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Abstract—Radio-frequency identification (RFID) poses a number of research challenges, such as interference mitigation, throughput optimization and security over the RF channel. A number of new approaches to address these issues have been proposed recently, but due to the highly integrated nature of passive RFID tags, it is difficult to evaluate them in real-world scenarios. In this paper, we present an RFID simulation engine, RFIDSim, which implements the ISO 18000-6C communication protocol and supports pathloss, fading, backscatter, capture, and tag mobility models. This paper also shows that our implementation of RFIDSim that relies on a discrete event simulator can be used to simulate large populations featuring thousands of RFID tags. RFIDSim also simulates the deep fades that lead to frequent power losses of the battery-less RFID tags by modeling the multipath effects statistically.

I. INTRODUCTION

ADIO-BASED identification has become common in a variety of applications where it is necessary to automatically identify objects which are not proximate. Examples include keyless entry badges, pet tagging, and car immobilizers. More recently, radio-frequency identification (RFID) systems with an increased range have begun to find greater use in supply chain management and industrial automation. In these domains, radio-based identification has shown itself to be a promising technology to track movements of goods because it does not require proximity or line-of-sight alignment and RFID tags can be identified from a distance of a few meters by the RFID reader. Since the RFID tags can thus be identified without a person scanning the object—unlike in the case of traditional bar codes—industry observers expect significant labor savings from the use of the technology.

The proliferation of RFID tags and readers also introduces a number of technical challenges, however. While traditional applications for radio-based identification, such as car immobilizers or pet tagging, usually involve no more than a single tag in the read range of a reader, and the readers themselves are sparsely deployed, today’s RFID applications in the supply chain feature large and densely packed tag populations which must often be read by several closely deployed readers. This development results in the need to coordinate access to the shared radio channel efficiently [1], [2]. Furthermore, the increased range of today’s tags raises privacy and security questions [3].

While a number of innovative ideas have been proposed to address these issues, it has proven difficult to physically test and validate their effectiveness. Because of power and cost limitations, the physical and logical layers in passive RFID tags tend to be highly coupled, and an accurate evaluation of each protocol concept ideally requires a customized microchip and new reader firmware. This is prohibitively expensive. Board-level emulators are another method for testing new ideas in RFID. However, it is difficult to emulate the power-up limitations of passive RFID tags with powered circuits. Furthermore, a variety of real-world scenarios must be recreated and tested to truly understand the performance of a new idea. Examples include reading hundreds of tagged cases in pallets being carried on a forklift, items moving at 2 m/s on a manufacturing line, and items moving on a conveyor. RFIDSim provides a well-controlled test environment to compare different approaches without the need to deploy hundreds of RFID tags and move them consecutively past an RFID reader in experiments. RFIDSim can be used to compare and classify different ideas in a variety of use-scenarios and reduce the number of concepts which need to be prototyped. Due to the nature of the wireless channel, it is very challenging to accurately predict the signal strength distribution in a particular application environment. RFIDSim is not capable of determining the exact zone, where a tag will be identified in a particular application. The objective of RFIDSim is to facilitate the relative comparison of different medium access protocols, transmission control strategies, settings in ISO 18000-6C, and privacy and security enhancements.

Index Terms—Automatic identification, interference, ISO 18000-6C, radio-frequency identification (RFID), simulation.
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RFIDSim and future work, before we conclude in Section IX. Section VIII discusses the limitations of RFIDSim simulation results and compares them to ISO 18000-6C. Section VII illustrates the simulation models used in RFIDSim and Section VI presents RFIDSim simulation results and compares them to ISO 18000-6C. Section VIII discusses the limitations of RFIDSim and future work, before we conclude in Section IX.

II. RELATED WORK

A number of other wireless network simulators have been developed in the past. Ns2 [4] has a long history in networking research and features models for node mobility and wireless protocols. There is also GloMoSim [5] written in Parsec [6] which has been extensively used in the ad hoc networking community. These wireless simulators have been used successfully to study routing and topology control schemes and also feature physical layer models for pathloss and fading. However, there are no implementations of any RFID communication protocols, RFID readers and tags and RFID physical layer characteristics available, such as the remote power supply to the passive RFID tags or the backscatter mechanism.

