Pathology of Endovascular Stents

Kenta Nakamura, MD\textsuperscript{1,2,3}; John H. Keating, DVM, DACVP\textsuperscript{1}; Elazer R. Edelman, MD, PhD\textsuperscript{2,4}

\textsuperscript{1} CBSET, Inc., Lexington, MA, USA
\textsuperscript{2} Institute for Medical Engineering and Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
\textsuperscript{3} Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
\textsuperscript{4} Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Financial Disclosure: Nothing to disclose for all authors.

Key Words (5–8): Coronary artery disease, pathology, bare metal stent, drug-eluting stent, in-stent restenosis, in-stent thrombosis, atherosclerosis, neoatherosclerosis

Word Count: 3,413
Abstract/Summary

Contemporary endovascular stents are the product of an iterative design and development process that leverages evolving concepts in vascular biology and engineering. Indeed, in many respects the stent is the paradigmatic example of a medically motivated and clinically verified device. In this review, we explain how insights into vascular pathophysiology, materials science, and design mechanics drive stent design and explain modes of stent failure.

Stents were themselves part of a continuum in device development that followed on the heels of the success of balloon angioplasty as well as its limitations, principally of arterial dissection and recoil. Early stent experience was fraught with in-stent restenosis, the inadequate flow that arose from luminally-obstructive neointimal hyperplasia. Controlled release of anti-proliferative agents inhibited growth of the neointima and delayed arterial healing, thereby offsetting restenosis but predisposing to late risk of in-stent thrombosis resulting from mechanical injury, alterations in local flow, or direct cellular toxicity (e.g. endothelial cells specifically or loss of neointima generally). Current knowledge of pathological processes such as neoatherosclerosis and recognition of patient-dependent factors are providing a more complete picture of the factors mediating stent failure. Further evolution of endovascular stents includes fully bioresorbable platforms tailored to treat plaques acutely then disappear after lesion pacification. Ongoing refinement of stent technology will continue to require insights from pathology to understand adverse events, refine clinical protocols, and drive innovation.
Key Points

- Improvement in endovascular stent performance has occurred iteratively over decades and highlights the ability to optimize physiologic function and minimize pathologic response through design.
- Pathology associated with endovascular stents most commonly manifests clinically as progressive angina due to in-stent restenosis or acute myocardial infarction due to in-stent thrombosis.
- In-stent restenosis is mediated by neointima hyperplasia due to a complex interaction of
  1. biological factors including vascular injury, malapposition with fibrin deposition, and non-uniform drug delivery;
  2. mechanical factors including stent under-expansion, hemodynamic stress, and stent fracture;
  3. technical factors including balloon barotrauma, stent gap and overlap, and residual untreated plaque; and
  4. patient-specific factors such as comorbidities, drug resistance, and hypersensitivity.
- Delayed arterial healing and possibly incomplete stent coverage by endothelium predominantly mediate in-stent thrombosis, leading to plaque fissuring and rupture.
- Neoatherosclerosis develops over months to years as opposed to decades with native coronary atherosclerosis and contributes to late and very late in-stent thrombosis.
**Introduction**

Coronary artery disease (CAD) represents the leading cause of death worldwide, attributed to over 17.5 million deaths annually, accounting for approximately 1 of every 3 deaths.\(^1\) In the United States, contemporary decreases in CAD-related mortality correlate with the three decades following the Surgeon’s General report on the ills of tobacco, the Framingham Heart Study’s identification of cardiac risk factors with lifestyle modification, and the widespread acceptance and accessibility of evidence-based use of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).\(^2\) The technologies underpinning PCI have evolved iteratively from balloon angioplasty to increasingly advanced metallic stent platforms with various drug chemistries to self-degrading non-metallic scaffolds. Large-scale clinical trials have validated the safety and efficacy of successive generations of stents. Equally important preclinical\(^3\)-\(^5\) and pathology studies provide complementary insight to reconcile adverse events, refine clinical protocols such as optimal use of anti-thrombotic therapy, and drive innovation for development of next-generation stents.

