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The development of metastases is an extended and inefficient process involving multiple steps. The last of these involves the growth of micrometastases into macroscopic tumors. We show here that intravenously injected, nonmetastatic cancer cells cease proliferating after extravasating into the parenchyma of the lungs; this response is attributable to the cells inability to trigger adhesion-related signaling events when they are scattered sparsely within the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the parenchyma. We recapitulate this situation by culturing these nonmetastatic cells at low seeding density in ECM-derived gels in vitro, in which they undergo cell-cycle arrest resulting, in part, from insufficient activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK). Metastatic cancer cells, in contrast, show sufficient FAK activation to enable their proliferation within ECM gels in vitro and continue cell-cycle progression within the lung parenchyma in vivo. Activation of FAK in these metastatic cells depends on expression of the \(\beta_1\) subunit of integrins, and proliferation of these cells after extravasation in the lungs is diminished by knocking down the expression of either FAK or integrin \(\beta_1\). These results demonstrate the critical role of integrin \(\beta_1\)/FAK signaling axis in controlling the initial proliferation of micrometastatic cancer cells disseminated in the lungs.

Cancer cells pass through a sequence of multiple steps to generate macroscopic metastases. These include invasion of host tissues adjacent to the primary tumor, entrance into the systemic vasculature, dissemination via the circulation, arrest in microvasculature, extravasation into the parenchyma of distant organs, and proliferation at these ectopic sites to form secondary colonies; the last of these steps, involving the growth of micrometastases into macroscopic tumors, is often termed “colonization” (1). This last step is likely to be the least efficient step in the invasion–metastasis cascade. For example, 30% of breast cancer patients are found to have hundreds, likely thousands of micrometastases in their bone marrow, but only half of these micrometastasis-positive patients will ever develop metastatic relapse (2). These relapses often become apparent 5, 10, or even 20 years after removal of the primary tumor and testify to the presence of disseminated, latent cancer cells that require many years to succeed in developing into macroscopic growths (3). Indeed, similar delayed-onset metastatic relapses are seen in multiple types of cancers, including renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, and osteosarcoma (4). These phenomena highlight the need to elucidate the mechanisms that enable metastasized cancer cells to colonize sites of dissemination.

Most disseminated cancer cells are rapidly eliminated by apoptosis in response to stresses associated with their transport or their inability to adapt to foreign tissue microenvironments (5). The survival of cells that have begun to proliferate in distant organ sites is also threatened, possibly by limited angiogenesis or by immune surveillance (5). These responses contrast with the observed behavior of many disseminated micrometastatic cancer cells that survive for prolonged periods of time in a dormant state, exhibiting neither proliferation nor apoptosis. Such cells were initially described experimentally in a mouse model (6), and evidence is accumulating for the presence of cells with similar properties in patients lacking any overt signs of metastasis (2, 7). The factors preventing the proliferation of these cancer cells and the resulting growth of micrometastases remain poorly understood.

In the present study, we have used a series of mouse mammary carcinoma cell lines composed of cells with differing abilities to proliferate after dissemination to distant organs including lungs and liver. These experiments have revealed a critical role of cell-matrix adhesions in governing this process, involving, notably, the integrin \(\beta_1\)/focal adhesion kinase (FAK) signaling axis.

Results

Behavior of D2 Mouse Mammary Carcinoma Cell Lines in an Experimental Model of Lung Metastasis. We used a set of mouse mammary carcinoma cells that consists of 3 distinct lines, D2.0R, D2.1, and D2A1, hereafter collectively referred to as “D2 cells” (8). Previous studies had shown comparable primary tumor incidence after implantation of these 3 cell populations in mouse mammary fat pads and the differing abilities of these primary tumors to subsequently form metastases in the lungs and liver (9). Thus, the D2.0R- and D2.1-derived tumors generated only rare metastases, whereas the D2A1-derived tumors spawned metastases efficiently. Moreover, D2.0R cells injected into the mesenteric vein successfully extravasated into the liver parenchyma but could not initiate proliferation in this site. In contrast, a substantial proportion of D2A1 cells began proliferating soon after extravasation into the liver parenchyma and eventually formed large numbers of macroscopic metastases (6).