To implement the ISO 18000-6C RFID protocol and the RFID physical layer in RFIDSim, we use the discrete event simulator JiST developed by Barr [7]. We also rely on the pathloss and Rician-fading models provided by SWANS [8] which builds on JiST. We chose to use the JiST/SWANS environment over GloMoSim/Parsec and Ns2 because JiST combines ease of software development with high performance [9].

There has also been related work by other researchers in the RFID domain on the simulation of RFID systems. Han et al. [10] developed a system model of UHF RFID with a strong focus on the RF/analog design of the RFID reader. The model presented by Han et al. models the signal generation in the reader to check whether the signal transmitted complies with the spectrum mask specified in the radio regulations. There is also a detailed model of the receiver part of the reader to illustrate the influence of transmitter/receiver coupling. The wireless channel is modeled as the vector addition of various multipaths. In RFIDSim, we chose a different abstraction level to allow for the simulation of the identification of large tag populations. RFIDSim abstracts from the signals inside the RFID reader circuitry and assumes that every transmitter/receiver can be characterized by transmit power, carrier frequency, sensitivity, noise and capture model and antenna directivity. The detailed system model proposed by Han et al. [10] operates at a signal waveform level and could possibly be used to compute some of the RFIDSim input parameters.

Leong et al. [11] discuss operational considerations for the simulation of RFID systems. The authors present measurement results from their lab that suggests a two-piece pathloss model. The authors also mention that it is important to model antenna directivity. RFIDSim currently only features a pathloss model with a fixed pathloss exponent. The directivity of the reader antenna can be modeled in RFIDSim by specifying the radiation pattern of the antenna. Mitsugi et al. [12] discuss the noise performance of ISO 18000-6C. Their evaluation uses a simulation engine that also models the ISO 18000-6C Communication protocol, but does not model pathloss, fading, capture, backscatter, and tag mobility.

The RFID simulation engine Protocol Evaluation Tool for RFID Applications (PETRA) developed by Dominikus and Aigner [13] implements the ISO 18000-3 protocol that operates at HF. In PETRA, there is no modeling of the physical layer, thus no signal propagation, capture, antenna directivity, backscatter, and tag mobility model. To our knowledge, RFIDSim is the only simulation environment that implements the UHF ISO 18000-6C protocol and also models physical layer properties, such as signal propagation, backscatter, and tag mobility.

III. ISO 18000-6C

Passive RFID systems are commonly categorized according to the frequency of operation. ISO 18000-6C, which is some-
TABLE I
ISO 18000-6C READER COMMANDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>Select</td>
<td>Specifies the subset of RFID tags that should reply in the inventory round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>Query</td>
<td>Sets tag behaviour for inventory round and initiates round by starting an ALOHA frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QueryRep</td>
<td>Starts next slot in current ALOHA frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QueryAdj</td>
<td>Starts a new ALOHA frame in current inventory round with a different number of slots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACK</td>
<td>Acknowledges successful tag reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAK</td>
<td>Indicates unsuccessful tag reply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Req_RN</td>
<td>Requests an acknowledged tag to send a random number to be used as a handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read</td>
<td>Reads data from the tag memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Write</td>
<td>Writes word to a tag memory field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kill</td>
<td>Deactivates the tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lock</td>
<td>Prevents subsequent writes to memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Transitions the tag to “secured” state in which memory fields can be locked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BlockWrite</td>
<td>Writes multiple words to a tag memory field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BlockErase</td>
<td>Erase multiple words from a tag memory field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Query command in ISO 18000-6C with parameters that control physical and logical layer behavior of the RFID tags.

does not only specify the frame size for the inventory round, but also specifies physical layer parameters, such as tag reply frequency, data rate, and modulation. The RFID tags initially only reply with a 16 bit long random number in one of the slots of the frame. If the reader can successfully decode the 16 bit reply—no collision or error—the reader will send an acknowledgement requesting the unique identifier of the tag (EPC).

At the physical layer, ISO 18000-6C specifies that the reader transmits a continuous wave (CW) signal to power the battery-less, passive RFID tags. For data transmission, this CW signal is amplitude modulated. The RFID tags use backscatter modulation to respond to a reader, where the reflection coefficient of the tag antenna is changed between two different states. ISO 18000-6C allows for a wide range of different data transmission rates between reader and tag and features different modulation and encodings for the tag to reader communication. In addition to the modulation and encoding schemes, ISO 18000-6C also specifies the turn-around-times between reader and tag data transmissions.

