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ABSTRACT
Cryoablation is a percutaneous procedure for treating solid tumors using needle-like instruments. This paper presents an interventional guidance device for faster and more accurate alignment and insertion of multiple probes during cryoablation performed in closed bore magnetic resonance (MR) imaging systems. The device is compact and is intended to be mounted onto a Siemens 110 mm MR loop coil. A cable-driven two-degrees-of-freedom spherical mechanism mimics the wrist motion as it orients the intervention probes about a remote center of motion located 15 mm above the skin. A carriage interfaces with the probes via a thumbscrew-fastened latch to passively release the probes from their tracks, enabling them to be inserted sequentially and freeing them to move with respiration. Small actuator modules containing piezoelectric encoder-based motors are designed to be snap-fit into the device for ease of replacement and sterilization. The robot MRI compatibility was validated with standard cryoablation imaging sequences in 3T MR environment, yielding a maximum of 4% signal to noise ratio during actuator motion. Bench-level device characterization demonstrated a maximum error of 0.78° in the carriage movement. Needle-tip placement experiments for multiple targets in gelatin were performed using our image-guided navigation software, measuring an average targeting error of 2.0 mm.

INTRODUCTION
Cryoablation, a minimally invasive procedure, treats soft tissue cancer found in the lung, liver, breast, kidney and prostate through the precise placement of liquid nitrogen or pressurized argon gas filled probes [1]. Recent interventional cryoablation studies reported almost 100% efficacy for the treatment of small renal tumors (≤4 cm) [2, 3]. This method is less painful, has lower risk of developing metastatic disease and requires fewer retreatments than radiofrequency ablation [4-6].
Cryoablation is often performed in conjunction with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) to track the position of ablation probes, as well as to visualize the ice ball formation for direct comparison between the kill zone and the tumor margin. After interventionists determine the location of a lesion with an initial scan, they would approximate an entry site for the probe on the surface of the skin and make a small incision at the entry site to facilitate insertion. The imaging data is used to estimate the desired compound entry angle and the probe is inserted in an iterative manner, a few centimeters at a time, each time checking its trajectory with MR scans, until the tip reaches the desired endpoint inside the patient. Given the limited space within an MRI machine, the manual insertion and adjustment of the intervention probe must be conducted outside the imaging bore. The interventionist must compromise between efficiency and precision, as each scan and adjustment necessitates sliding the patient into and out of the bore. Additionally, the simultaneous use of multiple probes is usually needed to create a synergistic ice formation that encompasses the entire tumor and ensure the tumor reaches the minimal required ablation temperature of -40°C [7-9]. Due to the challenges in precisely calculating the desired entry angle and subsequently inserting the needle along it, more than half of the procedure time may be spent correcting probe path [10]. Similarly, inserting the probe precisely along its planned path was also observed to be the most time-intensive portion of the operation for many CT-guided interventions [11].

To solve the probe alignment challenge, a number of devices have been developed in recent years. Taillant et al. [12] and Hata et al. [13] introduced MRI compatible systems that mount on the scanner bed and suspend over the patient. The breast biopsy and intervention apparatus presented by Larson et al. [14] uses telescopic rods to situate probes while keeping ultrasonic actuators away from the imaging bore to minimize any distortion effects to the MR images. Kokes et al. [15] reported another MRI compatible needle driver for breast tumor radiofrequency ablation, which employs a haptic device to remotely control the robot. Rasmus et al. [16], Muntener et al. [17] and Su et al. [18] developed bed-mounting robotic mechanisms that targeted single probe treatment of the prostate gland. Walsh et al. [19] designed a compact device that attaches directly to the patient via adhesive pads and orients a single probe for CT and ultrasound-image-guided biopsy.

The available technologies for placing probes are either large plus expensive or designed to work with single probe ablation only. Furthermore, unique to MR-image-guided procedures, a flexible imaging coil must be affixed to the patient over the region of interest to capture radio frequency data coming from the body and produce high quality images. Many mechanisms designed for CT or ultrasound-image-guided procedures cannot accommodate imaging coil placements, limiting their possibilities of redesigning for MR-guided operations.

