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We present improved measurements of the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}$, the longitudinal polarization fraction $f_L$, and the direct $CP$ asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$ in the $B^- \to \rho^+ \rho^0$ decay channel. The data sample was collected with the BABAR detector at SLAC. The results are $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \rho^+ \rho^0) = (23.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$, $f_L = 0.950 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.006$, and $\mathcal{A}_{CP} = -0.054 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.010$, where the uncertainties are 141802-3.
statistical and systematic, respectively. Based on these results, we perform an isospin analysis and determine the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa phase angle $\alpha = \arg(-V_{ud}V_{tb}^{*}/V_{ud}V_{ub}^{*})$ to be $(92.4_{-6}^{+6.0})^\circ$.
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In the standard model (SM), the weak interaction couplings of quarks are described by elements $V_{ij}$ of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [1], where $i = u, c, t$ and $j = d, s, b$ are quark indices. The CKM elements are complex, introducing violation of charge-parity (CP) symmetry. Unitarity of the CKM matrix yields a relationship between the $V_{ij}$ that can be represented as a triangle in the complex plane. The SM mechanism for CP violations can be tested through measurement of the sides and angles of this unitarity triangle (UT) [2].

An approximate result $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ for the UT angle $\alpha = \arg(-V_{ud}V_{tb}^{*}/V_{ud}V_{ub}^{*})$ can be obtained from $B$ meson decays to $CP$ eigenstates dominated by tree-level $b \rightarrow u\bar{d}d$ amplitudes, such as $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ decays (see, e.g., Refs. [2,3]). The decision $\Delta \alpha = \alpha - \alpha_{\text{eff}}$, which accounts for loop amplitudes, can be extracted from an analysis of the branching fractions and $CP$ asymmetries of the full set of isospin-related $b \rightarrow u\bar{d}d$ channels [4]. One of the most favorable methods to determine $\alpha$ is through an isospin analysis of the $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ system [2,3].

Here we present updated results for the $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ channel, with $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ and $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, leading to an improved determination of $\alpha$. Previous studies are presented in Refs. [5,6]. We measure the branching fraction $B$, the longitudinal polarization fraction $f_L$, and the direct $CP$ asymmetry $A_{CP} \equiv (B_{-} - B_{+})/(B_{-} + B_{+})$, with $B_{\pm}$ the $B^\pm$ decay width. Significant deviation of $A_{CP}$ from the SM prediction of zero could indicate new physics. We also search for the as-yet-unobserved decay $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+f_0(980)$, with $f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$. The use of charge conjugate reactions is implied throughout.

The analysis is based on $(465 \pm 5) \times 10^6 B\bar{B}$ events (424 fb$^{-1}$) collected on the $\gamma(4S)$ resonance [center-of-mass (c.m.) energy $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV] with the BABAR detector [7] at the PEP-II asymmetric energy $e^+e^-$ collider at SLAC. Compared to our previous study [5], the analysis incorporates higher signal efficiency and background rejection, twice as much data, and improved procedures to reconstruct charged particles and to account for correlations in the backgrounds. Simulated event samples based on Monte Carlo (MC) event generation are used to determine signal and background characteristics, optimize selection criteria, and evaluate efficiencies.

$B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^0$ decays are described by a superposition of two transversely (helicity $\pm 1$) and one longitudinally (helicity 0) polarized amplitudes. Our acceptance is independent of the angle between the two $\rho$ decay planes in the $B$ rest frame. We integrate over this angle to obtain an expression for $(1/\Gamma)d\Gamma/(d\cos\theta_{\rho^+}d\cos\theta_{\rho^0})$:

$$
\frac{2}{15}\left\{4f_L\cos^2\theta_{\rho^+}\cos^2\theta_{\rho^0} + (1-f_L)\sin^2\theta_{\rho^+}\sin^2\theta_{\rho^0}\right\}.
$$

with $f_L \equiv \Gamma_L/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is the total decay width, $\Gamma_L$ is the partial width to the longitudinally polarized mode, and the $\rho^0(\rho^+)$ helicity angle $\theta_{\rho^0(\rho^+)}$ is the angle between the daughter $\pi^+$ in the $\rho^0(\rho^+)$ rest frame and the direction of the boost from the $B^+$ rest frame.

