Antibodies specifically targeting a locally misfolded region of tumor associated EGFR

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation

As Published
http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811559106

Publisher
National Academy of Sciences

Version
Final published version

Accessed
Sat Jun 17 04:57:10 EDT 2017

Citable Link
http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/50256

Terms of Use
Article is made available in accordance with the publisher’s policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher’s site for terms of use.

Detailed Terms
Antibodies specifically targeting a locally misfolded region of tumor associated EGFR
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Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) is involved in stimulating the growth of many human tumors, but the success of therapeutic agents has been limited in part by interference from the EGFR on normal tissues. Previously, we reported an antibody (mAb806) against a truncated form of EGFR found commonly in gliomas. Remarkably, it also recognizes full-length EGFR on tumor cells but not on normal cells. However, the mechanism for this activity was unclear. Crystallographic structures for Fab:EGFR287–302 complexes of mAb806 (and a second, related antibody, mAb175) show that this peptide epitope adopts conformations similar to those found in the wtEGFR. However, in both conformations observed for wtEGFR, tethered and untethered, antibody binding would be prohibited by significant steric clashes with the CR1 domain. Thus, these antibodies must recognize a cryptic epitope in EGFR. Structurally, it appeared that breaking the disulfide bond preceding the epitope might allow the CR1 domain to open up sufficiently for antibody binding. The EGFR271A/C283A mutant not only binds mAb806, but binds with 1:1 stoichiometry, which is significantly greater than wtEGFR binding. Although mAb806 and mAb175 decrease tumor growth in xenografts displaying mutant, overexpressed, or autocrine stimulated EGFR, neither antibody inhibits the in vitro growth of cells expressing wtEGFR. In contrast, mAb806 completely inhibits the ligand-associated stimulation of cells expressing EGFR271A/C283A. Clearly, the binding of mAb806 and mAb175 to the wtEGFR requires the epitope to be exposed either during receptor activation, mutation, or overexpression. This mechanism suggests the possibility of generating antibodies to target other wild-type receptors on tumor cells.
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Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) activation is a feature of many cancers, but understanding how ligand activates the EGFR has been challenging. However, elegant genetic, biophysical, and crystallographic studies have revealed many of the complex series of conformational changes and aggregation events required to activate the EGFR intracellular tyrosine kinase domain (1, 2). Amidst these complexities, it is apparent that in solution the EGFR extracellular domain adopts at least 2 fundamental conformations: an inactive tethered conformation and an active untethered, or extended, ligand-bound “back-to-back” dimer.

Two major classes of agents have been developed to target the EGFR and prevent receptor activation: tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and mAbs (3). TKIs, such as gefitinib and erlotinib, act by competitively binding to the ATP pocket of EGFR (3), whereas mAbs, such as cetuximab (4) and panitumumab (5), inhibit ligand binding. Both classes of agents display significant anti-tumor activity in a range of EGFR-dependent mouse xenograft models, and both have been approved for clinical use in selected cancer patients, including lung, head and neck, and colon cancers, where they display modest activity (3, 6–8). Although these therapeutics show promise, their use is restricted by antibody clearance by wtEGFR in the liver and dose-limiting toxicities, such as skin rash that results from significant uptake of these agents in normal skin where EGFR is expressed (9).

In most gliomas, over-expressed EGFR is associated with the expression of a truncated form of the receptor Δ2–7EGFR (10). The D2–7EGFR contains a unique N-terminal fusion peptide, resulting from the joining of exons 1 and 8. Monoclonal antibodies directed to this junctional peptide have been described (11) and represent potential therapeutics, specific for the tumors that express Δ2–7EGFR. We generated a panel of antibodies against the D2–7EGFR, using NR6 cells over-expressing this truncated EGFR as the immunogen. While binding to the D2–7EGFR, the 2 antibodies described here also bind the over-expressed wtEGFR on cancer cells (12, 13), but notably do not bind to wtEGFR on normal cells. EGFR over-expression and mutation occur in tumor cells but are rare in normal tissues. The results from our completed Phase I clinical trial with a radio-labeled, chimeric version of mAb806 demonstrated that this antibody targets the EGFR on tumors (14). Interestingly, mAb806 also shows synergistic anti-tumor activity in animal models when used in combination with other EGFR therapeutics, including EGFR kinase inhibitors (15) and antibodies to unrelated EGFR epitopes (16). Physiologically and biochemically, this unusual specificity is consistent with the antibodies binding to a cryptic epitope, one not exposed in normal cells but recognizable on cancer cells. Exactly how this specificity is achieved has not been clear.

