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Introduction

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is crucial for the control of important executive functions such as working memory and behavioral inhibition (Miller and Cohen, 2001). The synchronization of neuronal activity may be critical for the normal processing of these functions, since abnormal oscillatory activities in several frequency bands have been observed in the PFC of psychiatric patients (Başar and Güntekin, 2008), notably patients with schizophrenia (Spencer et al., 2003; Cho et al., 2006; Uhlhaas et al., 2008), who show clear cognitive impairment (Elvevåg and Goldberg, 2000; Harvey et al., 2001).

Specifically, a reduction in the synchronization of the slow and gamma bands has been reported in patients with schizophrenia (Hoffmann et al., 2000; Spencer et al., 2003; Cho et al., 2006). Slow rhythms (<2 Hz) that occur during slow-wave sleep (SWS) are critical for memory consolidation (Stickgold, 2005; Marshall et al., 2006), and are generated intracortically from synchronized neuronal ensembles that oscillate between periods of activity (UP states) and silence (DOWN states) (Steriade et al., 1993). Gamma oscillations (30–80 Hz), on the other hand, provide a temporal structure for cognitive tasks such as attention and sensory processing (Singer, 1999; Howard et al., 2003; Ward, 2003), and are generated by networks of parvalbumin (PV)-expressing FSI (Whittington and Traub, 2003; Bartos et al., 2007). Interestingly, deficits in GABA synthesis in FSI of the PFC are found in schizophrenic patients (Lewis et al., 2005). Despite many atypical antipsychotic drugs and other psychiatric medication target serotonergic receptors (Meltzer, 1999), it is still poorly understood how 5-HT modulates FSI and oscillatory activity in the PFC.

The PFC is densely innervated by serotonergic afferents that play a role in reversal learning and impulsivity (Robbins, 2005). However, the exact role of 5-HT in PFC function is largely unknown. The PFC is highly enriched in the 5-HT receptors 5-HT$ _{1A}$ and 5-HT$ _{2A}$ (Pompeiano et al., 1992, 1994): 5-HT$ _{1A}$-Rs are inhibitory, and are involved in anxiety and learning (Heisler et al., 1998; Parks et al., 1998; Harder and Ridley, 2000), whereas...
5-HT₃ARs are excitatory, and play a role in working memory (Williams et al., 2002). 5-HT₁A Rs and 5-HT₃ ARs are expressed both in pyramidal neurons and GABAergic interneurons (Aznar et al., 2003; Santana et al., 2004; de Almeida and Mengod, 2007). Pyramidal cell discharge in vivo decreases or increases with stimulation of 5-HT₁A Rs or 5-HT₃ARs, respectively (Puig et al., 2003, 2005; Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004). However, recording of interneurons in vivo is challenging, and the modulation that these receptors exert on the activity of FSi is not known.

We recently described the existence of two subpopulations of prefrontal FSi with different activity patterns during SWS: “early” FSi discharge during the first half of UP states, whereas “late” FSi discharge during the second half within UP states (Puig et al., 2008). We investigated how 5-HT modulates the activity of these two populations of FSi and the synchronization of slow and gamma oscillations in the PFC of anesthetized rats. Pharmacology and in situ hybridization histochemistry (ISH) was used to assess to involvement of 5-HT₁A Rs and 5-HT₃ ARs in this modulation.

**Materials and Methods**

These experiments were approved by the Animal Research Committee of the Okazaki National Research Institutes. All efforts were made to minimize both the suffering and number of animals used in this study.

**Animals.** Male Wistar rats (150–350 g) housed in a controlled environment (12 h light–dark cycles) were used. In some experiments VGAT (vesicular GABA transporter)-Venus line A transgenic rats were used to facilitate the identification of FSi: the majority of cortical GABAergic cells in this transgenic rat line are fluorescently labeled (Uematsu et al., 2008). VGAT-Venus rats were generated by Y. Yanagawa, M. Hirabayashi, and Y. Kawaguchi at the National Institute for Physiological Sciences (Okazaki, Japan) with pCS2-Venus provided by A. Miyawaki (RIKEN, Wako, Japan). VGAT-Venus rats are distributed from The National BioResource Project for the Rat in Japan (http://www.anim.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp:80/nbr/default.aspx).