Therefore, there is a clear opportunity for an inexpensive, small footprint MRI-compatible system that mounts directly to the imaging coil and enables rapid, precise and accurate guidance for multiple probes. This paper presents the design and evaluation of such a system. The device is designed primarily for cryoablation performed in the abdominal area, where multiple probes are required, but it can also be used for other image-guided percutaneous instrument insertions.

**DEVICE DESIGN**

The robot presented in this paper is designed to work for the most common clinical case, where three 17-gauge probes (1.473 mm in diameter and 17.5 cm long) are placed, sharing the approximate same probe insertion site on the skin, to reach an average depth of 125 mm and maximum tilt of ±45°. Typically, the tumor is 25-30 mm in diameter.

**Mechanism Design Concept**

The form factor of the device was greatly influenced by the procedure work-flow and the ease of sterilization. It was determined that a total of three degrees of freedom (DOFs) are essential in the placement of multiple intervention probes: two actuated DOFs for orienting the probe and one passive DOF for releasing the probe from a guide after insertion. The action of inserting the probe was decided to be performed manually to ensure safety of the patient. Utilizing the device and the corresponding navigation software to set the angle of insertion, the interventionist can accurately position the probes without performing multiple scans. To optimally utilize the small workspace inside a closed bore, a coil-mounted system that places up to three cryoablation probes was designed as shown in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1. THE DEVICE CONTAINS TWO ACTUATED DOFS FOR ORIENTING THE PROBE AND ONE MANUALLY CONTROLLED DOF FOR RELEASING THE PROBE. THE HOLLOW ROUND BASE ENABLES THE DEVICE TO BE MOUNTED TO AN IMAGING COIL AND ALLOWS THE PHYSICIAN TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE INSERTION SITE.](image-url)
carriage move to the first position, allowing the probe inside the first track to be inserted manually. The probe can then be released simply by opening the clamping mechanism and actuating the arc to the next position to guide the newly placed probe in the second track. The arc should always rotate in the same direction to avoid colliding with previously placed probes, and the software driving the device assists the user with managing the order of probe placement. Key design features are addressed below and more details can be found in [20].

Figure 2. PROBES ARE PLACED SEQUENTIALLY AND LEFT IN PLACE.

Spherical Mechanism

Mimicking the wrist motion, a spherical mechanism consisting of an arc and a carriage was chosen to describe the two angular DOFs of the probe. The two components’ axes of rotation are coplanar; their intersection point is the RCM and is positioned as close as possible to the preselected probe insertion point, which in the current prototype is 15 mm above the skin surface due to actuator size, to minimize the length of the entry site incision.

The motion of the carriage is constrained by a custom-designed roller bearing. Since a small misalignment between the carriage and arc would amplify error at the probe tip, the appropriate bearing stiffness was designed and tested not only to enable smooth, low friction motion, but also to decrease backlash and other undesired movement. As shown in Fig. 3, the arc profile is trapezoidal. Five rollers, four on the top and one on the bottom, are preloaded onto the sides of the arc. The walls of the carriage are offset from the surface of the arc by 1.5 mm, avoiding sliding friction caused by direct contact.

Hertz contact stress between the roller and the surface of the arc was calculated to prevent significant pitting or fatigue at the contact surfaces. Small and wide rubber rollers were selected to increase the contact area, decrease maximum contact stress on the rollers, and improve traction. The outer diameter of the roller is three times the diameter of the dowel pin holding it in the carriage, allowing the roller to rotate easily on the pin without losing excess energy from its sliding contact with the pin [21]. This is important in reducing the torque and power requirements of the actuators. Bench level experiment conducted with a Logger Pro force sensor (Vernier Software and Technology, Beaverton, OR) showed that a maximum force of 2.71 N, with a standard deviation of 0.01 N, is required to move the carriage along the arc.

The probes are secured to the carriage from the side, allowing them to be easily disengaged from the carriage as the arc rotates clockwise about the x-axis. A thumb screw fastens a door-like latch on the carriage, locking the probes in place with friction and compression. As mentioned earlier, the three needle tracks, spaced 3 mm apart, prevent the probes from intersecting at the RCM while maintaining the 2-DOF spherical movement. The tracks are tilted 14° to direct the probes toward the x-axis, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

Actuation and Cable Based Transmission

Piezo LEGS rotatory motors (Piezomotor, Sweden) were selected for this device due to the small size, large torque capacity, low image distortion, low friction and ease of position control compared to other MRI compatible actuators, such as Shinsei ultrasonic motor [22], pneumatics [23] and hydraulics [24]. Encoder modules were obtained (US Digital, Vancouver, WA) to perform closed loop control.

