Black Holes and Nonrelativistic Quantum Systems
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We describe black holes in \(d + 3\) dimensions, whose thermodynamic properties correspond to those of a scale-invariant nonrelativistic \((d + 1)\)-dimensional quantum system with a dynamical exponent \(z = 2\). The gravitational model involves a massive Abelian vector field and a scalar field, in addition to the metric. The energy per particle in the dual theory is \([\mu]d/(d + 2)\) at any temperature (\(\mu\) is the chemical potential). The ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density is \(h/4\pi\) in any dimension \(d \geq 2\).

Introduction.—Scale invariance is an important concept in physics, with fundamental applications in diverse areas including critical phenomena and high energy physics. One can think about scale invariance as a symmetry under simultaneous rescaling of both space and time \(x^i \to \lambda x^i, t \to \lambda^z t\), where \(\lambda > 0\) is the scaling parameter, and \(z\) is the dynamical exponent. Relativistic scale-invariant systems, such as blackbody radiation, have \(z = 1\), while many nonrelativistic scale-invariant systems have \(z = 2\). An interacting nonrelativistic quantum system which has recently attracted much interest is given by a collection of cold fermionic atoms in the unitary limit [1]. In this limit the scattering length of atoms formally diverges, whereas the mean particle distance is considered (arbitrarily) large compared with the range of the interaction. The only relevant length scales are then given by the mean particle distance and the thermal wavelength, which are determined through the fermion density or chemical potential and the temperature. All quantities can therefore be expressed by their analogues in the noninteracting theory times a universal function only depending on the dimensionless ratio of chemical potential and temperature [2]. Consequently, the system at zero temperature and density should be described in terms of a nonrelativistic scale-invariant theory with \(z = 2\) [3].

The methods of the gauge-gravity duality [4] have been extremely helpful for understanding strongly interacting scale-invariant relativistic models, and it is reasonable to ask whether the same tools can be useful in understanding strongly interacting scale-invariant nonrelativistic models. The key idea lies in realizing the relevant symmetry group geometrically, rather than directly on the Hilbert space of the quantum theory. The algebra of the nonrelativistic conformal group (the Schrödinger group) can be obtained from the relativistic group by reducing along the light cone and identifying the corresponding momentum with the particle number operator [5]. A spacetime realizing this symmetry as its isometry group was recently identified in [5] for \(z = 2\), and independently in [6] for arbitrary \(z\):

\[
ds^2 = -r^2 \frac{du^2}{v^2} - 2r^2 du dv + r^2 dx^i dx^i + \frac{dr^2}{\lambda^2}.\tag{1}\]

Here \(r\) is the radial coordinate in the standard gauge-gravity duality description with the boundary at \(r \to \infty\), \(u\) is the boundary time coordinate, \(x^i\) are \(d\)-dimensional spatial coordinates, and \(v\) is an additional coordinate whose conserved momentum corresponds to the particle number operator. For \(d = 2\) and \(z = 2\), this spacetime and its nonextremal generalizations were embedded in type IIB string theory [7–9], and the equation of state in the dual field theory together with its shear viscosity were determined. In addition, the authors of [8,9] found a five-dimensional gravitational model which admits a nonextremal version of (1) as a solution, corresponding to a \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional scale-invariant field theory at nonzero temperature and density. (Other recent work on holographic realization of nonrelativistic theories includes [10–12].)