In vivo electrophysiology and electrical stimulations. Rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (initial dose 400 mg/kg, intraperitoneally) and placed in a stereotaxic frame. Supplemental doses of anesthetic (80 mg/kg, i.v.) were administered as needed (usually every ~45 min). Body temperature was maintained at 37°C throughout the experiment with a heating pad. All wound margins and points of contact between the animal and the stereotaxic apparatus were infiltrated with the anesthetic lidocaine, preventing all incidental pain. FSi single-unit activity (n = 40; sampling rate 8 kHz) and local field potentials (LFPs; sampling rate 400 Hz) were recorded from the same electrode placed in the secondary motor cortex (MOs, rostral medial agranular cortex, AP +3.2, L ±1.5, DV −0.5 to 2 mm from bregma) of the PFC of the Spike2 software (Cambridge Electronic Devices). Additionally, four FSi were recorded in the anterior cingulate and prelimbic regions (AP +3.2, L ±0.5, DV −1 to 3.5 mm) of the medial PFC. Unit recordings and LFP signals were filtered online at 0.3–3 kHz and 0.1–200 Hz, respectively. Recordings were implemented with glass pipettes (15–50 ΜΩ) filled with 2% Neurobiotin in 0.5 M NaCl. Electrocorticogram (ECoG) activity (sampling rate 400 Hz) was recorded on the left PFC (AP +5.2, L −2, DV 0 mm) through a metal screw that was inserted through the skull, gently touching the dura mater, and was filtered online at 1.0–200 Hz.

In addition, two stainless steel bipolar stimulating electrodes (California Fine Wire) were implanted in each animal: one in the right dorsal striatum (AP +0.5, L +3, DV −5 mm), and another in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN, AP −7.8, L 0, DV −6.5 mm). Electrodes were lowered and cemented in place with cyanoacrylate glue and dental cement. Stimulations were monophasic square wave pulses of 0.2 ms and 0.1–2 mA at 10 Hz.

In vivo pharmacology. WAY-100635 (5-HT₃AR antagonist; 20–80 μg/kg), ritanserin (5-HT₁A/₁B AR antagonist; 0.5–2 mg/kg) and SB-242084 (5-HT₂CR antagonist; 0.5–2 mg/kg) were obtained from Sigma/RBI.

Drugs were diluted in saline and the pH was corrected. Aliquots were stored at −20°C and thawed just before administration. All drugs were administered intravenously through the femoral vein in cumulative doses. Only one pharmacological experiment was performed in each animal.

**Histology and in situ hybridization histochemistry.** At the end of the recording session, neurons were stained with a juxtaacellular injection of Neurobiotin, using 500 ms cycles of positive current pulses (200 ms on/300 ms off, <8 nA) (Pinault, 1996; Puig et al., 2008). Two to four hours after the labelings, rats were perfused and the brains were removed and placed in fixative overnight. The brains were then sliced, and the position of the stimulating electrodes verified with Nissl staining. Frontal sections were processed for Neurobiotin (visualized with Alexa 350 avidin) and PV (visualized with Alexa 594 secondary antibody) immunoreactivity.

Double and triple ISH experiments were performed following protocols described previously (Watakabe et al., 2007). An example of the procedure used to quantify double-labeled cells is shown in supplemental Figure S1 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The probes for 5-HT₁A Rs, 5-HT₂ARs, and 5-HT₂CRs were cloned by RT-PCR using the primers listed in supplemental Table S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material. Two probes for 5-HT₁A Rs and one probe for 5-HT₂ARs and 5-HT₂CRs were used.

**Data and statistical analysis.** The start and end of UP states were estimated by measuring changes in the 20–100 Hz component of the LFPs using the Rayleigh test (MathWorks) following Mukovski et al., 2007 (a brief explanation is provided in Fig. 1 legend). The peaks of the power spectra (root mean square amplitude) were measured in Spike2. Spectrograms were constructed from raw power spectral density (PSD) (see Fig. 1) or from normalized PSD (in decibels) (see Figs. 2–4) in 100 ms bins and 4096 FFT using NeuroExplorer (Nex Technologies), and were smoothed with a Gaussian filter (10 ms sliding window). For each cell, we built phase histograms (20° bin) of spike-timing relative to gamma troughs. Gamma troughs were detected with the PTELV script on the digitally filtered gamma band in Spike2. Firing probabilities were calculated by normalizing the number of spikes in each bin by the total number of cycles, and firing was considered modulated by the oscillation if p < 0.05 using the Rayleigh test. From the phase histograms we computed the mean angle (preferred phase) and the depth of the modulation (r), which was the length of the mean vector sum of all phase angles, normalized by the number of spikes/angle (Zar, 1999).