We initially tested the behavior of the various D2 cells in an experimental model of lung metastasis. To enable direct comparisons of nonmetastatic and metastatic D2 cells, we labeled D2A1 cells with tdTomato, a variant of red fluorescent protein (RFP) (10), and coinjected these cells into the tail vein of BALB/c mice together with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled D2.0R or D2.1 cells. As shown in Fig. 1A, multiple small clumps of cells were observed in the lungs at 2 days after injection, and the numbers and sizes of GFP-labeled D2.1 cell clumps and tdTomato-labeled D2A1 cell clumps were comparable at this time. However, as time went on, the clumps of D2A1 cells became larger, whereas those of D2.1 cells remained small, resulting in a substantial difference in the relative abundance of these cells in the lungs 24 days after injection (Fig. 1A). Similar differences in colony growth and relative abundance of cells in the lungs were observed when we coinjected GFP-labeled D2.0R cells and tdTomato-labeled D2A1 cells (data not shown, Fig. 1A).
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We proceeded to measure the relative abilities of the various D2 cell populations to extravasate into the lung parenchyma. Accordingly, we injected the GFP- and tdTomato-labeled cells through the tail vein and, after various periods of time, perfused the lung microvasculature using fluorescence-labeled small polystyrene beads (FluoSpheres) that subsequently filled the capillary lumina (11). This procedure enabled us not only to trace the outlines of the microvasculature but also to flush out tumor cells that remained in the lumina of microvessels and thus had not yet extravasated. We found that the majority of fluorescence-labeled D2.1 and D2A1 cells that had remained in the lungs at 3 h after injection were cleared out by this perfusion procedure (Fig. 1B). At 48 h after injection, however, a substantial portion of these cell populations present in the lungs remained there after perfusion, and, significantly, these perfusion-resistant populations contained comparable numbers of D2.1 and D2A1 cells (Fig. 1B). We also observed comparable numbers of perfusion-resistant D2.0R and D2A1 cells in the lungs after coinjecting these 2 different cell populations (Fig. 1B). Most of these perfusion-resistant cells were located at some distance from the FluoSphere-labeled vasculature (Fig. 1B), providing further indication that they had extravasated into the lung parenchyma.

These results suggested that the nonmetastatic D2.0R and D2.1 cells were as effective as the metastatic D2A1 cells in extravasating. We noted, however, that the extravasated D2.0R and D2.1 cells surviving in the lungs at 7 days after injection exhibited far less BrdU incorporation than corresponding D2A1 cells (Fig. 1C). Moreover, we observed comparable numbers of colonies of GFP-labeled D2.1 cells and those of tdTomato-labeled D2A1 cells in the lungs at 8 days after coinjecting equal numbers of these 2 different cell populations. This observation indicated that the persistence of D2.1-derived micrometastatic colonies in the lungs is comparable with that of D2A1-derived colonies, although the average size of D2A1-derived colonies was already far larger than that of D2.1-derived colonies at 8 days after injection (Fig. 1A). We also observed comparable numbers of GFP-labeled D2.0R cell colonies and tdTomato-labeled D2A1 cell colonies in the lungs at 8 days after coinjecting these 2 cell types (data not shown). These results collectively supported the notion that the inability of the D2.0R and D2.1 cells to eventually form macroscopic lung metastases was determined largely, if not entirely, by their inefficient proliferation after extravasation into the lung parenchyma.

