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- A new armored dinosaur is described based on an exceptionally preserved specimen
- Abundant in situ osteoderms with keratinous sheaths and scales are preserved
- Reddish-brown coloration and crypsis in the form of countershading are indicated
- Crypsis indicates strong predation pressure on this large, heavily armored dinosaur
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In Brief

Brown et al. report a new, exceptionally preserved armored dinosaur, showing bony armor with horn coverings and organically preserved scales. A reddish-brown coloration and camouflage in the form of countershading are indicated. Crypsis suggests strong visual predation pressure on this heavily armored dinosaur, distinct from modern systems.
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SUMMARY

Predator-prey dynamics are an important evolutionary driver of escalating predation mode and efficiency, and commensurate responses of prey [1–3]. Among these strategies, camouflage is important for visual concealment, with countershading the most universally observed [4–6]. Extant terrestrial herbivores free of significant predation pressure, due to large size or isolation, do not exhibit countershading. Modern predator-prey dynamics may not be directly applicable to those of the Mesozoic due to the dominance of very large, visually oriented theropod dinosaurs [7]. Despite thyreophoran dinosaurs’ possessing extensive dermal armor, some of the most extreme examples of anti-predator structures [8, 9], little direct evidence of predation on these and other dinosaur megaherbivores has been documented. Here we describe a new, exquisitely three-dimensionally preserved nodosaurid ankylosaur, Borealopelta markmitchelli gen. et sp. nov., from the Early Cretaceous of Alberta, which preserves integumentary structures as organic layers, including continuous fields of epidermal scales and intact horn sheaths capping the body armor. We identify melanin in the organic residues through mass spectroscopic analyses and observe lighter pigmentation of the large parascapular spines, consistent with display, and a pattern of countershading across the body. With an estimated body mass exceeding 1,300 kg, B. markmitchelli was much larger than modern terrestrial mammals that either are countershaded or experience significant predation pressure as adults. Presence of countershading suggests predation pressure strong enough to select for concealment in this megaherbivore despite possession of massive dorsal and lateral armor, illustrating a significant dichotomy between Mesozoic predator-prey dynamics and those of modern terrestrial systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Systematic Paleontology
Dinosauria Owen, 1842 [10].
Ankylosauria Osborn, 1923 [12].
Nodosauridae Marsh, 1890 [13].
Borealopelta markmitchelli gen. et sp. nov. (Figures 1 and 2).

Etymology
The generic name Borealopelta is derived from “borealis” (Latin, “northern”) and “pelta” (Greek, “shield”), in reference to the northern locality and the preserved epidermal scales and dermal osteoderms. The specific epithet markmitchelli honors Mark Mitchell for his more than 7,000 hours of patient and skilled preparation of the holotype.

Holotype
The holotype is Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology (TMP) 2011.033.0001: an articulated specimen preserving the head, neck, most of the trunk and sacrum, a complete right and a partial left forelimb and manus, partial pes (Figure 1). In situ osteoderms and nearly complete soft tissue integument are preserved across dorsal and lateral surfaces of the axial skeleton, posterodorsal surface of forelimbs, and plantar surfaces of a manus and a pes. Specimen is preserved in multiple large blocks, including slabs and counter-slabs in the sacral region.

Locality and Horizon
Suncor Millennium Mine, Fort McMurray, Alberta, Canada. Wabiskaw Member, Clearwater Formation, Aptian stage. Detailed locality data are available at Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology.
Sauropelta laterally and horizontally (potentially shared with spine is the largest osteoderm, recurved, and projects postero- transverse rows of polygonal basement scales; parascapular (abutting) transverse rows completely separated by continuous derms: cervical and thoracic osteoderms form continuous (suborbital) horn base longer than orbit length [49:2]*; osteo-

With [38:2]; jugal (suborbital) horn triangular with pointed apex (shared with Gastonia, Gargoyleosaurus, and Polocanthus) [47:2]; jugal (suborbital) horn base longer than orbit length [49:2]*; osteo-
derm count for transverse rows: cervicals: C1-3, C2-3, C3-3, transition: TR-2, thoracic: T1-6*; third and sixth transverse thoracic osteoderm rows expressed medially but pinch out laterally*.