Responses of neurons to DRN electrical stimulation were characterized by measuring the delay, duration, and magnitude from peristimulus time histograms (2 ms bin width) following criteria described previously (Hajós et al., 1998; Puig et al., 2005). Firing rates during inhibitory/excitatory responses are given as the percentage of firing rate during the response with respect to baseline (200 ms before the stimulus). Peristimulus histograms were built from 5 min epochs (except when stated) during baseline, and after the administration of the drug (always omitting the first minute after injection).

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at a 95% confidence level (two-tailed).

**Results**

**Serotonin modulates slow and gamma oscillations in the PFC**

We first investigated the modulation of cortical synchrony by endogenous 5-HT. The DRN was directly stimulated, inducing a massive release of 5-HT in the PFC (McQuade and Sharp, 1995; Gartside et al., 2000). Consequences of this release on slow and gamma oscillations recorded through LFPs were examined during anesthesia-induced SWS (“SWS-like states”). Experiments were performed after the administration of anesthetic to preclude effects of natural awakening.

LFP recordings were implemented in the secondary motor area (MOs) within the PFC: the MOs is densely innervated by serotonergic afferents of the DRN (Hoover and Vertes, 2007), and it does not project back to the raphe nuclei (Gabbott et al., 2006).
DRN stimulation (1 mA)
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DOWN-state cycles appeared more irregular and of shorter duration (Fig. 1B), indicating that 5-HT was altering cortical synchrony. The peak of the LFP power spectra (the predominant frequency) significantly increased from 0.74 ± 0.1 to 0.94 ± 0.1 Hz (Fig. 1C, left) (\( p = 3.7 \times 10^{-3} \), \( n = 21 \) rats, paired \( t \) test), suggesting that the stimulations were imposing their frequency onto the cortical network. Increasing the release of 5-HT into the cortex by augmenting the intensity of the stimulations up to 2 mA reliably imposed a frequency of 1 Hz on slow waves (Fig. 1C, right).

Stimulations delivered during DOWN states systematically promoted UP states within 29.6 ± 7.4 ms (average of 10, \( n = 10 \)

Low-frequency stimulation of the DRN

Serotonergic neurons in the DRN discharge slowly and rhythmically at ~1 spike/s under chloral hydrate anesthesia (Celada et al., 2001). We stimulated electrically the DRN at 1 Hz to synchronize the spiking of serotonergic neurons and induce a massive release of 5-HT in projection areas, including the PFC.

Stimulation of the DRN at 1 mA consistently and reversibly increased the frequency of slow waves in the PFC (Fig. 1A): UP/DOWN-state cycles appeared more irregular and of shorter
Serotonin modulates slow and gamma oscillations via 5-HT1ARs and 5-HT2ARs

In the PFC, 5-HT1ARs and 5-HT2ARs are the most abundantly expressed serotonergic receptors: 5-HT1ARs are inhibitory (coupled to G<sub>i</sub>) and 5-HT2ARs are excitatory (coupled to G<sub>q</sub>). We examined the effects of a pharmacological blockade of these receptors on the power of slow and gamma waves in the PFC. The DRN was not stimulated during these experiments.

Intravenous administration of the selective 5-HT1AR antagonist WAY-100635 (WAY) did not change the power of low-frequency oscillations (n = 9 rats, 40 µg/kg, paired t test) (Fig. 3A). In contrast, blockade of 5-HT2ARs by ritanserin (RIT) dramatically decreased slow-wave power (n = 7 rats, 1 mg/kg) (Fig. 3A). RIT has a higher affinity for 5-HT2ARs than for 5-HT1ARs (Hoyer, 1988; Jenck et al., 1993). However, the responses mediated by these two receptors cannot be easily discriminated using RIT. Previous studies have shown that the 5-HT2AR antagonist SB-242084 (SB) has 100-fold more selectivity for 5-HT2ARs than for 5-HT1ARs (Kennett et al., 1997), and
that at a dose of 1 mg/kg it has no significant occupancy of 5-HT₂ARs in the rat brain in vivo (Boothman et al., 2006). We therefore used SB to investigate the involvement of 5-HT₂ARs in the responses mediated by RIT. SB did not alter the power of slow oscillations (n = 8 rats) either at 1 or 2 mg/kg (Fig. 3A), suggesting a role for 5-HT₂ARs in RIT-induced responses. Figure 3B provides examples (marked with arrows in Fig. 3A) of the effects of WAY, RIT, and SB on the slow band using time–frequency analysis (normalized PSDs in decibels), and corresponding quantification of the power. Vertical arrows point to the start of the injections. RIT markedly decreases the power of slow waves. Since SB does not alter slow-wave power, the effects of RIT are likely mediated by 5-HT₂ARs. C, Representative 10 s traces of the LFPs from the examples shown in B. Analysis of UP and DOWN cycles reveals that RIT reduces the number, duration, and amplitude of DOWN states, markedly augmenting UP-state potentials. This leads to a desynchronization of slow waves. Proces. LFP, LFP processed as in Figure 1B. Red line indicates the calculated threshold between UP and DOWN states.