Modeling the Differential Proliferation of D2 Cells in an In Vitro System. To uncover the cause(s) of the observed proliferation defect of the D2.0R and D2.1 cells, we attempted to recapitulate the postextravasation process with an in vitro experimental model. More specifically, we developed culture conditions that mimic certain aspects of the in vivo microenvironment surrounding recently extravasated cancer cells. It appears that most cancer cells, when introduced intravenously as single-cell suspensions, do not initially enjoy homotypic interactions with other cancer cells immediately after extravasation (12); instead, they are likely to be surrounded by stromal components of the parenchyma. Independent of this finding, others had shown that introducing cells into a 3-dimensional (3D) culture model, specifically in gels constructed of extracellular matrix (ECM) components, has profound effects on the behavior of such cells (13, 14). For these reasons, we embedded the 3 different D2 cell populations in several types of gels, each consisting of a distinct mixture of ECM components; these cells were prepared as single-cell suspensions and introduced into the gels at a low seeding density (500 cells/cm² bottom area) to ensure that they could not initially form extensive homotypic interactions with one another [supporting information (SI) Fig. S1A].

After 10 days of 3D culture, we observed 9.2- to 100-fold greater numbers of D2A1 cells compared with those of the other 2 lines, depending on the nature of the ECM gel used (Fig. S1). In contrast, when we plated these 3 cell populations under 2-dimensional (2D) conditions, i.e., on tissue culture plastic dishes without admixed ECM gels, the differences in the cell number after 10 days of culture were <3.5-fold (Fig. S1). This observation underscored the utility of the 3D culture model in studying the cause(s) underlying the differing rates of proliferation of the metastatic and nonmetastatic D2 cells after their extravasation into the lung parenchyma (Fig. 1C).

Among the 5 different types of ECM gels tested, Matrigel yielded the greatest difference in the resulting cell number between the D2A1 cells and the other 2 lines (Fig. S1), which encouraged us to...
Two-dimensional and 3-dimensional culture of nonmetastatic and metastatic D2 cells. (A and B) D2 cells were seeded at an initial density of either 500 cells/cm² bottom area (A) or 5,000 cells/cm² bottom area (B) and propagated under 2D or 3D on-top conditions. Cell numbers were determined at the indicated time points (Top). Cells cultured under 3D on-top conditions for 5 days were also stained for proliferation marker (Ki67), and the positivity of Ki67 staining within each cell population is plotted (Bottom).

As was the case with the previously used 3D-embedded culture method, we observed a sharp increase in the number of D2A1 cells and minimal increases in the numbers of D2.0R and D2.1 cells in these 3D on-top conditions (Fig. 2A); this difference in the rates of increase in cell numbers under 3D on-top conditions is consistent with a recent report by others (15). Under these conditions, the metastatic D2A1 cells exhibited a far higher positivity of the Ki67 proliferation marker than the nonmetastatic D2.0R and D2.1 cells cultured in parallel (Fig. 2A and Fig. S3A). In contrast, the extent of apoptosis was comparable among these 3 cell populations (Fig. S3A). Hence, the differing rates of increase in cell number among these various D2 cell populations under 3D culture conditions (Fig. 2A) was attributable to differing rates of cell-cycle progression rather than differences in rates of attrition by apoptosis.

To determine whether the initial seeding density affected the subsequent proliferation of cells, we also seeded the 3 different D2 cell populations in 3D on-top conditions at a 10-fold higher initial density (5,000 cells/cm² bottom area). Under these conditions, even the nonmetastatic D2.0R and D2.1 cells showed rapid increases in cell number during the ensuing 10 days (Fig. 2B). Thus, these cells exhibited higher Ki67 positivity than the same cell types seeded in 3D on-top conditions at the previously used sparse initial density (500 cells/cm² bottom area; Fig. 2 and Fig. S3). These results indicated that under high seeding densities, the control mechanisms that otherwise would preclude the nonmetastatic D2.0R and D2.1 cells from proliferating can be overridden, ostensibly because of cell–cell interactions or the altered interaction of cells with Matrigel. Indeed, when seeded at a density of 5,000 cells/cm² bottom area, even the D2.1 cells, which largely stayed as solitary cells within Matrigel for up to 10 days after being seeded at a low initial density (500 cells/cm²; Fig. S3A), eventually aggregated and formed large clumps (Fig. S3B), within which individual cells are no longer surrounded on all sides by Matrigel. Thereafter, to observe maximal differences in vitro between the cell populations that do and do not proliferate effectively after extravasation in vivo, we used this 3D on-top method at a seeding density of 500 cells/cm² bottom area unless otherwise indicated.