The new taxon can be further differentiated from Pawpawsaurus based on: dermal plate in frontonasal region (central dermal plates) flat [22:1]; absence of ciliary osteoderm [41:0]. Can be

Morphological Description
For a morphological description of the head, osteoderms, epistoeodermal scales (osteoderm/spine horn sheaths), and epidermal basement scales, see the Supplemental Morphological Description.

Phylogenetic Analysis
To determine the phylogenetic position of Borealopelta mark-
mitchelli, we scored it into the morphological character/taxon ma-
trix of Arbour et al. [14] (see also STAR Methods for full phyloge-
etic methods, Data S1 for data, and Supplemental Phylogenetic Results). The resulting strict consensus tree positions Borealopelta in a clade with other Albian-aged nodosaurids Pawpawsaurus campbelli and Europelta carbonensis, with the Santonian-aged Hungarosaurus tormai as a sister taxon (Figures 3 and S2).

Deposition and Preservation History
The specimen was discovered in the Suncor Millennium Mine (open pit, oil sands) in northeastern Alberta, Canada during over-
burden removal and was subsequently collected by staff of the Royal Tyrrell Museum and Suncor. The hosting rock is the Al-
bian-aged Wabiskaw Member of the Clearwater Formation over-
lying the bitumen-rich McMurray Formation. Several plesiosaurs and ichthyosaurs have been recovered from the Wabiskaw pre-
viously [15–17], but never a dinosaur. This member records a lower shoreface or proximal offshore marine environment [15]. The carcass arrived at the seabed on its back and with sufficient force to impact and deform the immediately underlying sedimen-
tary layers. Despite the trace fossils left by burrowing animals in the hosting sediments, implying at least a partially oxygenated environment, the specimen lacks any evidence of scavenging. When found, the fossil was completely encased in a very dense and strong but brittle siderite concretion that ranged in thickness around the carcass from 20 cm on the upper side to 40 cm on the lower, seabed side. Broken surfaces through the concretion reveal sedimentary features above the fossil that allow for infer-
ing the natural collapse of the body after burial and before consolidation (Figure S4i). About 15 cm of sediment was laid do-

down prior to release of internal body fluids and collapse (Fig-
ure S4i). The body cavity was injected with almost homogeneous sand, with no apparent sedimentary features. Formation of the concretion must have commenced shortly after the carcass arrived at the seabed, preventing any scavenging and allowing all of the scales and osteoderms to retain their original configurations and morphology, with minimal dorsoventral compression.

The dorsal integument is well preserved as an organic film derived from the keratin sheaths over the osteoderms, integu-
mentary scales, and the epicuticle of hinge regions between scales. The distribution of the film correlates well to the expected distribution of melanin, a pigment that has been found to preserve in a number of vertebrate integumentary structures

**Figure 1. Photographs of the Holotype of Borealopelta markmitchelli, TMP 2011.033.0001**
Top: anterodorsolateral view; bottom: anterodorsal view. Scale bar, 10 cm. See also Figure S1.

**Diagnosis**
A nodosaurid ankylosaur characterized by the following autapo-
morphies (*) and suite of characters [character/state]: cranial: dorsal skull ornamentation expressed as a large hexagonal dermal plate in frontoparietal region [52:1] and multiple (>20) small dermal plates in frontonasal region [21:2]; external nares excluded from view dorsally (shared with Pawpawsaurus) [16:1]; supraorbital ornamentation forming sharp lateral rim dor-
sal to orbits (shared with Gargoyleosaurus and Kunbarrasaurus) [38:2]; jugal (suborbital) horn triangular with pointed apex (shared with Gastonia, Gargoyleosaurus, and Polocanthus) [47:2]; jugal (suborbital) horn base longer than orbit length [49:2]*; osteo-
derm count for transverse rows: cervicals: C1-3, C2-3, C3-3, transition: TR-2, thoracic: T1-6*; third and sixth transverse thoracic osteoderm rows expressed medially but pinch out laterally*.
Figure 2. Schematic Line Drawing of TMP 2011.033.0001, the Holotype of Borealopelta markmitchelli, Illustrating Preservation of the Different Tissue Types
(A) Schematic of complete specimen in dorsal view.
(B and C) Skull in dorsal (B) and left lateral (C) views.
(D) Close-up view of the neck, illustrating alternating cervical osteoderm bands (and preserved keratinous sheaths) and polygonal scales.
(E) Close-up view of flank illustrating lateral thoracic osteoderms (with keratinous coverings) and polygonal scales.
(F) Close-up view of sacral shield counterpart illustrating osteoderms and scales.
(G) Close-up view of antebrachium including osteoderms and keratinous coverings.
(D’–G’) Interpretive line drawings of the corresponding panels (D)–(G).
Scale bars in (B)–(G), 10 cm. See also Figure S1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period/Epoch</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maastrichtian</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campanian</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santonian</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenomanian</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turonian</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albian</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptian</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barremian</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettangian</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinemurian</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pliensbachian</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toarcian</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajocian</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aalenian</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barremian</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathonian</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajocian</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callovian</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathonian</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aalenian</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toarcian</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettangian</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Rock
- Cranial bone
- Postcranial endochondral bone
- Small/undifferentiated scales/ossicle fields
- Large polygonal scales
- Osteoderms
- Keratinous sheaths of osteoderms

