expected in other wavebands.

The next decade will see a major expansion in our ability to detect galactic radio pulsars, with the advent of the Square Kilometer Array (SKA) (Bourke et al., 2015) and its pathfinders LOFAR (van Haarlem et al., 2013), ASKAP (Schinckel et al., 2012), and MeerKAT (Booth and Jonas, 2012). The SKA is both more sensitive than current telescopes and will have increased timing precision. By finding more radio pulsars in binary systems, and being able to determine post-Keplerian orbital parameters more precisely, the SKA should increase the number of measured neutron star masses by a factor of at least $\sim 10$ (Watts et al., 2015). New EOS constraints will result if the maximum mass record is broken, as discussed in Sec. I.D. Radio observations can also deliver radius via measurements of the neutron star moment of inertia (determined from spin-orbit coupling). The moment of inertia of the only known double pulsar system PSR J0737-3039 (Burgay et al., 2003) will be determined to within 10% within the next 20 years (Lattimer and Schutz, 2005; Kramer and Wex, 2009), resulting in a constraint on $R \sim 5\%$ [see also the discussion in Sec. IV.2 of Watts et al. (2015)]. The SKA may discover more systems for which a measurement of moment of inertia is possible, although it will be challenging since the requirements on system geometry are quite restrictive (Watts et al., 2015).

The upgraded gravitational wave telescopes Advanced LIGO (Aasi et al., 2015) and Advanced VIRGO (Acernese et al., 2015) began to enter service from 2015 and will operate well into the next decade. Gravitational waves from the late inspirals of binary neutron stars are sensitive to the EOS, with departures from the point particle waveform constraining $M$ and $R$. Global seismic oscillations excited by coalescence also depend on the EOS (Bauswein et al., 2012). Estimates are that Advanced LIGO and VIRGO could achieve uncertainties of 10% ($1\sigma$) in $R$, for the closest detected binaries (Read et al., 2013), although event rates are highly uncertain.

NICER-SEXTANT is a NASA Explorer Mission of Opportunity experiment that is due to be mounted on the International Space Station in late 2016 (Arzoumanian et al., 2014). NICER has an effective area that is $0.2\text{ m}^2\text{ at } 2\text{ keV}$ dropping to $0.06\text{ m}^2\text{ at } 6\text{ keV}$. The primary focus of NICER will therefore be on soft x-ray sources, in particular, rotation-powered pulsars that emit in both the x-ray and radio bands. The x-ray emission from rotation-powered pulsars is expected to be steady, which means that long accumulation of data is possible, even though they are dim. Radii will then be inferred using soft x-ray waveform modeling (Bogdanov, Grindlay, and Rybicki, 2008, and see Sec. I.IA). If the mass of a neutron star and the pattern of radiation from its surface are known accurately $a\text{ priori}$, NICER observations will achieve an accuracy of $\approx 2\%$ in the measurement of radius (Gendreau, Arzoumanian, and Okajima, 2012; Bogdanov, 2013). In practice, the measurement will be limited by uncertainties in these two requirements. The uncertainty in the mass measurement of NICER’s primary target, the bright pulsar PSR J0437−4715, is $\sim 5\%$ (Reardon et al., 2016). Other main targets of NICER will be the nearby isolated radio millisecond pulsars PSR J0030 + 0451 and J2124−3358; however, these will produce less stringent constraints since there is no prospect for measuring their masses. The temperature profile on the neutron star surface, which is believed to be determined by the flux of return currents circulating in the magnetosphere (Bai and Spitkovsky, 2010; Philippov and Spitkovsky, 2014) is also highly uncertain, and development of $ab\text{ initio}$ numerical models that might fully address these questions is still a work in progress. Waveform modeling for accretion-powered millisecond pulsars may also be possible [see the discussion in Gendreau, Arzoumanian, and Okajima (2012) and Sec. II.A].

Athena, the soft x-ray observatory selected for the European Space Agency’s L2 launch slot in the late 2020s, has an effective area requirement of 2 $\text{m}^2$ at 1 keV, dropping to 0.25 $\text{m}^2$ at 6 keV (Nandra et al., 2013). Dense matter is not a primary science goal for Athena. However, it could in principle be used for waveform modeling for the same isolated x-ray pulsars as NICER and spectral modeling of neutron stars in quiescence or the cooling tails of x-ray bursts (Motch et al., 2013). These techniques were already previously discussed in detail and in Sec. I.D.

ASTROSAT (Singh et al., 2014), a multiwavelength Indian astronomy satellite, was launched in September 2015. LAXPC, a 3–80 keV x-ray timing instrument, has an effective area of 0.8 $\text{m}^2$ in the $5–20\text{ keV}$ range. SXT, a coaligned 0.3–8 keV x-ray imager, has an effective area of 0.01 $\text{m}^2$ in the 1–2 keV range. This combination is well suited for spectral modeling of the cooling tails in x-ray bursts. LAXPC can also in principle be used for waveform modeling of accretion-powered millisecond pulsars or x-ray burst oscillations.

