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Abstract

Western boundary currents support high primary production and carbon export. Here, we performed a survey of photosynthetic picoeukaryotes in the North Pacific Ocean in four transects crossing the Kuroshio Front. Prasinophyte algae comprised 85% of 18S rRNA gene sequences for photosynthetic taxa in the <5 µm size fraction. The picoplanktonic (<2 µm) genera Micromonas and Ostreococcus comprised 30% and 51% of the total photosynthetic 18S rDNA sequences from five stations. Phylogenetic analysis showed that two Ostreococcus ecotypes, until now rarely found to co-occur, were both present in the majority of samples. Ostreococcus ecotype OI reached 6,830 ± 343 gene copies mL−1, while Ostreococcus ecotype OII reached 50,190 ± 971 gene copies mL−1 based on qPCR analysis of the 18S rRNA gene. These values are higher than in studies of other oceanographic regions by a factor of 10 for OII. The data suggest that meso- and finer-scale physical dynamics had a significant impact on the populations at the front, either by mingling ecotypes from different source regions at fine scales (~10s km) or by stimulating their growth through vertical nutrient injections. We investigate this hypothesis with an idealized diffusion-reaction model, and find that only a combination of mixing and positive net growth can explain the observed distributions and overlap of the two Ostreococcus ecotypes. Our field observations support larger-scale numerical ocean simulations that predict enhanced biodiversity at western boundary current fronts, and suggest a strategy for systematically testing that hypothesis.

Open ocean fronts are characterized by steep density gradients and have profound influences on marine systems. They can act as boundaries between distinct biomes, e.g., distinct biogeographical regions supporting different biological communities, such as the subpolar and subtropical gyres (Bower et al. 1985). Fronts can also be sites of enhanced vertical turbulence supplying nutrients to the euphotic zone (Pollard and Regier 1992; Nagai et al. 2009; Kaneko et al. 2013). High rates of primary production (Palevsky et al. 2013), elevated phytoplankton biomass (Baird et al. 2008; Chekalyuk et al. 2012) and enhanced export of organic matter (Allen et al. 2005; Omand et al. 2015) are also associated with frontal zones. Recent observations from the North Pacific (Ribalet et al. 2010; Taylor et al. 2012) as well as a remote sensing study of the Brazil-Malvinas confluence zone (D’Ovidio et al. 2010) and numerical simulations of global phytoplankton populations (Barton et al. 2010; Clayton et al. 2013) suggest that western boundary current fronts create sites of elevated phytoplankton biodiversity. The hypothesized mechanism invokes convergence of seed populations from distinct, upstream biomes, and fertilization (Clayton et al. 2013) associated with the outcropping of subsurface nutrient streams along with enhanced vertical mixing. In situ data of appropriate physical and biological resolution to address this hypothesis are rare. In particular, although several studies have advanced knowledge considerably in terms of bulk biogeochemical properties (e.g., biomass, productivity and export), species-level resolution of phytoplankton distributions has not been available. Species-level differentiation provides insight into the niche and habitat constraints of the taxa.
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present and is therefore an important component of understanding fertilization effects that occur in such regions.

The Kuroshio Extension front, located along the eastward flowing arm of the Kuroshio western boundary current in the North Pacific, separates subtropical and subpolar waters. It is a region of high productivity and an important foraging ground for higher trophic levels (Mugo et al. 2014). A handful of high-resolution studies of the phytoplankton assemblages at the Kuroshio Extension front have largely focused on microphytoplankton (>10 μm) using microscope-based taxonomy (Yamamoto 1986; Yamamoto et al. 1988). Yamamoto et al. (1988) characterized the microphytoplankton communities in three sections across the front, to the south and east of Japan, and performed a cluster analysis which revealed three distinct assemblages: two from the biomes associated with the subtropical and subpolar gyres bordering the front, as well as a cluster unique to the front itself, the members of which suggested the entrainment of neritic species into the stream. A more recent survey, about 500 km further downstream, included microscopic taxonomy of microphytoplankton (>10 μm), flow cytometric enumeration of picophytoplankton (with diameters likely ranging from 0.5 – 10 μm) and HPLC pigments extracted from whole seawater (Clayton et al. 2014a). In that study, a similar cluster analysis revealed just two distinct assemblages representing the surrounding biomes without the third, frontal assemblage observed by Yamamoto et al. (1988). These studies show that the front acts to structure phytoplankton communities, but it is still unclear how the physical dynamics of the front are linked to the observed phytoplankton species distributions.

Molecular methods allow plankton populations to be described and examined at an even finer taxonomic resolution, beyond functional group and species. This is particularly relevant for the pico- (<2 μm) and nano-phytoplankton (<10 μm) which account for a large portion of the total phytoplankton biomass and primary productivity in this region (Nishibe et al. 2014). Eukaryotic pico- and nano-phytoplankton are highly diverse (Vaulot et al. 2008; Massana 2011; Worden et al. 2015), yet species or ecotype assemblages cannot be distinguished by morphology or pigments. Molecular characterization of picoplankton communities can provide a powerful tool for revealing and interpreting the role of physical dispersal in shaping assemblages and biodiversity at ocean fronts, so long as the region is sampled at a physical (spatial) resolution fine enough to capture frontal dynamics. Molecular methods have been used to characterize large-scale biogeographical patterns in distributions of picocyanobacteria (Johnson et al. 2006; Kashtan et al. 2014) and picoeukaryotes, e.g., (Cuvelier et al. 2010; Shi et al. 2011; Kirkham et al. 2013). These reveal sorting of related ecotypes over environmental gradients at the gyre- and basin-scale (1000s km). Additionally, a study of bacterioplankton populations that followed ocean drifters found that bacterial assemblages are also likely shaped by, or associated with, mesoscale physical circulations (e.g., oceanic mesoscale eddies) on the order of 10s of kilometers (Hewson et al. 2006). In connection to physical data this kind of information provides a tool with which to address hypotheses on mechanisms driving biodiversity at fronts.