As we continued to propagate the 3 different D2 cell populations in 3D culture for periods of up to 10 days, we noticed that a portion of these cells invaded through the Matrigel layer and began growing close to the bottom of the culture dish (data not shown). This invasion raised the possibility that the D2A1 cells proliferated more rapidly than the other 2 lines (Fig. 2A) simply because of their increased ability to invade through the Matrigel layer and ultimately to adhere to the substrate provided by the bottom of the culture dish. However, coating the dishes with poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (polyHEMA), which inhibits the direct attachment of the cells to the plastic substrate, did not have significant effect on the number of D2A1 cells after 10 days of 3D culture (Fig. S4). Hence, the observed difference in the proliferation between the D2A1 cells and the other 2 lines after propagating them in 3D culture (Fig. 2A) appeared to derive from their superior ability to adapt to the microenvironmental conditions created by the Matrigel surrounding them.

We wished to gain insight into the underlying causes of the differing rates of cell-cycle progression in the various D2 cell populations propagated in 3D culture. Accordingly, we monitored changes in mRNA expression levels of genes encoding key cell-cycle regulators. We found that p16<sup>ink4a</sup>, p19<sup>arf</sup>, p21<sup>Cip1</sup>, and p27<sup>Kip1</sup>, all of which yield proteins having an inhibitory effect on cell-cycle progression, were up-regulated in D2.0R and D2.1 cells under 3D but not under 2D conditions (Fig. S5A). Moreover, proliferation of the slowly growing D2.0R and D2.1 cells in 3D culture was partially restored by shRNA-mediated, simultaneous knockdown of the expression of p16<sup>ink4a</sup> and p19<sup>arf</sup> (Fig. S5B). Hence, the minimal increases in the numbers of D2.0R and D2.1 cells propagated under 3D conditions were attributable to inhibition of cell-cycle progression, which could be explained, at least in part, by the up-regulation of the p16<sup>ink4a</sup> and p19<sup>arf</sup> mRNAs and the cell-cycle inhibitory function of the corresponding protein products.

To determine whether the 3D on-top culture condition also yields differing rates of proliferation in other sets of related cancer cell lines with distinct metastatic potentials, we studied the B16F1 and B16F10 mouse melanoma cell lines, which exhibit low and high efficiencies of generating lung metastases, respectively. When propagated under 3D on-top conditions, the highly metastatic B16F10 cells proliferated more rapidly than the weakly metastatic B16F1 cells, resulting in a 2.4-fold difference in cell number after 10 days of culture, whereas their proliferation rates under 2D culture conditions were comparable (Fig. S6). Taken together, these various results showed a strong correlation between the proliferative capacity of cells in 3D culture and their metastasis-forming ability.

Signals from Cell-Matrix Adhesions Regulate the Proliferation of Cancer Cells Scattered Within the ECM. The observations described above encouraged us to determine the biochemical mechanism(s) responsible for the differing abilities of the various D2 cell populations to proliferate in the 3D culture model. Microscopic observations of these cell populations revealed striking morphological differences between them under 3D on-top culture conditions. Three-dimensionally cultured D2.0R cells typically displayed a round morphology and formed small clumps (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A). A large part of D2.1 cells exhibited a narrow, elongated morphology (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A). The metastatic D2A1 cells were also elongated, but tended to have protrusive extensions and their cytoplasm was wider than that of D2.1 cells (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A). These differences in morphology suggested underlying differences in the organization of the respective cytoskeletons. Indeed, we saw the abundant formation of actin stress fibers in all of the 3 cell types under 2D conditions of culture, whereas under 3D conditions, only the metastasis-competent D2A1 cells exhibited these fibers (Fig. S7A).