Image: Diagram of various reptile species with annotations and timelines.
[6, 18, 19]. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX) analyses reveal that the organic material is present as solid to finely granular material with desiccation cracks scattered in a matrix largely composed of siderite cement (Figure S3ii; see also STAR Methods and Supplemental Observations Under the Electron Microscope). No apparent melanosomes are preserved. Time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS) reveals spectra of negative secondary ions that have an overall relative secondary ion intensity similar to previously studies of fossil melanins (Figure S3iiiA; see also STAR Methods and Supplemental Results from the TOF SIMS). Principal-component analyses demonstrate that there is a significant contribution of sulfur-bearing secondary ions to the organic material in TMP 2011.033.0001, which spread the nodosaur samples distinctly from other fossil melanin samples (Figure S3iiiB). These secondary ions have been identified for sulfur-bearing pheomelanin (benzothiazole) previously [19]. Pyrolysis-gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (py-GC-MS) afforded pyrolysates with assemblages of small nitrogen-, oxygen-, and sulfur-containing heterocyclic and aromatic molecules characteristic of eumelanin (e.g., pyrrole, indole, N-methylpyrrole, and methylphenol). Of special note is the presence of significant amounts of benzothiazole (Figure S3iv), which is diagnostic for pheomelanin. Although sulfur may be incorporated into melanin secondarily [20] to yield thiophenes, which are also observed and could similarly be derived from pheomelanin, this process is not known to give rise to benzothiazoles [20] (see also STAR Methods and Supplemental Results of Pyrolysis GC-MS).

Hence, we argue that the integument was pigmented reddish-brown by pheomelanin-rich melanin. This may also explain the lack of melanosome preservation, as melanin-rich melanosomes have been shown to be less stable in heat/pressure autoclave experiments [18], as well as in enzymatic extraction procedures [21].

**Pigment Distribution**

Hardened keratinous tissues such as claws, scales, and feathers have reinforcing calcium phosphate deposits [22], which often preserve well in fossil tissues and can be identified using fluorescence imaging [6, 23]. However, the keratinized tissues in TMP 2011.033.0001 are heavily pigmented, which masks calcium phosphate fluorescence [6]. These keratinous sheaths are inert (non-fluorescing and non-reflecting) under UV light, with two major exceptions.

Several cervical osteoderm sheaths show underlying longitudinal ridges that appear to have been unpigmented, as they are dramatically lighter in visible light and exhibit strong fluorescence in UV (Figures S4iiiA–D). These unpigmented longitudinal striae lie in the same plane as the inferred direction of growth of the sheath (i.e., parallel to the stratum germinativum) and may represent some preserved artifact of appositional growth of these epistoeodermal scales, as seen in living crocodilians [24, 25].

The largest of the horn sheaths, the parascapular spines, are distinct from the remaining sheaths and epistoeodermal scales in being both lighter colored in visible light and slightly fluorescing under UV light (Figures S4iiiE–H). This is most simply interpreted as having lower concentrations of melanin incorporated into the horn sheath and likely reflects a distinct lighter color of these spines in life.

The contrast in fossilization between the ventral and dorsal surface provides a further case for melanin preservation, as this transition is best interpreted as countershading. Other epidermal structural molecules such as keratin [26, 27] or collagen [28] would have had a very similar distribution in the epidermis on both top and bottom surfaces, and no unique protein markers (amides, succinimides, diketopiperazines) were recovered in the py-GC-MS data to the exclusion of markers overlapping with melanins [29] (Figure S3iv).