A $B$ meson candidate is kinematically characterized by the beam-energy-substituted mass $m_{ES} \equiv \sqrt{s/4-(p_B^2/c^2)^2/c^2}$ and energy difference $\Delta E \equiv E_B^* - \sqrt{s}/2$, where $E_B^*$ and $p_B^*$ are the c.m. energy and momentum of the $B$ candidate, respectively. Signal events peak at the nominal $B$ mass for $m_{ES}$ and at zero for $\Delta E$, with resolutions of $3 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and $30 \text{ MeV}$, respectively.

The $\pi^0$ mesons are reconstructed through $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. The $\gamma$ is required to be consistent with a single electromagnetic shower. The $\gamma$ and $\pi^0$ laboratory energies must be larger than 30 MeV and 0.2 GeV, respectively. The mass of a $\pi^0$ candidate (resolution $6 \text{ MeV}/c^2$) is required to lie within $[0.115, 0.150]$ GeV/c$^2$ and is subsequently constrained to its nominal value [2].

The $\pi^0(\pi^-)$ candidate is combined with a $\pi^0$ to form a $\rho^+(\rho^0)$. The $\pi^\pm$ are identified with measurements of specific energy loss in the tracking chambers and radiation angles and photon multiplicity in a ring-imaging Cherenkov detector [7]. The $\rho^+(\rho^0)$ candidate mass $m_{\pi^0} = m_{\pi^+}$ must lie within $[0.52, 1.06]$ GeV/c$^2$. $\rho^+$ candidates with misreconstructed $\pi^0$ mesons tend to cluster near $\cos\theta_{\rho^+} = 1$, so we require $\cos\theta_{\rho^+} \leq 0.8$. The $B^+$ candidates must satisfy $5.26 < m_{ES} < 5.29$ GeV/c$^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 0.15$ GeV. In cases of multiple $B^+$ candidates (about 10% of events), the candidate with the largest $B^+$ vertex [8] fit probability is retained.

Background from $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}X$ decays, due to $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ with kaon misidentification and $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, is suppressed by requiring the $K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ or $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ invariant mass to lie outside $\pm 4\sigma$ of the nominal $D^0$ mass [2], with $\sigma = 9 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ the $D^0$ mass resolution.

The dominant background, from random combinations of particles in continuum events ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, with $q = u, d, s, c$), is suppressed by requiring $|\cos\theta_T| < 0.8$ [9], with $\theta_T$ the angle between the thrust axis of the $B$ candidate’s decay products and the thrust axis of the remaining particles in the event (ROE), evaluated in the c.m. frame, and by employing a neural network algorithm based on 11 variables calculated in the c.m.: $|\cos\theta_T|$; the cosines of the angles with respect to the beam axis of the $B$ momentum and $B$ thrust axis (we use the absolute value for the latter variable); the momentum-weighted sums $L_0$ and $L_2$ [9], determined with charged and neutral particles separately; the sum of transverse momenta of the ROE particles with respect to the beam axis; the ratio of the second to
zeroth Fox-Wolfram moments [10]; the proper time difference between the $B$ and $\bar{B}$ candidates divided by its uncertainty; and $B$-tagging information from ROE particles [8]. The neural network output $NN$ peaks near 0 and 1 for continuum and signal events, respectively. We require $NN > 0.2$, which rejects about 5% of the signal and 60% of the continuum events.

We examine the remaining $B$ backgrounds and identify nine channels with peaking structures in $m_{ES}$ or $\Delta E$ that can potentially mimic signal events: $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 a_1^0 (1260)$, $\pi^+ a_1^0$, $\rho^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\rho^+ \pi^0 \pi^+$, $\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^+$, $\rho^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\rho^0 \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$, $f_0(1270)\pi^0$, and $\eta' \rho^+ + \eta \rho^+ + \omega \rho^+ + \rho^0 \rho^0 + \rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-, \eta' \rightarrow \gamma \rho^0$. All other $B$ backgrounds are combined into a “nonpeaking” $B\bar{B}$ background component.