The formation of these stress fibers is known to be regulated by integrin-mediated adhesions to the ECM (16). Accordingly, we used immunofluorescence to survey the localization of adhesion plaque proteins, specifically paxillin, vinculin, and talin, whose patterns of localization reflect the degree of maturation of integrin-mediated adhesions (16). In fact, the localization of these proteins...
to plaques with an oval-like or elongated morphology, which is typical of the mature form of adhesion plaques, was observed in all 3 cell populations cultured under 2D conditions (Fig. 3B and Fig. S7B and C). Under 3D on-top culture conditions, however, only the D2A1 cells developed abundant adhesion plaques of elongated morphology (Fig. 3B and Fig. S7B and C). To summarize, the D2A1 cells, which proliferated well under 3D conditions, exhibited extensive actin stress fibers and mature forms of integrin-associated adhesion plaques under both 2D and 3D conditions of culture, whereas the D2.0R and D2.1 cells, which failed to proliferate efficiently under 3D conditions, were only able to assemble dense actin stress fibers and mature adhesion plaques under 2D conditions of culture.

The correlation between the formations of mature adhesion plaques and proliferation suggested a causal relationship between these processes. In this regard, integrin-mediated adhesions have been shown to trigger the release of a variety of intracellular signals, which together represent the “outside-in” signaling by integrins (17). This evidence suggested that the differing abilities to form integrin-mediated adhesions among the various D2 cell populations under 3D conditions (Fig. 3B and Fig. S7B and C) might lead, in turn, to differential activation of the downstream signaling events within these cells, and such signaling might ultimately determine the differing abilities to proliferate under these conditions (Fig. 2A, 4).

Among the signals arising from the formation of cell-matrix adhesions, FAK is thought to play a central role (18). Thus, FAK has been shown to play an essential role in promoting cell-cycle progression in a variety of cell types (19). Recruitment of FAK by ECM-ligated integrins induces its autophosphorylation at tyrosine 397 (Y397). Y397-phosphorylated FAK in turn binds to another tyrosine kinase, Src, which phosphorylates FAK at tyrosine 861 (Y861). This Y861 phosphorylation then facilitates the recruitment of p130Cas to FAK, which leads ultimately to the activation of multiple signaling proteins, including the Ras and Rac small GTPases (18).

For these reasons, we analyzed FAK phosphorylation at Y397 and Y861 in 2D- and 3D-cultured D2 cells and discovered strong differences in the levels of phosphorylation among the different cell populations, particularly under 3D culture conditions (Fig. 3C and Fig. S7D). Thus, 3D-cultured metastasis-competent D2A1 cells exhibited 3.5- to 5.2-fold and 6.3- to 9.6-fold higher levels of phosphorylation at FAK Y397 and Y861, respectively, compared with the other 2 cell lines cultured in parallel (Fig. 3C). This result revealed a strong correlation between proliferative ability and FAK Y397 and Y861 phosphorylation in 3D-cultured D2 cells.

Given this correlation, we speculated that the functional activation of FAK might represent a rate-limiting determinant of proliferative ability in 3D culture. Consequently, we modulated its activity through the ectopic expression of FAK-related nonkinase (FRNK), a naturally existing, dominant-negative variant of FAK. Indeed, ectopic FRNK expression in D2A1 cells inhibited their proliferative ability in 3D culture. Consequently, we modulated its activity through the ectopic expression of FAK-related nonkinase (FRNK), a naturally existing, dominant-negative variant of FAK. Indeed, ectopic FRNK expression in D2A1 cells inhibited their proliferative ability in 3D culture. Consequently, we modulated its activity through the ectopic expression of FAK-related nonkinase (FRNK), a naturally existing, dominant-negative variant of FAK. Indeed, ectopic FRNK expression in D2A1 cells inhibited their proliferative ability in 3D culture.
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These results demonstrated that FAK signaling controls the metastatic colony-forming ability of D2A1 cells in the lungs largely by regulating their postextravasation proliferation.