**Native coronary artery disease**

The fundamental pathogenesis of native coronary atherosclerosis has been described for decades but only relatively recently have the specific nuances of these processes been characterized, particularly with respect to coronary intervention. As early as the 1970s, the importance of arterial injury in the establishment of atherosclerosis was recognized in the context of vascular smooth muscle cell activation and proliferation.\(^6,7\) The injury model shifted towards a more complex understanding underpinned by inflammation, and integration of recognized cardiac risk factors such as hyperlipidemia,
hypertension, diabetes, and smoking.\textsuperscript{8,9} The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis as a chronic inflammatory disease marked by progressive vascular wall injury became further defined and synchronized with clinical events such as plaque rupture and acute thrombosis comprising the acute coronary syndrome.\textsuperscript{10-13} Establishment and maturation of atherosclerotic plaque is now well-recognized as a progression from deposition and subsequent oxidation of free cholesterol, intimal thickening, and xanthoma (“fatty streak”) development to infiltration and lipid-avid macrophages, formation of a necrotic core, and progression to fibroatheroma predisposed to rupture and thrombosis.\textsuperscript{14,15}

Introduction of routine percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) transformed the management of coronary artery disease and provided serial clinical data which emphasized the non-linear nature of atherosclerotic plaque development: luminal stenosis alone is not a predictor of future clinical events\textsuperscript{16} and bore the concept of the “vulnerable plaque.”\textsuperscript{17} Clearly, not all plaques are created equal and some are more prone to rupture than others. Our contemporary understanding of atherosclerosis now classifies the vulnerable plaque as thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA), predisposed to acute plaque rupture, and incorporates other pathologic mechanisms of thrombosis such as healed plaque rupture, surface erosion, and calcified nodules.\textsuperscript{13} Ante-mortem identification of such rupture-prone plaques has yet to be realized, frustrating current clinical management paradigms and causing some to question the very existence of such lesions.
Pathology of balloon angioplasty

The introduction of PCI with balloon angioplasty marked the first widely adopted technique to directly alter the natural history of atherosclerosis. Angioplasty alone, however, proved to be a temporizing therapy owing to the traumatic and inconsistent nature of plaque modification.\textsuperscript{18-20} Though initially perceived and perhaps hoped to result in permanent deformation, the end result was far more elastic, with reversible displacement that more often recoiled back to its original dimension. Associated tissue damage was, however, real and injury to endothelium, intima, and media promoted rapid restenosis within weeks to months of therapy in addition to further precipitating the acute complications of arterial dissection and recoil.\textsuperscript{21,22} The specific effects of balloon angioplasty on the arterial wall have been defined serially: vascular recoil and contraction following balloon dilation; injury to the intima and dissection of the media; inflammatory activation and proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells, resulting in rapid neointimal hyperplasia, extracellular matrix deposition, and negative vascular remodeling.\textsuperscript{23-26} To combat the loss of effect and minimize the extent of injury, PCI incorporated the use of permanent metal mesh implants, bare metal stents (BMS), following balloon angioplasty to rigidly support the arterial lumen, controlling arterial dissection and preventing acute arterial recoil to good effect.\textsuperscript{22,27} Stent placement, nevertheless, necessarily modifies the stenotic lesion and alters arterial architecture, inducing arterial injury not unlike balloon angioplasty.

Pathology of bare metal stents
Bare metal stent deployment typically results in neointimal hyperplasia, which is driven by vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and associated with macrophage accumulation and neovascularization. This may be either distributed along the length of the stent or focally.\textsuperscript{24,28-34} During the first two weeks of BMS placement, fibrin, platelets, and acute inflammatory cells are localized to the stent struts, particularly those embedded within the necrotic plaque core or injured arterial wall media (Figure 1 & 2).\textsuperscript{35,36} In the weeks and months that follow, neointimal hyperplasia and then increasingly, extracellular matrix deposition contribute to neointimal growth.\textsuperscript{37} In association with a metallic scaffold, the arterial architecture is altered such that homeostatic expansive remodeling occurs (the so-called Glagov’s phenomenon\textsuperscript{38}) and physiologic vasodilation is impaired. Incremental plaque development thus directly impinges on lumen area, rapidly precipitating in-stent restenosis (ISR) at an accelerated rate compared to native disease. Through these mechanisms, ISR accelerates early and appears to peak at around six months and, in its ultimate state, may precipitate recrudescence of clinical symptoms requiring repeat target lesions revascularization (TLR). By the first year following BMS placement, however, the neointima generally stabilizes and luminal diameter may regress.\textsuperscript{39,40} Our laboratory reported the importance of the geometric configuration of stent struts in addition to surface material in predicting the degree of arterial injury and inflammation (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{5} This idea not only led to introduction of a range of stent designs but suggested that drugs might best have their effects if delivered in the vicinity of the implanted devices and to this end, drug-eluting stents engineered with advanced materials and anti-proliferative properties were
developed to prevent short-term vascular injury, neointimal hyperplasia, and thrombosis.