Epitope mapping studies showed that mAb806 binds a short cysteine loop comprising amino acids 287–302 of the extracellular domain (17). Inspection of crystal structures for this region of
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wtEGFR suggested that the domain arrangement could prevent access to the epitope in both tethered and untethered forms but access could be possible during ligand activation of the EGFR (17–19). In Δ2–7EGFR, the epitope would be constitutively exposed. In this report, we confirm that mAb175 has the same unusual properties as mAb806. We have also determined the 3D structures for that peptide epitope (EGFR287–302) bound to Fab fragments of 2 antibodies. These studies indicate that the previous molecular interpretation, although plausible, is incorrect. Much of the EGFR surface in contact with the antibodies is buried in the CR1 domain, and EGFR has to locally unfold to bind mAb806 and mAb175. Mutation studies confirm that introducing additional structural instability near the epitope substantially increases epitope access.

**Results**

**Comparison of mAb175 and mAb806 Specificity.** Although mAb175 was derived from an independent hybridoma and has a different IgG subtype than mAb806 (IgG2a vs. IgG2b for mAb806), the sequences of the complementarily-determining regions (CDR) were remarkably similar, differing by only one amino acid in each CDR (see supporting information (SI) Fig. S1A). Binding analysis for EGFR fragments displayed on yeast and their reactivity with mAb175, mAb806, and 2 control antibodies determined by FACS. The epitope recognized by mAb175 is similar to that of mAb806 (Upper) and was not sensitive to denaturation by heating yeast pellets to 80 °C for 30 min (Lower). The c-myc tag was still recognized by the 9E10 anti-myc antibody in all cases, and the conformation-sensitive EGFR antibody 9B11 was used to confirm denaturation.

Table 1. Antibody affinities for EGFR epitopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGFR fragment coupling</th>
<th>$K_0$ for mAb175, nM</th>
<th>$K_0$ for mAb806, nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>287–302 (Pms-Ser)</td>
<td>35 ± 6</td>
<td>16 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287–302 (Thiol)</td>
<td>143 ± 2</td>
<td>84 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287–302 (Amine)</td>
<td>154 ± 0.1</td>
<td>85 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–501* (Amine)</td>
<td>16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>34 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–621* (Amine)</td>
<td>188 ± 0.01</td>
<td>389 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unable to form tether.
*Can form tether.

Efficacy of mAb806 and mAb175 Against Tumor Xenografts Stimulated by Δ2–7EGFR or an EGFR Autocrine Loop. We compared the in vivo anti-tumor activity of mAb806 and mAb175 against U87MG.Δ2–7 glioma xenografts (Fig. 2A). Xenografts were allowed to establish for 6 days, and the average tumor volume was 100 mm³ before antibody therapy commenced. mAb175 treatment inhibited tumor growth more effectively than mAb806 treatment. The average tumor volumes on day 19 were 1530 ± 200 mm³, 30 ± 30 mm³, and 100 ± 10 mm³ for the vehicle, mAb806, and mAb175 treatment groups, respectively ($P < 0.001$ for mAb175 vs. control and $P < 0.002$ for mAb175 vs. mAb806).