Altogether, these data suggest that the modulation exerted by 5-HT on slow oscillatory activity is primarily mediated by 5-HT₁ARs. They also suggest that both 5-HT₁ARs and 5-HT₂ARs, but not 5-HT₂CRs, are involved in the regulation of gamma rhythms during SWS.

Serotonin induces 5-HT₁AR-mediated inhibitions and 5-HT₂AR-mediated activations in “early” and “late” FSi in vivo

Since FSi are involved in the generation of gamma oscillations, we asked whether 5-HT regulates the activity of FSi in the PFC through 5-HT₁ARs and 5-HT₂ARs. To facilitate the identification of FSi, unit recordings paired with juxtacellular injections of Neurobiotin were implemented in VGAT-Venus transgenic rats. Electrophysiological and immunohistochemical identification of the FSi presented in this study is provided in a previous paper (Puig et al., 2008). Serotonergic modulation of FSi activity was assessed by electrical stimulation of the DRN at 1 Hz. Importantly, the level of anesthesia was manipulated so that the ECoG looked similar to that in SWS states (“SWS-like states,” induced by deep anesthesia) or awakening (“waking-like states” or “de-synchronized states,” induced by light anesthesia, where slow waves are absent) (Fig. 1A).

The effects of DRN stimulation were assessed in 31 FSi during SWS-like states. The stimulations induced transient inhibitions in the majority of FSi recorded (19 of 31, 61.3%) (Table 1). Cessation of firing was evident only when the DRN was stimulated during UP states, since cells do not discharge during hyperpolarizing DOWN states (Steriade et al., 1993) (Fig. 5A, top).


Additionally, 3 units were activated (9.7%) (Fig. 5B, top) and 2 more cells exhibited mixed responses, with activations preceded by short-duration inhibitions (6.5%) (supplemental Fig. S3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Another 7 neurons were not affected by DRN stimulation (22.5%; data not shown).

For 13 additional FSi the effects of DRN stimulation were assessed during waking-like states, epochs of light anesthesia that occur spontaneously during the transition between anesthetized states and alertness. During these epochs animals did not show any sign of consciousness despite having desynchronized LFPs. Immediately after the experiments, rats were given a supplemental dose of anesthetic that generated slow oscillations within ~10–20 s (Fig. 1A). During waking-like states, eight FSi (8 of 13, 61.5%) were inhibited by DRN stimulation, four units were excited (30.8%) and another displayed a mixed response (7.7%; Table 1). A map of the stimulation sites in the DRN that elicited inhibitions, excitations, mixed responses, and no responses in prefrontal FSi is shown in supplemental Figure S2 (available at
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Figure 3. Modulation of slow oscillations by 5-HT₁ARs. A, Scatter plots of the effects of WAY-100635 (WAY), ritanserin (RIT), and SB-242084 (SB) on the power of slow oscillations (comparison of 30 s epochs before and after drug injection). Arrows point to the examples shown in B and C, B, Examples of the effects of WAY, RIT, and SB on the power of slow waves by time–frequency analysis (normalized PSDs in decibels), and corresponding quantification of the power. Vertical arrows point to the start of the injections. RIT markedly decreases the power of slow waves. Since SB does not alter slow-wave power, the effects of RIT are likely mediated by 5-HT₁ARs. C, Representative 10 s traces of the LFPs from the examples shown in B. Analysis of UP and DOWN cycles reveals that RIT reduces the number, duration, and amplitude of DOWN states, markedly augmenting UP-state potentials. This leads to a desynchronization of slow waves. Proces. LFP, LFP processed as in Figure 1B. Red line indicates the calculated threshold between UP and DOWN states.
through 5-HT2ARs and not 5-HT2CRs. Finally, we tried to characterize pharmacologically the only three mixed responses revealed six of the nine inhibitions tested (Fig. 5A). WAY increased, RIT decreased, and SB did not alter the power of gamma oscillations. In summary, the in vivo electrophysiological data show that 5-HT can inhibit, activate, or induce mixed responses in subpopulations of FSi of the PFC via 5-HT1ARs and 5-HT2ARs. Yet, a predominance of 5-HT1AR-mediated inhibitions both during SWS-like and waking-like states indicates that overall 5-HT exerts a potent inhibitory drive on cortical FSi activity. However, because “late” FSi are proportionally more activated by DRN stimulation than “early” FSi, 5-HT might selectively promote FSi