In this study, we characterize eukaryotic phytoplankton populations at the molecular level in the context of hydrographic data from a high-resolution (< 10 km between stations) survey across the Kuroshio Extension front. Our study utilizes samples from an expedition where physical (Nagai et al. 2012) and biogeochemical properties have been previously described, and flow cytometry-based cell counts demonstrated that small photosynthetic picoeukaryotes were abundant (up to ~15,000 cells mL⁻¹) in the vicinity of the front (Clayton et al. 2014a). We used the results of targeted quantitative PCR (qPCR) enumeration of distinct Ostreococcus ecotypes to explore the hypothesis that open ocean fronts support high levels of biodiversity by mingling and fertilizing distinct communities. These genetically distinct Ostreococcus have been termed coastal (or mesotrophic) and oceanic ecotypes, based on their distributions in the field (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011; Simmons et al. 2016). Together, the high-resolution physical and biological data from the Kuroshio Extension, alongside inferences from an idealized reaction-diffusion model integrating these data, reveal the interplay of physical mixing and biological processes in shaping the picoeukaryotic community at that front. Our studies indicate that the physical dynamics of the front mediate co-existence and support elevated biodiversity.

**Methods**

**Study site and sample collection**

A physical, biological and chemical survey of the Kuroshio Extension region of the North Western Pacific Ocean was undertaken aboard the R/V Natsushima (JAMSTEC) in October 2009. The front was crossed five times (Fig. 1). A CTD (Advantech Ltd.) equipped with Niskin bottles was deployed at eight stations along each transect, roughly 9 km apart. Stations were numbered from 1 to 41 and are referred to by the transect and station number, e.g., A8 (Fig. 1). Discrete seawater samples were collected from 5 depths at each station for dissolved nutrients. Sampling along each transect was completed within 18 hours from start to finish with approximately 6 hours between transects in order to minimize aliasing due to environmental variability.

**Eukaryotic community composition analysis**

DNA was collected from the sea surface at a total of 26 stations along transects A, B, D and E (Fig. 1). We pre-filtered 1 L of whole seawater through a 5 μm 47 mm filter and then onto a 0.8 μm 25 mm filter (both Millipore Durapore). Additionally, at Station A1, DNA samples were collected at depths of 25, 48, 75 and 150 m. The filters were placed in 1.2 mL
Nalgene cryovials with 500 µL of Qiagen RNAlater and immediately frozen (and stored) at −80°C until extraction.

Environmental clone library construction and sequencing

DNA extraction was conducted using a modification of the DNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen) with additional freeze-thaw and bead beating steps to ensure effective cell lysis (Cuvelier et al. 2010; Demir-Hilton et al. 2011). Clone libraries were constructed for surface water samples from stations D28, D32, D33, E34 and E40, using PCR primers targeting the 18S rRNA gene (EUKf: 5'-ACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAG-3'; EUKr: 5'-TGATCCTYTGGCAGGTTCAC-3') that complement conserved regions of the 5' and 3' termini of the gene (Moon-Van Der Staay et al. 2001). PCR conditions were as in Worden (2006) and the products were directly cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Ninety six clones were randomly picked for sequencing, rendering 65 to 84 successful sequences for each of the 5 libraries constructed. Sequencing was performed on a 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using the internal primer, EUK502f (5'-GGAGGGCAAGTCTGGT-3') to obtain partial sequences with an average length of 833 nt.

Sequence analysis and phylogenetics

Initial assignment of environmental sequences to known taxa and uncultured environmental groups was performed using BLASTn to NCBI nr with default settings. Sequences from taxa with high representation were clustered at the >99% identity level. Representative sequences were used for phylogenetic analysis of prasinophytes and additional 18S rRNA gene sequences were acquired from GenBank, with attention to a recent analysis of cultured prasinophytes (Marin and Melkonian 2010). Almost all sequences analyzed in the former study were included, but other key Mamiellophyceae sequences from environmental samples (and some cultures) were added. Alignments were performed using ClustalW (Larkin et al. 2007) and manually adjusted as necessary. Ambiguously aligned positions were removed prior to phylogenetic analysis resulting in 781 nucleotide positions in the final (masked) alignment. Two maximum-likelihood methods were employed, a true ML analysis (100 bootstrap replicates) and an approximate likelihood (SH-like support, 1000 replicates), both in PhyML v3 (Guindon et al. 2010). Neighbor-joining distance analysis was also performed in Phylip (Felsenstein 2005) with 100 bootstrap replicates.

