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We introduce an efficient technique for computing Casimir energies and forces between objects of arbitrarily complex 3D geometries. In contrast to other recently developed methods, our technique easily handles nonspheroidal, nonaxisymmetric objects, and objects with sharp corners. Using our new technique, we obtain the first predictions of Casimir interactions in a number of experimentally relevant geometries, including crossed cylinders and tetrahedral nanoparticles.
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Since the dawn of the modern era of precision Casimir-force measurements some 10 years ago [1], Casimir forces have been measured in an increasingly wide variety of experimental geometries, including plate-plate [2], sphere-plate [3], sphere-comb [4], and cylinder-cylinder [5] configurations. A recent experiment [6] finds evidence of Casimir interactions in a commercially fabricated MEMS device, and there is every reason to believe that accurate modeling of Casimir forces in complex geometries will be a critical ingredient in the design of future commercial MEMS technologies [7–9].

Theoretical developments have largely failed to keep pace with this rapidly advancing experimental reality. Until recently, theoretical considerations were restricted to simple models in highly idealized geometries [10], such as infinite parallel plates or infinite parallel cylinders. Techniques for predicting Casimir forces in more general geometries are clearly needed if theory is to confront the growing wealth of experimental Casimir data and the design challenges of future MEMS devices.

Important recent progress on general methods has been made by a number of authors [11–15]. Rodriguez et al. [11] applied standard techniques of computational electromagnetics to develop a method capable of predicting Casimir forces between arbitrary two-dimensional objects, i.e., infinitely extended objects of arbitrary 2D cross section. Emig et al. (EGJK) [12] demonstrated an efficient algorithm for predicting Casimir energies of interaction between compact 3D objects of spheroidal or nearly spheroidal shape. Both of these techniques have since been applied to predictions of Casimir interactions in new geometries [16–18]. To date, however, a practical computational scheme for predicting Casimir forces between arbitrary nonspheroidal three-dimensional objects has remained elusive.

In this work, inspired by the ideas of Refs. [11,12], we introduce a new technique for calculating Casimir forces between objects of arbitrary 3D shapes, including efficient handling of nonspheroidal, nonaxisymmetric objects and objects with sharp corners. As an immediate application of our method, we present the first predictions of Casimir interactions in a number of experimentally relevant geometries, including crossed cylindrical capsules [5] and tetrahedral nanoparticles [19,20].

The method.—The starting point of the EGJK method is a path-integral expression [21] for the Casimir energy of perfectly conducting compact objects:

\[ \mathcal{E} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \log \frac{Z(\kappa)}{Z_\infty(\kappa)} \] (1)

FIG. 1 (color). Surfaces of compact conducting objects are discretized [31] into planar triangles, which are used to construct localized vector-valued basis functions describing the surface current distribution. As shown in the inset, each basis function describes currents flowing from a vertex of one triangle to the opposite vertex of an adjacent triangle, and vanishes on all other triangles [25].
\[
Z(\kappa) = \mathcal{J} \int \prod dJ_m e^{-(1/2) \sum J_m M_{\alpha \mu}^{(\alpha)} \mathcal{J} J_m},
\]

where the functional integration extends over all possible current distributions \(\mathcal{J}(x)\) on the surfaces of the objects and where \(\mathcal{G}_\kappa = [1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla \otimes \nabla]^\text{Wick-rotated} \) is the dyadic Green’s function at (Wick-rotated) frequency \( -i\omega = c\kappa \). \(Z_{\infty}\) in (1) is \(Z\) computed with all objects removed to infinite separation [22].