Even though U87MG cells express $≈1 \times 10^5$ endogenous wtEGFR per cell, mAb806 does not recognize any of the surface EGFR expressed or inhibit the growth of U87MG tumors in vivo.
for 18 days to an average tumor volume of 90 mm\(^3\). Therapy grown in nude mice (Fig. 2)

the anti-tumor activity of these antibodies in DU145 xenografts

EGFR expressed in DU145 cells than U87MG cells, we analyzed

structures of Fab fragments for both antibodies were determined

containing low levels of wtEGFR when they were activated by
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2.2 Å and 2.0 Å resolution, respectively, for mAb806) (Fig. 3

3D Structure of EGFR287–302 with the Fab Fragments of mAb806 and

mAb175. To understand the molecular details of how mAb175 and mAb806 recognize a subset of the wtEGFR molecules, crystal structures of Fab fragments for both antibodies were determined alone and in complex with the oxidized epitope, EGFR\(_{287–302}\) (at 2.8 Å and 1.59 Å resolution, respectively, for mAb175; and 2.2 Å and 2.0 Å resolution, respectively, for mAb806) (Fig. 3.4 and Table S1). In each case, the structures of each free and complexed Fab were essentially the same and the conformations of EGFR\(_{287–302}\) and the CDR loops of the antibodies were well defined. The epitope adopts a \(\beta\)-ribbon structure, with one edge of the ribbon pointing toward the Fab and with V299 buried at the center of the binding site (Fig. 3 B and C). Both ends of the epitope were exposed to solvent, consistent with the ability of these antibodies to bind to longer EGFR peptides that include EGFR\(_{287–302}\).

Of the 20 antibody residues in contact with the epitope, there are only 2 substitutions between mAb806 and mAb175 (Fig. 3 B and C and Fig. S1A). mAb175 contact residues are: light-chain S30, S31, N32, Y49, H50, Y91, F94, and W96 and heavy-chain D32, Y33, A34, Y51, S53, Y54, S55, N57, R59, A99, G100, and R101; the mAb806 contact residues are the same, with sequence differences for the light-chain, N30, and heavy-chain, F33. EGFR\(_{287–302}\) binds to the Fab through close contacts between peptide residues 293–302, with most of the contacts being between residues 297 and 302. Main chain atoms of residues 300 and 302 hydrogen bond to the Fab (Fig. 3C). Recognition of the epitope sequence occurs through side-chain hydrogen bonds to residues E293 (to H50 and R101 of the Fab), D297 (to Y51 and N57), R300 (to D32), and K301 (via water molecules to Y51 and W96). Hydrophobic contacts are made at G298, V299, and C302. These contacts are consistent with the fine epitope mapping study for mAb806/EGFR that showed that E293, G298, V299, and C302 are important for antibody binding (23).

The conformation of the epitope backbone between amino acids 293 and 302 was essentially identical in the Fab806 and Fab175 crystals (rmsd = 0.4 Å for Ca atoms in these residues). Although it is constrained by the disulfide bond, the N terminus of the peptide (287–292) does not make significant contact in either antibody structure and the conformations of the peptide in this region differ significantly. In the Fab806 complex, this peptide segment appears rather disordered (Fig. 3A). More interestingly, the conformation of the EGFR\(_{287–302}\) peptide in contact with the antibodies is closely related to the EGFR\(_{287–302}\) conformation observed in the backbone of the EGFR structures (24, 25). In the Fab175:EGFR\(_{287–302}\) complex, the rmsd in Ca positions to tethered EGFR (25) is 0.66 Å and to untethered EGFR (chain B) (24) is 0.75 Å (Fig. 3 B and C).

We also studied the solution conformation of \(^{15}\)N-labeled oxidized EGFR\(_{287–302}^*\) by NMR spectroscopy. Comparing assigned resonances with those for random coil (Fig. S4), the free peptide adopted essentially a random coil structure, not the \(\beta\)-ribbon as seen in wtEGFR (24), implying that the antibodies induce the wild-type conformation in the peptide epitope.