IV. RFIDSIM OVERVIEW

In RFIDSim, we model both the physical and logical layer of ISO 18000-6C. RFIDSim can thus be used to simulate the identification process of ISO 18000-6C RFID systems in different RFID applications. This includes scenarios where a group of RFID tags remain stationary in the range of the RFID reader, but also complex simulation scenarios, where a large tag population is moved past an RFID reader, for example, in a typical warehouse application (cf. Fig. 1).

The simulation of RFID applications with thousands of RFID tags requires an efficient model of the RFID identification process that is nevertheless accurate. There is thus a tradeoff to develop a sufficiently abstract representation that can still provide meaningful results. In RFIDSim, simulation time is discretized and the RFID identification is encoded as an event-driven program implemented in a discrete event simulator. RFIDSim thus abstracts from the internal signal propagation in RFID reader and tag circuits. To simulate an RFID application, the initial position of RFID readers and RFID tags and their respective motion is specified. RFIDSim also requires detailed information about the RFID reader and tag radios and antennas and the propagation environment. This includes reader antenna radiation patterns, power transmit levels and backscatter ratios. Once the simulation engine is configured, the identification process is simulated by modeling the power supply to the tags, the transmission and reception of data packets, updating reader and tag states accordingly, and moving the RFID tags.
At the end of each simulation run, RFIDSim provides detailed communication statistics, such as average throughput, the distribution of received signal strength, overall identification rates and failed read, write, and kill commands. These performance statistics can help to evaluate different transmission control strategies, protocol enhancements, reader collision avoidance schemes, and privacy approaches. Examples include the following.

- **Transmission Control Strategies for ISO 18000-6C.** To guarantee the fast and reliable identification of large tag population, it is necessary to efficiently use the limited communication bandwidth available to RFID systems. This necessitates transmission control strategies that control the broadcast probabilities of the RFID tags and make appropriate use of ISO 18000-6C protocol features, such as “inventoried” and “SL” flags. RFIDSIm provides a well-controlled test environment to compare different approaches without the need to deploy hundreds of RFID tags and move them consecutively past an RFID reader in experiments.

- **Protocol Enhancements and Modifications to ISO 18000-6C.** An RFID communication protocol, such as ISO 18000-6C specifies physical layer parameters, such as timing, coding and modulation, but also medium access schemes, RFID reader commands, and RFID tag behavior. RFIDSIm permits the evaluation of alternative medium access schemes and command sets before any possible improvement is implemented on an experimental testbed or possibly in silicon.

- **Privacy and Security Approaches.** While the invisible nature of RFID technology has many benefits from an automation perspective, it is also the cause of some serious privacy concerns [3]. To address this issue, a number of privacy enhancing techniques have been proposed. Some of which increase the power consumption of RFID tags, require extended turn-around-times to compute cryptographic functions on the tags, or introduce the need to exchange additional data packets between RFID tags and readers [14]. RFIDSIm can be used to predict the impact of these approaches on the identification of large tag population in typical RFID application, such as on manufacturing lines and in distribution centers.

V. SIMULATION MODELS OF RFIDSIM

To simulate an RFID application, an RFID simulation engine needs to model the powering and movement of the RFID tags, the reception and transmission of the signals at the RFID readers and tags, the commands specified in the corresponding RFID communication protocol, and the corresponding behavior of the RFID tags and the signal propagation between RFID readers and tags. In the following sections, we show how these aspects of the RFID identification process are modeled in RFIDSIm.

A. **RFID Reader**

At the logical layer, the RFIDSIm reader features all the commands and command parameters specified in ISO 18000-6C. This includes commands that select a subset of the tag population, inventory the RFID tags in range and access the memory of individual tags, once they are successfully identified (cf. Table I). All of the commands in RFIDSIm also carry the command parameters that are specified in ISO 18000-6C, such as session and inventory flags and coding and modulation settings. RFIDSIm also features methods that implement inventory sequences, such as the one shown in Fig. 3, where a Query command is followed by Ack, QueryRep, and QueryAdj commands until a tag population is successfully identified.

At the physical layer, the RFIDSIm reader radio transmitter is characterized by carrier frequency and transmit power. Both parameters are required to compute the received signal strength at the RFID tags. The RFIDSIm reader radio also implements the link timings and data rates specified in ISO 18000-6C.