The countershading transition can be traced from cross-sectional views of the sacrum (Figure S4ii) and neck. The organic film terminates a little beyond the ventralmost lateral osteoderms. Projecting the melanin distribution to a retrodeformed body outline suggests a transition from highly pigmented to less pigmented integument on the lateral flank (Figure S4ii; see also Supplemental Discussion on the Chemical Preservation of Melanin).

**Implications for Paleobiology**

The discovery of a three-dimensionally preserved ankylosaurian provides new evidence for understanding the anatomy, soft tissue outline, and arrangement of dermal armor in thyreophoran dinosaurs.

The preservation of the nearly complete integument, along with a suite of in situ pre-caudal osteoderms and their horn sheaths, allows multiple novel inferences regarding the epidermis of the ancient animal. Across all preserved regions, the epidermal covering (“epistoeodermal scales” sensu [30]) associated with the osteoderms is highly congruous in having a 1:1 correlation in count and basal shape between epidermal scale and underlying osteoderm. This epidermal scale/osteoderm association is most analogous, and potentially deeply homologous, to that observed in extant crocodilians [31, 32].

The epidermal coverings for the thoracic and sacral osteoderms are best interpreted as single, sub-centimeter-thick, keratinized scales (scutes) that slightly exaggerate the keels and spines. In contrast, the epidermal components of the spine-like cervical, transitional, and parascapular osteoderms are...
sheath-like, being thick, pointed, and extending significantly beyond the bony core, substantially increasing the length of the spine. The macroscopic structure and incorporation of hardening calcium phosphate into the spine-like epistoderma! scales is broadly similar to the keratinized horn sheaths in Bovidae.

Historically, the function of osteoderms within Ankylosauria has largely been discussed within the context of anti-predator defense, with the term “armor” used ubiquitously to describe these dermal components of the skeleton [9, 33]. More recently, other potential functions including thermoregulation [34–36] and intraspecific combat and display [37] have been proposed, often to augment the seemingly default function as defensive armor. Preserved evidence of countershading suggests that the predation pressure on Borealopelta, even at large adult size, was strong enough to select for camouflage from visual predators (see also Supplemental Discussion of Countershading and Body Mass and Data S2). This offers support to the idea that many of the osteoderms functioned in a defensive role, even in adults of large species. In contrast, the distinct pigmentation and enlarged keratinous sheath of the parascapular spine suggest that this particular spine may have functioned more predominantly in display. When combined with data indicating highly species-specific morphology of the parascapular spines of other taxa, this suggests that the extensive elaboration of these spines may be attributable to sociosexual display.

The presence of countershading in a large, heavily armored herbivorous dinosaur provides a unique insight into the predator-prey dynamic of the Cretaceous Period. With an estimated length of 5.5 m and a conservative body mass estimate of ~1,300 kg (similar to estimates for other nodosaurids, i.e., Sauropelta [38], but also see higher estimates [39, 40]), Borealopelta is much larger than any modern terrestrial animal exhibiting countershading. Modern mammalian predators do not represent a significant predatory risk to the largest mammalian herbivores (>1,000 kg) [41, 42], and herbivores above this size threshold generally do not exhibit countershading or other types of camouflage (Figure 4). Additionally, herbivores below this threshold that also possess defensive weapons (e.g., horn, quills) experience lower predation than those that do not [42]. Similarly, large primates are less likely to be countershaded due to lower predation risk [43]. A parallel pattern is also seen in Testudinidae, where island forms, which are free of significant adult predation, consistently become large [44, 45] and lose the cryptic pattern seen in smaller relatives.

The terrestrial predator guild for most of the Mesozoic was dominated by large non-avian theropod dinosaurs [46]. Although the present specimen is not preserved in the context of its accompanying fauna, the consistent occurrence of large theropod footprints (e.g., Irenesauripis) in coeval and nearby formations in northern Alberta and British Columbia [47, 48] and allosauroid/carcharodontosaurid taxa (i.e., Acrocanthosaurus) in equivalent formations farther south [49, 50], suggests that the ecosystem of which Borealopelta was a part would have included one or more large, multi-ton predatory theropods. Given modern birds’ possession of trid- and tetrachromatic vision [51, 52] and the visual acuity of extant crocodilians [53], theropod carnivores would have been highly visual predators [54, 55]. This is in contrast to modern systems in which top predators of large herbivores are dichromatic mammalian carnivores [56]. Active predation on large and heavily armored herbivorous dinosaurs highlights how distinct the predator-prey dynamics of the Cretaceous were from those of today. The greater size and deep visual reliance of
Cretaceous apex predators, compared to extant mammalian analogs, may have produced an evolutionary arms race resulting in a combination of armor, crypsis, and other visual defense strategies in even the largest dinosaurs.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECTS**
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Computer Tomography Scanning
  - Sampling for Scanning Electron Microscopy and Geochemical Analysis
  - Scanning Electron Microscopy
  - Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy
  - Pyrolysis Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
  - Phylogenetic Methods
- **DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**
  - Specimen
  - Nomenclature