II. HARD X-RAY TIMING TECHNIQUES THAT DELIVER $M$ AND $R$

Hard x-ray timing enables three primary techniques that have the capability of delivering $M$ and $R$: waveform (or pulse profile) modeling, spin measurements, and asteroseismology. These techniques involve different classes of neutron star: accreting neutron stars with thermonuclear bursts, accretion-powered x-ray pulsars, and isolated highly magnetic neutron stars known as magnetars. The use of multiple techniques and different source types allows cross calibration of techniques, and independent cross-checks on the EOS. In this section we explore each technique in turn and
discuss the contraints on the EOS that are achievable with a large-area (∼10 m²) hard x-ray (2–30 keV) timing telescope. Much of the work presented here was developed as part of the science case for the proposed Large Observatory for X-ray Timing (LOFT) (Feroci et al., 2012, 2014, and the LOFT ESA M3 Yellow Book⁴).

A. Waveform modeling

Millisecond x-ray oscillations are observed from accretion-powered pulsars (Patruno and Watts, 2012), from some thermally emitting rotation-powered (nonaccreting) pulsars (Becker, 2001), and during some thermonuclear bursts on accreting neutron stars [burst oscillations, see Watts (2012)]. These oscillations are thought to be produced by x-ray emission from a region on the surface of the star that is hotter than the rest of the stellar surface and is offset from the rotational pole of the star. As the hotter region rotates around the star, the x-ray flux seen by a distant observer is modulated at or near the rotation frequency of the star (Fig. 4). Accretion-powered pulsations are formed as material is channeled onto the magnetic poles of accreting x-ray pulsars. X-ray emission comes both from a hotspot that forms at the magnetic poles and from the shock that forms just above the star’s surface as the channeled material decelerates abruptly. Burst oscillations, by contrast, are due to hotspots that form during thermonuclear x-ray bursts on accreting neutron stars (Lewin, van Paradijs, and Taam, 1993; Galloway et al., 2008). Ultimately one would hope to be able to use both types of pulsation when modeling the resulting pulse profile, or waveform, to recover M and R: several sources show both phenomena, providing an important cross-check on the results.

1. Factors affecting the waveform

As the photons propagate through the curved spacetime of the star, information about M and R is encoded into the shape and energy dependence of the waveform. General-relativistic light bending, which depends on compactness M/R, affects the amplitude of the pulsations. Special relativistic Doppler boosting, aberration, and the magnitude of time delays depend on the relative orientation of the hotspot and the line of sight (Braje, Romani, and Rauch, 2000). This introduces a number of effects that lead to a direct measurement of the neutron star radius.

In the absence of special relativity, the peak flux occurs when the hotspot is facing the observer and the time for the flux to rise from minimum to maximum is the same as the time to fall from maximum to minimum. The Doppler boosting effect makes the blueshifted side of the star appear brighter than the redshifted side, so that the flux maximum occurs earlier in phase than if the special relativistic effects did not occur. This asymmetry between the rise and fall time is approximately proportional to the projected line-of-sight velocity. Since the angular velocity is known from the pulse frequency, this provides a constraint on R if the inclinations of the spot and observer are known. The great advantage of the very rapidly rotating neutron stars (with spin frequencies of 400 Hz and larger) is that the Doppler boosting effect is more pronounced in the data which reduces degeneracies. The asymmetry in the rise and fall times is independent of the normalization, so errors in flux calibration are not important.

There are, of course, other factors that affect the waveforms and these must be taken into account when fitting for M and R. The geometric parameters α, the angle between the spin axis and the spot center, and i, the observer’s inclination angle (see Fig. 4), introduce degeneracies with M and R. As the compactness ratio M/R increases, the pulse fraction decreases. However, a decrease in the quantity sin(α) sin(i) also decreases the pulse fraction. Similarly an increase in sin(α) sin(i) increases the projected line-of-sight velocity, leading to a degeneracy with the star’s equatorial radius. Other geometric parameters such as the hotspot’s shape and size as well as emission from the rest of the star and the disk affect the shape of the light curve. Fortunately, the resulting parameter dependencies can be resolved when the detailed structure of the waveforms and their dependence on photon energy is taken into account (see later), allowing us to recover M and R.

An important contribution to the parameter degeneracy is the beaming pattern of the radiation. A highly beamed emission pattern could in some ways mimic the effects of decreased gravitational light bending and special relativistic Doppler boosting. In the case of the thermal emission from x-ray bursts, this pattern is very well understood from theoretical modeling: it is very close to the limb-darkened pattern of a scattering dominated atmosphere (Madej, 1991; Suleimanov, Poutanen, and Werner, 2012) and is not a significant source of uncertainty (Miller et al., 2013). In contrast, in the case of the accretion-powered pulsations, the theoretical beaming pattern due to Compton scattering introduces a free parameter that is poorly constrained by the observations, leading to the weak constraints on M and R that result for these stars (Poutanen and Gierliński, 2003; Leahy et al., 2009; Leahy, Morsink, and Chou, 2011; Morsink and Leahy, 2011). The beaming pattern for the hydrogen atmosphere models used to compute the light curves of the rotation-powered pulsars (Bogdanov, Grindlay, and Rybicki, 2008) do not formally have free parameters, although there are still some open questions regarding the beaming from such atmospheres that are thought to be heated by relativistic particles from the magnetosphere.

