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Transport in a quantum point contact (QPC) can be used to generate many-body entanglement of Fermi seas in the leads. A universal relation is found between the generated entanglement entropy and the fluctuations of electric current, which is valid for any protocol of driving the QPC. This relation offers a basis for direct electric measurement of entanglement entropy. In particular, by utilizing space-time duality of 1D systems, we relate electric noise generated by opening and closing the QPC periodically in time with the seminal $S = \frac{1}{2} \log L$ prediction of conformal field theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.100502

Recent years have witnessed a burst of interest in the phenomena of quantum entanglement, and, in particular, in entanglement entropy [1,2], a fundamental characteristic describing quantum many-body correlations between two parts of a quantum system. This quantity proved useful in analyzing quantum critical phenomena [3–5], quantum quenches [6–9], topologically ordered states [10–12], and strongly correlated systems [13]. Entanglement entropy is also of fundamental interest for quantum information theory as a measure of the resources available for quantum computation [14].

The task of measuring entanglement entropy in a system comprised of a large number of particles is substantially more challenging than detecting entanglement of few particles, such as in the recent work on entangled photons [15]. Since realistic measurement processes cannot simultaneously access all degrees of freedom in a many-body system, the quantities like the full many-body density matrix, which depends on coordinates of all particles in the system, are very difficult to measure.

As we shall see, the situation with the entanglement entropy is different. In this Letter, we establish a relation between the entropy and quantum noise in a quantum point contact (QPC) [16]. The QPC is an electron beam splitter with tunable transmission and reflection. In our approach, it serves as a door between electron reservoirs, which can be opened and closed on demand (see Fig. 1).

The entanglement entropy is dominated mostly by charge-neutral processes in which the leads exchange particles with no net charge transfer. Yet, somewhat surprisingly, we find that a measurement of the fluctuations of electric current flowing through the QPC is sufficient for determining the full entanglement entropy. Here, we derive the noise-entropy relation for noninteracting fermions.

The process of connecting and then disconnecting two parts of the system is a space-time dual of the setting considered in Refs. [2–5], where the many-body ground state of a translationally invariant system is analyzed using a finite region in space. In our case, a window in time is used, $t_0 < t < t_1$, during which particles can delocalize among the reservoirs, making them entangled.

The relation between entanglement and electric noise is central for the proposals [17,18] to use current partitioning by a QPC for producing entangled particle pairs. A relation between entanglement entropy and another measurable quantity, particle number fluctuations, was considered in Ref. [19]. Generation of entanglement was also analyzed for critical Hamiltonians [6], for generic Hamiltonians [7,9], as well as for a QPC under bias voltage [20].

Here, we obtain a general relation between entanglement production and the Full Counting Statistics (FCS) [21] which describes the statistics of transmitted charge. The central quantity in the FCS approach is the generating function $\chi(\lambda) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n e^{i\lambda n}$, where $P_n$ is the probability to transmit $n$ charges in total. This function encodes all FCS higher moments:

$$\log \chi(\lambda) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda)^m C_m}{m!},$$

(1)

FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic of a quantum point contact (QPC) with transmission changing in time. The left and right leads are initially disconnected, then connected at $t_0 < t < t_1$, and then disconnected again. Electron transport, taking place at $t_0 < t < t_1$, leading to electron delocalization among the leads, current fluctuations, and entanglement production.
where the cumulants $C_1, C_2, C_3 \ldots$ describe properties of the distribution $P_n$ such as the mean $\bar{n}$, the variance $(\bar{n} - \bar{n})^2$, the skewness $(\bar{n} - \bar{n})^3$, etc. The cumulant $C_2$ is available from routine noise measurement. Recently, $C_4$ has been measured in tunnel junctions [22,23] and in QPC [24], while cumulants up to 5th order have been measured in quantum dots [25,26].

Below, we establish a universal relation between FCS (1) and the entanglement entropy generated in the QPC. Only even cumulants are shown to contribute to entropy:

$$ S = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_m}{m!} C_m, \quad \alpha_m = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (2\pi)^m |B_m|, & m \text{ even} \\ 0, & m \text{ odd} \end{array} \right., (2) $$

where $B_m$ are Bernoulli numbers [27] ($B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42} \ldots$). The first few contributions are

$$ S = \frac{\pi^2}{3} C_2 + \frac{\pi^4}{15} C_4 + \frac{2\pi^6}{945} C_6 + \ldots. \quad (3) $$

This relation, which is general and valid for arbitrary driving, can be used to determine the entanglement entropy from measured values of FCS moments. Similar relation can be derived for other quantities of interest, such as Renyi entropies and single copy entropy [28].

