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We performed tunneling spectroscopy measurements of graphene coupled to niobium/niobium-nitride superconducting electrodes. Due to the proximity effect, the graphene density of states depends on the phase difference between the superconductors and exhibits a hard induced gap at zero phase, consistent with a continuum of Andreev bound states. At energies larger than the superconducting gap, we observed phase-dependent energy levels displaying the Coulomb blockade effect, which are interpreted as arising from spurious quantum dots, presumably embedded in the heterostructures and coupled to the proximitized graphene.
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The superconducting proximity effect in graphene has attracted considerable experimental interest this last decade, either via supercurrent measurements of graphene-based Josephson junctions [1–9], via tunneling spectroscopy studies of proximitized graphene [10–12] or via phase transition measurements of tin-decorated graphene [13,14]. Moreover, graphene’s extended two-dimensional nature makes it a promising platform to explore the interplay of superconductivity with the quantum Hall effect, which could lead to the detection of exotic quasiparticles with nontrivial braiding statistics [15–18]. To do so, it is necessary to strongly couple low-disorder graphene to large critical field superconductors. Along this line, improvements in nanofabrication have led recently to the demonstration of high-field Josephson effect [306x88]situ [12].

Microscopically, the Josephson effect arises from the formation of the normal conductor of entangled electron-hole states called Andreev bound states (ABS) [19–22]. These fermionic states have energies inside the superconducting gap $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ that depend on the phase difference $\varphi$ between the two superconductors sandwiching the central conductor. Although phase-dependent spectroscopy of ABS has been performed in a few systems [12,23–28], the way ABS form in graphene coupled to large critical field superconductors and subject to high magnetic field remains unclear. For this purpose, we have performed tunneling spectroscopy measurements of graphene proximitized by niobium/niobium-nitride (Nb/NbN) electrodes. The measured energy spectra reveal a strong proximity effect in graphene and the presence of a continuum of ABS, which varies with the graphene carrier density. We also observe phase-dependent out-of-gap energy features associated with microscopic quantum dots, whose energy levels are coupled to both the proximitized graphene and the tunneling probe, and which indicate the presence of unintentional resonant impurities even in clean graphene-based van der Waals heterostructures.

The experiment is performed using a complex van der Waals heterostructure, schematized in Fig. 1(a), which is assembled with a polymer-based dry pick up and transfer technique [29]. It consists of (from top to bottom) a hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) encapsulation layer, a microfabricated graphite probe, a bilayer-hBN tunneling barrier, a monolayer graphene sheet, and a bottom hBN substrate. The use of graphite as a probe reduces doping in graphene owing to their small work function mismatch while the top and bottom hBN flakes fully isolate graphene from contamination during the fabrication process. This strategy enables us to access the low carrier density regime of a pristine graphene sheet, whose Fermi energy is controlled electrostatically by the gate voltage $V_g$. The encapsulated graphene (G) is connected at both ends via 1-D edge contacts [30] to two superconducting (S) electrodes made out of Nb/NbN, thus forming an S-G-S Josephson junction. The superconducting contacts are deposited onto the exposed graphene edges using e-beam evaporation of titanium as a sticking layer (5 nm) and in situ reactive sputtering of Nb (15 nm) and NbN (50 nm). The superconductor prepared this way has a critical temperature $T_c \sim 9$ K and remains superconducting at a magnetic field $B = 9$ T (characterized separately), well above the onset of integer quantum Hall effect in a high quality graphene device. To control the superconducting phase difference...
\[ \psi = \phi/\phi_0 \] across the S-G-S Josephson junction, we apply a magnetic flux \( \phi \) through the superconducting leads patterned in a loop geometry, where \( \phi_0 = h/2e \) is the reduced flux quantum.

The full device actually consists of five superconducting loops built on the same monolayer graphene sheet [see Fig. 1(b)]. In each loop, the lead-to-lead distance \( L \) for the graphene weak link is 440 nm, and the width \( W \) ranges from 1.3 \( \mu m \) to 3.4 \( \mu m \). The tunneling measurements presented in this paper are obtained from tunnel junction #1 (\( W = 1.3 \mu m \)) and tunnel junction #4 (\( W = 2.7 \mu m \)). Such a geometry allows for both spectroscopic and transport measurements in the same graphene flake. Indeed, by measuring at low temperature (20 mK) the current between two neighboring loops, one can extract the Josephson critical current through graphene. Such a measurement is shown in Fig. 1(c), as a function of the gate voltage \( V_g \). The measured energy spectra, plotted in Fig. 1(c), shows that transport is ballistic in the graphene nanodevice. This observation and the one of the high transparency and weaken the phase modulation of the ABS.