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes four figures, supplemental text, and two datasets and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.071.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borealopelta markmitchelli holotype specimen</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>TMP 2011.033.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited Data</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Caleb Brown (caleb.brown@gov.ab.ca).

EXPERIMENTAL MODELS AND SUBJECTS

The experimental subject is the fossilized holotype of the nodosaurid dinosaur *Borealopelta markmitchelli* (TMP 2011.033.0001), curated at the Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta, Canada. The sex and developmental state are unknown, although an adult (or nearly adult age) is inferred.

METHOD DETAILS

Computer Tomography Scanning

The skull was CT scanned in an attempt to reveal its internal structure. CT scans were performed at Western Veterinary Specialist and Emergency Centre in Calgary, Alberta, using a Toshiba Aquilion Scanner. A variety scanning parameters were tested, verifying the voltage and current (initial settings: 120 kV, 185 mA), and slice thickness (0.5-2 mm). Across all tested scanning parameters, the massive and radio-opaque nature of the host rock did not allow for extraction of useful data.

Sampling for Scanning Electron Microscopy and Geochemical Analysis

The specimen was sampled extensively (total of 147 samples) across varying regions and tissues, largely concentrating on epidermal structures, but also including rock matrix, dermal bone (osteoderm), endochondral bone, gut contents, and fossil wood (Figure S3i). Samples were taken at three different intervals between Dec. 2016 and April 2017. The majority of the specimen was treated with adhesives (Paraloid B-72 and Paleobond penetrate stabilizer) during the preparation process, but several sections of the sacral region were collected as split blocks and were kept untreated. 103 samples were taken for electron microscopy from across the body, while 44 samples were taken for geochemical analyses. The geochemical samples largely concentrating on the untreated sacral region, but did also include samples from the main body of the animal. Samples for both analyses were taken with a scalpel by chipping/pringing off small fragments. Those destined for SEM were carefully transferred over with a moistened tip onto an aluminum 12 mm SEM stub with double sided carbon tape, while geochemical samples were transferred on a clean scalpel blade onto sterilized aluminum foil. Samples were kept in plastic boxes for transport.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Samples were coated with gold and analyzed in a Zeiss Sigma HD VP field emission SEM with an EDAX EBSD attached. Secondary Electron images were taken off the horn sheath and skin from a representative set of samples to search for melanosomes and to investigate the mode of preservation and the composition of the rock matrix (See also Figure S3ii and Supplemental Observations Under the Electron Microscope).

Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy

The samples for ToFSIMS analysis were mounted directly onto a sample holder using double-sided carbon tape or clean stainless steel screws and clips as appropriate.
Static SIMS analyses were carried out using an ION-TOF TOF-SIMS IV – 200' instrument (ION-TOF GmbH, Münster, Germany) of single-stage reflectron design [59]. Positive and negative ion spectra were obtained using a Bi3⁺ focused liquid metal ion gun at 25 keV energy, incident at 45° to the surface normal and operated in ‘bunched’ mode for high mass resolution. This mode used 20ns wide ion pulses at 10kHz repetition rate. Charge compensation was effected by low-energy (ca. 20 eV) electrons provided by a flood gun. The total ion dose density was $5 \times 10^{15}$ ions m⁻². The topography of the sample surface and the ion gun mode of operation limited the mass resolution in this work to ca. m/Δm = 2000. The spatial resolution was limited by the primary ion beam diameter to ca. 4 μm.

Positive and negative ion static SIMS spectra were recorded from the outermost ca. 1nm of the sample surface at room temperature. Raw data containing the secondary ions recorded at each pixel was acquired with a 128 x 128 pixel raster and a field of view of 50 μm x 50 μm (see also Figure S3iii and Supplemental Results from the TOF SIMS).