**Figure 4.** Distinct modulation of cortical gamma oscillations by 5-HT1AR and 5-HT2AR antagonists. A. Scatter plots of the effects of WAY, RIT, and SB on the power of gamma oscillations (comparison of 30 s epochs before and after drug injections). Arrows point to the effects shown in B. WAY increased, RIT decreased, and SB did not alter the power of gamma oscillations. B. Examples of the effects of WAY, RIT, and SB on the power of gamma waves by time–frequency analysis (normalized PSDs in decibels), and corresponding quantification of the power. Vertical arrows point to the start of the injections.

**Table 1.** Number of “early” and “late” FSi that exhibit the distinct responses evoked by the stimulation of the DRN during SWS-like and waking-like states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SWS-like states</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition (count)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation (count)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (count)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effect (count)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (count)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikes/s during UP states</td>
<td>5.2 ± 5</td>
<td>4.9 ± 4</td>
<td>5.2 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waking-like states</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition (count)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitation (count)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (count)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effect (count)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (count)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikes/s</td>
<td>1.9 ± 3</td>
<td>16 ± 16***</td>
<td>5.6 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All column includes units that could not be classified in either pattern. Data are mean ± SD. ***p < 0.0004.

**In vivo pharmacology**

Systemic administration of WAY (20–80 μg/kg, i.v.) fully reversed six of the nine inhibitions tested (Fig. 5A, bottom), and partially blocked the other three (from an initial duration of 126 ± 37 ms to 35 ± 15 ms), suggesting that all the responses were (fully or partially) mediated by 5-HT1A Rs. On the other hand, RIT (0.5–2 mg/kg, i.v.) and SB (0.5–2 mg/kg, i.v.) were used to test for the involvement of 5-HT1A Rs and 5-HT2A Rs in the excitatory responses, respectively. RIT blocked excitation in the three units tested (Fig. 5B, bottom); firing rates during activation dropped from 435 ± 190% to 164 ± 47% of baseline. On the other hand, administration of SB did not reverse the activation in two FSi excited by DRN stimulation (up to 2 mg/kg; from 417 ± 83% to 482 ± 98% of baseline activity) (Fig. 5C). These results suggest that FSi in the PFC are predominately activated through 5-HT1A Rs and not 5-HT2A Rs. Finally, we tried to characterize pharmacologically the only three mixed responses recorded. In two of these neurons the inhibition was blocked by WAY (supplemental Fig. S3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material); for the third one, the activation was blocked by RIT. This suggests that 5-HT1A Rs and 5-HT2A Rs could be functionally coexpressed in a small population of FSi.

In summary, the in vivo electrophysiological data show that 5-HT can inhibit, activate, or induce mixed responses in subpopulations of FSi of the PFC via 5-HT1A Rs and 5-HT2A Rs. Yet, a predominance of 5-HT1A R-mediated inhibitions both during SWS-like and waking-like states indicates that overall 5-HT exerts a potent inhibitory drive on cortical FSi activity. However, because “late” FSi are proportionally more activated by DRN stimulation than “early” FSi, 5-HT might selectively promote FSi.
Inhibitions were mediated by 5-HT1ARs and activations by 5-HT2A/2CRs. Zero is time of DRN stimulation. Peristimulus (right). Vertical bar is 0.5 mV. Bottom, Raster plots and corresponding peristimulus histograms of the units shown above. Delivered during UP states induced transient inactivations in many FSi recorded (left), while a subset of FSi were activated (up to 2 mg/kg), suggesting that it was 5-HT2AR-mediated. Lines are 3 min epochs. Inset, Note the presence of a short-delay stimulation during baseline (black line), and after the administration of SB (gray line). SB failed to reverse the activation considered (Varga et al., 2009). This unit was confirmed to be PV positive.

