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The 3D structure of the genome plays a key role in regulatory control of the cell. Experimental methods such as high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) have been developed to probe the 3D structure of the genome. However, it remains a challenge to deduce from these data chromosome regions that are colocalized and coregulated. Here, we present an integrative approach that leverages 1D functional genomic features (e.g., epigenetic marks) with 3D interactions from Hi-C data to identify functional interchromosomal interactions. We construct a weighted network with 250-kb genomic regions as nodes and Hi-C interactions as edges, where the edge weights are given by the correlation between 1D genomic features. Individual interacting clusters are determined using weighted correlation clustering on the network. We show that intermingling regions generally fall into either active or inactive clusters based on the enrichment for RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) and H3K9me3, respectively. We show that active clusters are hotspots for transcription factor binding sites. We also validate our predictions experimentally by 3D fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) experiments and show that active RNAPII is enriched in predicted active clusters. Our method provides a general quantitative framework that couples 1D genomic features with 3D interactions from Hi-C to probe the guiding principles that link the spatial organization of the genome with regulatory control.

Significance

We develop a network analysis approach for identifying clusters of interactions between chromosomes, which we validate experimentally. Our method integrates 1D features of the genome, such as epigenetic marks, with 3D interactions, allowing us to study spatially colocalized regions between chromosomes that are functionally relevant. We observe that clusters of interchromosomal regions fall into active and inactive categories. We find that active clusters share transcription factors and are enriched for transcriptional machinery, suggesting that chromosome intermingling regions play a key role in genome regulation. Our method provides a unique quantitative framework that can be broadly applied to study the principles of genome organization and regulation during processes such as cell differentiation and reprogramming.
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colocalized and coregulated in 3D. The model of gene regulation that is captured by our analysis is the spatial clustering of genomic regions for their coregulation (27). This mode of gene regulation may enable the cell to coordinate gene expression and activate or repress pathways that are important for cell function in a coordinated manner. We focus on interchromosomal interactions to study chromosome intermingling regions. Using a network analysis approach, we construct a network of chromosomal interactions weighted by correlations in their genomic features at a 250-kb resolution. We find that intermingling regions can be divided into active and inactive clusters, where active clusters are hotspots for TF binding. We validate our predictions using FISH by comparing a predicted active cluster vs. a predicted negative control and also confirm that active RNAP II is significantly enriched in the predicted active cluster.

Results
Identification of Intermingling Domains. To identify interchromosomal regions that are both spatially colocalized and coregulated, we leveraged spatial information from Hi-C experiments and regulatory information, namely, epigenetic marks, TF ChIP-seq, DNase I hypersensitivity (DNase-seq), and RNA-seq. Our aim was to identify clusters of chromosome regions at the whole-genome scale that interact spatially due to similarities in their regulatory features and thus might be coregulated by shared regulatory factors and epigenetic marks. Our method consists of four steps outlined in Fig. 1: (i) identification of highly interacting domains by determining large average submatrices in interchromosomal Hi-C maps, (ii) superimposing regulatory marks on the interacting domains, (iii) construction of a network of interacting regions with edges weighted by the correlation of the superimposed marks as a measure of coregulation, and (iv) network clustering to obtain spatially colocalized and coregulated domains.