Additional complications to the waveform modeling from accreting sources come from the fact that the neutron star is surrounded by the accretion disk, which may block radiation coming from the “southern hemisphere.” For accreting pulsars, the eclipses by the disk may lead to the appearance of strong harmonic structure to the waveform that completely dominates all other sources producing harmonics (Poutanen, 2008). The evolution of the waveform from the best-studied pulsar SAX J1808.4–3658 during an outburst can be explained by varying just one parameter—the disk inner radius (Ibragimov and Poutanen, 2009; Poutanen, Ibragimov, and Annala, 2009; Kajava et al., 2011). The way out is to either use data at a high accretion rate, when the southern pole is completely blocked, or to model the eclipse by the disk, but this requires knowledge of the

inclination. In addition the accretion steam may block hotspot emission at some phases, introducing a dip (Bragimov and Poutanen, 2009). It is therefore important to use constraints from different techniques where possible to cross-check.

2. Spacetime of spinning neutron stars

As mentioned in Sec. II.A.1, rapid rotation is desirable for waveform modeling since it can break degeneracies. Extensive work on gravitational lensing in spinning neutron star spacetimes has fully quantified the various levels of approximation and their effects on the generation of pulse profiles. The qualitative character of the general-relativistic effects depends on the ratio

$$\frac{f_s}{f_0} = 0.24 \left( \frac{f_s}{600 \text{ Hz}} \right) \left( \frac{1.8M_\odot}{M} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{R}{10 \text{ km}} \right)^{3/2}$$

of the spin frequency of the neutron star $f_s$ and the characteristic frequency $f_0 = \sqrt{GM/R^3}/(2\pi)$. To zeroth order in $f_s/f_0$, the neutron star is spherically symmetric and its external spacetime is described by the Schwarzschild metric, which depends only on the mass of the star. Waveform calculations in this limit were performed in the pioneering work of Pechenick, Ptasclas, and Cohen (1983). A simple approximation for the light-bending integral, introduced by Beloborodov (2002), is also useful in many cases.

To first order in $f_s/f_0$, the external spacetime of a slowly rotating neutron star and the exterior of the Kerr metric are the same (Hartle, 1967). In this case, the amount of gravitational lensing depends also on the spin angular momentum of the star (because of the effects of frame dragging), which in turn depends on the density profile inside the star and hence on the equation of state. The effects of frame dragging on gravitational lensing are negligible (Braje, Romani, and Rauch, 2000) and, therefore, the external spacetime (for the purpose of calculating waveforms) is still well described by the Schwarzschild metric. However, at the same order, special relativistic effects (Doppler boosts and aberration) as well as time delays become significant and can be calculated in the Schwarzschild and Doppler approximation (Miller and Lamb, 1998; Poutanen and Gierliński, 2003; Poutanen and Beloborodov, 2006). This Schwarzschild and Doppler approach has been shown to be an excellent approximation for spin frequencies less than about 300 Hz (Cadeau et al., 2007) through comparisons with waveforms calculated in exact, numerically generated neutron star spacetimes.

To second order in $f_s/f_0$, the neutron star becomes oblate and its external spacetime is described by the Hartle-Thorne metric (Hartle and Thorne, 1968), which depends on the mass of the neutron star, on its spin angular momentum, and on its quadrupole mass moment. For spin frequencies $\lesssim 600$ Hz, the effect of the stellar oblateness on the waveforms can be as large as 10%–30% (Morsink et al., 2007; Psaltis and Özel, 2014; Miller and Lamb, 2015) whereas the effect of the spacetime quadrupole is of the order of 1%–5% (Psaltis and Özel, 2014). The effects of the stellar oblateness alone can be incorporated into an approximation that makes use of the light-bending formula arising from the Schwarzschild metric, and a shape function that depends only on $M/R$ and the spin frequency (Morsink et al.; 2007; Bauböck et al., 2013; Allenders and Morsink, 2014).

Finally, to even higher orders in $f_s/f_0$, the external spacetime of the neutron star depends on multipole moments that are of increasing order. In principle the external spacetime of a rapidly spinning neutron star can be accurately described by the analytic solution of Manko, Mielke, and Sanabria-Gómez (2000) and Manko, Sanabria-Gómez, and Manko (2000); see also Berti and Stergioulas (2004) and Berti et al. (2005). However, the form of this metric is impractical for use in ray-tracing applications. On the other hand, spacetimes of rapidly spinning neutron stars can be calculated numerically (Cook, Shapiro, and Teukolsky, 1994a; Stergioulas and Friedman, 1995; Bonazzola, Gourgoulhon, and Marck, 1998). Simulations of waveforms for such rapidly rotating neutron stars with particular choices of the equation of state were performed by Cadeau et al. (2007).

3. Inversion: From waveform to $M$ and $R$

The key question, when considering how to apply the waveform modeling technique in practice, is how many photons must be accumulated for a given source. Some insight is given from the study of Psaltis, Özel, and Chakrabarty (2014), which generated simulated waveforms under various assumptions (including that of a small hotspot — angular radius less than 20°— and isotropic emission from the hotspot), and studied the dependence of some key waveform properties on $M$, $R$, and other relevant parameters. By using information about the shape and energy dependence of the waveform, the different dependence on $M$ and $R$ of the various observables can in principle be used to break the degeneracies with the geometric parameters to recover $M$ and $R$. This study also resulted in an order of magnitude estimate for the number of photons from the hotspot that would need to be accumulated in order to reach precisions of a few % in $R$, $\sim10^6$ counts.

