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We present a thermoforming method to make in-line micromixer in commercial fluoropolymer tubing. The technique is low-cost and easy to implement in the laboratory. Tested by dye tracing experiments, the tubular micromixers with surface screw patterns demonstrate excellent performance as characterized by the Villermaux-Dushman reaction and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations. Results show that the formed static mixer performs better than coiled and straight tubes for the low Reynolds number (Re < 100) regime encountered in many laboratory flow chemistry experiments. The observations correlate well with residence time distribution (RTD) experiments revealing reduced dispersion. The improved performance of the screw-tube mixers is attributed to the forced rotating flow around the central axis. The new static mixer structures are employed in the synthesis of gold nanoparticles with high yield and narrower size distribution particles compared to results with coiled tubes.

Introduction

Fluoropolymer tubes are widely used for carrying out chemical reactions owing to their good chemical inertness to acids, bases, and most solvents.1,2 However, for the low Reynolds numbers encountered in typical laboratory flow chemistry experiments, reagent mixing in straight tubes is limited by molecular diffusion and the reaction mixture is subject to dispersion.3,4 In larger tubes, static mixers create cross-streamwise mixing, but they are difficult to obtain at reasonable cost in mm dimensions and in fluoropolymers. Coiled tubing is an often used method to enhance mixing in reaction systems built with fluoropolymer tubes.5,6 However, the intensity of the Dean vortices that create the mixing is strongly dependent on high flow rates of fluid, which can be problematic for flow chemistry studies with expensive reagents and slow kinetics.

Mixing challenges have driven two decades of research in enhancing mixing and reducing dispersion in microfluidic systems.7–9 Injecting an immiscible fluid into process fluid to create a segmented flow has been an effective strategy to reduce dispersion,10,11 but it requires the subsequent removal of the injected dispersant. Stroock et al.12 showed that an alternating herringbone pattern created imprinted on the bottom of a channel in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) could be an effective means of creating cross-streamwise mixing. Building upon this approach, we thought to create patterns on the inside of fluoropolymer tubes to act as built-in static micromixers. For that purpose, we developed a thermoforming method to turn commercial PFA (perfluoroalkoxy alkanes), FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene) and Tefzel® (ETFE, ethylene tetrafluoroethylene) tubes into patterned tubular micromixers.

In the following sections, we describe the fabrication process and characterize the performance of the resulting “screw-tube micromixer” through dye visualization, the Villermaux–Dushman reaction, residence time distribution (RTD) measurements, and gold nanoparticles synthesis. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation provides further insight into the mixing enhancement mechanism of the screw-tube mixer.

Fluoropolymer in-line mixer fabrication

Fig. 1(a) shows the thermoforming fabrication procedure for a fluoropolymer micromixer. The first step was to machine two metal molds with engraved patterns (screw pitch = 1 mm, minor diameter = 1.4 mm, and major diameter = 2.2 mm) to generate the screw mixing structures. Then, a fluoropolymer tube (1.6 mm outer diameter, Idex Health & Science, USA) was sandwiched between the molds and tightened by bolts around the edges. The molds with the tube were subsequently placed in an oven under heat treatment at a constant...
shape connector from a 6.4 mm FEP tube with the thermoforming the gold nanoparticle preparation experiments. (e) Fabrication of a Y slant-grooved tunnel and split-recombined tunnel was also works well in fabrication of FEP and ETFE with different colors and de-types of micromixers from the thermoforming method. This method fittings. They are also cut open and captured in a microscope to reveal the material, and therefore the pillars inserted were very im-portant for supporting the connecting holes. After the metal molds cooled down to room temperature, the pillars were re-moved revealing the thermoformed connector. This connec-tor was employed in the dye tracing experiment to show the color of the fluids prior to mixing. For more details of the fabrication of the connector and the micromixers, please refer to the ESI.