Actuators and the associated electronics are difficult to clean with conventional sterilization processes, thus they were designed to be enclosed in a single removable casing that snaps into the remainder of the device (Fig. 4). The square end of the extension shaft, along with the peg-in-hole feature in the casing,
facilitates the snap-fit attachment of actuation module.

The amount of noise introduced to the MR image is minimized when the motors are placed on the side of the robot. Thus for the moving carriage, a cable-driven system is required to remotely transmit motion from the motor. Dyneema plastic cable was selected for this application as it is MRI compatible, and has high strength, low stretch, and high lubricity [25]. The cable makes a closed loop: it begins from a driving pulley, attaches to two sides of the carriage, wraps around a tensioning pulley, and comes back to the driving pulley. Figure 5 shows the main forces acting on the cable-driven system. Estimating the friction between the cable and the arc with the Capstan principle, the maximum torque required to move the carriage is 0.02 Nm, which is well within the limit of the Piezo LEGS rotatory motors.

![Figure 5. FREE BODY DIAGRAM OF THE CABLE-DRIVEN SYSTEM.](image)

Figure 5. FREE BODY DIAGRAM OF THE CABLE-DRIVEN SYSTEM.

Figure 6 demonstrates the design of the arc. It contains a pocket for a double layered driving pulley that isolates the outgoing and returning cables to minimize friction. The arc and the driving pulley each contain a square hole to interface with the extension shaft in the motor module. The bottom of the arc snap-fits into corresponding features on the fixed base and provides rotational alignment. The bolt-driven u-shaped tensioning mechanism shown in Fig. 7 is designed to eliminate backlash and improve stiffness of the carriage as it travels along the arc.

![Figure 6. DIMENSION OF THE ARC IS DRIVEN BY THE SIZE OF THE IMAGING COIL AND THE TRAVEL RANGE OF THE CARRIAGE.](image)

Figure 6. DIMENSION OF THE ARC IS DRIVEN BY THE SIZE OF THE IMAGING COIL AND THE TRAVEL RANGE OF THE CARRIAGE.

Base Design

The base of the device is designed to specifically cover the 110 mm Siemens 4-channel Flex Loop Interface (Siemens, Germany), as shown in Fig. 1. The large round window, in addition to placing the arc 45° off the major axis of the base, allows interventionists to easily access the center of the base from either side of the arc and perform tasks such as making the probe entry site incision and manually adjusting the probe after it has been released.

The inner wall of the base contains three layers. Six 6 mm spherical pinpoint fiducials from Beekly (Bristol, CT) are sandwiched between the layers to serve as registration markers. The distance between any two fiducial capsules is unique, enabling a registration algorithm to quickly identify the orientation and location of the device in the image coordinate system.

Static finite element analysis (FEA) was carried out using SimulationXpress 2010 (SolidWorks Corp., Santa Monica, CA) to ensure structural integrity during probe orientation and insertion. Each component was analyzed separately based on forces and boundary conditions derived from first order approximation, with a worst case scenario of 10 N probe insertion force [19]. The results showed that the 3D printed plastic components are able to withstand the necessary interaction forces and would exhibit negligible or, in the case of snap-fit features, acceptable deformation.

System Kinematics

A straightforward closed-form inverse kinematics for the system can be formulated as follows:

\[
q_1 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{z}\right), \quad q_2 = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{-x}{r}\right), \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \quad (1)
\]

where \(q_1\) and \(q_2\) are angles of arc and carriage respectively, and \(r\) is the insertion length needed to reach the given target located at \((x, y, z)\) from the RCM, as illustrated in Fig. 8.
Figure 8. A SIMPLE MODEL USED TO REPRESENT THE DEVICE COORDINATE SYSTEM. THE SEMICIRCLE IS THE ARC, THE SQUARE IS THE CARRIAGE, THE DOT IS THE TARGET, AND THE SOLID LINE IS THE PROBE.