Following EGJK, to obtain a tractable expression for \(Z\) for a given collection of \(N_o\) objects we now proceed to expand the current distribution in a discrete set of basis functions, \(\mathcal{J}(x) = \sum J_m f_m(x)\), where \(i = 1, \ldots, N_o\), ranges over the objects in the geometry and \(m = 1, \ldots, N_f\), runs over a set of \(N_f\) expansion functions defined for the \(i\)th object. With this expansion we have

\[
\mathcal{J} = \int \prod dJ_m e^{-(1/2) \sum J_m M_{\alpha \mu}^{(\alpha)} \mathcal{J} J_m},
\]

and (1) becomes

\[
\mathcal{E} = + \frac{hc}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \log \frac{\det M(\kappa)}{\det M_{\infty}(\kappa)},
\]

where we have evaluated the multiple Gaussian integral in (3) using standard path-integration techniques [23]. Here the elements of the matrix \(M(\kappa)\) are the interactions between the basis functions, \(M_{\alpha \beta}(\kappa) = \iint f_{\alpha} \cdot G_\kappa \cdot f_{\beta} \, dx \, dx’,\) and \(\mathcal{J}\) in (3) is the (constant) Jacobian of the transformation \(\mathcal{D}J \rightarrow \mathcal{J} = \prod dJ_m\), which cancels in the ratio (5).

EGJK took the expansion functions \(f_m\) to be proportional to the spherical multipole moments \(\{Q_{E,lm}^i, Q_{M,lm}^i\}\) of the \(i\)th object. Although this choice leads to rapidly convergent and even analytically tractable series for spherical objects, it is not of practical use for general geometries, as the spherical multipole expansion must be carried to high orders to represent source distributions on highly nonspherical objects. Ref. [24], for example, demonstrated poor convergence rates for spherical-harmonic representations of elongated objects and objects with corners or cusps.

A more general strategy is to discretize the surfaces of the objects into planar triangles, as depicted in Fig. 1, and to introduce localized basis functions in the spirit of finite-element and boundary-element methods. Following standard practice in computational electromagnetics [25], we choose basis functions defined on pairs of adjacent triangles. As indicated in the inset of Fig. 1, to the \(m\)th internal edge in the surface discretization of object \(i\) we associate a localized basis function \(f_m\), which describes currents flowing on the two triangles that share that edge and vanishes on all other triangles. The matrix elements \(M_{\alpha \beta}\) reduce to finite surface integrals over plane triangles, the evaluation of which is greatly facilitated by the elegant methods of Ref. [26], and the overall accuracy of the computation may be systematically improved, at greater computational expense, by reducing the size of the triangles in the surface mesh. A further virtue of this choice of basis functions is that it eliminates the unwieldy distinction between interior and exterior fields in [12].

Casimir energy.—To compute the energy of interaction of our objects we write

\[
\log \frac{\det M}{\det M_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=1}^N \{\log \lambda_n - \log \lambda_n^\infty\},
\]

where \(\{\lambda_n\}, \{\lambda_n^\infty\}\) are the eigenvalues of \(M, M_{\infty}\).

Casimir force.—The \(z\)-directed force on the \(i\)th object in our geometry is obtained by differentiating (5) with respect to displacement of that object:

\[
\mathbf{F}_{iz} = \frac{hc}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \ln \frac{\det M(\kappa)}{\det M_{\infty}(\kappa)},
\]

The integrand may be conveniently evaluated using the identity [27]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \ln \det M = \text{Tr} \left[ M^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} M \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n,
\]

where \(\{\alpha_n\}\) are the eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalue problem

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \alpha M \cdot \mathbf{v}.
\]

The \(\kappa\) integrals in (5) and (7) may be evaluated using standard numerical quadrature techniques.

The dimension of the matrix \(M\) is the number of basis functions in our expansion of the surface current distribution, which in turn is proportional to the number of triangles in our surface discretization (see Fig. 1). For matrices of moderate dimension, \(N \approx 5000\), corresponding to moderately fine mesh discretizations (sufficient for accurate treatment of all geometries considered here), the eigenvalues in (6) and (8) may be computed using direct methods in \(O(N^3)\) time. For finer meshes it may be possible to use iterative eigenvalue solvers and matrix sparsification techniques [28–30] to reduce the complexity to \(O(N \ln N)\); this will be discussed in a subsequent publication.

Results.—We now apply our technique to the prediction of Casimir interactions in a number of 3D geometries.