qPCR quantification of Ostreococcus ecotypes

Two Taqman primer-probe sets were employed to enumerate the 18S rDNA copy numbers of the Ostreococcus OI and OII ecotypes (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011). The former amplifies Clades A and C Ostreococcus, sensu Guillou et al.
(2004), and the latter Clade B. Total qPCR reaction volumes were 25 μL, including 12.5 μL Taqman universal PCR Master Mix, 2.5 μL of probes (250 nM final concentration), 2.5 μL of forward and reverse primers (900 nM final concentration), 3 μL H2O and 2 μL environmental DNA. Technical triplicates were performed and an additional reaction for each sample was used for testing PCR inhibition levels. Inhibition tests were performed by spiking the additional reaction for each environmental template with 2 μL plasmid (10^4 or 10^5 copies well^(-1)). If the C_T (threshold cycles) values on spiking were higher than those from the standard curve, we assume inhibition in environmental samples. The formula for calculating PCR inhibition is given below:

$$\left[1 - \frac{(C_T \text{ sample} - C_T \text{ 10^4 or 5 plasmid})}{C_T \text{ 10^4 or 5 plasmid}}\right] \times 100\%$$

(1)

For values ranging from 96 to 110%, we conclude that no inhibition existed. However, for values higher than 110%, inhibition may have been caused by low plasmid concentration on spiking. In this case, we repeat the measurements with a higher plasmid addition (10^5 copies well^(-1)). If a 10x diluted environmental sample showed inhibition (<96%), then it was subsequently diluted to 100x. Standard curves were created using triplicate reactions for copy number standards (from 1 to 10^8 copies well^(-1) and 10-fold dilution series). An AB7500 was used with an initial step of 95°C for 10 min and 45 cycles of 95°C (15 sec) and 60°C (1 min). qPCR plate runs were considered successful if the R^2 of the standard curve was >99% and no inhibition was observed. Gene-copy enumeration was robust to ≥10 copies well^(-1). Detection limits calculated from filtration volume, elution volume, final DNA dilution and qPCR detection levels from the standard curve were 0.25–2.63 copies mL^(-1).

Data deposition

The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in GenBank (accession nos. KT012724 to KT013052). Environmental, nutrient, phytoplankton abundance and photosynthetic pigment data have all been submitted to the Pangaea database (Clayton et al. 2014b).

Results

Eukaryotic phytoplankton communities at the sea surface were surveyed at five stations: D28, D32, D33, E34 and E40. The in situ temperature and salinity data for these stations is given in Table 1. For reference, the characteristic salinity ranges of Oyashio and Kuroshio water masses are <33.5 psu and >34.2 psu, respectively (Yamamoto et al. 1988). Thus, these stations represent a range of conditions along the mixing gradient between the Oyashio and Kuroshio end-member water masses brought together at the front, from fresher and cooler (D32, D33), to intermediate (D28, E34), and warmer and saltier (E40). Because photosynthetic picoeukaryotes were abundant during the study period (Clayton et al. 2014a), 18S rRNA gene clone libraries were constructed from the <5 μm size fraction. The photosynthetic taxa and non-pigmented taxa identified in the 18S rRNA gene data accounted for 41% and 59% of the total clones, respectively (Table 2). Prasinophytes accounted for 33% on average of the total sequences in our study (133/387) and prasinophyte algae belonging to the Mamiellophyceae class comprised the majority of sequences recovered from photosynthetic taxa (Fig. 2, Table 2). Specifically, the picoeukaryotic genera *Ostreococcus* and *Micromonas* comprised 51% and 30%, respectively, of the 18S rRNA gene sequences from known photosynthetic taxa. Syndiniales, metazoa (presumably from broken body parts) and Marine Stramenopiles (MASTs) constituted the major components in non-pigmented sequences. Syndiniales sequences appeared to be more abundant in warm waters at stations of E34 and E40 (Table 2).

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that multiple clades of *Micromonas* and *Ostreococcus* were present. Moreover, several clades from each genus were often co-located (Fig. 2). For example, *Micromonas* Clade AB.I was recovered at all stations and *Micromonas* Clade E.I was often co-located with Clade AB.I. These clades encapsulate species level differences based on comparative genomics (van Baren et al. 2016; Worden et al. 2009) and marker gene analyses (Sipapeta et al. 2006; Worden 2006; Simmons et al. 2015). No sequences were recovered related to *Ostreococcus tauri*, which was isolated from an oyster lagoon (Courties et al. 1994), or *Ostreococcus* Clade D (e.g., RCCS01), which has now been described as a separate species, *Ostreococcus mediterraneus* (Subirana et al. 2013). However, at four of the five stations investigated both *Ostreococcus* OI and OII were found.