RFIDSIm assumes directive reader antennas. The radiation pattern of the antenna can be specified as part of the configuration (cf. Fig. 4). The radiation pattern is used to compute the transmit and receive signal strength. In addition to the radiation pattern, the location and orientation of the RFID reader antenna also need to be specified.

The RFIDSIm reader radio receiver is characterized by a minimum sensitivity and also features a capture model. The capture effect, also referred to as the near-far effect, is a well-known phenomenon in wireless networking that leads to a successfully decoded signal despite the simultaneous arrival of other signals [15]. A signal is successfully captured when its received signal strength is larger than the received signal strength of the other signals arriving simultaneously. The capture effect also applies to RFID since the received signal strength is a function of distance and the multipath fading experienced. There are a number of different ways to model the capture effect. In RFIDSIm, we implemented the commonly used power model [16]. In this model, the packet with the strongest signal is assumed to be successfully captured when the strongest signal is by a factor \( c \) (the capture ratio) stronger than the sum of all other received signals

\[
P_{R_0} \geq c \sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{R_i}
\]  

Fig. 4. Sample radiation pattern of an RFID reader antenna.
where $P_R$ denotes the received signal strength of the strongest signal, $c$ is the capture ratio, and $P_R^k$ denotes the received signal strength of one of the $k$ other tag signals.

The noise model implemented in the receiver part is based on a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and data transmission speed dependent bit-error ratio (BER). Once a (single or captured) tag reply is received, the probability of a corrupted packet is computed using the number of bits in the packet (including the preamble) and the corresponding bit error rate, which is determined from the SNR and the data transmission speed. The noise floor can be specified as one of the configuration parameters.

### B. RFID Tag

Since ISO 18000-6C is a reader-talk-first protocol, RFID tags never initiate the communication with the RFID reader, but reply to commands from an RFID reader. Upon receiving sufficient power from the reader, the tags power up and wait for incoming commands. Upon successful decoding a command and the corresponding flags, the RFID tags update their internal state according to ISO 18000-6C (cf. Fig. 5) and reply with the appropriate message. In RFIDSim, this process is mimicked and all RFID tags behave by default as specified in ISO 18000-6C. Note that Fig. 5 only shows a simplified version of the tag state diagram defined in ISO 18000-6C. The detailed state diagram can be found in [17].

At the physical layer, the RFIDSim tag radios use the same noise model as the RFID reader radio—with the possibility to set different parameters. Due to the battery-less nature of the passive RFID tags, the communication with the RFID reader is based on backscattering. The transmit power is thus a function of the incident signal strength and the backscatter ratio. In RFIDSim, the backscatter power can be determined in two different ways. There is a simple linear model that relates the backscattered power $P_{T_{\text{Bsc}}}$ to the received signal power $P_R$

$$P_{T_{\text{Bsc}}} = \alpha P_R$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\alpha$ denotes a constant that specifies which proportion of the incident signal is reflected. $\alpha$ is a function of the modulation index, the modulation type (ASK or PSK) and the particular backscatter implementation on the tag [18]. The other backscatter model implemented in RFIDSim uses a lookup table that allows for a nonlinear relationship between the received signal strength and the backscattered power. This model reflects the behavior of a number of RFID tag microchips available which backscatter signal strength does not follow the simple proportional model of (2). There is also the possibility to specify for how long the RFID tags keep their state after the reader signal is no longer sufficient to power the RFID tag microchip.

All tag antennas in RFIDSim are assumed to be isotropic or half-dipole antennas. The RFID tags can be distributed either by specifying individual locations or by assigning a random distribution in a predefined region like a tote. Furthermore, groups of tags can then be associated with a velocity vector that specifies magnitude and direction of the translation.

### C. Signal Propagation

Passive RFID systems are typically operated in warehouses, factories, distribution centers, and stores. Signal propagation in these environments has been extensively investigated in the indoor radio propagation channel literature [19]. In such indoor environments, researchers have measured significant multipath fading where the signal arriving from different paths, direct and indirect, combine and produce a distorted version of the transmitted signal. Since RFID communication is relatively narrowband, e.g., under European legislation the channels are 200 kHz wide and under U.S. regulations 500 kHz, the multipath fading results in fluctuations in the received signal strength and phase, but no series of attenuated pulses [20]. This is due to the fact that the difference in time delay of a number of paths is much less than the reciprocal of the transmission bandwidth and the paths cannot be resolved as distinct pulses. The RFID communication channel is thus characterized by slow and flat fading. There is also large-scale pathloss due to absorption. In this section, we outline how these small- and large-scale effects are modeled in RFIDSim.