An extended unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) fit is applied to the selected events. The fit has 14 components: signal $\rho^+ \rho^0$ events, taken to be $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ events that are correctly reconstructed; self-cross-feed (SxF) events, defined as misreconstructed $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ events (29% of the $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ sample); signal $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ f_0$ events, including both correctly and incorrectly reconstructed events to increase efficiency; nonpeaking $B\bar{B}$ background; continuum background; and the nine peaking $B\bar{B}$ background channels listed above. The $\rho^+ \rho^0$ signal and SxF components are further divided into categories with either longitudinal or transverse polarization.

The likelihood function is $L = (1/N!) \exp(-\sum n_j) \prod_{i=1}^N \left[ \prod_{j} n_j \mathcal{P}(x_j) \right]$, with $N$ the number of events, $n_j$ the yield of component $j$, $\mathcal{P}(x_j)$ the probability density function (PDF) for event $i$ to be associated with component $j$, and $x_i$ the seven experimental observables specified in Eq. (2) below. The signal $\rho^+ \rho^0$, $\rho^+ f_0$, continuum, and nonpeaking $B\bar{B}$ background yields are allowed to vary in the fit. The $\rho^+ \rho^0$ SxF yield is fixed to its expected value based on the MC prediction for the SxF rate and the $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ branching fraction determined here (we iterate the fit to find this result). The relative contributions of the $\rho^+ \rho^0$ longitudinal and transverse polarization components are determined by allowing $f_L$ to vary, with $f_L$ common to the signal and SxF events. The three $\rho \pi \pi$ yields are varied under the requirement that they have the same branching fraction. The $\rho^0 a_1^0$, $\rho^0 a_1^0$, $\omega \rho^+$, and $\eta' \rho^+$ yields are fixed according to their known branching fractions [2]. The $\rho^0 \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$ and $f_0(1270)\pi^0$ yields are fixed assuming their branching fractions to be $10^{-5}$, consistent with or larger than the limits [11,12] for $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $f_0(1270)\pi^0$ decays.

About 85% of continuum events, and 90% of nonpeaking $B\bar{B}$ background events, contain at least one misreconstructed $\rho$. For these events, we find correlations of order 10% between the $NN$, $m_{\pi \pi}$, and cos$\theta_\rho$ variables, and—to account for these correlations—construct three-dimensional (3D) PDFs of the five variables based on conditional PDFs $\mathcal{P}(x_i | y)$ of variable $x$ given the value of variable $y$: $\mathcal{P}_{3D} = [\mathcal{P}(m_{\pi^- \pi^-} | \cos \theta_\rho) \times \mathcal{P}(\cos \theta_\rho)] \\[ \times \mathcal{P}(m_{\pi^+ \pi^0} | \cos \theta_\rho) \times \mathcal{P}(\cos \theta_\rho | NN) \times \mathcal{P}(NN)].$ For example, $\mathcal{P}(m_{\pi^- \pi^-} | \cos \theta_\rho)$ is constructed by examining the $m_{\pi^- \pi^-}$ distribution in nine bins of $\cos \theta_\rho$, fitting a second-order polynomial to each bin, and parameterizing how the coefficients of the polynomial vary between bins. The fraction of events with a correctly reconstructed $\rho^+$ and $\rho^0$ is fixed to the MC prediction for the nonpeaking $B\bar{B}$ background and allowed to vary for the continuum background. For all other components, the overall PDFs are defined as the product of seven 1D PDFs, one for each observable. The PDFs of the $\rho^+ \rho^0$ signal and SxF angles and acceptance incorporated, by summing the longitudinal ($L$) and transverse ($T$) components with a relative fraction $f_L e_L / [f_L e_L + (1 - f_L) e_T]$, with $e_L$ and $e_T$ the respective reconstruction efficiencies, leading to an effective 2D PDF in $\cos \theta_\rho$ and $|\cos \theta_\rho|$: $\mathcal{P}_j(x_i) = \mathcal{P}_j(m_{ES}) \mathcal{P}_j(\Delta E) \mathcal{P}_j(NN) \mathcal{P}_j(m_{\pi^- \pi^-}) \times \mathcal{P}_j(m_{\pi^+ \pi^0}) \mathcal{P}_j(\cos \theta_\rho, |\cos \theta_\rho|).$ (2)