Given that most experiments on cold atomic gases are performed in \(d = 3\) spatial dimensions, it would be interesting to find a simple gravitational model, corresponding to a \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional scale-invariant quantum system at nonzero temperature and density. From the field-theoretic point of view, the situation of three dimensions is of paramount importance because the unitary limit in cold fermionic gases is only nontrivial in \(d = 3\) [13,14] (the system is noninteracting in \(d = 2, d \geq 4\)). Such six-dimensional gravitational action would be a natural starting point for phenomenological holographic models of nonrelativistic systems, similar to the approach presented in Ref. [15]. It is the purpose of this Letter to present black hole spacetimes whose thermodynamic properties correspond to those of a scale-invariant nonrelativistic quantum system in arbitrary spatial dimension \(d \geq 2\), including the physically interesting case \(d = 3\). Using the standard dictionary of the gauge-gravity duality, we calculate the thermodynamic potentials and the shear viscosity of the dual \((d + 1)\)-dimensional nonrelativistic field theory. Our notions closely follow the presentation of Herzog et al. [8].
Thermodynamics of a scale-invariant system.—Before presenting black hole spacetimes whose thermodynamics corresponds to that of a scale-invariant system, let us briefly discuss what we expect thermodynamic potentials to look like. We are interested in a translation-invariant quantum field theory in \(d + 1\) spacetime dimensions with particle number current coincides with momentum density. From a geometric perspective, this commutation relation emerges from the isometries of the spacetime (1) [6]. The Hamiltonian density \(\mathcal{H}\) has scaling dimension \(d + z\), i.e., \([D, \mathcal{H}(0)] = i(d + z)\mathcal{H}(0)\). Taking the expectation value of this equation in the grand canonical ensemble, using the commutation relations and cyclicity of the trace gives \([zT \partial_T - 2(1 - z)\mu \partial_\mu]e = (d + z)e\), where \(e = \text{tr}(\rho \mathcal{H})\) is the equilibrium energy density. The solution is \(e = T^{(d+1)/2}g(T^{(2-z)/2}/\mu)\), where \(g\) is an arbitrary function. Once the energy density is known, pressure \(p\) can be determined from the relation \(e + p = Ts + \mu n\), where \(s = \partial p/\partial T\) is entropy density, and \(n = \partial p/\partial \mu\) is the number density. Demanding that \(p \to 0\) as \(e \to 0\), one finds for \(z = 2\)

\[
\epsilon(T, \mu) = T^{(d+2)/2}g(\mu/T), \quad 2\epsilon(T, \mu) = dp(T, \mu).
\] (2)

We will now describe black holes in \(d + 3\) dimensions whose thermodynamics has exactly the scaling form of Eq. (2), with a particular function \(g(\mu/T)\).

Gravitational model and black hole thermodynamics.—We propose the following action of gravity coupled to a massive Abelian vector field plus a scalar:

\[
S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+3}x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{R - \frac{a}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} e^{-a\phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{m^2}{2} A_\mu A^\mu - V(\phi) \right).
\] (3)

where \(G_{d+3}\) is the \((d + 3)\)-dimensional Newton’s constant. The scalar potential is given by \(V(\phi) = (\Lambda + \Lambda')e^{a\phi} + (\Lambda - \Lambda')e^{a\phi}\), and the coefficients are \(\Lambda = -\frac{1}{4}(d + 1)\times (d + 2)\), \(\Lambda' = \frac{1}{4}(d + 2)(d + 3)\), \(m^2 = 2(d + 2)\), and \(a = (d + 2)b = \frac{2d-2}{a-\pi}\). For \(\phi = 0\), one recovers the model of Refs. [5,6], where the spacetime (1) emerges as a solution to Einstein equations with a nontrivial profile of \(A_\mu\). For \(\phi \neq 0\), our model admits the following planar black hole solutions which are asymptotic to (1)

\[
ds^2 = r^2 h^{-[d/(d+1)]}\left[\left(\frac{f - 1}{4f - 1}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{r^2}\left(1 + f\right)du^2 + \frac{h}{r^2} dv^2\right] + h^{1/(d+1)}\left(2dx^1 dx^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2}\right).
\] (4a)

\[
A = \frac{1 + f}{2h} r^2 du + \frac{1 - h}{h} dv, \quad \phi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln h.
\] (4b)

where \(h(r) = 1 + \beta^2 r^2 + \frac{r^2}{r^2} f(r) = 1 + \beta^2 r^2 + \frac{r^4}{r^2} + 2\). Here \(\beta\) is an arbitrary parameter, and the horizon is \(r = r_0\). It is helpful to introduce coordinates \(t = \beta r + u/(2\beta)\), and \(v = -\beta v + u/(2\beta)\), so that the solution (4) becomes

\[
ds^2 = r^2 h^{-[d/(d+1)]}[f dt^2 + dr^2 - r^2 f \beta^2(dt + dy)^2
\]

\[
+ h dx^1 dx^2] + h^{1/(d+1)}\left(2dx^1 dx^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2}\right).
\] (5a)

\[
A(r) = \frac{r^2 \beta}{h} (f dt + dy).
\] (5b)