We analyzed Hi-C data from human lung fibroblast (IMR-90) cells at 250-kb resolution, obtained from ref. 28. After bias correction, filtering, and transforming the data (SI Appendix), we identified a stringent set of highly interacting interchromosomal regions by solving the following submatrix finding problem in Hi-C maps. We sought a contiguous large average submatrix (LAS) algorithm (29) that balances matrix size and average value, as outlined in SI Appendix to discover highly interacting domains. Fig. 1A shows the identified domains in the Hi-C contact map for chromosomes 19 and 20. As shown in Fig. 1A, the LAS algorithm captures the regions with high intensity in the interchromosomal matrix. Applying this procedure to all pairwise interchromosomal maps yields Fig. 1B, where each entry in the matrix corresponds to the number of 250-kb regions identified for the particular chromosome pair [false discovery rate (FDR) < 4.16 × 10^-8, SI Appendix]. The total size of highly interacting domains across all chromosomes spanned 903.25 Mb (SI Appendix, Table S1). Consistent with previous observations (2, 30), Fig. 1B shows that gene-dense
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**Fig. 1.** Overview of the proposed quantitative framework for detecting intermingling regions. (A) Example of an observed interchromosomal Hi-C contact matrix at 250-kb resolution after preprocessing and transformation (standardized by mean and SD after log(1 + x) transformation) for chromosomes 19 and 20 (SI Appendix). Rectangular boxes represent interacting domains for this pair of chromosomes as detected by the LAS algorithm, which finds submatrices with high average. (B) Matrix containing the number of interacting 250-kb regions identified by the LAS algorithm for each pair of chromosomes. (C) Subnetwork of the chromosome interaction network corresponding to two distinct clusters. Nodes are colored by chromosome number. Each node in the network corresponds to a 250-kb region. Edges link nodes that are found together in a submatrix (box) as determined by the LAS algorithm. The edge weights are given by the strength of correlation between the genomic features (histone modifications, TF ChIP-seq, DNase-seq, and RNA-seq as listed in SI Appendix, Table S2) of adjacent 250-kb nodes. (D and F) Activity (normalized number of peaks in a 250-kb region) of the genomic features on the interacting domains, (E) construction of a network of interacting regions with edges weighted by correlation of the genomic features for the two clusters obtained by weighted correlation clustering on the subnetwork in C. Each ring corresponds to an genomic feature, listed from outer ring to inner ring in SI Appendix, Table S2. Features are grouped into active (outer rings—RNA-seq, RNAP II, H3K4me1, H3K4me2, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K9ac, repressive (middle rings—H3K27me3 and H3K9me3), and other (inner rings) categories. (F) Fold enrichment of each genomic feature in the intermingling regions (SI Appendix).
We found a striking difference between chromosomes 18 and 19—which these two chromosomes are approximately equal in size, the gene-poor chromosome 18 has a low level of intermingling across most chromosomes, while the gene-rich chromosome 19 tends to intermingle more with other chromosomes.

Integration of Functional Genomic Data and Network Analysis. We obtained functional genomic data: TF ChiP-seq, histone modifications, DNase-seq, and RNA-seq data from ENCODE (32), Roadmap Epigenomics (33), and GEO databases (SI Appendix, Table S2). We used these experimental data as a regulatory profile for all 250-kb regions that lay within the intermingling domains.

Considering each selected 250-kb region as a node, a whole-genome network of chromosomal interactions was constructed as follows. Between chromosomes, the edges in the network were placed between pairs of 250-kb regions that lay within the same submatrix as identified by the LAS algorithm. Within chromosomes, edges were placed between loci that fall within the same intrachromosomal domain, as determined in ref. 28. After establishing the skeleton of the network, the edge weights were calculated as follows. Since our goal was to determine spatially coregulated regions, we weighted the edges by Spearman’s correlation between the genomic profiles of adjacent 250-kb regions. This combined approach can mitigate some of the noise associated with using Hi-C contact frequencies alone. In addition, it allows us to identify chromosome intermingling regions with coordinated activity, which might be controlled by the same set of TFs or epigenetic marks, as opposed to domains that interact in 3D by chance.

A subnetwork containing six 250-kb regions from three distinct chromosomes is shown in Fig. 1C. The edge weights in this subnetwork supported the presence of two separate clusters.

To retrieve intermingling regions that are coregulated, the weighted network of 250-kb regions was partitioned into clusters, using weighted correlation clustering (34) (see SI Appendix). This approach can for example identify regions that are brought together for transcription, since these would have high RNAPII and low repressive epigenetic marks. This approach indeed found two clusters in the subnetwork shown in Fig. 1C. The regulatory profiles of the six regions, separated into two clusters, are illustrated in Fig. 1D and E. As a consequence of using weighted correlation clustering, the genomic features within a cluster are more similar than across clusters. Interestingly, the particular cluster in Fig. 1D is enhanced for active genomic features (we analyzed H3K9ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me3, H3K4me2, H3K4me1, RNAPII, and RNA-seq) and depleted for repressive features (we analyzed H3K27me3 and H3K9me3), while the cluster in Fig. 1E is depleted for active features. Using this method, 446 clusters (totaling 459.5 Mb; SI Appendix, Table S1) were identified (P value < $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ under a chi² test) that consist of at least two nodes and span multiple chromosomes (SI Appendix, Table S3 and Dataset S1). On average, 2.5 chromosomes interact within one cluster (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

We analyzed the enrichment of regulatory marks in intermingling regions and found that these regions were most enriched for RNAPII, namely by a factor of 2.25 (Fig. 1F). We also found the active and repressive marks (e.g., H3K9ac, H3K4me3, and H3K9me3) to be enriched in intermingling clusters, which is consistent with a previous study (10).