In particular, entanglement generated in a QPC switching on and off, as illustrated in Fig. 1, directly corresponds to the entanglement entropy found for conformal field theory, $S = \frac{1}{3} \log L$, where $L$ is the size of window in space and $c$ the conformal charge [2,3,5]. In this case, the current fluctuations are Gaussian ($C_{m+2} = 0$), with a logarithmic variance $C_2 = \frac{1}{3} \log^2 \frac{L}{\tau}$, where $\tau$ is a short time cutoff set by the QPC switching rapidity. Combined with Eq. (3), this gives entropy $S = \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{L}{\tau}$, where $\tau$ is the short time cutoff. Below, we discuss how this logarithmic dependence can be verified using the setup shown in Fig. 1.

Entanglement entropy is conventionally defined as the von Neumann entropy $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr} \rho \log \rho$, where $\rho$ is the reduced density matrix of a pure quantum state, made “impure” by confining it to a certain space region [1,2]. In our case, the many-body state evolves as a pure state while the QPC is open (see Fig. 1), after which the reduced density matrix of the lead $L$ is given by $\rho_L(t_1) = \text{Tr}_{R}[U(t_1, t_0) \rho_0 U(t_0, t_1)]$. Here, $\rho_0$ is the initial density matrix of the system, $U(t_1, t_0)$ describes the many-body evolution between $t_0$ and $t_1$, and $\text{Tr}_R$ is a partial trace over degrees of freedom in the lead $R$.

Entropy production in the lead $L$ as a result of QPC opening and closing is given by the increment

$$ \Delta S = S(\rho_L(t)) - S(\rho_0), \quad (4) $$

where the last term accounts for the entropy in the initial state. Because at finite temperature both terms in Eq. (4) are proportional to the lead volume, they can be large for macroscopic leads. The increment $\Delta S$, however, remains well defined regardless of the lead volume.

Below, we focus on the zero temperature case when $\rho_0$ is a pure state, described as a filled Fermi sea in the full system $L + R$, in which case the second term in Eq. (4) vanishes, giving $\Delta S = S(\rho_L(t))$. We associate with $\rho_0$ a Fermi projection operator $n$ in the single-particle space $\langle E|n|E'\rangle = \delta_{E,E'} \theta(E_F - E)$, where $E_F$ is the Fermi energy. The evolved system is described by a rotated Fermi projection $n_U = U n U^\dagger$, where $U$ is the unitary evolution of the single-particle modes.

Our first step will be to express the entropy in terms of single-particle quantities. For a generic Gaussian state, Wick’s theorem for operator products is satisfied in $L + R$, and therefore, in particular, it holds in $L$ [29]. Therefore, the reduced density matrix $\rho_L$ is also Gaussian: $\rho_L = Z^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} a^\dagger a}$, for some $\hat{H}$, where $i, j$ label the states in $L$. We define a single-particle quantity $m_{ij} = \text{Tr} \rho_L a^\dagger a_j$. For the evolved system, described by $n_U$, Wick’s theorem gives $m_{ij} = (n_U)_{ij}$. In what follows, it will be convenient to extend $m$ to $L + R$ by setting

$$ M = P_L n_U P_L, \quad (5) $$

with $P_L$ a projection on the modes in $L$, so that $M = m$ in $L$ and $M = 0$ in $R$.

Entropy can be expressed through $m_{ij}$ for a generic Gaussian state. Because of Fermi-Dirac statistics, $m = (1 + e^{\hat{H}})^{-1}$, which gives $\tilde{H} = \log(m^{-1} - 1)$ [29]. Extending $m$ to $M$ in $L + R$, we write the entropy as

$$ S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[M \log M + (1 - M) \log(1 - M)], \quad (6) $$

with $M$ the trace which is taken in the space of single-particle modes in $L + R$.