At the macroscopic level, various pathloss models have been suggested in the literature [20]. In the most commonly used model, the received power expressed in terms of transmitted power is attenuated by a factor $D$, which is called the pathloss

$$D = \left(\frac{4\pi r}{\lambda}\right)^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength, $r$ is the distance between transmitter and receiver, and $n$ is the pathloss exponent. In free space, the pathloss follows a $r^{-2}$ law ($n = 2$).

RFIDSim implements this pathloss model. In RFIDSim, the pathloss exponent $n$ can be adjusted to suit the characteristic of the indoor propagation channel. The pathloss exponent can be determined experimentally as outlined in [21] for a given environment or estimated from comprehensive tables in the literature for different topographies and different materials [20].

As noted earlier, in a typical indoor RFID application, the transmitted signal most often reaches the receiver by more than one path resulting in multipath fading. The pathloss model is thus not sufficient to characterize the signal propagation. The indoor radio channel can be completely characterized as a linear time-varying filter with an impulse response for each point in

---

Fig. 5. RFID tag states defined in ISO 18000-6C.
space of the channel that captures the contribution of each direct or indirect path [22]. In practice, this impulse response approach is frequently not adopted, since it is difficult to determine all different multipaths in a given environment and the modeling is site-specific. This is, in particular, true for RFID, where the environment is not static. There are a number of statistical indoor modeling approaches that show a good fit with measurement data [19]. From an RFID perspective, we are, in particular, interested in those statistical models that describe the path amplitude since reduced path amplitudes will cause the passive RFID tag to loose power and, thus, affect the overall identification performance. Since there is usually a strong line-of-sight path or at least a path that undergoes much less attenuation than the other arriving components in an RFID application, RFIDSim supports Rician distributions to model the variation in received signal strength [20]. Several researchers have shown that the Rician distribution is also suitable to model temporal variations that are caused by the motion of people and equipment [23],[24]. The temporal variations mean that the channel is nonstationary in space and time and deep fades can be observed [19].

VI. IMPLEMENTATION

In RFIDSim, the simulation model presented in the previous section is implemented in the simulation runtime JiST, which stands for Java in Simulation Time and was developed by Barr [7]. JiST is a discrete-event simulation engine that runs over a standard Java virtual machine. JiST represents an approach to building discrete-event simulators, called virtual machine-based simulation, which unifies traditional systems and language-based simulator designs. Simulation code that runs on JiST need not be written in a specific simulation language, nor need it be cluttered with system calls to support runtime simulation functionality (cf. Figs. 6 and 7). Instead, JiST converts an existing virtual machine into a simulation platform by embedding simulation time semantics at the byte-code level. Our RFID simulator is thus written in Java, compiled using a regular Java compiler and run over a standard, unmodified virtual machine.

We chose to use the JiST environment over other simulation frameworks such as NS2 because JiST combines ease of software development with high performance [9]. JiST compares favorably with highly optimized simulation engines, such as Parsec [6], with respect to event throughput and memory footprint [9]. The performance aspect is especially important in the simulation of RFID application, where the wireless communication among thousands if not ten thousand nodes, needs to be simulated. RFIDSim reuses the pathloss and Rician fading model of SWANS. We have added capture, antenna directivity, tag backscatter and tag group mobility models, and implemented the entire ISO 18000-6C communication protocol with all of its timing options and command and command parameters.

The RFIDSim implementation consists of the entities shown in Table II, which communicate with each other by sending event messages to each other (cf. Fig. 8) and which implement the simulation models described in the previous section.