The inversion problem was also examined by Lo et al. (2013) and Miller and Lamb (2015). These studies employed a Bayesian approach and Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling methods in an extensive parameter estimation study that estimated $M$ and $R$ by fitting waveform models to synthetic waveform data and determined confidence regions in the $M$-$R$ plane. Some example results are shown in Fig. 5. The uncertainties in $M$ and $R$ estimates are most sensitive to the stellar rotation rate (rapid rotation leading to smaller uncertainties), the spot inclination, and the observer inclination. They also depend on the background (be that from the accretion disk, astrophysical background, or instrumental background), but much more weakly (Psaltis, Özel, and Chakrabarty, 2014). This technique does not require

5The known accretion-powered pulsars and rapidly rotating burst oscillation sources, the prime targets for this technique, have spin rates in the range 180–620 Hz (Patruno and Watts, 2012; Watts, 2012). More rapid rotation rates are seen in the radio pulsar population, and rotation rates exceeding 1000 Hz are theoretically possible (see Sec. II.B).
FIG. 5. Constraints on $M$ and $R$ obtained by fitting a waveform model to synthetic observed waveform data. Miller and Lamb, 2015

The black squares indicate the mass and radius used to construct the synthetic data, the solid red lines show the 1σ constraints, and the dashed blue lines show the 2σ constraints. Here the spin rate $f_s = 600$ Hz, hotspot inclination $\alpha$, and observer inclination $\iota$ are 90°, the fractional rms amplitude of the oscillations is 10.8%, and the total number of counts is $10^7$. $M$ and $R$ are tightly constrained: $\Delta M/M = 2.8\%$ and $\Delta R/R = 2.9\%$. The parameter values used to generate the waveform data used in (b) are the same as in (a), except the rotation rate, which is much lower (300 Hz), causing the constraints on $M$ and $R$ to be weaker: $\Delta M/M = 5.6\%$ and $\Delta R/R = 7.6\%$.

(c) When the spot is at an intermediate colatitude (here 60°), the constraints on $M$ and $R$ are weaker ($\Delta M/M = 6.5\%$ and $\Delta R/R = 6.7\%$), even if the star has a large radius (here 15 km) and is rapidly rotating (here at 600 Hz). From Miller and Lamb, 2015.

knowledge of the distance because one fits the fractional amplitude of the pulsations, not the absolute value. Lo et al. (2013) showed that for fixed values of the other system properties that affect the waveform, uncertainties in $M$ and $R$ estimates scale as $R^{-1}$, where $R \equiv N_{\text{osc}}/\sqrt{N_{\text{tot}}}$ = 1.4$f_{\text{rms}}\sqrt{N_{\text{tot}}}$. Here $N_{\text{osc}}$ is the total number of counts in the oscillating component of the waveform, $N_{\text{tot}}$ is the total number of counts collected, and $f_{\text{rms}}$ is the fractional rms amplitude of the oscillation. If the stellar rotation rate is $\gtrsim 300$ Hz and the spot center and the observer’s sight line are both within 30° of the star’s rotational equator, burst oscillation waveform data with $R \gtrsim 400$ allow $M$ and $R$ to be determined with uncertainties $\lesssim 10\%$.

A key result from the Lo et al. (2013) and Miller and Lamb (2015) studies is their conclusions on the effects of systematic errors. They considered the consequences of differences in the actual spot shape, beaming pattern, and energy spectrum from what was assumed in the model. None of these cases yielded simultaneously (1) a statistically good fit, (2) apparently tight constraints (at the desired few percent level) on $M$ and $R$, and (3) significantly biased masses and radii. Thus if an analysis yields a good fit with tight constraints, the inferred mass and radius are reliable. This statement is currently unique among proposed methods to measure neutron star radii.

4. Instrument requirements and observing strategy

It is the necessity of obtaining $R \approx 400$ for systems with favorable geometry that drives the requirement for large-area instruments to properly exploit this technique. The observing times necessary to achieve this have been studied in detail as part of the LOFT assessment process (see footnote 4), with observing strategy focusing on the burst oscillation sources given their well-understood spectrum (Suleimanov, Poutanen, and Werner, 2012) and very low ($\sim 1\%$) atmospheric model uncertainties (Miller et al., 2013). Using burst and burst oscillation properties observed with RXTE [burst brightness, burst oscillation fractional amplitude, as summarized by Galloway et al. (2008)] for the known burst oscillation sources (such as 4U 1636-536), it was shown that with a $\sim 10$ m$^2$ instrument one would need to combine data from $\sim 10 - 30$ bursts with oscillations to meet the required target. Given the percentage of bursts that shows oscillations (which is not 100%), and the mean burst rate (again using properties observed with RXTE, Galloway et al., 2008), this requires observing sources for a few hundred ks, easily feasible within anticipated mission lifetimes. Burst oscillations seem to occur preferentially in certain accretion states (Muno, Galloway, and Chakrabarty, 2004), and targeting these states with a suitable all-sky monitor reduces the necessary observing time. There are at present 27 known sources with burst oscillations and/or accretion-powered pulsations spinning at 100 Hz or faster. Given that some are transient sources with long periods of quiescence, new discoveries are to be expected. Having such a large number of sources to choose from will help to select a sample with optimal observational characteristics (such as flux, pulse amplitude, and harmonic content), the latter being easily achievable.