Distribution of *Ostreococcus* ecotypes and relationship to environmental parameters

Because *Ostreococcus* OI and OII have previously only been reported to co-occur in the North West Atlantic Shelf Break Front (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011), we quantified OI and OII abundances based on 18S rRNA gene copies mL^(-1) along four cross-front transects (A, B, D and E) that encapsulated distinct dynamical features found along and across the front. The qPCR measurements allowed us to move beyond qualitative information reflected by 18S rRNA gene sequencing, to high sensitivity numerical data. Strikingly, both *Ostreococcus* ecotypes were detected in 54% of the surface samples, and 46% of the surface samples contained >10 copies mL^(-1) of both *Ostreococcus* ecotypes. OII was detected in all 26 surface samples investigated, while OI was detected in 14 stations, and quantifiable in 12 stations (Table 1, Fig. 3). Contributions of the two ecotypes were not necessarily balanced at the 12 stations where co-occurrence was observed, but in each case were present well above detection levels (OI: 2 and OII: 1 gene copies mL^(-1); Table 1). Taken together, qPCR-based *Ostreococcus* cell numbers accounted for 25–68% of the
Table 1. Abundances of *Ostreococcus* ecotypes, temperature and salinity at each station. Where the depth is not specified, the sample was taken at the sea surface. Asterisks denote samples where *Ostreococcus* ecotype OI was detected but not quantifiable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station (depth)</th>
<th>Longitude (° W)</th>
<th>Latitude (° N)</th>
<th>OI ± std</th>
<th>OII ± std</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (psu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 (0m)</td>
<td>143.48</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>1 ± 1*</td>
<td>11012 ± 3409</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>34.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 (25m)</td>
<td>143.48</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>8352 ± 775</td>
<td>23.72</td>
<td>34.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 (48m)</td>
<td>143.48</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>0 ± 0*</td>
<td>546 ± 25</td>
<td>21.81</td>
<td>34.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 (75m)</td>
<td>143.48</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>3 ± 4*</td>
<td>85 ± 28</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>34.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 (150m)</td>
<td>143.48</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>240 ± 69</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>34.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.93</td>
<td>5007 ± 975</td>
<td>32942 ± 809</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>34.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.84</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
<td>31110 ± 1181</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td>33.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.76</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>6462 ± 283</td>
<td>24.87</td>
<td>34.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.68</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>11402 ± 517</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>34.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>3996 ± 49</td>
<td>25.38</td>
<td>34.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>143.52</td>
<td>35.52</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>628 ± 60</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>33.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>143.53</td>
<td>35.43</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>233 ± 37</td>
<td>25.10</td>
<td>34.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>36.05</td>
<td>3406 ± 233</td>
<td>840 ± 76</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>33.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>36.13</td>
<td>6826 ± 343</td>
<td>192 ± 33</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>33.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B11</td>
<td>143.97</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>6350 ± 455</td>
<td>4200 ± 100</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>33.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12</td>
<td>143.99</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>225 ± 31</td>
<td>50190 ± 971</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>33.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>36.39</td>
<td>1 ± 1*</td>
<td>3605 ± 834</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>34.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D26</td>
<td>145.08</td>
<td>35.59</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>10947 ± 377</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>34.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D27</td>
<td>145.01</td>
<td>35.67</td>
<td>167 ± 58</td>
<td>4972 ± 131</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>34.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D28</td>
<td>145.01</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>85 ± 48</td>
<td>7279 ± 100</td>
<td>22.18</td>
<td>34.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D29</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>35.83</td>
<td>1688 ± 88</td>
<td>424 ± 21</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>33.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D32</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>1514 ± 105</td>
<td>4862 ± 119</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>33.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D33</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>36.17</td>
<td>3638 ± 167</td>
<td>5227 ± 374</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>33.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E34</td>
<td>145.51</td>
<td>35.67</td>
<td>55 ± 36</td>
<td>8082 ± 488</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>34.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E35</td>
<td>145.53</td>
<td>35.58</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>5716 ± 231</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>34.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E36</td>
<td>145.52</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>2641 ± 336</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>34.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E37</td>
<td>145.52</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>2396 ± 123</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>34.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E38</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1550 ± 78</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>33.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E39</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>1613 ± 48</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>34.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E40</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>35.17</td>
<td>0 ± 0</td>
<td>909 ± 37</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>34.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Picoeukaryote cells enumerated by flow cytometry (Clayton et al. 2014a) at stations where both types of data were available, after taking into account the two 18S rRNA gene copies per genome. *Ostreococcus* ecotype OII gene copies mL$^{-1}$ were generally higher than those of OI. Where OI was detected, its abundance ranged from 3 ± 4 to 6,826 ± 343 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$. The OII ecotype ranged from 85 ± 28 to 50,190 ± 971 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$ (Table 1).

Overall, OII 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$ was positively correlated with *in vivo* chlorophyll fluorescence levels (Pearson correlation coefficient, $r_p = 0.58$, $p < 0.005$). OII 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$ increased in association with locally enhanced nutrient supplies and elevated chlorophyll driven by both the frontal circulation and enhanced vertical mixing at the front (Nagai et al. 2012; Clayton et al. 2014a). In contrast, occurrences of ecotype OI were generally associated with the cooler, lower salinity Oyashio waters and its abundance was negatively correlated with both salinity ($r_p = -0.53$, $p < 0.005$) and temperature ($r_p = -0.73$, $p < 0.001$).