For the kinematics of the actual mechanism, 14° needs to be added to \( q_1 \) due to the tilt of the needle tracks, and for \( q_2 \), a compensation angle is needed for probes placed in different tracks. Demonstrated in Fig. 9a, if a probe in track 2 (left dotted line) coincides with the target, then the probe in track 3 (right dotted line) needs to rotate clockwise by \( \delta q_2 \) to reach the target (lower solid line). Similarly, a probe in track 1 needs to rotate counterclockwise by \( \delta q_2 \) to reach the target (Fig. 9b). It can also be seen that by separating the probes into different tracks, they do not intersect at the origin (RCM). Here, track 1 is defined as the left most track, track 3 as the right most track, and track 2 as the middle track, which also intersects the RCM.

The compensation angle can be found from

\[
\delta q_2 = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{r} \right)
\]  

(2)

where \( d \) is the distance between the needle tracks (3 mm). Taking into account the ratio between the radius of the driving pulley and the radius of the arc, as well as change in the absolute position of the cable as the arc moves, the amount of motor rotation needed to drive the carriage can be determined. The inverse kinematics relating the target coordinates to the motor angles are

\[
q_{m1} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{z} \right) + 14
\]  

(3)

\[
q_{m2} = \left[ q_2 + (\text{track} - 2)\delta q_2 \right] \frac{r_{\text{arc}}}{r_{\text{pulley}}} - \left| q_1 - q_1 ' \right|
\]  

(4)

where \( r_{\text{arc}} \) is 80 mm, \( r_{\text{pulley}} \) is 7 mm, and \( |q_1 ' - q_1| \) is the change of arc position.

A MATLAB script with graphical output (Fig. 10) was written to simulate the operation of the device and verify the above kinematics equations. Targets can either be generated or defined by the user based on known physical conditions of cryoablation. A simple sorting function reorders the target points to maintain clockwise arc rotation and prevent the arc from colliding with previously placed probes. The inverse kinematics is adjusted to allow the probe in track 1 to reach the first target, probe in track 2 to reach the second target, and so forth. The minimum distances between the probes are calculated to ensure that the probes do not intersect with one another.

Figure 10. THE MATLAB SCRIPT SIMULATES DEVICE OPERATION AND VERIFIES KINEMATICS. THE MINIMUM DISTANCES BETWEEN THE PROBES ARE CALCULATED TO ENSURE THEY DO NOT INTERSECT AT THE RCM.

PROTOTYPING AND CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION

The device was 3D printed with ABS (Objet Ltd., Rehovot, Israel) with a view that it could be manufactured at a larger scale using injection molding. Figure 11 shows the prototype with three probes clamped in the needle guide.
Figure 11. 3D PRINTED DEVICE PROTOTYPE SHOWN WITH THREE PROBES ATTACHED.

Figure 12 is a screenshot of the navigation software developed as a 3D Slicer Image-Guided-Therapy (IGT) module to perform device registration and calibration, plan probe trajectory, and visualize robot movement. As discussed earlier, the uniquely positioned fiducials help with transforming selected targets from the MR image coordinates to the robot coordinates. The target coordinates are then sent to a Java program via the navigation software to calculate inverse kinematics. This Java program runs on a computer in the control room, which in turn communicates with the MRI compatible robot controller placed inside the scanning room through fiber optic cables. Since the controller is completely shielded, it allows the motor to move during a scan without compromising image quality [26]. A PID controller uses the encoder data to perform closed loop position control and guides the robot to the commanded position. The encoder data is also converted to target positions with forward kinematic equations in the Java program, allowing the corresponding virtual probe and robot positions to be displayed as part of the graphical user interface. The software system architecture is shown in Fig. 13.

Figure 12. AN IMAGE-GUIDED NAVIGATION SOFTWARE WAS DEVELOPED IN 3D SLICER FOR DEVICE REGISTRATION AND PROBE PATH VISUALIZATION.

System Evaluation

MRI compatibility was evaluated in a Siemens 3T Verio MR Scanner with the robot placed on top of a Supertech Interventional 3D Abdominal Phantom (Elkhart, IN). As shown in Fig. 14, the controller was placed approximately 3 m from the imaging bore, powered and grounded by an in-room AC outlet.