Why do mAb806 and mAb175 recognize a subset of EGFR conformations? We manually docked the Fab structure of mAb175 onto an extracellular domain of wtEGFR (tethered and untethered monomers) and D2–7EGFR models by superimposing EGFR\(_{287–302}\) from the mAb complex on that in the EGFR structures. D2–7EGFR models were made by truncation of the corresponding ectodomain conformer. For the D2–7EGFR, there were no significant steric clashes with the receptor. In the
Removing the EGFR 271–283 Disulfide Bond Increases mAb806 Binding. Protein–disulfide bonds usually provide increased structural rigidity, but in some cell surface receptors, particularly those for cytokines and growth factors, transient breaking of disulfide bonds and disulfide exchange can control the receptor’s function (26). We mutated either or both of the EGFR cysteine residues at positions 271 and 283 to alanine residues (C271A/C283A). The vectors capable of expressing full-length EGFR_{C271A}, EGFR_{C283A}, or EGFR_{C271A/C283A} were transfected into the IL-3-dependent BaF/3 cell line. Stable BaF/3 clones that expressed the mutants at normal levels, i.e., <10^5 per cell, were selected. The wtEGFR reacts poorly with mAb806; however, all of the cysteine mutants bound mAb806 strongly (Fig. 5A and Fig. S5). Because these cysteine mutants also bind mAb528, they must be displayed on the cell surface with at least their L2 domain folded correctly. Quantitatively, mAb806 only recognized a small proportion of the total wtEGFR expressed on the cell surface (the mAb806/528 binding ratio is 0.07). In contrast, mAb806 recognizes all of the EGFR_{C271A/C283A} displayed on the cell surface (the mAb806/528 binding ratio is 1.01 ± 0.13).

Western blotting analysis confirmed that the EGFR_{C271A/C283A} mutant is expressed at similar levels to the wtEGFR and is tyrosine phosphorylated in response to EGF stimulation (Fig. 5B). Further-
more, mutation of the 2 cysteines did not compromise EGF binding or receptor function: Ba/F3 cells expressing the EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} mutant proliferate in the presence of EGF (Fig. 5C). We have reproducibly observed that the Ba/F3 cells expressing the EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} mutation respond to lower concentrations of EGF, suggesting either higher affinity for the ligand or enhanced signaling potential for the mutant receptor. mAb806 has no effect on the in vitro EGF-induced proliferation of Ba/F3 wtEGFR cells, whereas mAb528 strongly inhibited the EGF-induced proliferation of these cells (Fig. 5D Left). In contrast, mAb806 totally ablated the EGF-induced proliferation in Ba/F3 EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} cells (Fig. 5D Right).

Discussion

When the EGFR or erbB2 are over-expressed or mutated in tumors, antibodies such as cetuximab, panitumumab, and herceptin that target EGFR family members are important options for treatment (3). The 3D structures of both the target receptor (1) and the antibody:receptor complexes (25, 27, 28) have improved our understanding of how these antibodies interfere with receptor activation. Studies such as these have also suggested that targeting other epitopes on this receptor family may produce new opportunities for using combinations of antibodies to improve cancer treatment. A drawback, however, with all currently approved therapeutic anti-EGFR antibodies is that they recognize the wtEGFR that is expressed in normal tissues including skin, liver, and gut. Not only does this pool of EGFR in normal tissue represent a large sink for the antibodies, it makes the use of antibody/cytotoxic conjugates impractical. Despite these limitations, it should be noted that the EGFR in many normal tissues (e.g., liver) appears not to be activated, so neutralizing anti-EGFR antibodies do not have a profound effect on vital homeostatic signaling (29). Many tumors contain over-expressed, mutated, or activated EGFR. Importantly, activated EGFR is functionally involved in the maintenance of the tumorigenesis state by enhancing cell movement, proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis, and survival of tumor cells. Consequently, the administration of anti-EGFR antibodies or EGFR kinase inhibitors can decrease the growth and survival of the tumor cells.