**Table 1** Inner screw structures of the micromixers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original tube IDs (mm)</th>
<th>D₁ for the inner screw (mm)</th>
<th>D₂ for the inner screw (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

temperature (defined below) for 1 h. The patterned fluoro-polymer tube was released after cooling to room temperature. We tested this method with 3 commonly used fluoropolymer materials in flow chemistry studies PFA, FEP and Tefzel® (ETFE). The PFA tubes, with 3 different inner diameters (ID) of 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 mm and the same outer diameter (OD) of 1.6 mm, were fabricated using the mode with the same en-graved structure, and screw patterns in the interior surface were successfully thermoformed, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The lower and upper bounds of the diameters (D₁ and D₂) according to the patterned screw structures were measured from the microscope pictures and summarized in Table 1. For FEP and ETFE, we only tested the tubes with 0.75 mm ID and 1.6 mm OD, as shown in Fig. 1(c), which had the same inner structures as the 0.75 mm ID PFA micromixer. Besides the screw mixing patterns, other mixing structures were fabricated by the thermoforming method, including a slant-grooved tunnel and a split-recombined tunnel as shown in Fig. 1(c). Length details of the patterns to obtain these structures are given in Fig. S1 of the ESI.

The heat treatment temperatures of PFA (T = 260 °C) and FEP (200 °C) were determined based on their melting points (Table S1 of the ESI), while that of ETFE was optimized by experiments. The portions of the fluoropolymer tubes outside the molds were marginally influenced by the thermoforming process, so that commercial fittings (Idex Health & Science, USA) were able to allow easy assembly of the micromixer in the flow chemistry platform shown in Fig. 1(d). In addition, the color and degree of transparency of the fluoropolymer tubes were unaffected by the thermoforming process, which made it possible to visualize the flow in the mixer with dye tracing experiments, as described in the following section. The length of all mixers was 72 mm in this study due to the size of the oven. However, if heating rods and temperature controllers were added into the metal molds (Fig. S2†), the thermoforming process could be carried out without an oven, opening the opportunity for extending the mixing length by repeatedly squeezing and thermoforming procedures.

Fluoropolymer tubing connectors could also be fabricated using this method with the support of metal pillars inside the fluoropolymer tubes, as shown in Fig. 1(e). In this case, a 6.4 mm OD FEP tube with 0.5 mm thick wall (McMaster, USA) was squeezed with the molds in Fig. S1(c).† In order to seal the contact regions of the connector, the heat treatment temperature (T = 240 °C) was set close to the melting point of the material, and therefore the pillars inserted were very important for supporting the connecting holes. After the metal molds cooled down to room temperature, the pillars were re-moved revealing the thermoformed connector. This connec-tor was employed in the dye tracing experiment to show the color of the fluids prior to mixing. For more details of the fabrication of the connector and the micromixers, please refer to the ESI.

**Mixing characterization**

In order to demonstrate fluid mixing in the micromixers, dye-tracing experiments were carried out in the first place with blue (0.062 wt% Erioglaucine from Sigma-Aldrich) and red (0.10 wt% Rhodamine B from Sigma-Aldrich) aqueous solutions as shown in Fig. 2(a). The first examined was the
screw-tube micromixers. The colored fluids were introduced into the mixer through the thermoformed connector in Fig. 1(c). Flow rates were controlled by syringe pumps (PHD Ultra 2000, Harvard Apparatus) and mixing was visualized by a stereoscopic microscope (Leica) and recorded by a digital camera (Nikon). Limited by the microscope’s field of view, images were jointed with the help of a ruler to show the whole mixer (Fig. 2b). As injecting the two solutions with identical flow rates, the blended liquid quickly turned into purple after eluting only 1–2 cm of the mixer. These quick color changes were observed at total flow rates \(Q_{\text{blue}} + Q_{\text{red}}\) from 0.3 to 6 mL min\(^{-1}\). Enlarged pictures on the right side of Fig. 2(b) show the uniformity of the purple color along the tube. Supplementary pictures for the experiments with non-identical flow rates are exhibited by Fig. S3 in the ESI\(^†\). Fast mixing was also observed with flow rate ratios \(Q_{\text{blue}}/Q_{\text{red}}\) of 2.0 and 5.0. In comparison, Fig. 2(c) indicates the mixing in the home-made slant-grooved tunnel, split-recombined tunnel, and a straight tube, respectively. According to the pictures, mixing is not finished in either the 72 mm long slant-grooved tunnel or the split-recombined tunnel. It is very likely because the mixing structures obtained from our present thermoforming procedures are not as precise as the ones made by etching or photolithography, which has better structural control during fabrication.\(^14,15\) In addition, the straight tube experiment confirms that mixing in it is mainly dependent on molecular diffusion between the colored layers shown in Fig. 2(c). Supplementary videos are attached in the ESI\(^†\) to visualize the typical dye tracing experiments.