Three imaging protocols most commonly used for MR image-guided cryoablation, HASTE MBH, 3D VIBE, and T2 TSE, were selected for the compatibility evaluation. Scanning details such as field of view (FV, mm), repetition time (RT, ms), echo time (ET, ms), flip angle (FA, deg), and bandwidth (BW, Hz/pixel) can be found in Tab. 1. Four robot configurations were tested for comparison purposes, including phantom baseline, robot without motor modules, robot with motors off, and robot with motors running. Forty slices, 3 mm thick each, were obtained per imaging protocol for each configuration.

Table 1. SCAN PARAMETERS AND SNR CHANGE COMPARED TO BASELINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scan</th>
<th>FV</th>
<th>ET</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>SNR Base</th>
<th>Motor On, % change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HASTE MBH</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.13, 4.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D VIBE</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.87, 0.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 TSE</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>6944</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.77, 2.26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 15 illustrates the MR images obtained from baseline and during motor operation. The pixel difference between the two images shows small amount of identifiable noise and distortion inside the phantom. The signal to noise ratio (SNR) was calculated as the mean pixel intensity in the center of the phantom divided by the noise intensity (root mean square signal intensity) outside the phantom. As can be observed in Table 2, the maximum change in normalized SNR for motor running condition is 4.06% compared to the baseline. This is sufficiently small to not interfere with the operation. MRI compatibility tests conducted with similar controller and actuators can be also found in [27], which reported comparable change in SNR (2.1%).

The system’s repeatability was measured by moving the arc and the carriage independently to a commanded position approaching from either direction. Figure 17 represents bi-directional performance over six trials for each commanded position: three in the forward direction and three in the reverse direction. The extreme angles (±45°) can only be approached from one direction; hence only three values are available. The mean of the data corresponds to the accuracy of the system.

Table 2 summarizes the test results. The arc shows consistent behavior as it moves in both directions. The maximum arc error is found to be -0.65°. The slightly larger arc error in the reverse direction, which may be caused by the asymmetrical shape and load of the carriage, would not affect targeting accuracy since only forward movement (clockwise about x-axis) is required during probe placement. The carriage exhibited more overall error, with a maximum of 0.78°. Tensioning the cable prior to the test may improve the carriage performance. With an average probe insertion depth of 125 mm, the angular errors translate to a probe tip error of 2.2 mm.

### Table 2. BENCH-LEVEL DEVICE CHARACTERIZATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Backward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc ($q_1$)</td>
<td>-0.02°±0.17°</td>
<td>-0.02°±0.25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriage ($q_2$)</td>
<td>0.43°±0.18°</td>
<td>-0.41°±0.17°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, targeting accuracy of the device was evaluated in gelatin to simulate probe placement in tissue. To register the coordinate system of the EM tracker to the coordinate system of the robot, two EM sensors were secured to the base of the device, as shown in Fig. 18. An additional EM sensor was
installed on the tip of the needle to track its movement within the gelatin. The needle used for the targeting test was a hollow Nitinol tube, which is compatible with the EM field. Figure 19 shows the results of a test in gelatin with 8 targets shaping a “folded star.” The targets were reached using all three needle tracks. The dotted and solid lines show the desired and actual patterns respectively while the maximum error never exceeded 5 mm. The measured targeting errors, 2.0 mm ± 1.5 mm, are larger than the previously calculated 2.2 mm, which may be caused by insertion depth error and needle’s bending in gelatin. A CT-compatible spherical mechanism for positioning a single probe also reported similar results (2.3 mm ± 1.3 mm) [28].

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The 3D printed prototype is a proof of concept for positioning multiple probes for MR-image-guided percutaneous interventions. Such a novel device offers a practical and cost-effective approach to improving the placement of multiple ablation probes to match a treatment plan. The device becomes part of the procedural work-flow as it is mounted together with the MR image coil on the patient. The two actuators are integrated into reusable modules that snap into a single-use or sterilizable base to help maintain a sterile field.

Future work includes verifying the reliability of each component and optimizing the design. Shielding the actuator modules will further reduce change in SNR. Probe insertion experiments in a phantom model inside an MRI machine are planned and will yield additional useful information for improving the cryoablation work-flow when a robotic positioning system is used. Tests need to be performed to guarantee safety before an automated insertion mechanism or steerable ablation probe can be incorporated to further simplify probe positioning and account for probe deflection in tissue. Ultimately, this robot is envisioned to perform automatic probe placement and ablation inside any medical imaging machine, enabling faster, safer, and more economical interventions.
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