To investigate further how the proximity effect develops in graphene, we now tune the Fermi energy by varying the gate voltage \( V_g \). The measured energy spectra, plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of both energy and phase for junction #1, strongly depend on the carrier density, which is tuned from hole type [Fig. 2(a)] through the charge neutrality point (CNP) [Figs. 2(b)–2(d)] to electron type [Figs. 2(e) and 2(f)]. The phase modulation of the DOS is weaker when the graphene is hole doped, in good agreement with transport measurements from Fig. 1(c) that shows a big asymmetry in supercurrent between electron and hole-doped regions. This is due to the presence of \( p-n \) junctions at the SG interfaces (owing to the \( n \)-type electron doping of the graphene contact region by the Nb/NbN electrodes), which reduce the contacts' transparency and weaken the phase modulation of the ABS. Strikingly, the phase modulation remains well pronounced at the CNP, which demonstrates the low disorder of our graphene nanodevice. This observation and the one of the high transparency and weaken the phase modulation of the ABS.
As a function of both energy difference $\phi$ and superconducting phase difference $\phi_s$, this set of measurements establishes that a continuum of ABS form in graphene, with energies $|E| < \Delta$. At some specific gate voltages, however [see, e.g., the oscillating red feature for $E < -1 \text{ meV}$ in Fig. 2(e)], we observe resonant out-of-gap energy features that depend on the phase difference. To understand their origin, we measure the differential conductance as a function of both energy and gate voltage, at a constant phase and over a large energy range. As shown in Fig. 3(a), on top of the induced gap that appears at low energy, one can see sharp resonances that disperse in energy and gate voltage, reminiscent of Coulomb diamonds. A detailed analysis suggests that they correspond to $5-20 \text{ nm}$ size quantum dots (QD) with typical addition energy of $\sim 5-60 \text{ meV}$. These quantum dots might be related either to spurious defects embedded in the van der Waals heterostructure or to charge puddles in graphene around charged impurities [31]. Another, more intrinsic, explanation could be scattering centers at the graphene edge, which were recently evidenced as being located every $2-20 \text{ nm}$ using scanning nano-SQUID thermometry [32].

Figure 3(c) shows a zoom-in on a given diamond at low energy. Strikingly, the diamond boundary peaks in $dI/dV$ that disperse in $(eV, V_g)$ with a negative slope (hereafter called NSDP) split around zero energy, while the positive slope diamond peaks (PSDP) are aligned. Moreover, the NSDP are accompanied by $dI/dV$ peaks of opposite sign [see inset in Fig. 3(d)]. Similar effects were already observed in S-QD-S hybrid systems, using metallic nanoparticles [33,34], carbon nanotubes [35], semiconductor nanowires [36], or fullerene molecules [37]. However, the configuration here is asymmetric with a QD weakly coupled on one side to the graphite probe and on the other side to the proximitized graphene. The NSDP (respectively, PSDP) thus correspond to the alignment of the resonant dot level with the peak at the edge of the induced superconducting gap in the graphene DOS (resp. with the Fermi level of the graphite probe), as schematized in Fig. 3(e). Further, when the phase difference is varied, the graphene DOS is modulated and the NSDP oscillate in energy. This phenomenon can therefore happen at positive or negative energy, depending on the gate voltage [Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)].

In this experiment, the quantum dots behave as energy filters in the tunneling process from graphite to graphene, with a rate that depends on both energy and gate voltage. Out of resonance, the tunneling rate is weak, it hardly depends on energy, and one directly probes the graphene DOS by measuring the differential conductance. At resonance, the differential conductance is greatly increased and the tunneling rate strongly depends on energy. In the simple case of a very weakly coupled QD, the tunneling rate can be modeled as a Dirac delta function. The $dI/dV$ signal is then proportional to the derivative of the graphene DOS, which explains the observed positive-followed-by-negative $dI/dV$ values.

In conclusion, using tunneling spectroscopy in a full van der Waals heterostructure, we demonstrated that graphene coupled to Nb/NbN superconductors can develop a strong proximity effect, with a DOS displaying a hard induced gap and a pronounced phase modulation near the charge neutrality point. These results open the way to exploring exotic Andreev physics at large magnetic field, using ultraclean Dirac materials coupled to large critical field superconductors [15–18]. Furthermore, our measurements reveal the presence of microscopic quantum dots weakly coupled to the proximitized graphene, that behave as energy filters in the tunneling process. To elucidate their origin, which could be intrinsic and associated to the graphene finite dimensions, one could combine tunneling spectroscopy and nano-SQUID thermometry measurements.
FIG. 3. (a) Differential conductance of junction #4, $dI/dV$, as a function of both energy $E = eV$ and gate voltage $V_g$. (c) Zoom-in at the crossing of one Coulomb diamond, highlighted by a dashed rectangle in (a). The dashed lines highlight the splitting of the negative slope diamond peaks (NSDP), while the positive slope diamond peaks (PSDP) remain aligned across the induced gap. (b),(d) Phase dependence of NSDP at $V_g = 32.2$ V and 31.1 V, respectively. The $dI/dV$ linecut at $\phi = \pi$ in (d) shows the change of sign, which is associated with the graphene proximitized DOS. (e) Middle: schematics of the Coulomb diamonds with gap opening. Around: schematics of transport through a quantum dot connected to a normal and a superconducting electrode.
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