As indicated, for favorable geometry that will be reflected in higher harmonic content of the pulsations, $R \approx 400$ is sufficient to measure $M$ and $R$ to a few percent precision (Fig. 6). Less favorable geometries require more observing time, since errors on $M$ and $R$ scale roughly as the inverse square root of the total number of counts (Lo et al., 2013; Psaltis, Özel, and Chakrabarty, 2014). Since a mix of geometries among sources is a reasonable expectation, observing strategy must be flexible enough to ensure that the goals can be met no matter what system geometries we encounter. Flexibility can also allow responsiveness to preliminary findings: ideally one would schedule longer observations of the sources that are most constraining in the $M$–$R$ plane, in order to further reduce the size of their error ellipses. By thus tailoring observations one can confirm key findings at a much higher confidence. Figure 6 illustrates the type of

---

Footnote 6: For a more detailed discussion of how one would combine data from different bursts, and how one can account for potential changes in the size and position of the hotspot, see Lo et al. (2013).
checks using two independent waveform models. One can also use the continuum spectral modeling constraints outlined in Sec. I.D, and there are potential new continuum fitting techniques that are enabled by high quality spectra enabled from a large-area detector (Lo et al., 2013). Observation of an identifiable surface atomic line in the hotspot emission also provides a tight constraint (Rauch, Suleimanov, and Werner, 2008). The rotational broadening of an atomic line depends on $R$, so combining this with its centroid (which depends on $M/R$) yields a measurement of $M$ and $R$ independently, modulo the unknown inclination ($\Omega$el and Psaltis, 2003). To avoid the degeneracy with the inclination, one can also use the equivalent width of the line, which depends on the effective gravitational acceleration $g_{\text{eff}} = GM(1 - 2GM/Rc^2)^{-1/2}/R^2$ (Chang, Bildsten, and Wasserman, 2005). Combining these two pieces of information yields separate measurements of $M$ and $R$ that are complementary to and independent of the constraints obtained from waveform fitting. Note that while the effects of frame dragging can be neglected (Bhattacharyya, Miller, and Lamb, 2005), the effect of stellar oblateness and the spacetime quadrupole on the line profile must be taken into account for rotation rates $\gtrsim 300$ Hz (Bauböck et al., 2013). Lo et al. (2013) explored how the constraints that result from the detection of an atomic line could be combined with the results of waveform fitting.

B. Spin measurements

The spin distribution of neutron stars offers another way of constraining the EOS, and a large-area instrument, with a correspondingly high sensitivity to pulsations, offers a unique opportunity to fully characterize this function.

1. Rapid rotation

At the simplest level, one can obtain constraints from the most rapidly rotating neutron stars. The limiting spin rate $f_{\text{max}}$, at which the equatorial surface velocity is comparable to the local orbital velocity and mass-shedding occurs, is a function of $M$ and $R$ and hence fast spins constrain the EOS. The mass-shedding frequency is given to good approximation (Haensel et al., 2009) by

$$f_{\text{max}} \approx C \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{R}{10 \, \text{km}} \right)^{-3/2} \text{kHz},$$

where $R$ is the radius of the nonrotating star of mass $M$. Softer EOS have smaller $R$ for a given $M$ and hence have higher limiting spin rates. More rapidly spinning neutron stars place increasingly stringent constraints on the EOS. The deviation of $C$ from its Newtonian value of 1.838 depends in GR [as computed by Haensel et al. (2009)] on the neutron star interior mass distribution. For a hadronic EOS (one that consists of baryons or mesons), $C = 1.08$, while for a strange star with a crust, $C \approx 1.15$. This can be recast as a limit on $R$:

$$R < 10C^{2/3} \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{f_{\text{max}}}{1 \, \text{kHz}} \right)^{-2/3} \text{km}$$

which places a constraint on the EOS (Fig. 7).
The most rapidly spinning neutron star known, a 716 Hz radio pulsar (Hessels et al., 2006), is not spinning rapidly enough to be constraining. However, given a high enough spin, individual EOS can be ruled out. Between 1 and 1.25 kHz, for example, some individual EOS in the gray band [which represents a parametrized family of EOS models from Hebeler et al. (2013)] would be excluded. From Watts et al., 2015.

The most rapidly spinning neutron star known, a 716 Hz radio pulsar (Hessels et al., 2006), is not spinning rapidly enough to be constraining. However, the discovery of a neutron star with submillisecond spin would pose a strong and clean constraint on the EOS. What then are the prospects for finding more rapidly spinning stars? Since the standard formation route for the millisecond radio pulsars (MSPs) is via spin-up due to accretion (Alpar et al., 1982; Radhakrishnan and Srinivasan, 1982; Bhattacharya and van den Heuvel, 1991), it is clear that we should look in the x ray as well as the radio, and theory has long suggested that accretion could spin stars up close to the break-up limit (Cook, Shapiro, and Teukolsky, 1994b).