Casimir energy of identical spheres, parallel capsules, and crossed capsules.—Figure 2 shows plots of Casimir energy versus separation distance for three pairs of perfectly conducting objects: identical spheres of radius \(R\), identical capsules with parallel axes, and identical capsules with perpendicular axes ("crossed capsules"). A "capsule" is a cylinder of radius \(R\) with hemispherical endcaps, of total length \(L = 6R\) in this case.) The energy curve for the crossed capsules interpolates between the curve for the spheres at short distances and the curve for the parallel capsules at large distances. We may understand this result
as follows: At short distances, the interaction is dominated by contributions from the portions of the surfaces that lie in closest proximity to each other. For the crossed capsules, this region of nearest proximity is restricted to a length $\sim R$ around the centers of the capsules and hence exhibits the same scaling as the region of nearest proximity for the two spheres in the short-distance limit. In contrast, for parallel capsules, the strongly-interacting region extends down the entire length of the capsule and hence scales with an additional factor of $L$ at short distances. At large distances, the interaction becomes pointlike and the energy of interaction between identical capsules becomes independent of the orientation.

**Casimir force vs length for crossed capsules.**—The Casimir force between crossed cylinders, in the limit in which the length $L$ of the cylinders is much longer than their surface–surface separation $Z$, is experimentally accessible [5] and interesting in its own right as a finite interaction between effectively infinite objects. Figure 3 plots the magnitude of the attractive Casimir force between crossed metallic capsules of radius $R$, at fixed surface–surface separations of $Z = 2R$ and $Z = 4R$, as a function of the capsule length $L$. In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ our capsules become infinite cylinders and the force approaches a $Z$-dependent constant (denoted by the dashed lines in the figure.)

An interesting question is how rapidly the force approaches the infinite-cylinder limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed $Z$. A numerical determination of the precise asymptotic $L$-dependence of the force requires an iterative fast-solver version of our method that is capable of handling matrices $M$ of larger dimension; this extension will be discussed in a future publication.

**Tetrahedral nanoparticles.**—Several groups have succeeded in producing tetrahedral nanoparticles of dimensions $\sim 10$–$50$ nm [19,20], and Casimir forces may dominate the interactions between electrostatically neutral particles of this type. Our method allows the first predictions of Casimir interactions between perfectly conducting tetrahedral particles. (In a real system with particles of these sizes, the finite conductivity of the metals cannot be neglected. We are currently implementing an extension of our method to treat materials of arbitrary electrical properties, including imperfect metals, dielectrics, and magnetic materials. Even for the idealization of perfectly conducting nanoparticles, however, it is interesting to analyze the orientation dependence of the Casimir energy, as well as to demonstrate the practical ability of our method to treat geometries with sharp corners and nonaxisymmetric shapes.) Figure 4 depicts a contour plot of Casimir energy vs orientation angles for two perfectly conducting tetrahedra originally separated by a distance $D = 2L$ in the $y$ direction, where $L$ is the tetrahedron edge length. To one of the tetrahedra we apply a rotation through an angle $\phi$ about the $z$ axis followed by a rotation through an angle $\theta$ about the $y$ axis; the fixed origin of these rotations, depicted as the origin of the coordinate axes in Fig. 4, is the point lying a distance $H/2$ below the apex of the tetrahedron, where $H = \sqrt{3}L/2$ is the height of the tetrahedron. The contour plot reveals a clear minimum at $(\theta, \phi) = (0, 60^\circ)$, corresponding to the closest approach of a vertex of the base of the rotated tetrahedron to the unrotated tetrahedron, as might be expected for an attractive interaction.

**Conclusion.**—In conclusion, we have developed a general method for computing Casimir energies and forces between objects of arbitrary three-dimensional shapes, enabling efficient treatment of nonspheroidal, nonaxisym-
metric objects and objects with sharp corners. Using our method, we have predicted Casimir interactions in a variety of experimentally relevant geometries that would be challenging to handle by previous methods.
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