Alternatively, a glutamatergic response induced by 5-HT/glutamate corelease from serotonergic terminals should also be feedforward inputs from cortico-raphe pyramidal neurons activated antidromically from the DRN (Puig et al., 2008). PFC (see Materials and Methods). Because the medial PFC projects densely to the DRN, this response may be due to feedforward inputs from cortico-raphe pyramidal neurons activated antidromically from the DRN (Puig et al., 2008). Alternatively, a glutamatergic response induced by 5-HT/glutamate corelease from serotonergic terminals should also be considered (Varga et al., 2009). This unit was confirmed to be PV positive.

Effects of 5-HT1AR and 5-HT2A/2CR antagonists on the baseline firing rate of FSI and their synchronization to gamma waves

Next, we asked whether 5-HT regulates the gamma band by modulating the activity of FSI expressing 5-HT1ARs and 5-HT2ARs. To gain insight on this, we first examined the effects of the 5-HT1AR and 5-HT2A/2CR antagonists WAY and RIT on the activity of FSI measured during UP states, in SWS-like periods where the DRN was not stimulated. The baseline firing rate of FSI was independent of direction of serotonergic modulation of activity (mean firing rate was 7.64 ± 5.37 spikes/s for neurons inhibited, n = 8, and 7.95 ± 5.18 spikes/s for neurons excited by DRN stimulation, n = 5; p = 0.92).

In 7 of 8 units that showed 5-HT1AR-mediated inhibitions after DRN stimulation (6 “early” and 2 “late” FSI) a consistent increase of spiking was noted after the administration of WAY (baseline firing rate was 7.64 ± 5.37 Hz and 12.35 ± 8.78 Hz after WAY injection; p = 0.04, n = 8, paired t test) (Fig. 6A). Therefore, WAY increased overall activity in a subset of FSI, likely those expressing 5-HT1ARs, which could account for the increase in amplitude of gamma oscillations observed after the administration of this drug (Fig. 4). More diverse effects of RIT were noted on the activity of FSI that exhibited putative 5-HT2AR-mediated activations after DRN stimulation. Spiking in one unit was clearly diminished (an “early” FSI) whereas in the other two units it was augmented (both cells displayed “late” pattern) (Fig. 6A). Thus, the decrease in the power of gamma waves observed after the injection of RIT (Fig. 4) cannot be explained purely by a decrease in the activity of 5-HT2AR-expressing FSI. Rather, the change in firing rate of the neurons was more dependent on their pattern of activity during waking-like states (“early” FSI decrease and “late” FSI increase spiking), since RIT systematically desynchronizes cortical slow waves.

We therefore reasoned that 5-HT could be regulating the amplitude of cortical gamma waves by modulating the way FSI are synchronized to the local network. To
test this we compared the preferred phase angles at which individual FSi fired during gamma cycles, and their depth of modulation, before and after administration of WAY or RIT. The depth of modulation (\(r\)) is a quantification of the strength at which a neuron is coupled to a specific oscillation: \(r\) can range from 0 (no coupling; i.e., spikes are uniformly distributed across all phases within a cycle) to 1 (best coupling; i.e., spikes are locked to the same phase of the oscillation). Blockade of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs with WAY did not change the preferred phase at which FSi fired within gamma cycles (\(p > 0.05, n = 6\), Watson–Williams test), but significantly increased the depth of their modulation (\(p = 0.016, n = 6\)) [Fig. 6B (top), C]. This indicates that WAY sharpened the coupling of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)-expressing FSi to gamma waves, possibly reflecting an increase in their synchronization to the gamma network. In contrast, blockade of 5-HT\(_{2A}\)/\(_{2C}\)-Rs by RIT uncoupled the two “late” units to gamma cycles, despite the increase in their firing rate, and left unaltered the coupling of the neuron that showed decreased activity (\(n = 3\)) [Fig. 6B (bottom), C].

Together, these observations suggest that 5-HT regulates the amplitude of cortical gamma waves by modulating the strength at which 5-HT\(_{1A}\)-R- and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)-R-expressing FSi are synchronized to gamma oscillations.