For \(d = 2\) these solutions were discussed in Ref. [8]. Note that Eq. (4) with \(f(r) = 1\), \(h(r) = 1 + \Omega^2/r^2\) is also a solution, with \(\Omega\) a free parameter. We will now show that thermodynamics of black holes (4) and (5) matches thermodynamics of \((d + 1)\)-dimensional scale-invariant field theories with \(z = 2\).

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the black hole is proportional to the area of the horizon,

\[
S = \frac{1}{4G_{d+3}} \mu^{d+1} \beta \Delta u \mathcal{V},
\] (6)

where we assume that \(\nu\) has a finite size \(\Delta \nu\), and \(\mathcal{V} = \Delta x^1 \ldots \Delta x^d\) is the (infinite) volume along the \(x^i\) directions which we identify with the spatial volume of the dual field theory. The temperature of the black hole can be found from the surface gravity \(k\), where \(k^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(\nabla^\mu \xi^\nu)(\nabla_\mu \xi^\nu)\) and \(\xi\) is a Killing vector field which is null at the horizon. Following [8], we choose \(\xi^i = 1/\beta\), or \(\xi^i = \partial_+ + \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_\nu\). This gives the black hole temperature \(T = \frac{\mu}{2k} = \frac{(d+2)r_0}{4\pi \beta}\), which we identify as the temperature of the dual field theory. From the Killing vector \(\xi\) we can also infer the value of the chemical potential. With the generator of translations in the \(u\) direction corresponding to the Hamiltonian and the generator of translations in the \(v\) direction corresponding to particle number, we expect the chemical potential to be \(\mu = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta \nu}\), which we identify as the temperature of the dual field theory. Lagrange multiplier to the particle number [8] (this is analogous to what happens in thermodynamics of Kerr black holes). With this identification, the chemical potential is negative.

We identify the partition function as \(Z = e^{-S_E}\) where \(S_E\) is the on-shell Euclidean action, obtained after taking \(t \to i\tau\). The on-shell action is divergent at large \(r\), and requires regularization. To make the action finite, we add counter-terms at the boundary \(r \to \infty\).
where \( K \) is the standard Gibbons-Hawking boundary term, \( c_0 \) is the boundary cosmological constant, and dots denote “matter” counterterms which are polynomial in the fields \( \phi, A_\mu \) and their derivatives. The on-shell action evaluated on the solution (4) can be made finite by choosing \( c_0 = d + 1 \), together with the appropriate coefficients of the matter counterterms, giving

\[
S_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+2}x \, r_0^{d+2}. \tag{8}
\]

[Alternatively, the Euclidean on-shell action can be regularized by subtracting the action of the zero-temperature solution (5) with \( f(r) = 1 \) and \( h(r) = 1 + \beta^2 r_0^{d+2}/r^d \), and demanding that the volumes at large fixed \( r \) coincide in the two geometries. This procedure gives the same answer (8).] The matter counterterms do not contribute to the finite part of the action for \( d > 2 \). In order to evaluate the thermodynamic potentials, the Euclidean “time” direction \( \tau \) is compactified on a circle with period \( \Delta \tau = 4\pi/(d + 2) r_0 = 1/(\beta T) \). The grand canonical potential \( \Omega = -p \mathcal{V} = -T \ln Z \) is then given by

\[
\Omega(T, \mu) = -\tilde{c} \sqrt{V} \frac{T^{(d+2)/2}}{\left(\frac{T}{\mu}\right)^{(d+2)/2}}, \tag{9}
\]

where the \((T, \mu)\)-independent constant \( \tilde{c} = (\Delta \nu) r_0^{d+1} (2d-2)/(d+2) -(d-2)/G_{d+3} \) determines the normalization of all thermodynamic functions, and therefore effectively counts the degrees of freedom in the dual field theory. (In the analogous gravitational description of relativistic field theories, the normalization coefficient \( \tilde{c} \) is uniquely related to the central charge of the corresponding conformal field theory [16].) All other thermodynamic functions can be easily determined as \( n = \partial \mathcal{P}/\partial \mu, s = \partial \mathcal{S}/\partial T, e = -p + Ts + \mu n \), and one finds \( 2\epsilon = dp \), as is expected in a scale-invariant theory with \( z = 2 \). The dependence on temperature and chemical potential is precisely of the expected form (2), with the scaling function

\[
g(x) = \frac{-\Delta \nu}{4\pi} \frac{1}{x^{(d+2)/2}}. \tag{10}
\]