The reader-tag communication can be implemented in a discrete-event simulator in a straightforward way, since all data packets exchanged can be represented as discrete events in the simulation framework. This is not true for the continuous wave (CW) reader signal that powers the tag microchip, since this signal is inherently not discrete. In RFIDSim we approximate the CW reader signal by periodic “power messages.” These messages bypass the collision and noise models in the Tag Radio. If the received signal strength of the “power message”

public final class RFIDTagMac extends RFIDMac {
    
    public void send(Message msg, boolean replyExpected) {
        TagReply reply = (TagReply) msg;
        // add preamble to tag reply
        reply.addPreamble(new TagPreamble());
        // compute transmit time for tag reply
        long duration = transmitTime(reply);
        // compute turnaround time for tag reply
        long timeToWait = waitTime();
        // schedule tag reply transmission
        JistAPI.sleep(timeToWait);
        radioEntity.transmit(reply, duration);
    }
}

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>initiates communication with tags and handles tag replies by deciding on the appropriate next reader command collects communication statistics, e.g. on average throughput</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader Logic</td>
<td>generates complete ISO 18000-6C commands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader MAC</td>
<td>computes turn-around and data transmission times detects reply time-outs checks CRC of incoming message adds preamble to outgoing messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader Radio</td>
<td>implements capture and noise model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio Field</td>
<td>changes location of RFID tags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Radio</td>
<td>computes signal strength based on path loss and fading model and delivers messages to destination as in Reader Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag MAC</td>
<td>checks whether tag is still powered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Logic</td>
<td>receives reader commands, updates state and generates appropriate reply message</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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is below the tag power threshold, the tag will lose its state after a predefined time period. The tag session flags have the persistence values defined in ISO 18000-6C. The interval at which the “power signal” is sent is configurable. The continuous fading, capture, error, and backscatter models would remain unchanged. The radio and propagation layer of RFIDSim featuring the pathloss, tag logic to suit the behavior described in the protocol. The implementation of ISO 18000-6B would, for example, require the user to develop software that describes each command in the protocol and to modify the reader and tag logic to suit the behavior described in the protocol. The radio and propagation layer of RFIDSim featuring the pathloss, fading, capture, error, and backscatter models would remain unchanged.

VII. VALIDATION OF RFIDSIM

This section analyzes medium access behavior, timing results, and the channel modeling in RFIDSim. We begin by examining whether the number of empty, single and collision slots in an inventory round reported by RFIDSim agree with the numbers predicted by networking theory. ISO 18000-6C uses a medium access scheme that relies on framed ALOHA for the contention phase. The reader begins an inventory round by announcing the frame size to the tags (cf. Fig. 3). Each tag selects one of the available slots at random and transmits a (temporary) identifier. The computation of expected outcomes have been previously presented in a number of publications including [2] and [26]. The expected number of single occupied slots $S$ with $N$ tags and $L$ slots in a frame is given by

$$S(N, L) = N \left(1 - \frac{1}{L}\right)^{N-1}.$$  \hfill (4)

The number of empty slots $H$ is given by

$$H(N, L) = L \left(1 - \frac{1}{L}\right)^N. \hfill (5)$$

The number of collision slots $C$ is given by

$$C(N, L) = L - S(N, L) - H(N, L). \hfill (6)$$

To compare RFIDSim results with the average outcomes predicted by the above equations, we setup a simulation experiment in which $N$ number of tags were present in the range of the reader [cf. Fig. 9(a)]. The tags were placed near the RFID reader so that none of the tags would lose power during the inventory round. In the experiment, the reader would initiate a single inventory round with 256 slots with a Query command followed by subsequent QueryRep commands to announce the beginning of each slot in the round. This simulation experiment was repeated 100 times for each different number of tags $N$ considered. The results shown in Fig. 9 illustrate that the medium access behavior of RFIDSim agrees with the theoretical predictions. For different number of tags in the range of the reader, the number of empty $H$, single occupied $S$, and collision slots $C$ computed by the simulations are identical to the results predicted by (4), (5), and (6).

It is also important to validate that the timings predicted by RFIDSim are compliant with the ISO 18000-6C specification. The latter specifies turnaround times between reader and tag commands, length of data symbols and preambles. In our analysis, we compare the time RFIDSim predicts for the completion of inventory rounds with 256 slots to the time the ISO 18000-6C specification suggests for the execution of the same sequence of reader and tag commands. Fig. 10 shows the commands of the inventory round and associated durations. These durations are based on timing parameters specified in Table III.
Fig. 10. Durations of command sequences during tag inventory rounds. The durations are computed from the ISO 18000-6C parameters specified in Table III.

TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tari</td>
<td>8.33 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-1</td>
<td>16.67 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRcal</td>
<td>50 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divide Ratio</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRext</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>70.7 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>18.7 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>62.5 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>50.0 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader Data Rate</td>
<td>80 kbit/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Data Rate</td>
<td>80 kbit/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame Size</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Theoretical versus simulated duration of inventory round with 256 slots in ISO 18000-6C.

which represent common choices for ISO 18000-6C operation and which are within the range listed in the specification. The total durations shown in Fig. 11 are a result of the multiplication the duration of empty, single occupied, and collision slots with the expected number of corresponding outcomes predicted by (4)–(6). Since the number of tags participating in the inventory round influences its duration, we performed the tests with different number of tags. The test setup is identically to the one described in the previously, where we analyzed the medium access behavior [cf. Fig. 9(a)].

Fig. 11 shows that the RFID simulation results are in agreement with the timings specified in ISO 18000-6C. The variation in the timings reported by RFIDSim results from the probabilistic nature of the framed ALOHA scheme. It is evident that inventory rounds with many single occupied slots takes significantly longer than those with many empty or collision slot. This results from the fact that a single occupied slot is followed by the transmission of 128 bit long data packet that contains the transponder identifier (EPC) (cf. Fig. 10). Since such an inventory round involves a number of different reader and tag commands, the timing analysis also implicitly verifies that RFIDSim reader and tags send the correct messages with the appropriate preamplifiers.

The previous analysis focused on the medium access and logical layer behavior of RFIDSim. Bit error, backscatter, pathloss, and fading model of RFIDSim had no influence on the simulation results since the tags were close to the reader. The proximity to the reader meant that no tag lost power during the inventory rounds and the received signal strength was relatively high at tag and reader. We will now investigate to what extent the pathloss and fading model of RFIDSim affect the received signal strength as a tag is moved away from the RFID reader.

Fig. 12 shows the received signal strength at a tag that is moved away from an RFID reader at a constant velocity of 1 m/s. The figure shows the variation in received signal strength with the RFIDSim pathloss model only and with the Rician fading and pathloss model. The simulation experiment is characterized by the parameters listed in Tables III and IV. Fig. 12 shows that RFIDSim can model the deep fades that result from destructive interference of multipath signals that cause RFID tags to lose power and their state. With the pathloss model only, these deep fades are not present.

Modeling these deep fades is important because they can have a significant effect on the identification and data transfer process. Fig. 13 illustrates how the number of tags participating in an inventory round varies as a case with 200 tags is moved away from the reader antenna at 1 m/s. As the RFID tags are moving away from the reader antenna, tags enter a deep fade more frequently during the inventory rounds. The RFID tags...
lose power and their state, e.g., number of slots in frame and current slot number. Once they leave the deep fade and power up, the RFID tags need to wait for the next Query command to gather the information about the ongoing inventory process. Without the Rician fading model (pathloss only), the number of participating RFID tags is constant up to the point where the received signal strength of the first tags in the case is less than the tag sensitivity (cf. Fig. 13). The reduction of participating RFID tags during an inventory round have important implications for throughput optimization. An optimal frame size at the beginning of the frame with $L = N$ is unlikely to be optimal towards the end of the frame because the effective number of tags $N$ still powered is significantly reduced at this stage.

**VIII. DISCUSSION—LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

The objective of RFIDSim was to develop a sufficiently abstract representation of the RFID communication which can still provide meaningful results. Fig. 14 shows that RFIDSim scales to tag populations of 10,000 tags. The figure illustrates how the time to simulate a single ISO 18000-6C inventory round with 256 slots increases as the number of tags that are present in the range of the reader increases from 100 to 10,000. The simulations are run on a notebook with an Intel Pentium M 1.50 GHz CPU. In simulation time, the inventory round corresponds to about 200 ms (cf. Fig. 11), and the time to complete the simulation takes approximately 10 min for 10,000 tags and 3 s for 10 tags.

While scalability to large number of RFID tags is important, the RFID process also needs to be modeled accurately. The previous section showed that RFIDSim models the timing and medium access behavior specified in ISO 18000-6C accurately. Since the wireless channel in multipath environments is nonstationary in time and space, the accurate prediction of the received signal strength in a particular environment such as a warehouse is known to be very difficult [20]. The received signal strength

---

Fig. 12. Effect of Rician fading on received signal strength at RFID Tag. (a) Signal Strength versus distance. (b) Signal strength versus distance (magnified).