To further understand the mixing mechanism, we conducted CFD simulations with COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3a of the 3D internal flow patterns and species concentration profiles in the screw-tube micromixer. Since the Reynolds number (Re) was <150 in all experiments examined, laminar flow models with stationary solvers were employed to solve the continuity equation, the Naiver–Stokes (NS) equation and the diffusion–convection equation in eqn. S1 to S3.\(^†\) Due to the repetitive structure of the screw tube mixer, we first simulated a 10 mm long domain rather than the full length of 72 mm to understand the influence of geometry on mixing. 7 million tetrahedron gird cells with 0.0415 mm maximum mesh length were used in the calculation, which cost about 3 h time in a ThinkPad P50 Workstation with Intel Core i7-6820HQ CPU @ 2.70 GHz. The effect of numerical diffusion on the calculation results could be neglected based on a mesh independent study (details provided in the ESI\(^†\)). In Fig. 3(a), the arrows (adjusted by the according velocity magnitude) show that the screw leads to a rotating flow field along the axial direction. Fig. 3(b) reveals the curved streamlines in the micromixer and the velocity magnitude distributions in different axial cross-sections, exhibiting a rotating flow path. Additionally, Fig. 3(c) shows the dye concentration profiles, which further confirm that the working mechanism of the screw-tube mixer is to force fluid to rotate along the axis of the mixer (for more details of the simulation settings, please refer to the ESI\(^†\)).
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**Fig. 2** Joined images from the dye tracing experiments. (a) The experimental platform. (b) The screw-tube micromixers. From top to bottom: original tube ID = 1.0 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 1.0\) mL min\(^{-1}\); original tube ID = 0.75 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 1.0\) mL min\(^{-1}\); original tube ID = 0.5 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 0.5\) mL min\(^{-1}\); split-recombined tunnel, original tube ID = 0.75 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 1.0\) mL min\(^{-1}\); split-recmbed tunnel, original tube ID = 0.75 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 1.0\) mL min\(^{-1}\); straight tube: tube ID = 0.75 mm, \(Q_{\text{blue}} = Q_{\text{red}} = 1.0\) mL min\(^{-1}\). Details of the patterns on the molds are provided in Fig. S1 of the ESI\(^†\).
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**Fig. 3** CFD simulations for the 3D fluid flow and concentration profiles in a 10 mm long screw-tube mixer. (a) Flow directions along the mixer. (b) Streamlines through the mixer and velocity profiles on different axial cross-sections. We want to emphasize that regions with the highest velocity magnitude is not in the center of the mixer. (c) Concentration profiles in different axial cross-sections, where fluid rotation is clearly captured. (d) and (e) Comparison between the mixing intensities of the screw-tube micromixer and a straight tube with the same length and diameter. All scale bars (in black color) are 1 mm. The flow rate is 1.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) in the model.
Using these data of concentrations, we calculated the mixing intensity \( I_M \) (defined by eqn (1)) along the tube:

\[
I_M = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\delta^2}{\delta_{\text{max}}^2}}
\]

where \( \delta^2 \) is the variance of the dye concentration, \( \delta_{\text{max}}^2 \) is the theoretical maximum value of \( \delta^2 \), implying a completely segregated system, \( N \) is the number of sampling points, \( C_i \) is the dye concentration at sampling point \( i \), and \( C_{\text{average}} \) is the average of dye concentration in the system determined by the flow rates and concentrations of both inlets. If \( I_M \) is closer to 1, mixing is almost complete. Fig. 3(d) and (e) compare the mixing intensities of the 10 mm screw-tube mixer and a straight tube with the same diameter and length. The results indicate that the rotating fluid pattern facilitates mixing in the screw-tube micromixer, whilst only parts of fluids near the diffusion layer in the straight tube are mixed. Based on this evidence, we further investigated the whole mixing process in the 72 mm screw-tube mixer by calculating the mixing distance, which measures the minimum length from the beginning screw to the location where the constraint \( C_{\text{max}} - C_{\text{min}} \leq 0.01 \) is satisfied. The mixing distances with different flow rates are summarized in Table 2, suggesting effective and efficient mixing through the unit. Moreover, the screw patterns effective do not introduce large pressure drop in the system (cf. Table 2) consistent with no deformation of the fluoropolymers was observed during the experiments. Supplementary results for the CFD simulation are listed and discussed in Fig. S5 of the ESI.