Antibodies directed to the unique junctional peptide in the D2–7EGFR have the potential to target several tumor types, including gliomas (29), without the difficulties associated with normal tissue uptake. However, the expression of the D2–7EGFR is infrequent, compared with over-expression of the wtEGFR, and antibodies targeting just D2–7EGFR will not necessarily inhibit over-expressed wtEGFR (30). mAb806 and mAb175 not only bind this truncated receptor, but also bind to over-expressed wtEGFR. These antibodies recognize an epitope contained within a cysteine loop (amino acids 287–302) that is accessible in the D2–7EGFR, but not in the wtEGFR, when expressed at low to moderate levels on cells in the absence of ligands (13). A previous attempt to predict how mAb806 bound its epitope in the context of the EGFR was not successful (19). Despite the generation of 3 models, the unusual change in accessibility of the epitope confounded the prediction algorithms, so it was not possible to use the predicted structures to improve our understanding of mAb806 binding to the wtEGFR.

Our structural studies with the EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} epitope show that both mAb806 and mAb175 recognized the same 3D structural motif in the wtEGFR structures (24, 25), suggesting that this backbone conformation also occurs in and is exposed in the D2–7EGFR. Critically, however, the orientation of the epitope in these structures would prevent antibody access to the relevant amino acids. This orientation is consistent with the experimental observation that mAb806 does not bind wtEGFR expressed on the cell surface at physiological levels. Detailed inspection of the EGFR structure raised another intriguing possibility. The EGFR\textsubscript{271–283} epitope lies against a second disulfide-bonded loop (amino acids 271–283), and we predicted that the disruption of this disulfide bond would allow access to the EGFR\textsubscript{271–283} loop without changing its backbone conformation (Fig. 4). Our results with the EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} mutant indicate that the CR1 domain can open up to allow mAb806 and mAb175 to bind stoichiometrically to this mutant receptor. This mutant receptor can still adopt a native conformation because it is fully responsive to EGF stimulation but, unlike the wtEGFR, is fully inhibited by mAb806. If a misfolded form of the EGFR with this disulfide bond broken were to exist on the surface of cancer cells, our data clearly shows it would be capable of initiating cell signaling and should be inhibited by either mAb806 or mAb175.

There is a second potentially more likely possibility to explain the binding of these antibodies. During ligand activation, the structural rearrangement of the receptor could induce local unfolding in the vicinity of the epitope, allowing the receptor to adopt a conformation that permits binding. In crystal structures, the epitope lies near the physical center of the EGFR ectodomain and access to the epitope is blocked by both the folded CR1 domain and the quaternary structure of the EGFR ectodomain. In the tethered and the untethered conformations, the integrity of the CR1 domain is stabilized by additional interactions with either the L1:ligand:L2 domains (un tethered) or the L2:CR2 domains (tethered). However, the epitope region has some of the highest thermal parameters found in the ectodomain; the mAb806/175 epitope is structurally labile. During receptor activation, when the receptor undergoes a transition between the tethered and untethered conformations, it appears that mAb806 and mAb175 can access the epitope. Thus, at the molecular level, these mechanisms could contribute to the negligible binding of mAb806 and mAb175 to normal cells and the substantially higher levels of binding to tumor cells that have overexpressed and/or activated EGFR.

The mAb806 and mAb175 reactivity to a locally misfolded region of its target protein suggests the possibility of generating antibodies to other overexpressed/misfolded receptors for cancer therapeutics. For other members of the EGFR family, one approach would be to use the disulfide mutants equivalent to EGFR\textsubscript{C271A/C283A} as immunogens because this would increase exposure of the equivalent epitope in an appropriately folded form. Under glycosylation or kinase, mutations of EGFR also increase mAb806 epitope accessibility and would provide alternate immunization strategies. More generally, in tumor cells that overexpress other growth factor receptors, in particular receptors with disulfide-rich domains (e.g., the insulin-like growth factor receptors [IGFRs], we predict that a proportion of these receptors will be partially misfolded because of factors such as under glycosylation or transiently mismatched or unformed disulfide bonds. Thus, it is conceivable that other disulfide mutant or truncated receptors could be used as immunogens to generate tumor-specific anti-receptor antibodies for use in cancer treatment.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines. The Δ2–7EGFR transfected U87MG,Δ2–7 (10), the A431 (31), and the hormone-independent prostate DU145 (21) cell lines were grown as previously described (16). For site-directed mutants, Ba/F3 cell lines expressing different EGF receptors were maintained as reported earlier (32).