Our next step is to relate the quantity $M$, Eq. (5), and the FCS generating function (1) which can be expressed as a functional determinant [21]:

$$ \chi(\lambda) = \det(1 - n + n U^\dagger e^{i\lambda P_L} U e^{-i\lambda P_L}). \quad (7) $$

This determinant must be properly regularized for infinitely deep Fermi sea [30,31]. For our purposes, we proceed to treat it as a finite matrix and obtain [32,33]

$$ \chi(\lambda) = \det(1 - M + M e^{i\lambda} e^{-i\lambda(n P_L)_U}), \quad (8) $$

where $M$ is the quantity (5) which defines the entropy.

Now, with the help of the relation (8) we can express the spectral density of $M$, Eq. (5), which lies between 0 and 1, through $\chi(\lambda)$. Indeed, changing parameter $\lambda$ to $z = (1 - e^{i\lambda})^{-1}$ yields $z - M$ under the determinant: $\chi(z) = \det(z - M) e^{-i\lambda(n P_L)_U} (1 - e^{i\lambda})^z$. From that, we can write the spectral density of $M$ as

$$ \mu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \delta \log \chi(z - i0) + A \delta(z) + B \delta(z - 1), \quad (9) $$

where the coefficients $A$ and $B$ depend on $\text{dim} M$, $\text{Tr}(n P_L)_U$, and $C_1$. Hereafter, we ignore the delta function terms because $z = 0, 1$ do not contribute to the expression (6) for the entropy which we rewrite as

$$ S = -\int_0^1 dz \mu(z) [z \log z + (1 - z) \log(1 - z)]. \quad (10) $$
Now it is straightforward to evaluate $S$ by substituting (1) into (9) and (10) with $\lambda(z) = \pi - i \log(1/z) - 1$, and integrating by parts over $z$. We obtain series $\bar{S} = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \alpha_m C_m$, where the coefficients $\alpha_m$ after changing the integration variable in (10) to $u = \frac{1}{2} \log(1+z)$, take the form

$$\alpha_m = \frac{(-2)^m}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dtu \cos^2 u \Im \left( \frac{i\pi}{2} + u \right)^m. \quad (11)$$

After shifting the contour of integration as $u \to u - i \frac{\pi}{2}$ and using an identity [34], we arrive at our main result (2).

To compute FCS for a specific driving protocol, it is convenient to return to the expression (7) and apply the Riemann-Hilbert (RH) method introduced in [30]. In this approach, one must factor the time-dependent matrix $R_t(t) = U(t)^j U(t)^e e^{-iKp_t}$. In (7), i.e., find matrix valued functions $X_\pm(z)$, analytic in the upper or lower half plane of complex $z$, respectively, such that on the real line,

$$X_+(t) = X_-(t) R(t) \quad (12)$$

with normalization $X_\pm(z) \to I$ at $|z| \to \infty$.

We consider QPC switching between the on and off states several times $t_0^{(1)} < t_1^{(1)} < \ldots < t_0^{(N)} < t_1^{(N)}$. The RH problem is solvable in the case of abrupt switching because $R$ commutes with itself at different times: $R = I$ in the off state, $R = e^{-iKp_t}$ in the on state. The solution of the RH problem is then given by the functions

$$X_\pm(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} (P_R - P_L) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \frac{z - t_i^{(j)}}{z - t_{i+1}^{(j)}} \right). \quad (13)$$

To find the determinant (7) with these $X_\pm$, we use the RH method [30] to evaluate the derivative of $\log X_+$:

$$\partial_\lambda \log \chi(\lambda) = \int \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} X_+^{-1} \partial_\lambda X_+ \partial_\lambda R \right) dt = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} G,$$

$$G = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \log \frac{t_i^{(j)} - t_{i+1}^{(j)}}{t_i^{(j)} - t_{i+1}^{(j)}} + \log \frac{t_i^{(j)} - t_{i+1}^{(j)}}{t_i^{(j)} - t_{i+1}^{(j)}}. \quad (14)$$

where for $i = j$, the denominators must be replaced by a short-time cutoff $\tau$. This gives Gaussian charge statistics

$$\chi(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda^2 C_2/2), \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} G. \quad (15)$$

Because in this case the only nonvanishing cumulant is $C_2$, we have $S = \frac{\pi^2}{2} C_2$, which gives $S = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2\pi} \xi$ for a single QPC switching, in agreement with the $S = \frac{1}{2} \log L$ relation [2]. The case of multiple switching provides realization of the situation studied in Ref. [5].