Although OII 18S rRNA gene copies per mL$^{-1}$ were generally higher than OI, the relative abundance of each appeared to be strongly influenced by the originating water mass. Thus, the highest abundances of OI (>3000 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$) were found at stations A2, B10, B11 and D33. These “high OI” stations had a mean salinity of 33.73 psu ($\pm 0.31$ psu) and mean surface temperature of 20.92°C ($\pm 1.65$°C). The highest OII abundances (>10,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL$^{-1}$), were found at stations A1, A2, A3, A5, B12, B13 and D26. These “high OII” stations had a mean salinity of 34.05 psu ($\pm 0.19$ psu) and mean temperature of 24.0°C ($\pm 0.67$°C). Although there is some overlap between the “high OI” and “high OII” stations (i.e. at station A2), the
The highest abundances of OI were found in cooler, fresher waters, whereas the highest abundances of OII were found in warmer, saltier waters. It is interesting to note that the highest abundances of either ecotype were found in mixed waters, with temperature and salinity properties intermediate between Kuroshio and Oyashio end-members. Additionally, it should be noted that all of these stations were in close proximity to the front itself, where the temperature and salinity gradients were strongest (Supporting Information Figs. S2 and S3).

The majority of our samples were taken at the sea surface, however, we also analyzed a depth profile at station A1, and found that OII abundance declined significantly below 35 m (Supporting Information Fig. S1; Table 1; OI was detectable but not quantifiable in three of five depths sampled at this station). Combined with depth profile results from a prior study, these findings support the idea that OII depth distributions are more strongly influenced by nutrient availability (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011) than by light availability (Rodríguez et al. 2005).

### Discussion

In our study, prasinophytes accounted for 33% on average of the total sequences. This is higher than the average reported (~15%) in a review of published picoeukaryote clone libraries (Massana 2011). Here, most of the prasinophyte sequences were contributed by *Micromonas* and *Ostreococcus*, in fitting with studies of more nutrient rich regions of eastern boundary current systems (Collado-Fabbri et al. 2011; Rii et al. 2016; Simmons et al. 2016) and coastal systems (Guillou et al. 2004; Worden 2006). Our findings add to the accumulating literature that indicates *Micromonas* and *Ostreococcus* are important, characteristic components of picoeukaryotic community at open ocean fronts. While very few other photosynthetic eukaryotes were observed in our clone libraries, Syndiniales clearly dominated sequences from heterotrophic taxa, as seen in many prior size fractionated clone libraries (Guillou et al. 2008; Jephcott et al. 2016). These parasites are widespread in the global oceans, and some are known to parasitize dinoflagellates. The other abundant set of sequences from unicellular taxa belonged to MAST (specifically MAST-1, 2, 3, and 7) several of which are known to be predators of bacteria and presumably other small cells (Massana 2011; Lin et al. 2012; Massana et al. 2014).

Our results revealed fine scale (~10 km) patterns in the abundance and co-occurrence of distinct *Ostreococcus* ecotypes at the Kuroshio Front. These ecotypes represent coastal/mesotrophic (OI) and oceanic (OII) ecotypes, and have rarely been observed at the same site (Worden and Not 2008; Demir-Hilton et al. 2011; Simmons et al. 2016). While it is possible that these *Ostreococcus* ecotypes overlap in other

### Table 2. Photosynthetic and presumed heterotrophic taxa in environmental clone libraries based on BLASTn assignments in NCBI nr. Closest environmental clone is provided if ≥99% identity and closest cultured organism had <99% identity. Note the names provided for environmental clones below are unique identifiers and can be used to retrieve the sequences in GenBank, and are supplied in Supporting Information Table S1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major group</th>
<th>Closest Cult. taxon</th>
<th>Closest Env. clone</th>
<th>D28</th>
<th>D32</th>
<th>D33</th>
<th>E34</th>
<th>E40</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetic taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoflagellates</td>
<td>Gymnodinium</td>
<td>SGUH984 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
<td>Teleaulax</td>
<td>127-014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptophytes</td>
<td>Chrysochromulina, Phaeocystis</td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterokonts</td>
<td>Bolidomonas, Pelagomonas, Florenciella, Triparma</td>
<td>SGYP463 (100%), SGUHS80 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasinophytes</td>
<td>See Fig. 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-pigmented taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolate</td>
<td>Syndiniales</td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliophora</td>
<td></td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Unknown (Powellomyces,90%)</td>
<td>MB04.9 (96%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown putatively fungal</td>
<td>MB07.52 (99–100%), ST3900.020 (99%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterokonts</td>
<td>MAST</td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picozoea</td>
<td>Picomonas</td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opisthokonts</td>
<td>Metazoa</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choanoflagellate</td>
<td>SCGC AAA071-L17 (100%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>See supplementary data</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telonema</td>
<td>BL0401.26.Telo.1 (100%), ST3900.021(100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential chimeras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
regions, to date there is no evidence for such overlap, apart from an observation at the interface of Atlantic coastal waters and the Gulf Stream (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011). Broad scale studies that have used barcoded amplicon sequencing of the V9 18S RNA gene region do not have the resolution (Monier et al. 2016) to discriminate between the four described *Ostreococcus* clades (Guillou et al. 2004). Relationships of the *Ostreococcus* clades (or OI and OII ecotypes) to depth or location therefore could not be observed in recent V9 18S studies (Monier et al. 2016). Hence, the data presented here provides new insights into environmental distributions of these organisms that cannot be attained from studies of the V9 18S RNA region. This is important because the ecotypes at hand are distinct based on phylogenies of the 18S rRNA gene (Fig. 2) and Internal Transcribed Spacer (Guillou et al. 2004; Rodriguez et al. 2005; Marin and Melkonian 2010) and have extensive divergence based on phylogenies of the 18S rRNA gene (Fig. 2) and Internal Transcribed Spacer (Guillou et al. 2004; Rodriguez et al. 2005; Marin and Melkonian 2010) and have extensive divergence based on the 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA-23S rRNA spacer of the *Ostreococcus* rRNA operon (Guillou et al. 2004).
observations of geographic co-location. The combination of highly resolved genetic data, which discriminates between the *Ostreococcus* ecotypes, and high resolution physical sampling in the frontal zone, provides us a window into the role of physical mixing in promoting co-existence.