Regulatory Features are Predictive of Intermingling. To characterize intermingling regions as a whole and evaluate whether they are distinct from nonintermingling regions on a regulatory level, we built a classifier and determined the features that contribute the most to distinguishing between these two classes. These features may represent a mechanism to spatially cluster genes for their coregulation. We annotated 250-kb regions as intermingling or nonintermingling based on the results from our network analysis and clustering. We then performed classification based on the associated regulatory profiles (SI Appendix, Table S2). We used eXtreme gradient boosting trees with 10-fold cross-validation to train our classifier. Using all features, the classifier achieves an accuracy of 85% ± 5% and the corresponding receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve in Fig. 2A has an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.77.

To quantify the importance of each feature by itself and in conjunction with all other features, we computed its univariate and multivariate rank based on its depth in the decision trees of the ensemble (Fig. 2B and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The most important features determined by this analysis are lamin B1 (LMNB1), H3K9me3, and H3K56ac. H3K9me3 marks ties with the observation that intermingling regions contain both active and repressed regions (35). Furthermore, previous mapping of LMNB1 in the genome revealed the presence of lamina-associated domains (LADs) that interact with the lamina on the nuclear envelope, spatially organize chromosomes by anchoring them to the lamina, and display coordinated gene repression (36–38). H3K9me3 is enriched in LADs and may facilitate gene silencing in LADs (37, 39). The context-dependent importance of this feature is in line with its low univariate, but high multivariate rank (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). H3K56ac is a known mark of transcriptionally active chromatin regions (40, 41). Finally, H2A.Z is enriched at transcription start sites (42), indicating its involvement in transcription initiation, and it appears to be a defining feature of intermingling on its own (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

Performing stepwise feature elimination shows that ~13 features are sufficient for achieving high AUC (Fig. 2C) and the corresponding features are annotated by asterisks in Fig. 2B.

Intermingling Clusters Are Divided into Active and Inactive Clusters. While it is interesting to evaluate intermingling regions altogether, studying them on a cluster-by-cluster level may give
The majority of active clusters contained binding sites for TFs that are shared across regions spanning multiple chromosomes (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). For example, the cluster studied in Fig. 1D involving chromosomes 12 and 17 contains binding sites for the TFs USF1 and NRF1 on regions of both chromosomes (Fig. 4B). This cluster is formed by the colocalization between two adjacent 250-kb regions on chromosome 12 and one region on chromosome 17. Gene ontology (GO) term analysis of the expressed genes (SI Appendix, Fig. S5) in this cluster revealed an enrichment for biological processes related to fibroblasts such as “cytoskeleton-dependent intracellular transport” (Fig. 4C). On the other hand, we found that inactive clusters contained a low number of TFBS (SI Appendix, Fig. S6 and Table S5), reaffirming the existence of two distinct types of cluster categories for intermingling regions.

**Experimental Validation.** We ranked the active clusters according to the presence of binding sites for TFs that were shared across multiple chromosomes, using a permutation test (SI Appendix). The top 15 active clusters are shown in SI Appendix, Table S6. Chromosomes 12 and 17 were consistently found together among the top highly ranked clusters and were thus chosen for experimental validation (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). We compared the amount of overlap between chromosomes 12 and 17 to a negative control that we obtained by analyzing the network of least-interacting chromosomes (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).
chromosome territories were identified in human fibroblast (BJ) cells using DNA FISH and visualized using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Fig. 5A–F). To obtain a representative sample of the population, we imaged at least 200 cells for each chromosome pair. We confirmed that chromosomes 12 and 17 consistently intermingle in a population of cells (Fig. 5C; SI Appendix, Fig. S9; and Movie S1), while the negative control chromosome pair does not (Fig. 5F; SI Appendix, Fig. S10; and Movie S2). To quantify our results, the intermingling degree, i.e., the amount of overlap between the two pairs of chromosome territories, was calculated as explained in SI Appendix. We found that the chromosome pair 12 and 17, which was predicted to interact, had a significantly higher intermingling degree than the negative control pair 3 and 20 (Fig. 5G, \( P = 0.005 \) under a Welch two-sample \( t \) test). The percentage of nuclei that were intermingling (intermingling degree >0) was higher in the predicted pair of interacting chromosomes, 12 and 17, than in the negative control, 3 and 20 (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). In addition, we also calculated the enrichment of active RNAPII in the intermingling regions for the aforementioned pairs (SI Appendix). We found that the predicted chromosome pair, 12 and 17, which belongs to an active cluster, had significantly higher enrichment for active RNAPII in the intermingling regions compared with the negative control pair, 3 and 20 (Fig. 5H, \( P = 7.125\times 10^{-5} \) under a Welch two-sample \( t \) test), showing that the chromosome pair 12 and 17 indeed contains an active mark at the site of intermingling.