Figure 8 shows the current spin distributions for the MSPs and their proposed progenitors, the rapidly spinning accreting neutron stars. For the far more numerous radio pulsars, there is a clear falloff in the distribution at high spin. Until a few years ago, the sometimes prohibitive computational costs of large surveys sensitive to very rapidly rotating objects limited radio pulsar searches, and this is likely still reflected in the measured distributions [for a more in-depth discussion, see Watts et al. (2015)]. The improvement in computational capabilities in recent years and targeted searches for sources from the Fermi catalogs have led to the discovery of several new binary millisecond radio pulsars with spin frequencies above 500 Hz. Interestingly the most rapidly rotating stars, including the 716 Hz spin record holder, appear to be in eclipsing systems, where matter from the companion obscures the radio pulsations for large fractions of the orbit. This suggests that there may be an observational bias against finding these systems in the radio [see Watts et al. (2015) for further discussion].

For the accreting systems, it is clear that we are still in the regime of small number statistics; however, the dropoff at high spin rates seen in the radio is not apparent. There are moreover physical reasons why it may have been difficult to find the most rapidly spinning accreting sources. Rapid spin is most likely in sources that accrete at high rates, for example, and when accretion rate is high episodes of channeled accretion are expected to be intermittent (Romanova, Kulkarni, and Lovelace, 2008). Strong accretion may also drive a star toward alignment, making pulsations weak (Ruderman, 1991; Lamb et al., 2009). For bursters, the most rapid spins may suppress flame spread (Spitkovsky, Levin, and Ushomirsky, 2002; Cavacchi et al., 2013), weakening, and shortening bursts. This means that the existence of rapidly spinning stars, that have been out of reach of current detectors, is certainly plausible on both observational and theoretical grounds.

Accretion-powered pulsations and burst oscillations are strongest in the hard x-ray (2–30 keV) band, and sensitivity scales directly with signal to noise. As such, a large-area hard x-ray timing instrument is necessary to discover more neutron star spins. Intermittent accretion-powered pulsations have already been detected from a number of rapidly spinning sources (Galloway et al., 2007; Altamirano et al., 2008; Casella et al., 2008): to detect more of these events, sensitivity to brief pulsation trains is key. A 10 m² instrument with response similar to that of RXTE would be able to detect 100-s duration pulse trains down to an amplitude of $\sim 0.4\%$ rms for a 100 mCrab source (5σ), $\sim 100$ s being the duration of intermittent pulsations observed from Aql X-1 by Casella et al. (2008). RXTE would have needed 15 times as long to reach the same sensitivity, so that 100 s pulse trains were severely diluted; longer pulse trains suffered from Doppler smearing.
Searches for weak (rather than intermittent) accretion-powered pulsations using the sophisticated techniques being used for the Fermi pulsar surveys (Atwood et al., 2006; Abdo et al., 2009; Messenger, 2011; Pletsch et al., 2012), which compensate for orbital Doppler smearing, would yield $5\sigma$ multitrifur pulsation sensitivities of $-0.03\% - 0.003\%$ (rms) in bright neutron stars ($>100$ mCrab) and $-0.2\% - 0.04\%$ in faint neutron stars (10–100 mCrab), taking into account expected observing times and prior knowledge of the orbits. A 10 m$^2$ instrument would also be able to detect oscillations in individual type I x-ray bursts down to amplitudes of 0.6% rms (for a 1 s exposure and a typical burst of brightness of 4 Crab); by stacking bursts sensitivity would improve.

2. Spin distribution and evolution

Mapping the spin distribution more fully, so that the accreting neutron star sample is no longer limited by small number statistics, is also extremely valuable. One of the big open questions in stellar evolution is how precisely the recycling scenario progresses—and whether it does indeed account for the formation of the entire MSP population. The discovery of the first accreting millisecond x-ray pulsar by Wijnands and van der Klis (1998), and the recent detection of transitional pulsars, that switch from radio pulsars to accreting x-ray sources (Archibald et al., 2009; Papitto et al., 2013; Bassa et al., 2014; Bogdanov et al., 2014; Patruno et al., 2014; Stappers et al., 2014; Bogdanov and Halpern, 2015), seems to confirm the basic picture. However, key details of the evolutionary process, in particular, the specifics of mass transfer and magnetic field decay, remain to be resolved [see, for example, the discussion by Tauris (2012)]. Comparison of the spin distributions of the MSPs and the accreting neutron stars is a vital part of that effort.

The torques that operate on rapidly spinning accreting neutron stars also remain an important topic of investigation. Accretion torques, mediated by the interaction between the star’s magnetic field and the accretion flow [first explored in detail by Ghosh and Lamb (1978, 1979a, 1979b)], play a large role [see Patruno and Watts (2012), for a review of more recent work]. There are also several mechanisms, such as core $r$ modes [a global oscillation of the fluid, restored by the Coriolis force, see Haskell (2015) for a recent review] and crustal mountains [see Chamel et al. (2013), for a recent review], that may generate gravitational waves and hence a spin-down torque. These mechanisms are expected to depend in part on the EOS (Ho, Andersson, and Haskell, 2011; Moustakidis, 2015). In addition there are potential interactions between internal magnetic fields and an unstable $r$ mode that may be important (Mendell, 2001), and the physics of the weak interaction at high densities also becomes relevant, since weak interactions control the viscous processes that are an integral part of the gravitational wave torque mechanisms [Alford, Mahmoodifar, and Schwenzer, 2012].