Note that negative \( \mu \) is required so that the density \( n = \partial \mathcal{P}/\partial \mu \) is positive. The total entropy

\[
S = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{4G_{d+3}} r_0^{d+1} \beta \Delta \nu \mathcal{V} \tag{11}
\]

is equal to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (6), a consistency check for thermodynamics.

**Viscosity.**—In a translation-invariant theory with a hydrodynamic regime at long distances, shear viscosity can be evaluated using the Kubo formula

\[
\eta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \text{Im} G_{12,12}^R(\omega, k = 0), \tag{12}
\]

where \( G_{12,12}^R \) is the retarded function of the component \( T_{11,11} \) of the energy-momentum tensor. The relevant component of the metric perturbation in the bulk \( \varphi \equiv h_1^2 \) can be Fourier transformed along the \( u \) and \( x^i \) directions, and

\[
\varphi(u, v, x, r) = \int d\omega \omega^{d/2}(2\pi)^{d+1} \varphi_{\omega, k}(r) e^{-i\omega u + ikx} \tag{13}
\]

(note that \( u \) is identified with the time in the dual field theory), and only \( k = 0 \) is needed to find the viscosity. The perturbation is \( v \) independent, as required by the fact that the energy-momentum tensor has zero particle number. The field \( \varphi \) decouples from other perturbations, and satisfies the equation of the minimal massless scalar [17]. In the black hole background (4), one finds

\[
\varphi''_{\omega}(r) + \frac{(d + 3)\omega^{d+1} - \omega^{d+2}}{r_0^{d+1}} \omega \varphi_{\omega}(r) + \omega^2 \beta^2 \rho_{d+2}^{d+2} \varphi_{\omega}(r) = 0. \tag{14}
\]

The equation has a regular singular point at the horizon, with exponents \( \alpha_{\pm} = \pm i\omega/4\pi T \). Evaluation of the retarded function requires that one keeps only the wave going into the black hole [18,19], which fixes the solution as \( \varphi_{\omega}(r) = (r - r_0)^{\alpha-\beta} F(r) \), where \( F(r) \) is regular at the horizon \( r = r_0 \). In the hydrodynamic limit \( \omega \to 0 \), the equation for \( F(r) \) can be solved as a power expansion in \( \omega \). To linear order, one finds

\[
F(r) = F_0 - i\omega \beta F_0 \ln \frac{r_0 f(r)}{r_0 - r_0} + O(\omega^2), \tag{15}
\]

where \( F_0 \) is an integration constant which determines the overall normalization of the perturbation. It is proportional to the boundary value of the field \( \varphi_0(\omega) = \varphi_{\omega}(r_0) \), where \( r_\Lambda \to \infty \) is the large-\( r \) cutoff. The two-point function is determined from the regularized on-shell action (7). The Gibbons-Hawking term ensures that the action for \( \varphi \) has the form (up to contact boundary terms)

\[
(16\pi G_{d+3})S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+3}x \sqrt{-g} \mu^2 \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\mu \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{d+3} r \varphi_{\omega}(r) \varphi_{\omega}(r) \Delta \nu |_{r=r_\Lambda}. \tag{16}
\]

Taking the second variation of the action with respect to \( \varphi_0(\omega) \) [18,19], one finds the viscosity from the Kubo formula (11), using the solution (13),

\[
\eta = \frac{\rho_0^{d+1} \beta \Delta \nu}{16\pi G_{d+3}}. \tag{17}
\]

Comparing with the expression for the black hole entropy (6), the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density \( s = S/V \) is equal to (restoring \( h \)) \( \frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{h}{4\pi T} \).