These predictions can be tested by measuring noise in a QPC driven by a periodic train of pulses (see Fig. 2). For $N$ identical pulses, the relation (14) at large $N$ yields

$$C_2(N) = \frac{N}{\pi^2} \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu \tau}{\pi \nu \tau}, \quad \nu = 1/T. \quad (16)$$

Thus, in a periodically driven QPC, there is a finite entropy production per cycle at a rate $dS/dt = \frac{1}{2} \nu \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu \tau}{\pi \nu \tau}$. Fluctuations (16) with $C_2 \propto N$ correspond to a dc electric noise of intensity

$$S_2 = \frac{e^2 \nu}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin \pi \nu \tau}{\pi \nu \tau}, \quad (17)$$

at frequencies below $\nu$. For a short pulsewidth $w$, the dependence (17) becomes $S_2 = \frac{e^2 \nu}{\pi} \log \frac{w}{\tau}$, identical to the entropy for a single pulse.

The result (17) must be compared with thermal noise. At a driving frequency $\nu = 500$ MHz, the effective temperature corresponding to (17) is $T_{\text{eff}} = h \nu / \pi k_B \approx 25$ mK. In practice, it may be possible to relax the constraint due to small $T_{\text{eff}}$ by detecting the noise (17) at frequencies somewhat higher than $k_B T_{\text{eff}}$, detuned from the thermal noise spectral window.

How sensitive are these results to imperfections in QPC transmission? It is straightforward to incorporate transmission $D < 1$ in the “on” state in the RH analysis because the matrices $R(t)$ in (7) still commute at different times. Instead of $e^{\pm ik}$, the eigenvalues of the $R$ matrix are now $e^{\pm ik}$, with $\sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda_s = \sqrt{D} \sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda$ [35]. Making this change, we obtain

$$\chi(\lambda) = \exp(-\lambda^2 G/4\pi^2), \quad (18)$$

with $G$ given by (14) as above. Because this $\chi(\lambda)$ is non-Gaussian, with nonzero higher cumulants, the simplest way to find the entropy is to use its relation with the spectral density of $M$, Eqs. (9) and (10). Using (18) along with the relations between $\lambda_s$, $\lambda$, and $z$ in (17), we find

$$\mu(z) = \frac{G}{\pi^2} \frac{D}{z(1-z)} \frac{1 - 2|z|}{\sqrt{D^2 - 4Dz(1-z)}}. \quad (19)$$

As illustrated in Fig. 3, at $D < 1$, the function $\mu(z)$ vanishes in the interval $z_- < z < z_+$, $z_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - D})$.

The entropy, found from (10) and (19), has the same logarithmic dependence (14) on the times $\xi_{0,1}$ as above, albeit with a $D$-dependent prefactor. Thus, the predicted

### FIG. 2 (color online). Noise power (17) in a QPC driven by a pulse train vs the pulse width. Parameters used: driving frequency $\nu = 500$ MHz, short-time cutoff $\tau = 20$ ps. The noise as well as the entropy production are symmetric under $w \to T - w$. Note that at a narrow pulsewidth $w \ll T$, the dependence (17) reproduces the $\frac{1}{2} \log L$ [2] behavior of the entropy.
dependence $S \sim \log \sin \pi \nu w$ remains robust. The behavior of the rescaling factor $F = S(D)/S(1)$ (Fig. 3 inset) indicates that entropy reduction due to imperfect transmission in QPC can be attributed mostly to the change in the second cumulant, $C_2 = \frac{D}{2\pi} G$, with a relatively small correction due to higher cumulants.

In the presence of a dc voltage bias, we have $\chi(\lambda) = (1 - D + D e^{i x} e^{v l / h}) [21]$, whereas the entropy production rate was found to be $dS/dt = -\frac{\nu}{\pi} [D \log D + (1 - D) \times \log(1 - D)] [20]$. These quantities satisfy the universal relation (2), as can be seen most easily from Eq. (6).

From the quantum information perspective, it is interesting to quantify the part of the entropy accessible to local operations (i.e., respecting particle conservation in each lead) [20]. In a many-particle system, the change in entropy due to such restriction turns out to be negligibly small [36].

In summary, we derived a general relation between entanglement and noise in terms of the full counting statistics. This relation provides a new framework to investigate many-body entanglement, and, in particular, its generation in nonequilibrium quantum systems.
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