The continuum background $m_{ES}$ and $\Delta E$ PDFs are derived from a 44 fb$^{-1}$ data sample collected 40 MeV below the $Y(4S)$ mass. All other PDFs are derived from simulation. For $m_{ES}$, the PDFs of signal and continuum are parameterized by a crystal ball [13] and an ARGUS function [14], respectively. A relativistic Breit-Wigner function with a $p$-wave Blatt-Weisskopf form factor is used for the $m_{\pi\pi}$ distributions in $\rho^+ \rho^0$ signal events. For the background, $m_{\pi\pi}$ is modeled by a combination of a polynomial and the signal function. Slowly varying distributions ($\Delta E$ for nonpeaking backgrounds and cos$\theta_\rho$) are modeled by polynomials. High statistics histograms are used for the $NN$ distributions. The remaining variables are parameterized with sums of Gaussians; e.g., the $m_{\pi\pi}$ distribution in $f_0$ decays is modeled with a sum of three Gaussians. A large data control sample of $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ ($D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \rho^0$, $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$) events is used to verify that the resolution and peak position of the signal $m_{ES}$ and $\Delta E$ PDFs are accurately simulated.

The fit is applied to the sample of 82 224 selected events. We allow 11 parameters to vary in the fit: five parameters of continuum background PDFs, $f_L$, and five yields as mentioned above. We find $1122 \pm 63$(stat) $\rho^+ \rho^0$ signal events, $50 \pm 30$(stat) $\rho^+ f_0$ events, and $f_L = 0.945 \pm 0.015$(stat). The fit provides a simultaneous determination of the number of $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$ and $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \rho^0$ signal events. These fitted yields are used to determine $A_{CP} = -0.054 \pm 0.055$(stat). Figure 1 shows projections of the $m_{ES}$ and $m_{\pi^- \pi^-}$ distributions. To enhance the visibility of the signal, the events are required to satisfy $L_i(S) = \sum L_i(B)$, which $L_i(S)$ is the sum of the likelihood functions for $\rho^+ \rho^0$ and $\rho^+ f_0$ signal events excluding the PDF of the plotted variable $i$ and $L_i(B)$ is the corresponding sum of all other components.
A possible bias, from unmodeled correlations, is evaluated by applying the ML fit to an ensemble of simulated experiments, where the numbers of signal and background events in each component correspond to those observed or fixed in the fit to data. The continuum events are drawn from the PDFs, while events for all other components are drawn from MC samples. The biases are determined to be $71 \pm 3$ and $-31 \pm 1$ events for the signal $\rho^+ \rho^0$ and $\rho^+ f_0$ yields and $-0.005 \pm 0.001$ for $f_L$, where the uncertainties are statistical. The signal yields and $f_L$ are then corrected by subtracting these biases.

The branching fractions are given by the bias-corrected yields divided by the reconstruction efficiencies and initial number of $B \bar{B}$ pairs $N_{B \bar{B}}$. From the simulations, the $\rho^+ \rho^0$ signal efficiencies including the $\pi^0$ daughter branching fraction [2] are $\epsilon_L = [9.12 \pm 0.02 \text{(stat)}] \%$ and $\epsilon_T = [17.45 \pm 0.03 \text{(stat)}] \%$. The corresponding result for $\rho^+ f_0$ is $[14.20 \pm 0.08 \text{(stat)}] \%$. We assume that the $Y(4S)$ decays to each of $B^+ B^-$ and $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ 50% of the time.