Here, we observed 20 stations with >1,000 copies mL\(^{-1}\) of OII, six stations with >1,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\) of OI, and four stations with >1,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\) of both ecotypes. In prior studies, the two ecotypes were quantified in the North Pacific (Simmons et al. 2016) or the North and South Pacific, North and South Atlantic, and abundances of <1,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\) were observed at the majority of stations, regardless of ecotype. Abundances of the OI ecotype were found to be up to a maximum of ~36,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\) in the coastal ocean (Simmons et al. 2016), but quickly dropped off to ~1000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\) moving away from the coast in the California Current region. Thus, the OII ecotype abundances observed here exceeded the previously reported maximum abundance of ~5,000 copies mL\(^{-1}\) (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011) by a factor of 10. Collectively, these findings allowed us to identify three particularly notable features in our results: (i) both *Ostreococcus* ecotypes co-occurred in a high proportion of samples (54%), (ii) the two ecotypes were present at high abundances, higher than previously reported for OII; (iii) the highest abundances of both ecotypes often occurred at the same stations.

The hydrographic and dynamical context of our study region was very complex, with the influence of physical processes acting at a range of scales. These include the entrainment of fresh Oyashio waters with salinity < 33.5, along the main stream of the Kuroshio Extension, a common feature of this system (Qiu 2001); a filament of warm subtropical water entrained north of the front at the northern end of transect D; and up/downwelling patterns driven by the meandering of the Kuroshio during the study period. Analysis of density fields identified vigorous vertical circulations (> 10 m day\(^{-1}\)) along the front, with strong localized upwelling south of the front on transect A and north of the front on transect D, and downwelling at the northern end of transect B and along most of transect E (Nagai et al. 2012).

Examination of the *Ostreococcus* ecotype abundance ratios in temperature-salinity space revealed a clear pattern of mixing between cooler, fresher Oyashio waters dominated by OI and warmer, saltier subtropical waters dominated by OII (Fig. 4). The data suggest that as the Oyashio water parcel was transported along the front it was eroded and mixed with Kuroshio water, mingling OI and OII populations. Notably though, similar abundances of both ecotypes were observed at stations B11, D32 and D33 where they were also relatively high (~10,000 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\)). These stations were close to the core of the front, where the density gradient was sharpest and the nutricline was domed up towards the surface by upwelling (Supporting Information Figs. S2 and S3).

Perhaps the simplest explanation for the unusual co-occurrence of the ecotypes is the mingling of water masses and the mixing of populations with different upstream origins (Fig. 4). However, the high abundances of both at locations where they co-occurred, relative to published ecotype-specific abundances and “normal” abundances in this region, suggests a more complex situation. At frontal scales (~1–10 km), physical mixing and biological rates (e.g., division rates of phytoplankton cells) are comparable (Lévy

![Fig. 3. Abundance of *Ostreococcus* ecotypes OI (a) and OII (b) as log\(_{10}\) 18S rRNA gene copies mL\(^{-1}\). Stations where that ecotype was not detected by qPCR (empty circles) are also indicated. Salinity contours are represented by black contour lines.](image-url)
et al. 2012), and growth and loss processes are just as likely to shape the distribution as physical transport. To provide a synthetic framework in which to consider the interplay of transport and biological processes, we developed a highly idealized model which was designed to elucidate whether the Ostreococcus clades: (a) were simply being physically mixed (between their respective origin water masses); (b) if their distributions were driven by biological processes (i.e., active growth and mortality); or (c) a combination of (a) and (b). We described the system as a simple balance of biological “reaction,” i.e., net biological growth, and physical “diffusion,” representing mixing across the salinity gradient. This is encapsulated as

$$\frac{\partial X_n}{\partial t} = \kappa_S \frac{\partial^2 X_n}{\partial S^2} + \mu_{\text{NET}} X_n$$  \(2\)

for \(n = 1, 2\), and where \(X_n\) represents the abundance of Ostreococcus (\(X_1\) represents ecotype OI, and \(X_2\) represents ecotype OII), \(\kappa_S\) is the mixing coefficient in salinity space, and \(\mu_{\text{NET}}\) is the net biological growth rate, the net effect of growth and mortality (further details are provided in SI Appendix). We cast the model in salinity space (a continuum from fresher to more saline waters) as a way of reducing the complex spatial structure of the front evident in SST data (Fig. 1). Each Ostreococcus ecotype is associated with one extreme of the salinity gradient, and each ecotype’s distribution is a function of its diffusion along the salinity gradient, and its inherent growth rate.