Antibodies, Fabs, and Peptides. Sequences of the mAb variable domains were determined from cDNAs, with corrections from the crystal structures. mAb806 and mAb175 were produced in the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research Biological Production Facility, Melbourne. Intact mAbs were digested with activated pepsin at 37 °C at a ratio of 1:20 and the pepsin inactivated with iodoacetamide. The digestion was passed over Protein-A Sepharose (Amersham) with the flow-through further purified by cation exchange using a Mono-S column (Amersham) and concentrated using a 10,000 molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) centrifugal concentrator (Millipore). For Fab–peptide complexes, a molar excess of lyophilized peptide was added directly to the Fab and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C before setting up crystallization trials. The EGFR\textsubscript{271–283} was synthesized using Fmoc chemistry, purified by RP-HPLC, and characterized by MS analysis.
Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting. Cells were lysed using 1% Triton X-100, 30 mM Hepes, 150 mM NaCl, 500 mM G-2-aminooxybenzenesulfonyl fluoride, 150 mM aprotinin, 1 mM E-64 protease inhibitor, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM Leupeptin (pH 7.4) for 20 min, clarified by centrifugation at 14,000 × g for 30 min, immunoprecipitated with the relevant antibodies at a final concentration of 5 μg/ml for 60 min, and captured by Sepharose-A beads overnight. Samples were then eluted with 2X NuPAGE SDS Sample Buffer (Invitrogen), resolved on NuPAGE gels, electro-transferred onto Immobilon-P transfer membrane (Millipore), and then probed with the relevant antibodies before detection by chemiluminescence radiography.

Surface Plasma Resonance. Using BioAccore 3000, EGFR fragments were immobilized on a CMS sensor chip using amine, thiol, or Pms coupling at a flow rate of 5 μL/min (33). The mAb806 and mAb175 were passed over the sensor surface at a flow rate of 5 μL/min at 25 °C. Kd values were calculated from equilibrium binding data obtained by passing different concentrations of antibody over the sensor surfaces. Equilibrium binding data were analyzed in Scatchard format (Req/C vs. Req, where Req is the sensorgram signal at equilibrium and C is the concentration (nM)). The data were fitted using linear regression.

Xenograph Models. U87MGΔ2−7 or DU145 cells (3 × 10⁶) in 100 μL of PBS were inoculated s.c. into both flanks of 4- to 6-week-old female BALB/c nude mice (Animal Research Centre). All studies were conducted using established tumor models as reported previously (16). Data are expressed as mean tumor volume ± SE for each treatment group. Data were analyzed for significance by one-sided Student’s t test, where P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. This research project was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Austin Health, Heidelberg, Australia.

Generation and Characterization of Stable Cells Expressing EGFR Mutant Constructs. The template for each mutagenesis was the human wtEGFR cDNA (GenBank accession number X00588) (31). Mutations were generated using a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) and verified by automated nucleotide sequencing of each construct. Wild-type and mutant (C173, C281, and C173A/C281A) EGFR were transfected into Ba/F3 cells by electroporation, and stable cell lines were obtained as described previously (18). Methods for FACS analysis, EGFR induced proliferation assays, and analysis of EGFR activation by immobiloblotting of Ba/F3 cells expressing variants of the EGFR have been extensively described in earlier manuscripts (18, 24).

Crystal Structure Determinations. Crystals were grown using a Topaz crystallization system (Fluidigm) and by hanging drop vapor diffusion from a 1:1 mixture of protein (7–10 mMg/mL) and precipitant solutions, comprising: 0.15 M sodium formate for Fab175:peptide, 0.1 M sodium acetate for the de2–7 and amplified EGFR receptor containing the de2–7 or amplified epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) but not wild-type EGFR. Cancer Res 61:5355–5361.
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