The mixing in the screw-tube micromixer was further studied by the classical Villermaux-Dushman reactions (eqn (3)) as a standard procedure for quantifying mixing performance of micromixers.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{BO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \quad \text{(quasi-instantaneous)} \\
5\Gamma + 3\text{IO}_3^- + 6\text{H}^+ &\rightarrow 3\text{I}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(very fast)} \\
\text{I}_2 + \Gamma &\rightarrow \text{I}_3^- \quad \text{(quasi-instantaneous)}
\end{align*}
\]

The principle of this method is based on the rate competition of a quasi-instantaneous reaction of \( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3^- \) and \( \text{H}^+ \), and a fast reaction between \( \Gamma, \text{IO}_3^- \), and \( \text{H}^+ \), which is relatively slower (rate constants of the Villermaux-Dushman reaction had been reported by Fournier et al., and listed in the ESI†). In perfect mixing conditions, \( \text{H}^+ \) is instantaneously neutralized by \( \text{H}_2\text{BO}_3^- \) so that no \( \text{I}_2 \) can be generate. However, if the mixing time is comparable to or even larger than the reaction time, unreacted \( \text{H}^+ \) is able to react with \( \Gamma \) and \( \text{IO}_3^- \) to form \( \text{I}_2 \), which further converts to \( \text{I}_3^- \) based upon the quasi-instantaneous equilibrium. In order to quantify the mixing performance, the segment index \( X_S \), a ratio of \( \text{I}_2 + \text{I}_3^- \) yield \( Y \) to the theoretical yield of \( \text{I}_2 + \text{I}_3^- \) when mixing is infinitely slow, \( Y_{\text{ST}} \) was introduced (eqn (4)). \( X_S \) ranges between 0 and 1, and the smaller \( X_S \) the better is the mixing.\(^{19}\)

\[
X_S = \frac{Y}{Y_{\text{ST}}} = \frac{2(n_{\text{I}_2} + n_{\text{I}_3^-})/n_{\text{H}^+}}{6C_{\text{IO}_3^-} + 6C_{\text{H}_2\text{BO}_3^-}} = \frac{C_i + C_{\text{IO}_3^-}}{3C_{\text{IO}_3^-}}
\]

Here, \( n \) is the molar flow rate, \( C \) is the concentration, \( Q \) is the total flow rate, and subscript 0 denotes the original reactant solutions. The platforms shown in Fig. 1(d) and S6 of the ESI† were used to test the mixing performance of the screw-tube mixers, a coiled tube, and a straight tube. The acid and base solutions were set to have identical flow rates to measure with an in-line UV-vis spectrometer at 286 nm. (Other experimental details are provided in the ESI†).

We first compared the variation of \( X_S \) in the screw-tube mixer, the coiled tube mixer and the straight tube with the same ID of 0.75 mm. As a baseline, mixing in a 400 mm long straight tube is poor with a \( X_S \) as high as 0.3, even when the flow rate increases (Fig. 4a). For the coiled tube with 32 mm loop diameter and the same length of the straight tube, better mixing performance is only observed when the total flow rate of acid and base solutions was above 0.8 mL min\(^{-1}\). At that point, the Dean vortices (Dean number \( \text{De} = 3.9 \)) are strong enough to promote mixing.\(^{20}\) The screw-tube micromixer exhibits the best mixing performance, indicated by the smallest \( X_S \) at any flow rate. The rotational flow induced by the screw pattern leads to cross-streamwise mixing even at low flow rates, which is desirable for flow chemistry studies with long residence times. We also compared the mixing performance in the screw-tube micromixers with different inner diameters (Fig. 1b, the original tube ID, \( d \), is used to distinguish those mixers in the figure), and the results show that the smaller diameter tube give better mixing (Fig. 4b), although the depth of the screw pattern is relatively smaller.