In this framework, we examined three possible scenarios: (i) Passive mixing of two populations from end member water masses, without significant biological growth or loss \((\mu_{\text{NET}} = 0)\); (ii) Mixing of two populations that are either fertilized (enhancing growth) or relieved of grazing pressure (reducing mortality losses) leading to positive net growth \((\mu_{\text{NET}} > 0)\); (iii) Mixing of two populations that, removed from their favored environment, are both declining in population density \((\mu_{\text{NET}} < 0)\).

For each case, we vary only \(\mu_{\text{NET}},\) set to be the same for both ecotypes. We based the model end-member concentrations of both ecotypes on their observed abundances, and estimate the value of the mixing coefficient, \(\kappa_S\), on observed physical data (outlined in the SI appendix). Given the highly dynamic nature of the environment at the front, we examined transient solutions of the model for each experiment. The model was run for 10 days, as this is broadly consistent with the transit time from a coastal region to our study area. The boundary conditions set the background abundance of the two ecotypes at either salinity extreme. \(S_{\text{min}}\) represents the Oyashio water mass and was set to 33.2, and \(S_{\text{max}}\) represents the Kuroshio water mass and was set to 34.3. The initial abundances of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) were set to be zero everywhere but \(S_{\text{min}}\) and \(S_{\text{max}},\) respectively. We based our estimates of \(\mu\) on measured growth rates of Ostreococcus strains grown under the same conditions in the lab, which fell within a range of 0.65–0.79 day\(^{-1}\) (Schaum et al. 2012). We also took into account field data for picoeukaryote growth (\(\mu\)) and mortality (\(m\)) rates, which yielded net growth rates \((\mu_{\text{NET}})\) ranging from 0.20 to 0.81 day\(^{-1}\) (Worden et al. 2004). We recognize that loss processes, which are most likely dominated by grazing and viral lysis (Banse 2013), may be better represented by a function which depends on the density of both the predator and the prey. However, little is known about the relative importance of different loss processes on the picoeukarytes, and more work is needed to address this. In the absence of any empirical information regarding the density of predators during our study, the simplest loss model possible (i.e. a timescale which could be associated with any source of mortality) was utilized as we felt it was the most parsimonious and least speculative. Model parameters are listed in Table 3.

Given the complexity of the Kuroshio frontal system, we do not expect our model to exactly reproduce the observations, rather we use it as a conceptual tool to explore which of the proposed scenarios, outlined above, can most plausibly explain our results. The highly idealized model suggests that the second scenario, mixing and population growth \((\mu_{\text{NET}} > 0)\), is the most consistent with the patterns we observed in the field (Fig. 5a). As suggested by the high population densities of both ecotypes, the model supports the...
notion that both ecotypes are (or have very recently been) growing vigorously. This could reflect either stimulation by the turbulent injection of nutrients or a relief of grazing pressure, though it is not possible to determine the underlying cause here. However, a nutrient enrichment would be mechanistically consistent with the hypothesis from ocean models, that western boundary current fronts generate hot-spots of phytoplankton diversity through such a combination of physical and biological processes (Clayton et al. 2013).

We also performed an analysis of the sensitivity of the model results to a range of realistic values for $\kappa_S$ and $\mu_{\text{NET}}$. Holding the boundary conditions ($X_1^0$ and $X_2^0$) and the model integration time (10 days) constant, we repeated the model integration and classified the result into one of three regimes based on the abundances of both clades at the mid-point of the domain ($S_{\text{mid}} = 33.75$). We classify the three regimes in the following way: (A) no co-existence at $S_{\text{mid}}$, both ecotypes are absent at $S_{\text{mid}}$ ($X_n(S_{\text{mid}})<1$ gene copies mL$^{-1}$); (B) co-existence at low abundances, both ecotypes are present at or below their boundary condition abundance at $S_{\text{mid}}$ (1 gene copies mL$^{-1} \leq X_n(S_{\text{mid}}) \leq X_n^0$); (C) co-existence at high abundances, both ecotypes are present above their boundary condition abundance at $S_{\text{mid}}$ ($X_n(S_{\text{mid}})>X_n^0$). The parameter space which results in very high maximal abundances ($X_n>10^5$ gene copies mL$^{-1}$) for both ecotypes is shaded in red, and the parameter combination used to generate the results in the $\mu_{\text{NET}}>0$ experiment panel (a) is denoted by the $+$ symbol.