Torque mechanisms can be probed in two ways: first, by examining the maximum spin reached, which may be below theoretical break-up rates, since both magnetic torques and gravitational wave torques may act to halt spin-up (Bildsten, 1998; Andersson et al., 2005; Lamb and Yu, 2005); and, second, by high precision tracking of spin evolution, enabled by increased sensitivity to pulsations. While extracting EOS information from the spin distribution and spin evolution will clearly be more challenging than the clean constraint that would come from the detection of a single rapid spin, it is nonetheless an important part of the models and one that can be tested. Ultimately, more and better quality timing data are needed to confirm if it is, indeed, the magnetic field that regulates the spin of the fastest observed accreting neutron stars or if additional torques are needed. On the one hand, it has been argued that the spin evolution during and following an accretion outburst of IGR J00291 + 5934 is consistent with the “standard” magnetic accretion model (Falanga et al., 2005; Patruno, 2010; Hartman, Galloway, and Chakrabarty, 2011). On the other hand, the results are not quite consistent and there is still room for refinements and/or additional torques (Andersson, Jones, and Ho, 2014; Ho et al., 2014). Whether this means that there is scope for a gravitational wave element or not remains unclear (Ho, Andersson, and Haskell, 2011; Haskell, Degenaar, and Ho, 2012), but a large-area x-ray instrument should take us much closer to the answer.

Precision ephemerides from x-ray timing are important enablers for simultaneous gravitational wave searches, since one has to fold long periods of data to detect the weak signals, and the gravitational wave frequency depends on spin rate in both mountain and $r$-mode mechanisms. Without such ephemerides, the number of templates that must be searched makes detection of continuous wave emission from sources like Sco X-1 very difficult (Watts et al., 2008). This is clear when one compares the limits currently obtained for continuous wave gravitational wave searches where ephemerides are known [the radio pulsars Abbott et al. (2007, 2008)] to those obtained for systems where the spin is not known [nonpulsing systems like Cas A (Abadie et al., 2010) and Sco X-1 (Aasi et al., 2014)]. A direct detection of gravitational waves from such a system would of course have immediate consequences for potential gravitational wave emission mechanisms and any EOS dependence.

C. Asteroseismology

Asteroseismology is now firmly established as a precision technique for the study of the interiors of normal stars. As such the detection of seismic vibrations in neutron stars was one of RXTE’s most exciting discoveries. They were found in magnetars, young, highly magnetized neutron stars that emit bursts of hard x rays and gamma rays powered by decay of the strong magnetic field [see Woods and Thompson (2006) for a review]. What triggers the flares remains unknown, but most likely involves either starquakes or magnetospheric instabilities. Rapid reconfiguration and reconnection powers the electromagnetic burst; however, the events are so powerful that it had already been suggested that they might set the star vibrating (Duncan, 1998). These vibrations, which manifest as quasiperiodic oscillations (QPOs) in hard x-ray emission, were first detected in the several hundred second long tails of the most energetic giant flares from two magnetars (Israel et al., 2005; Strohmayer and Watts, 2005, 2006; Watts and Strohmayer, 2006). Similar QPOs have since been discovered during storms of short, low fluence bursts from several magnetars (Huppenkothen et al., 2013, 2014;...
Seismic vibrations offer us a unique way to explore the interiors of neutron stars. The QPOs were initially tentatively identified with torsional shear modes of the neutron star crust and torsional Alfvén modes of the highly magnetized fluid core. These identifications were based on the expected mode frequencies, which are set by both the size of the resonant volume (determined by the star’s radius) and the relevant wave speed. The fact that the oscillations must be computed in a relativistic framework introduces additional dependences, and for this reason they can be used to diagnose $M$ and $R$ [see, for example, Samuelsson and Andersson (2007) for relativistic crust modes, and Sotani, Kokkotas, and Stergioulas (2008) for relativistic core Alfvén modes]. Seismic vibrations also take us beyond the simple $M$-$R$ relation, constraining the non-isotropic components of the stress tensor of supranuclear density material.

In fact, for a star with a magnetar strength magnetic field, crustal vibrations and core vibrations should couple together on very short time scales (Levin, 2007). The current viewpoint is that the QPOs are associated with global magnetoelastic axial (torsional) oscillations of the star (Glampedakis, Samuelsson, and Andersson, 2006; Lee, 2008; Andersson, Glampedakis, and Samuelsson, 2009; Steiner and Watts, 2009; Colaiuda and Kokkotas, 2011, 2012; van Hoven and Levin, 2011, 2012; Gabler et al., 2012; Gabler, Cerdá-Durán, Font et al., 2013; Passamonti and Lander, 2013, 2014; Asai and Lee, 2014; Glampedakis and Jones, 2014). Since coupled oscillations depend on the same physics, they have frequencies in the same range as the natural frequencies of the isolated elements.