Attachment to the ECM via β1-Containing Integrins Is Essential for FAK Activation and Cell Proliferation. We attempted to address the mechanism regulating FAK phosphorylation, which contributed significantly to the differing rates of proliferation observed in the various D2 cell populations under 3D on-top culture conditions. Integrins govern the activity of FAK, and there are 24 different heterodimeric pairs of these proteins functioning in various mammalian cell types. With the exception of those expressed specifically in hematopoietic cells, these integrin pairs are categorized in 3 groups: β1-containing integrins, αv-containing integrins (αvβ1, αvβ2, αvβ3, and αvβ5), and αβ2-integrin (17); among these, the β1-containing and αv-containing ones play central roles in recruiting and activating FAK (16). To determine which particular pair(s) of integrin contribute(s) to FAK activation and, in turn, to cell proliferation under 3D conditions, we used shRNAs to knockdown the expression of the constituent subunits of several integrin pairs of special interest and examined resulting effects on the proliferation of D2A1 cells under either 2D or 3D conditions of culture.

Most notably, knocking down integrin β1 expression almost completely blocked the proliferation of D2A1 cells under 3D but not under 2D conditions (Fig. 5A). Moreover, knocking down the expression of either integrin αv or integrin αs, both of which are paired exclusively with integrin β1, partially impaired D2A1 cell proliferation, once again under 3D but not under 2D conditions (Fig. 5A). In contrast, knocking down the expression of either integrin αv or integrin αs did not show any inhibitory effect on the proliferation of 3D-cultured D2A1 cells (Fig. 5A). Hence, the proliferation of D2A1 cells cultured under these Matrigel-based 3D on-top conditions depended on the expression of β1-containing integrins, but not on either αv-containing integrins or αβ2-integrin.

We examined whether the β1-containing integrins exhibited superior ability to recruit and thereby activate FAK in 3D-cultured D2A1 cells compared with αv-containing integrins, the other group of integrins playing major roles in regulating FAK function, by using immunofluorescence. We observed that under 3D conditions, the staining of FAK in D2A1 cells overlapped well with those of integrin β1 and integrin αv, a major dimerization partner of integrin β1 (Fig. 5B). In contrast, there was almost no overlap between the staining of FAK and that of integrin αv in these cells (Fig. 5B). Moreover, knocking down the expression of integrin β1 in 3D-cultured D2A1 cells resulted in a >4-fold reduction in the levels of FAK phosphorylation at residues Y397 and Y861 (Fig. 5C).

These results collectively supported the notion that attachment to the ECM via β1-containing integrins plays an essential role in causing FAK phosphorylation in 3D-cultured D2A1 cells and ultimately enables the efficient proliferation of these cells. Consistent with this notion, under 3D culture conditions of culture, knocking down the expression of either integrin β1 or FAK in D2A1 cells resulted in the up-regulation of mRNAs encoding the p19INK4a, p16INK4a, p19ARF, p21Cip1, and p27kip1 cell-cycle regulators (Fig. S9) as described earlier, elevated expression of these mRNAs was correlated with proliferation arrest in the 3D-cultured, nonmetastatic D2.0R and D2.1 cells (Fig. S5A). Consistent with this notion, in vivo proliferation of D2A1 cells after extravasation into the lung parenchyma was inhibited by knocking down the expression of integrin β1 (Fig. 5D), similar to the effect of FAK knockdown (Fig. 4C). This provided further evidence that the integrin β1-FAK signaling axis is essential for the postextravasation proliferation of D2A1 cells in the lungs.