Fig. 13. Variation in size of tag populations participating in inventory rounds with and without Rician fading. (a) Simulation setup. (b) Number of tags participating in inventory round versus distance from reader.

Fig. 14. Time to complete simulated single inventory round with 256 slots with the tags moving at a speed of 3 m/s.
is not only influenced by static objects such as walls and ceilings reflecting the reader signal, but also by moving objects and staff walking around in the vicinity of tags and readers. Without on-site channel measurements, it is thus not possible to model the wireless channel in a particular site accurately. In RFIDSim, we chose to implement a statistical Rician-fading model instead. The statistical fading model is capable of introducing the deep fades that affect RFID operation. Important second-order statistics such as the level crossing rates and average duration of fades can be controlled to some extent by the interval at which the power signal is transmitted to the tags. Previous work on indoor channel measurements showed that these second-order statistics are site and environment specific [27]. In RFIDSim, the samples generated according to a Rician distribution are uncorrelated in time and space. In reality, there is a correlation among the received signal strength at locations in close proximity and in the time domain [27]. RFIDSim is thus not capable of modeling a specific RFID application exactly. Improvements resulting from more accurate simulation approaches such as ray-tracing would make the simulation environment less efficient and scalable.

RFIDSim currently also does not model the absorption of the signal by the objects to which the RFID tags are attached. In the simulation engine, the pathloss exponent is a constant throughout the simulated area. In practice, the reader as well as the tag signal are absorbed and reflected by the tagged objects. Tag detuning, which results from the change of the tag antenna impedance due to the material in its immediate vicinity, can be incorporated by adjusting the tag sensitivity appropriately. RFIDSim also does not model variations in the tag sensitivity among different RFID tags. In practice, RFID tags vary in sensitivity because of manufacturing tolerances in the tag antenna manufacturing and assembly process.

While RFID reader antenna radiation patterns can be specified, the current version of RFIDSim only considers isotropic and half-dipole tag antennas. The simulation engine currently lacks support for multiple readers and multiple reader antennas. Support for multiple readers increases the value of the simulations significantly since we can now evaluate different reader collision strategies, such as synchronization, listen-before-talk and frequency hopping.

To compare the tag model implemented in RFIDSim with the behavior of actual RFID tags, future work could also include hardware-in-the-loop testing [28]. RFIDSim would be used to generate the reader commands and to compute the propagation loss. The corresponding RFIDSim event comprising among others the bits transmitted, received signal strength, and frequency would then be converted into the appropriate waveforms and directly applied to the input pins of a tag microchip. This approach could be used to validate the state machine in the RFIDSim tags and RFIDSim backscatter models.

IX. CONCLUSION

Radiofrequency identification poses a number of research challenges. This includes protecting the privacy of the individual and speeding up the identification of large tag populations. However, in practice, it is difficult to evaluate many of the new approaches proposed to solve these issues. The evaluation typically requires novel microchip designs for the tags, others require update to the firmware of a reader. The result is that frequently the impact of novel RFID approaches on the identification performance is difficult to assess. In this paper, we present the RFID simulation engine, RFIDSim, that implements the ISO 18000-6C RFID communication protocol. RFIDSim is implemented in a discrete-event simulator and models signal propagation using a pathloss model and a Rician distribution based multipath model. There is also support for different capture, backscatter, interference, and tag mobility models. The low memory footprint and high throughput that results from the use of the JiST simulation platform and the efficient simulation models chosen provide scalability to simulations of thousands of tags. The result is that RFIDSim can be used to simulate typical RFID applications, such as conveyor belts and fork lifts moving pallets with large tag populations. The simplicity with which novel algorithms can be implemented on the simulated tags and readers also means that the performance of different transmission control strategies and settings in ISO 18000-6C can be readily evaluated.

Since RFIDSim models the multipath fading statistically and the absorption of signals by the tagged objects and tag antenna detuning is neglected, the simulation results of RFIDSim will not be identical to the outcomes of actual experiments with RFID tags and readers in a specific application. RFIDSim is thus not envisioned as a tool to predict the identification performance in a specific scenario. We believe, however, that RFIDSim will significantly facilitate the relative comparison of different transmission control strategies, settings in ISO 18000-6C and privacy and security approaches.
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