**Discussion.**—Our model (3) can be viewed as an extension of the \( d = 2 \) model of Ref. [8] to arbitrary dimension. Such a generalization is nontrivial because it involves both guessing the action and finding the solution to the equations of motion. We have not attempted to find a string theory embedding of the action (3), and we do not know
whether black hole solutions (4) uplift to ten- or 11-dimensional supergravity for \( d \geq 2 \) [for \( d = 2 \), it was shown in Ref. [8] that the solution (4) of the system (3) uplifts to type IIB supergravity]. Nevertheless, we feel that the holographic model (3) is interesting in its own right, in particular, because it provides a window to a novel class of scale-invariant \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional nonrelativistic models with strong quantum fluctuations. One way to see that the quantum fluctuations in the dual field theory are strong is to note that the viscosity is small and saturates the conjectured quantum-mechanical bound of Ref. [17]. This means that the dual quantum system cannot be described as a gas of weakly interacting quasiparticles because the latter would imply a parametrically large viscosity to entropy ratio. Another way to see that interactions are important is to note that the thermodynamic scaling function \( g(x) \) defined by Eq. (2) has different form in a noninteracting gas and in the present holographic model. In a noninteracting gas of fermions or bosons in \( 3 + 1 \) dimensions, the scaling function is proportional to \( g(x) \propto \int_0^\infty d\nu \nu^{5/2} \left(e^{\nu x} - 1\right)^{-1} \) [20], while in the holographic model \( g(x) \propto |x|^{-5/2} \). This implies that heat capacity \( c_v = T(\partial s/\partial T)_{N,Y} \) in our model has a peculiar temperature dependence, \( c_v(T, n) \sim T^{5/7} n^{5/7} \) (in arbitrary dimension, \( c_v \sim T^{d/(d+4)} n^{(d+2)/(d+4)} \)). The energy per particle \( E/N = \varepsilon/n \) in the holographic model is \( E/N = \frac{1}{3} |\mu| \) [in arbitrary dimension, \( E/N = |\mu|/d/(d + 2) \)] at any temperature, including \( T \rightarrow 0 \). Expressed in terms of the number density, the energy per particle is proportional to \( n^{-2/3} T^{10/7} \) (in arbitrary dimension, \( \sim n^{-2/(d+4)} T^{2(d+2)/(d+4)} \)), and as a result \( E/N \rightarrow 0 \) as \( T \rightarrow 0 \) at fixed density. For unitary fermions at \( T = 0 \), it is conventional to parametrize the energy per particle as \( E/N = \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon_F \xi \), where \( \varepsilon_F \sim n^{2/3} \) is the Fermi energy in a gas of noninteracting fermions. Lattice simulations [21] suggest that the thermodynamic scaling function for the black holes discussed in this Letter differs from that of unitary fermions, in particular, giving \( \xi \) about 0.4 [22]. In the holographic model, on the other hand, the temperature dependence of \( E/N \) suggests that \( \xi \) is zero in any dimension. However, it is possible that at lower temperatures in our model there exist different backgrounds with the same asymptotics and different thermodynamic properties which are closer to those of unitary fermions. This would be natural as the background (4) is invariant under \( \nu \) translations. Therefore it does not break particle number symmetry and should not correspond to a superfluid state in the boundary theory. It would be interesting to find physical systems with the same thermodynamic scaling function as in the holographic model.

In this Letter, we only considered a holographic model corresponding to a quantum field theory with the dynamical exponent \( z = 2 \). It would be interesting to find black holes corresponding to nonrelativistic systems with \( z \neq 2 \) in arbitrary \( d \). Another question to understand is whether black holes (4) can be embedded in string theory. Finally, we see that for the nonrelativistic field theories studied here, the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density has a universal value of \( \hbar/4\pi \) in any dimension \( d \geq 2 \), despite the fact that the black hole backgrounds (4) do not satisfy the assumptions of universality theorems of [17,23,24]. This suggests that the universality of shear viscosity can be proven for a wider class of black hole spacetimes, and therefore for a wider class of field theories.
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