**Pathology of drug-eluting stents: in-stent restenosis**

Drug-eluting stents (DES) are defined by an elutable drug delivered to the arterial wall via controlled release from a polymer matrix that uniformly coats the metallic stent. First-generational DES employed either the cytostatic agent sirolimus to suppress smooth muscle cell activation by arresting the G_1_ phase of the cell cycle or the cytotoxic agent paclitaxel to interfere with microtubular depolymerization.^41_ Introduction of DES significantly reduced ISR and TLR in several pivotal randomized clinical trials.^42–45_ It was still the case that lesion complexity drove ISR.^46,47_ Likely mechanisms to explain ISR with DES were organized into four primary domains: 1) biological factors including vascular injury,^33_ malapposition,^48_ non-uniform drug delivery;^49–51_ 2) mechanical factors including stent under-expansion,^52,53_ hemodynamic stress, and stent fracture;^54,55_ 3) technical factors including barotrauma,^43_ stent gap and overlap,^56_ and residual untreated plaque;^57_ and 4) patient-specific factors such as comorbidities,^58_ drug resistance,^59,60_ and hypersensitivity^61,62_ (Figure 4). Detailed pathological study of stents revealed direct injury to the media or lipid-rich necrotic core of plaques by penetrating stent struts, thus predisposing to ISR.^33,63_ Unique pathological responses are observed between stent composition, consistent with expected differences in drug biology.^64_ For example, response to sirolimus-eluting stents is often characterized by malapposition due to robust inflammation and intimal infiltration of eosinophils, lymphocytes, and giant cells whereas response to paclitaxel-eluting stents included malapposition induced by
excessive para-strut fibrin deposition. These processes ultimately invoke the common pathological response of neointimal hyperplasia and inflammation, leading to extracellular matrix deposition and negative vascular remodeling.

**Neoatherosclerosis**

Contemporary long-term pathological studies have identified a novel mechanism of in-stent atherosclerosis, which is independent of native atherosclerosis and develops rapidly within the neointima. This is so-called neoatherosclerosis, an important mediator of ISR with DES. Neoatherosclerosis is characterized by accelerated plaque progression in which there is accumulation of peri-strut macrophages and lipid-rich foam cells which organize as fibroatheroma on the luminal surface and deeper within the neointima. Neoatherosclerosis predisposes to plaque fissure and rupture that may present symptomatically as acute, often catastrophic, thrombosis or may be clinically silent, forming substrate for ISR and chronic thrombotic occlusion. Compared to BMS, DES are associated with neointima with more abundant proteoglycan in the extracellular matrix which is highly avid to lipoproteins. Local disruption of laminar blood flow by stent struts induces alterations in shear stress that stimulates endothelium to express the intercellular adhesion molecules ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, allowing transmigration of circulating monocytes into the neointima where they activate into macrophages, load with lipid, and form foam cells. The disrupted fluid dynamics also promote platelet and fibrin deposition, and predispose to thrombus formation. Over time, a necrotic core of free cholesterol devoid of extracellular matrix and sometimes exhibiting calcification forms within the neoatherosclerotic plaque from direct apoptosis of foam
cells and smooth muscle cells.\textsuperscript{74-76} Unlike native atherosclerosis, pathologic neointimal thickening does not occur within the stented neoatherosclerotic plaques, and lesions progress rapidly with superficial necrotic cores that are inherently less stable and progress more rapidly into late fibroatheromas.\textsuperscript{68} Moreover, unlike intimal xanthomas or “fatty steaks” in native atherosclerosis which may remain stable or regress,\textsuperscript{77,78} neoatherosclerosis lesions invariably progress to necrotic cores though apoptosis.\textsuperscript{13} Intramural hemorrhage from fissuring of the luminal surface or leaking of the adventitial vaso vasorum further destabilizes the neoatherosclerotic plaque.\textsuperscript{79} The fibrous cap eventually thins, forming “vulnerable plaque” or thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA) at high-risk for plaque rupture\textsuperscript{67} and a histologic predictor of future coronary event.\textsuperscript{13}