Table 3. Parameters used in the idealized reaction-diffusion model for each of the three experimental setups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{NET}} = 0$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{NET}}&gt;0$</th>
<th>$\mu_{\text{NET}}&lt;0$</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_1^0$</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>gene copies mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Abundance of $X_1$ at $S_{\text{min}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2^0$</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>gene copies mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Abundance of $X_2$ at $S_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\kappa_s$</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusivity scaled into salinity space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Results from the reaction-diffusion model (a), model results (solid and dashed lines) and observed abundances (crosses) of Ostreococcus ecotypes are plotted against salinity. Model results and observations for ecotype OI (blue) and ecotype OII (red) are shown. Sensitivity analysis of the model with respect to $\kappa_S$ and $\mu_{\text{NET}}$ (b). The three regimes depicted are: (A) no co-existence at $S_{\text{mid}}$, both ecotypes are absent at $S_{\text{mid}}$ ($X_n(S_{\text{mid}})<1$ gene copies mL$^{-1}$); (B) co-existence at low abundances, both ecotypes are present at or below their boundary condition abundance at $S_{\text{mid}}$ (1 gene copies mL$^{-1} \leq X_n(S_{\text{mid}}) \leq X_n^0$); (C) co-existence at high abundances, both ecotypes are present above their boundary condition abundance at $S_{\text{mid}}$ ($X_n(S_{\text{mid}})>X_n^0$). The parameter space which results in very high maximal abundances ($X_n>10^5$ gene copies mL$^{-1}$) for both ecotypes is shaded in red, and the parameter combination used to generate the results in the $\mu_{\text{NET}}>0$ experiment panel (a) is denoted by the $+$ symbol.
appears to be the driving process for generating co-existence of the two ecotypes in the central portion of the domain in this case, higher values of $P_{\text{NET}}$ are necessary to have co-existence and high abundances of both ecotypes in the central portion of the domain (Fig. 5b). Thus, the data and model together suggest that the co-occurrence and high abundance of the two *Ostreococcus* ecotypes results from a mingling of populations at the mesoscale, driven by the dynamics of the vigorously unstable front, in combination with local population growth of both ecotypes which may be related to an enhanced nutrient supply in the vicinity of the front also driven by local physics.

We have outlined above the mechanism we expect to be responsible for driving the co-existence of *Ostreococcus* ecotypes observed in our field data. However, the question of how these distinct ecotypes may continue to co-exist once they have been brought into contact remains. Although data exists to show that these ecotypes are genetically distinct and have different biogeographical ranges (Demir-Hilton et al. 2011; Simmons et al. 2016), it is not known what physiological adaptations separate them. It is likely that the co-existence of these ecotypes within the frontal region is a transient feature from a Lagrangian perspective, i.e., following a water parcel along the front, and that one of the two ecotypes will eventually dominate and outcompete the other downstream (Clayton et al. 2013). The exclusion timescale between two phenotypes depends on how close they are in relative fitness, and the bigger the difference in relative fitness, the faster the exclusion timescale (Barton et al. 2010). Co-existence may also be facilitated by the degree of individual variation within each population (Menden-Deuer and Rowllett 2014), which could impact exclusion timescales by affecting the cumulative competitive ability between phenotypes. We do not have sufficient information on the physiological or genetic diversity of *Ostreococcus* ecotypes to evaluate any measure of their relative fitness. However, since the two ecotypes have only been observed to co-exist in regions where distinct biomes are physically mixed (e.g., the North Atlantic Shelf Break Front, Demir-Hilton et al. (2011); Kuroshio Front, this paper), we suspect that the exclusion timescale is relatively short in oceanographic terms (< years). Since we see co-occurrence driven by mixing, the exclusion timescale must be longer than the mixing timescale, which we estimate to be on the order of ~10 days for the Kuroshio Front (given a characteristic length scale of ~100km, and eddy diffusivity of $10^4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

**Conclusions and outlook**

Western boundary currents are the principal conduits for communication between the equatorial and polar regions of the oceans and their resident plankton populations. In the Kuroshio Extension, disparate populations transported by the Kuroshio and Oyashio currents from upstream biomes are brought together, and ultimately mingled as the mesoscale dynamics of the frontal zone stir the different water masses together. The vigorous vertical circulation at the front fertilizes the populations leading to high density populations of organisms from both upstream sources. The mechanism promotes the co-existence of disparate phytoplankton populations at the frontal scale, and is likely to be a feature of other Western Boundary Current frontal zones such as the Gulf Stream and the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence Zone. We hypothesize that this mechanism acts on a wide range of constituents of the phytoplankton community, not just *Ostreococcus* ecotypes. This is consistent with the predictions of global-scale numerical simulations that suggest the study region is likely part of a larger-scale hotspot of diversity (Barton et al. 2010; Clayton et al. 2013). Additionally, the process of mixing and fertilization appears to cause these regions to act as incubators of biodiversity at the basin-scale.

Our highly localized observations provide some mechanistic support for this hypothesis using opportunistic sampling during a survey campaign that was based on physical oceanographic goals. The study provides interesting insight into factors that shape plankton populations at these important oceanographic features and provides a platform for systematic and more comprehensive surveys of western boundary current fronts. Embedding sub-mesoscale (< 10 km) sampling at the front within a coarser grained regional survey which spans the extent of the predicted regional hotspot (100s km) would provide a connection between the local dynamics and wider-scale context. Biodiversity hotspots at western boundary currents are a robust feature of global simulations of marine microbes and yet, to date, only a few microscope-taxonomy based surveys exist to test the prediction. These have led to tantalizing but inconclusive results (Honjo and Okada 1974; Cermeno et al. 2008). Extending the approach taken here, ecotypes of multiple organisms (both eukaryotic and prokaryotic) could be surveyed. Combining genomic and transcriptomic observations would provide measures not only of the abundance of organisms but also their activity (Hunt et al. 2013) and the potential to better define the relative roles of growth and losses. With genetically resolved data and appropriate survey strategies, it will be possible to conclusively determine the presence of these biodiversity hotspots. A better characterization and deeper understanding of these regions will provide insight into the long-term and large-scale biodiversity, stability and function of the planktonic ecosystem. The survey presented here, provides a clear first proof of concept for such a study.
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