Pyrolysis Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

Pyrolysis experiments were performed using a CDS Analytical 5250 pyroprobe equipped with an autosampler and coupled directly to an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph interfaced with a Waters Micromass Autospec-Ultima mass spectrometer. Approximately 300-700 μg of each sample was placed in a CDS quartz sample tube packed with a quartz wool plug followed by a quartz filler rod and a second plug of quartz wool. Internal standards comprising 50ng each of decafluorobiphenyl (DFB) and pyrene in pentane were then added to the samples immediately prior to analysis. Once loaded in the autosampler, the quartz tubes were dropped into the pyrolysis chamber where they were kept at 50°C for 5 s and then heated at 10°C/ms to 600°C and held for 20 s. The valve oven and transfer line were held at 300°C throughout the analysis. The thermal degradation products were transferred directly to a GC column held at 40°C. The GC was equipped with a DB-5MS column (60 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm) and was operated in split mode with a 5:1 split and 1 mL/min He flow rate. The GC was held at 40°C for 2 min and then programmed to 310°C at 4°C/min where it was held for 20 min. The MS was operated in electron impact mode at 70 eV and scanned m/z 50–600 once per second. Analyses were conducted in duplicate with regularly interspersed blanks. Mass Lynx mass spectrometry software version 4.0 was used for data collection and processing. The identification of pyrolysis products was based on comparison of their mass spectra with library spectra (NIST MS Search 2.0) and by comparisons to data gathered previously [20] (see also Figure S3iv and Supplemental Results of Pyrolysis GC-MS).

Photography

Cross-Polarized Light Photography

In order to enhance color contrast for mapping the preservation and distribution of soft tissues across the specimen, a Lowell totalight equipped with a 750W tungsten bulb was set up with a polarizing gel filter in front [60]. The specimen was then wetted with water and photographed with a Nikon d800 dSLR camera and a 60mm f 2.8 Macro Nikkor lens with a polarizing filter mounted. Photos were then taken so that the polarizing filter is perpendicular to the orientation of the polarizing gel. This configuration ensures the filtering of any light that bounced off the surface of the specimen in resulting glare (see also Figures S4i and ii).

UV Fluorescence Photography

To identify any fluorescing minerals, such as calcium phosphate deposits, from the degraded keratin matrix the specimen was photographed with a short wave Superbright 2 (UV systems inc.) UV lamp using the same camera as for the cross-polarized light photography. Due to the faintness of the fluorescence, photos were taken with a long exposure - between 20-30 s (see also Figure S4iii).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Phylogenetic Methods

To determine the phylogenetic position of Borealopelta markmitchelli, it was scored into the morphological character/taxon matrix of Arbour et al. [14]. Although B. markmitchelli is known from a remarkably complete specimen, the majority of both cranial characters and postcranial endoskeletal characters are obscured by preserved integument. As a result, only 41/91 (45%) cranial and 4/62 (6%) postcranial could be coded along with 13/24 (54%) osteoderm characters, for a total of 58/177 (33%).

Several of the more incomplete and unstable, taxa included in the previous analysis [14] were removed: Ahshislepeelta minor, Alectopeelta coombsi, “Argentinian ankylosaur,” Hoplitosaurus marshi, “Paw paw scuteling,” Struthiosaurus languedocensis, Struthioso- saurus transylvanicus, Taehelong jinchengensis, Texastes pleurohalio, Zarapelta sanjuanensis, and Zhejiangosaurus luoyangensis. The resulting taxon character matrix consisted of 47 OTUs and 177 characters (Datafile S1 and S2). The character/taxon matrix was analyses in TNT (version 1.1) [61]. All characters we treated as unordered and equally weighted. The traditional search option with one random seed and 1000 replicates and tree bisection algorithm (TBR) was used to identify most parsimonious trees. A new technology search was also performed. Bootstrap analysis (1000 replicates) using TBR, and Bremer support values (from suboptimal trees) were also obtained to indicate clade support (see also Supplemental Phylogenetic Results and Figures 3 and S2).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Specimen

The holotype of Borealopelta is deposited at the Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta, under the accession number: TMP 2011.033.0001. Samples for SEM analyses and TOF SIMS are held at the TMP under the same accession number. Samples for py-GC-MS were consumed.
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