The precise mechanism of neoatherosclerosis remains unclear, but dysfunctional endothelial barrier function due to incompetent or incomplete endothelial coverage of the stent is thought to play a key role.\textsuperscript{79,80} This is supported by clinical evidence that neoatherosclerosis develops more rapidly with DES than BMS.\textsuperscript{65} Mechanical injury by stent struts denudes the arterial wall of endothelium. The anti-proliferative effects of DES prevents maturation of the regenerating endothelium, further impairing endothelial integrity. This may explain the more pronounced and rapid development of neoatherosclerosis in DES than in BMS.\textsuperscript{65,81} Specifically, poorly functional endothelium is characterized by reduced intercellular junctions, antithrombin expression, and nitric oxide production, which are observed more commonly in DES compared to BMS.\textsuperscript{71,80} The anti-proliferative effects of drug and delayed endothelial healing is further compounded when stent struts violate the necrotic core where drug clearance and
repair mechanisms are reduced in the avascular space.\textsuperscript{67} Classically, circulating monocytes infiltrate the intima through the damaged endothelium and differentiate into macrophages, which load with lipid and undergo apoptosis, leaving xanthomas.\textsuperscript{67,80} More recently, direct transdifferentiation of smooth muscle cells into macrophages has been described, using elegant \textit{in vitro} lineage tracing experiments of native atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{82} Whether a similar process of phenotype transition occurs in neoatherosclerosis is unknown. Neoatherosclerosis may result in ISR or more often serves as substrate for plaque instability and eventual rupture and thrombosis.

\textbf{Pathology of drug-eluting stents: late in-stent thrombosis}

A complementary pathological process to ISR is in-stent thrombosis (IST)\textsuperscript{83,84} (Figure 4). Whereas ISR is a progressive process often leading to stable angina and rarely (~10%), myocardial infarction (MI), IST is a catastrophic cause of acute MI and sudden death\textsuperscript{84} and may present as late stent failure.\textsuperscript{58,85-87} The biological, mechanical, technical, and patient-specific factors described for ISR also contribute to a lesser extent to IST.\textsuperscript{65,79,88,89} Notably, neoatherosclerosis has more recently been studied as a significant substrate for LST/VLST in both BMS and DES. Late stent failure has been ascribed to neoatherosclerosis in numerous pathology and intra-coronary imaging studies.\textsuperscript{66,90,91} While DES successfully forestalls early development of ISR through inhibition of intimal hyperplasia, delayed healing of the stented region also predisposes to the complication of late IST.\textsuperscript{79,85,88,92-95} Although initial studies reported comparable rates of IST with DES compared with BMS within the first six to twelve months of stenting,\textsuperscript{96-98} late thrombosis became recognized with longer follow-up.\textsuperscript{99} The benefit of
decreased ISR with DES was thus partially counter-balanced by increased risk of IST. Long-term rates of MI and death beyond one year after stenting were not significantly different between first-generation DES and BMS. In addition to acute and subacute IST within one month of stenting, late stent thrombosis (LST) occurring 30 days to one year and very late stent thrombosis (LVST) occurring after one year are well-recognized complications of first-generation DES. The primary substrate for LST/VLST in DES is delayed arterial healing and possibly inadequate stent coverage and incorporation into the vessel wall. The ratio of uncovered to total stent area as determined by histology is a significant predictor of LST. Thrombosis by plaque rupture may be mediated by lesions in the vicinity of thrombus initiation, likely either within the stented region or in the immediate vicinity. Intra-coronary imaging studies suggest that plaque rupture occurs more frequently at the non-stented region immediately adjacent to the stent edge, causing thrombosis of the stented region. Autopsy studies, however, show neoatherosclerosis originating within and restricted to stented regions, suggesting flow disturbances at the native-stent transition may play a role in stented plaque rupture and thrombosis.