### RTD performance

Measurements of the residence time distribution (RTD) in the screw-tube mixer provides a second characterization of the cross-streamwise mixing in the system. The more cross-
stream mixing the less the dispersion and the narrower RTD.\textsuperscript{21} We used an automated platform and the blue dye \textit{(Erioglaucine)} in the above mixing experiment as a tracer to determine the RTDs for the screw-tube mixers (details are given in the ESI). The RTDs were determined by deconvolution of the measured outputs from the system with and without the screw-tube micromixer in place by using a dispersion model with “open-open” boundary conditions (eqn (5)) in conjunction with a deconvolution algorithm.\textsuperscript{22}

$$E(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi(D_{\text{screw}}/\mu L)}} \exp\left[\frac{-(1-\theta)^3}{4\theta(D_{\text{screw}}/\mu L)}\right]$$ (5)

Here $E(\theta)$ is the dimensionless residence time distribution function; $\theta$ is equal to $t/t_{\text{r}}$; $t$ is the mean residence time;\textsuperscript{23} $D_{\text{screw}}$ is the dispersion coefficient of the screw-tube micromixer; $u$ is the mean velocity; and $L$ is the mixer length.

Fig. 5(a) shows 3 groups of typical dimensionless RTD results of the screw-tube mixers with different diameters. In this test, the flow rates were kept constant at 1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} in all three mixers, but the results indicate that the smaller diameter micromixer has larger dispersion coefficient. This may be attributed to the relative smaller mixing pattern (Fig. 1b) in the tube inner surface, which leaded to slower rotation of fluid. However, the smaller diameter mixer also had better mixing, which is attributed to the shorter contact distance in the narrower tube mixers. Fig. 5(b) exhibits the dispersion coefficients in all three screw-tube mixers at different Re compared to the predicted dispersion coefficient by Taylor expression (eqn (6)).\textsuperscript{23}

$$D_{\text{straight}} = \frac{u^2d^2}{192\mu} = \left(\frac{u}{\rho}\right)^2 \frac{Re^2}{192D}$$ (6)

where $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the tracer estimated by $1 \times 10^{-9}$ m\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}. All dispersion coefficients in the screw-tube mixers are smaller than the predicted valves for the straight tube, especially at Re > 20, indicating the strongly effect of the cross-stream mixing on narrowing the RTD. Therefore, both good mixing and excellent residence time control can be achieved using the screw-tube mixer.

**Gold nanoparticle synthesis**

We further used synthesis of gold nanoparticles (Au-NPs) as a model system to evaluate the reaction enhancement performance of the designed screw-tube mixer.\textsuperscript{24,25} The Au-NPs were prepared by the reaction between HAuCl\textsubscript{4} and NaBH\textsubscript{4} with tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide (TTAB) as ligands in the aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{26} Since the reducing reagent, NaBH\textsubscript{4}, can also be consumed by hydrolysis, the fast mixing between HAuCl\textsubscript{4} and NaBH\textsubscript{4} is important to obtain high yields of Au-NPs. Utilizing the platform shown in Fig. 1(d) and S9 of the ESI with the same flow rate of NaBH\textsubscript{4} and HAuCl\textsubscript{4} + TTAB solutions, we compared the yields and particle size distribution of Au-NPs prepared with or without the
The average particle sizes ($d_{av}$) and corresponding standard deviations (Std) of particles from TEM analysis are listed in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_{HAuCl_4}$ (mol/mol)</th>
<th>$C_{NaBH_4}$ (mol/mol)</th>
<th>Original ID of the mixer</th>
<th>$d_{av}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Std (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>No mixer</td>
<td>No mixer</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The performance of the screw-tube micromixers was systematically investigated by dye tracing experiments, CFD simulations and the Villermaux–Dushman reaction experiments. Results indicated that the working mechanism of the screw-tube mixer is to generate rotating flow patterns in tubes, especially at Re > 20. RTD experiments further suggested reduced dispersion of the screw-tube micromixer compared to that of a straight tube owing to the cross-streamwise mixing. The screw-tube mixer was employed in the synthesis of Au-NPs by reaction between HAuCl₄ and NaBH₄. Higher yield as well as smaller size Au-NPs were obtained with the screw-tube mixers.
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