Discussion

Only rare disseminated cancer cells are able to found metastatic colonies, usually only after a prolonged period. This inefficient colony formation has been ascribed to a number of factors, among them the complex adaptations that cancer cells must make to thrive in the foreign tissue microenvironments in which they find themselves after dissemination. In the present study, we have exploited an in vitro, 3D culture model to examine how disseminated cancer cells cope with their initially low density within the parenchyma of such tissues and with their need to associate productively with components of the ECM, which represent key functional elements of these microenvironments (Fig. S14). Whereas this 3D culture model does not faithfully recapitulate all aspects of the in vivo microenvironment, it

Fig. 5. Recruitment and activation of FAK by β1-containing integrins in 3D-cultured D2A1 cells. (A) The effects of integrin subunit knockdown on the proliferation of D2A1 cells under 2D and 3D conditions of culture were analyzed. Cells were cultured for 10 days. The capital letter at the end of each shRNA label is added for distinguishing different shRNA constructs for the same target, and multiple different constructs were tested for targeting each of integrin αs, αv, and β1 expression, whereas a single shRNA construct was tested for targeting the expression of integrin β1 (sh integrin β1 C) (Table S1 and Table S2). Cell numbers relative to that of D2A1 cells expressing an shRNA control (sh scrambled) are plotted. Values are means ± SD (n = 3). (B) Co-localization between FAK (red) and integrin β1, integrin αv, or integrin αs (green) in D2A1 cells under 2D or 3D culture conditions (Matrigel, on-top) was analyzed by immunofluorescence. Cell nuclei were stained with YOYO-1 (blue). (Scale bar, 20 μm.) (C) The effects of integrin β1 knockdown on the levels of FAK phosphorylation at Y397 and Y861 in 3D-cultured D2A1 cells were analyzed as in Fig. 3C. Two different shRNA constructs targeting the expression of integrin β1 were tested (sh integrin β1 A and C). Values are the band intensities relative to that of the corresponding bands of the sample from D2A1 cells expressing an shRNA control (sh scrambled). (D) The effect of integrin β1 knockdown on the BrdU incorporation by D2A1 cells after extravasation in the lungs in vivo was analyzed as in Fig. 1C. Values are means ± SEM (n = 4).
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Correction for “Regulation of the protein disulfide proteome by mitochondria in mammalian cells,” by Yi Yang, Yanli Song, and Joseph Loscalzo, which appeared in issue 26, June 26, 2007, of Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (104:10813–10817; first published June 20, 2007; 10.1073/pnas.0702027104).

The authors note that on page 10817 in the Acknowledgments section, the National Institutes of Health Grant labeled HL 55993 should instead appear as P01 HL 81587. This error does not affect the conclusions of the article.
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IMMUNOLOGY

The authors note that on page 4259, the “Received for Review” date appeared incorrectly. The date “August 6, 2007” should instead read “February 6, 2007.”

www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0908246106

MEDICAL SCIENCES

The authors note that on page 10292, right column, first full paragraph, the following sentence should be added after the third sentence: “These changes in mRNA expression may account for the previously reported observed increases in the nuclear staining of p16Ink4a and p27Kip1 in the D2.0R cells propagated under Matrigel-based, 3D culture conditions similar to those described here (15).” On page 10292, right column, third full paragraph, the following sentence should be added after the last sentence: “The absence of actin stress fibers in the non-metastatic D2.0R cells and the presence of these fibers in the D2A1 cells are consistent with the behavior of these cells previously reported by Green and colleagues (15).” On page 10294, left column, second full paragraph, the following sentence should be added after the first sentence: “In agreement with this observation, others have previously reported that treatment with an integrin β1-neutralizing antibody results in an inhibitory effect on the proliferation of the D2A1 cells propagated under Matrigel-based, 3D culture conditions (15).” These errors do not affect the conclusions of the article.
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