**Second-generation DES**

Second-generation DES technology provided improvements in drug, polymer, and metal properties together with lower profile geometries that address many of the biological, mechanical, and technical factors that underlie first-generation DES failure. Nevertheless, second-generation DES remain vulnerable to long-term stent failure. The second-generation cobalt-chromium everolimus-eluting stent (CoCr-EES) has been
consistently superior to first-generation DES with reduction in ISR and TRL, myocardial infarction, and cardiac death in high-quality randomized control trials.\textsuperscript{94,103-107} Second-generation DES technology has ameliorated the risk of ISR with improved arterial healing and reduced the risk of IST,\textsuperscript{108-110} yet stent failure remains a known complication and cumulative incidence of ISR and TLR increases with all generations of DES platforms over time.\textsuperscript{86,111-113} It is suggested that in total, all of the design improvements that accompany CoCr-EES enhance healing or reduce initial injury with greater endothelial regeneration\textsuperscript{114} and less inflammation and fibrin deposition compared to sirolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting first-generation DES.\textsuperscript{115} Evidence for neoatherosclerosis was noted for CoCr-EES at 270 days, much later than first-generation sirolimus- and paclitaxel-DES in which neoatherosclerosis was observed at 120 and 70 days, respectively.\textsuperscript{115} The more gradual development of neoatherosclerosis in second- versus first-generation DES may promote more stable lesion development, as high-risk features like TCFA and plaque rupture were not observed with second-generation DES.\textsuperscript{116} While second-generation DES appears to promote greater endothelial healing and relatively more stable neoatherosclerosis, the overall incidence of neoatherosclerosis, however, is similar across DES generations,\textsuperscript{110,115,117} and thrombosis risk remains a concern clinically.\textsuperscript{111} Second-generation DES is increasingly acknowledged to have a catch-up phenomenon of neointimal growth that correlates with delayed arterial healing.\textsuperscript{111,118}

Further improvements in PCI technology and techniques have enabled treatment of more complex disease such as bifurcation lesions posing higher risk for complications.
The pathology associated with DES in bifurcation lesions is accentuated at the bifurcation carina, which is a high shear stress area where arterial healing is impaired compared to the lateral wall. This results in greater fibrin deposition, necrotic core accumulation, and plaque thickness at the carina compared to the lower shear stress lateral walls. Efforts to improve stent material and architecture as well as polymer coating have been eclipsed by technology to mitigate or eliminate these components from next generation devices.

**Next-generation stents and scaffolds**

Persistence of polymer coating in first-generation DES after drug delivery is problematic as a stimulus of peri-strut inflammation, delayed arterial healing, ISR, and IST. This has led to efforts to minimize polymer or develop polymer-free scaffolds. The next iteration of the DES involves further refinement of drug and polymer matrix, including stents with asymmetric coatings, biodegradable polymer materials or controlled drug delivery not requiring polymer coating. These DES with novel polymer materials or drug delivery strategies are thought be transformed into BMS after the acute and short-term benefit of drug elution is complete. The underlying hypothesis in support of the totally erodible materials is that long-term presence of a rigid metallic stent in the arterial environment inhibits physiologic vascular tone and vasomotion and is a nidus for late complications like fracture, neoatherosclerosis, and LST/LVST. To this end, bioresorbable scaffolds (BRS) that degrade after lesion pacification have been in development since the early conceptualization of endovascular stents with the pioneering Igaki-Tamai BRS and succeeded by several BRS currently in clinical trial.
Notably, the Absorb everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS) (Abbott Vascular; Abbott Park, Illinois) and DESolve novolimus-eluting bioresorbable coronary scaffold (Elixir Medical Corporation; Sunnyvale, California) have received Conformité Européenne (CE) mark approval in 2011 and 2013, respectively, and await FDA approval. Early experience with the Absorb BVS has been promising, but must be tempered by reports of increased rates of acute thrombosis. While BRS appears to address the longer-term complications of delayed arterial healing and neoatherosclerosis by complete degradation, they may be subject to the complications encountered with early stent designs. Specifically, large and bulky strut architecture and propensity for under expansion creates local disruption of laminar flow and increased hemodynamic stress. The inflammatory response to the Absorb BVS appears to peak at one month and largely abates by three months with positive expansile remodeling after 12 months in non-atherosclerotic swine but may persist for longer in the human. Small cohorts of patients have demonstrated similar increases in lumen diameter in imaging studies. The anticipated benefits of BRS technology, notably restoration of vascular tone and vasomotion and reduced risk of LST and LVST, require further clinical study. Human pathology and imaging studies will again provide important insights into the pathophysiology and performance of BRS technology.