Current magnetotorsional oscillation models can in principle easily explain the presence of oscillations at 155 Hz and below. Until recently there was a significant problem with the higher frequency QPOs, which appeared to persist much longer than the models predicted, but this has now been resolved (Huppenkothen, Watts, and Levin, 2014). Issues currently being addressed include questions of emission (Timokhin, Eichler, and Lyubarsky, 2008; D’Angelo and Watts, 2012; Gabler et al., 2014), excitation (Link, 2014), coupling to polar Alfvén modes (Lander, Jones, and Passamonti, 2010; Lander and Jones, 2011; Colaiuda and Kokkotas, 2012), and resonances between the crust and core that might develop as a result of superfluid effects (Gabler, Cerdá-Durán, Stergioulas et al., 2013; Passamonti and Lander, 2014). The latter, in particular, can have a large effect on the characteristics of the mode spectrum, and since superfluidity is certainly present in neutron stars, mode models must start to take this into account properly before we can make firm mode identifications. What is now clear is that mode frequencies depend not only on $M$ and $R$, but also on magnetic field strength, geometry, superfluidity, and crust composition.

Several publications specifically explored EOS dependencies in neutron star asteroseismology (Strohmayer and Watts, 2005, 2006; Samuelsson and Andersson, 2007; Watts and Reddy, 2007; Sotani, Kokkotas, and Stergioulas, 2008; Steiner and Watts, 2009; Gabler et al., 2012). Figure 9 illustrates the constraints that result when one models the QPOs detected in the SGR 1806–20 hyperflare as torsional shear oscillations of the neutron star crust (Samuelsson and Andersson, 2007). This model is simple in that it does not include magnetic coupling between crust and core. However, it gives some idea of the type of constraints that might result from the detection of a harmonic sequence of seismic vibrations. More sophisticated models that take into account coupling and the other relevant physical effects are under development.

Sadly giant flares are rare, occurring only every ~10 years. Ideally therefore we want the ability to make similar detections in the more frequent but less bright events. Intermediate flares, which are detected roughly once per year, have similar peak fluxes and spectra to the tails of the giant flares, but are too brief (~1 s) to permit detection of similar QPOs with current instrumentation. A ~10 m$^2$ hard x-ray timing instrument would be sensitive to QPOs in intermediate flares with similar fractional amplitudes as those observed in the tails of giant flares, provided that the collimator permitted the transmission of higher energy (above 30 keV) photons. The latter is important since intermediate flares are unpredictable and likely to be observed off axis, although one can increase the odds of capturing them by scheduling pointed observations during periods of high burst activity (Israel et al., 2008). Theoretically the expectation of similar fractional amplitudes is justified: mode excitation at a substantial amplitude even by events releasing energies typical of intermediate flares is feasible (Duncan, 1998). Empirically, QPOs in giant flares tend to appear rather late in the tails, when luminosities are similar to...
those in intermediate flares, and given that they appear and disappear multiple time in these tails, may be triggered by magnetic starquakes at these low fluxes (Strohmayer and Watts, 2006). The development of similar fractional amplitude QPOs in intermediate flares is thus considered plausible. This idea has also been given a boost by the discovery of QPOs in short burst storms from two different magnetars (Huppenkothen et al., 2013, 2014; Huppenkothen, Heil et al., 2014) including one that had also shown QPOs in a giant flare, since individually these bursts are much less energetic than the intermediate flares. The amplitudes at which the oscillations were detected in the burst storms are comparable to those of the detections in the giant flares. Upper limits on the presence of QPOs in the intermediate flares observed by current instruments, however, are above this level.

III. SUMMARY

Neutron stars are unique testing grounds for fundamental nuclear physics, the only place where one can study the equation of state of cold matter in equilibrium, at up to 10 times normal nuclear densities. The stable gravitational confinement permits the formation of matter which is extremely neutron rich and which may involve matter with strange quarks. The relativistic stellar structure equations show that there is a one to one mapping between the bulk properties of neutron stars, in particular, their mass and radius, and the dense matter EOS. Efforts to measure neutron star properties for this purpose are being made by both electromagnetic and gravitational wave astronomers. In this Colloquium, we explored the techniques available using hard x-ray timing instruments: waveform fitting, spin measurements, and asteroseismology. Hard x-ray timing offers unique advantages in terms of the numbers of known sources and the potential for cross-checks using independent techniques and source classes.

The previous generation of hard x-ray timing telescopes, in particular, RXTE (a 0.6 m² telescope which operated from 1995 to 2012), uncovered many of the phenomena described in this Colloquium. To exploit them to measure the EOS, however, requires larger area instruments, and various mission concepts are now being proposed. These have included the 3 m² Advanced X-ray Timing Array (AXTAR) (Ray et al., 2010) and the 8.5–10 m² Large Observatory for X-ray Timing (LOFT) (Feroci et al., 2012, 2014; see also footnote 4. None have yet been successful in securing a launch slot. However, the advantages that such a telescope would offer in terms of measuring the dense matter equation of state are sufficiently highly compelling that mission concept development continues apace.
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