**Discussion**

Understanding the spatial organization of the chromosomes within the cell nucleus has been a major question in cell biology. A number of studies have suggested that the packing of DNA plays a critical role in regulating genomic programs (3). Earlier experiments took advantage of chromosome painting methods and revealed that chromosomes are organized nonrandomly and in a cell-type-specific manner (1, 8, 9). Analysis of gene positioning using FISH showed that coregulated genes were co-clustered (11, 12). Such clusters of genes were also found to be colocalized with transcription-related machinery such as active RNAPII and TFs (11, 12). Recent developments in chromosome capture technologies further revealed that genome-wide chromosome contact maps are correlated with epigenetic marks (6, 7). The majority of studies using chromosome conformation capture focused on linking chromatin contacts with epigenetic modifications at the resolution of genes in intrachromosomal regions (6, 7). However, the coupling between the global organization of chromosomes with genome-wide epigenetic marks and the intermingling regions as an additional layer of transcriptional regulation has not been well studied.

In this paper, we developed a network analysis approach to reveal the principles of transcription-dependent chromosome intermingling by taking advantage of 3D contact maps obtained using Hi-C and 1D epigenetic marks, TF ChIP-seq, DNA accessibility, and RNA-seq. Our computational approach focuses on interchromosomal domains, since their organizational principles have been largely unknown. The proposed quantitative framework enables the prediction of chromosome intermingling regions at a genome-wide scale, thereby complementing experimental methods such as FISH that can be used to study specific clusters of interchromosomal interactions. The novelty of our method lies in leveraging 1D genomic features in combination with 3D interactions from Hi-C data. This allows us to study functionally colocalized regions: Since interactions can occur by chance in 3D, some intermingling regions may not be of biological relevance. By leveraging epigenetic marks and data from TF binding and DNA accessibility, as well as gene expression, we can determine interchromosomal regions that are colocalized and coregulated.

Our predictions reveal intriguing patterns of chromosome organization and have been validated by FISH experiments. Our findings recapitulate known principles of chromosome interactions, such as the tendency of gene-dense chromosomes to intermingle more frequently (2, 30) and the enrichment of RNAPII in intermingling regions (10), suggesting that RNAPII may play a crucial role in establishing and maintaining chromosome interactions. We observe that the clusters of interchromosomal regions fall broadly into two categories, active and inactive, where active clusters are enriched for active epigenetic marks and RNAPII and inactive clusters are enriched for H3K9me3. Interestingly, we found that active clusters are hotspots for TF binding sites, with several TFs being shared among multiple chromosomes within a cluster. These clusters contain genes with biologically relevant GO terms. We established the predictive power of our model through experimental validation. Using FISH experiments we showed that the predicted intermingling chromosomes interact consistently across a population of cells and that such intermingling regions are enriched for active RNAPII. Our quantitative analysis provides evidence that TF hotspots in active clusters are colocalized with active epigenetic modifications and with RNAPII and have a significantly higher gene expression than inactive clusters, suggesting that the relative positioning of the chromosomes in the cell nucleus is optimized to facilitate the clustering of coregulated genes, TFs, epigenetic modifications, and transcriptional machinery.

Collectively, these findings suggest that the spatial organization of the genomic material in the cell nucleus is optimized for...
transcription programs. The framework we present here is general and can be applied to analyze any cell type. We showed by experimentally validating the predictions from our model using single-cell imaging methods that population-level genome-wide contact and epigenetic data carry enough information to identify highly interacting regions. However, we anticipate that the power of our method will be increased as more robust single-cell genomic data become available. We believe that our quantitative approach will provide a useful framework to gain insights into the interplay between chromosome reorganization and regulation during processes such as cell differentiation, reprogramming, or the maintenance of homeostasis.

Materials and Methods

Details about the methods used for processing the raw Hi-C matrices, the LAS algorithm for identifying highly interacting regions, weighted correlation clustering, classification into intermingling and nonintermingling domains, the computation of fold enrichment of genomic features, the cell culture and chromosome FISH protocols, and the methods and settings used for confocal imaging and image analysis are provided in SI Appendix.
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