**Summary/Conclusions**

The generalized pathology associated with endovascular stents is characterized by acute arterial injury, neointimal hyperplasia and inflammation, extracellular matrix deposition, and negative vascular remodeling. Numerous biological, mechanical, and
technical factors contribute to ISR and IST, and evolution of PCI technologies has led to an amazing array of studies defining this vascular pathobiology in a synergistic manner and addressed these pathologies, seeking to balance the acute, chronic, and long-term requirements for lesion pacification and vascular healing. Commercially available DES are effective but limited by late and very late complications of IST, mediated principally by incomplete endothelial healing as a consequence of the anti-proliferative effects that oppose early ISR. Neoatherosclerosis is another mechanism increasingly recognized as a cause of LST and LVST. Next-generation DES and BRS platforms address the pathology observed with prior stent designs and will likely be complemented by adjunctive devices like drug-eluting balloons and specialized bifurcation designs. Careful attention to the pathophysiological response to these new technologies, with rigorous preclinical, autopsy, and in vivo imaging studies, will inform continued advancements. Successful endovascular stent design will ultimately match the specific attributes of the stent with the expected pathology underlying the exact clinical setting, including integration of lesion- and patient-specific determinants of stent failure.
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Figure 1. A & B, Acute and subacute thrombosis is observed following endovascular bare metal stent (BMS) placement. C, Three days following endovascular BMS placement (Verhoeff’s elastic tissue stain), adherent mononuclear cells line the internal elastic lamina (arrow). D, Two weeks following BMS placement (Modified Russell-Movat Pentachrome stain), robust neointimal hyperplasia is observed separating the lumen from the internal elastic lamina (arrows). Stent struts (black rectangles, white area is post-processing effect). Modified from Rogers et al.133

Figure 2. Activity of the four primary components of arterial injury following stent placement. Platelet-rich thrombosis peaks 3–4 days after stent deployment especially over areas of strut injury. Concomitant inflammation is initially mediated by surface-adherent monocytes (SAM) recruited to the injury site that then migrate into the neointima as tissue-infiltrating monocytes (TIM) and accumulate around the stent struts as giant cells. Vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation peaks 7 days after stent deployment coincident with the transition of SAM to TIM and continues for weeks afterward. Extracellular matrix deposition in the adventitia, tunica media, and neointima accelerates at week 3 after stent deployment and underlies arterial remodeling and subsequent luminal narrowing. Adapted from Edelman and Rogers.36

Figure 3. Stent surface and geometry significantly affect vascular injury and neointimal hyperplasia.5 Two bare metal stent (BMS) where fabricated using the same metal,
process, and net surface area, on in a slotted tube (upper left panel) and the other corrugated ring (lower left panel) configuration and implanted in rabbit femoral arteries. Histologic examination was performed on methylmethacyrlate-embedded specimens harvested two weeks following placement. A, B uncoated surface; C, D polymer coated surface. Internal elastic lamina (arrow), stent struts (black rectangles), and polymer material (white rim circumscribing stent strut in C & D). Adapted from Edelman and Rogers.⁵

**Figure 4.** Schematic of the mechanisms and pathology mediating clinical in-stent restenosis and thrombosis, which likely lies on a shared continuum that shifts from early events associated with bare metal stents (BMS) to late events, associated with drug eluting stents (DES). Biological factors include vascular injury, malapposition with fibrin deposition, and non-uniform drug delivery; mechanical factors include stent under-expansion, hemodynamic stress, and stent fracture; technical factors include balloon barotrauma, stent gap and overlap, and residual untreated plaque; and patient-specific factors such as comorbidities, drug resistance, and hypersensitivity. Extracellular matrix = ECM.
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