The principal systematic uncertainties associated with the ML fit are listed in Table I. Uncertainties from the fit biases are defined by the quadratic sum of half the biases themselves (for $f_L$, the full bias) and the statistical uncertainties of the biases. The uncertainties related to the signal and nonpeaking $B \bar{B}$ background PDFs are assessed by varying the PDF parameters within their uncertainties. For the signal, the uncertainties of the PDF parameters are determined from the $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ data control sample.

Variations of the $\pi^0 p_1^+, \pi^0 a_1^0$, $f_\rho^+$, and $\eta^' p_0^+$ branching fractions within their measured uncertainties, and of the assumed $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $f_0 \pi^+ \pi^0$ branching fractions by $\pm 100\%$, define the systematic uncertainty associated with the peaking $B \bar{B}$ background. The uncertainty associated with the SxF fraction is assessed by varying the fixed SxF yield by $\pm 10\%$. The other principal sources of systematic uncertainty are the $\pi^0$ reconstruction efficiency (3.0%), the track reconstruction efficiency (1.1%), the $\pi^\pm$ identification efficiency (1.5%), the uncertainty of $N_{B \bar{B}}$ (1.1%), and the selection requirements on $|\cos \theta_T|$ (1.0%). The individual terms are added in quadrature to define the total systematic uncertainties.

We find $B(B^+ \to \rho^+ \rho^0) = (23.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6}$, $f_L = 0.950 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.006$, $\mathcal{A}_{CP} = -0.054 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.010$, and $B(B^+ \to \rho^+ f_0) \times B(f_0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.21 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-6}$, where the first (second) uncertainty is statistical (systematic). The $B(\rho^+ \rho^0)$ result is larger than in Ref. [5], primarily because of the improved method used here to account for correlations in the backgrounds. The significance of the $B(\rho^+ f_0)$ result without (with) systematics is 3.2 (2.2) standard deviations. We find $-0.15 < \mathcal{A}_{CP} < 0.04$ and $B(B^+ \to \rho^+ f_0) \times B(f_0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) < 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$, where these latter results correspond to the 90% confidence level (C.L.) including systematics.

We perform an isospin analysis of $B \to \rho \rho$ decays by minimizing a $\chi^2$ that includes the measured quantities expressed as the lengths of the sides of the isospin triangles [4]. We use the $B^+ \to \rho^+ \rho^0$ branching fraction and $f_L$ results presented here, with the branching fractions, polarizations, and CP-violating parameters in $B^0 \to \rho^+ \rho^0$ [15] and $B^0 \to \rho^0 \rho^0$ [11] decays. We assume the uncertainties to be Gaussian-distributed and neglect potential isospin $I = 1$ and electroweak-loop amplitudes, which are expected to be small [3].

The CMK phase angle $\alpha$ and its correction $\Delta \alpha$ are found to be $\alpha = (92.4^{+6.0}_{-4.6})^\circ$ and $-1.8^\circ < \Delta \alpha < 6.7^\circ$, respectively, at 68% C.L., significant improvements [16] compared to $\alpha = (82.6^{+32.6}_{-6.3})^\circ$ and $|\Delta \alpha| < 15.7^\circ$ [11] obtained with the same $\rho^+ \rho^-$ and $\rho^0 \rho^0$ measurements, but the previous $B^+ \to \rho^+ \rho^0$ results [5], or $\alpha = (91.7 \pm 14.9)^\circ$ from the Belle Collaboration [12]. The improvement is primarily due to the increase in $B(\rho^+ \rho^0)$ compared to our previous result. $B(\rho^+ \rho^0)$ determines the length of the common base of the isospin triangles for the $B$ and $B$ decays. The increase in the base length flattens both triangles, making the four possible solutions [4] nearly degenerate.

In summary, we have improved the precision of the measurements of the $B^+ \to \rho^+ \rho^0$ decay branching and longitudinal polarization fractions, leading to a significant improvement in the determination of the CMK phase angle $\alpha$ based on the favored $B \to \rho \rho$ isospin method. We set a
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