5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs, 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs, and 5-HT\(_{2C}\)Rs are differentially expressed in parvalbumin-positive cells in the PFC

Finally, we quantified the expression of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs, 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs, and 5-HT\(_{2C}\)Rs in FSi of the rat MOs by performing double and triple fluorescence (ISH) of mRNAs for these receptors and for parvalbumin (PV), a calcium-binding protein that is selectively expressed in FSi (Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997; Uematsu et al., 2008).

mRNAs for 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs were found in 35.8 ± 7.4% and 23.3 ± 6.4% of PV-expressing neurons (average of all layers), respectively (Fig. 7A, B). However, almost all 5-HT\(_{2C}\)-R-positive cells appeared to be PV negative. Only 2.0 ± 1.4% of PV-positive cells showed expression of 5-HT\(_{2C}\)R mRNA, and in all cases the level of the signal was very low (Fig. 7C). To corroborate these latter results, a double ISH of 5-HT\(_{2C}\)R mRNA and the pyramidal cell marker VGlut1 (vesicular glutamate transporter type 1) was carried out. Most 5-HT\(_{2C}\)-R-positive neurons coexpressed the VGlut1 transcript, demonstrating that 5-HT\(_{2C}\)Rs are expressed primarily in excitatory neurons (Fig. 7D).

In examining the laminar distribution of these receptors, we found a tendency for PV- and 5-HT\(_{1A}\)-R-expressing cells to localize in layers 2–5, with a preference for layer 5 (42% in layers 2/3 vs 52% in layer 5), and few cells in the upper part of layer 6 (6%) (supplemental Fig. S1 available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). One-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of layer in the distribution of neurons expressing PV and 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs when comparing percentage of double-labeled cells across layers (\(F_{(2,6)} = 12.7, p < 0.01\)). The percentage of PV- and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)R mRNA-coexpressing cells was also significantly different across layers (36% in layers 2/3, 60% in layer 5, and 4% in layer 6; \(F_{(2,6)} = 19.3, p < 0.001\)). Thus, PV-positive neurons expressing 5-HT\(_{1A}\)R or 5-HT\(_{2A}\)R mRNAs are enriched in layer 5 and much less abundant in layer 6. A quantification of the percentage of double labeled neurons within layers 2/3, 5, and 6 is provided in Table 3.

Recent studies have shown that ~60% of pyramidal neurons in the MOs express 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs or 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs (Santana et al., 2004), and that many of these actually coexpress both receptors (Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004). Interestingly, our electrophysiological results suggested that the small population of FSi that display mixed responses following DRN stimulation may coexpress both receptors. To examine this, we performed triple ISH of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)-R, 5-HT\(_{2A}\)-R, and PV mRNAs. We observed extensive co-localization of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)-R and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)-R mRNAs in many cells, consistent with earlier reports (Martin-Ruiz et al., 2001; Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004). However, only few of these cells also contained PV mRNA (supplemental Fig. S3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Overall, the ISH data indicate that, unlike pyramidal neurons, very few FSi in the MOs express 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs, and that 5-HT\(_{1A}\)Rs and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)Rs are largely distributed in distinct subpopulations

### Table 2. Characteristics of the inhibitory and excitatory responses evoked by the stimulation of the DRN in “early” and “late” FSi during SWS-like states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (n)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (ms)</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
<td>22 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (ms)</td>
<td>111 ± 91</td>
<td>105 ± 29</td>
<td>107 ± 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of prestimulus firing rate during the inhibition*</td>
<td>11 ± 11</td>
<td>19 ± 10</td>
<td>14 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (n)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (ms)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>85 ± 5</td>
<td>77 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (ms)</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>357 ± 10</td>
<td>306 ± 88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of prestimulus firing rate during the excitation*</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>273 ± 78</td>
<td>266 ± 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All column includes units that could not be classified in either pattern. *Prestimulus firing rates are mean firing rates during the 200 ms before the stimulus. Data are mean ± 50.

### Figure 6. Effects of 5-HT\(_{1A}\)R and 5-HT\(_{2A}\)R antagonists on FSi activity and synchronization to gamma waves

A. Scatter plot of firing rates before and after injection of WAY (filled circles) and RIT (crosses). Firing rates were measured from UP states detected in 1 ms segments. B. Examples of the effects of WAY and RIT on the coupling of two distinct FSi to gamma waves. Shown are firing probability distributions around gamma troughs before and after drug injection. Two gamma cycles are shown for clarity. Top, WAY did not change the preferred firing phase (\(\phi\); marked with an arrowhead), but increased the depth of the modulation (\(r\), “sharpening” the distribution). Bottom, RIT uncoupled this unit to gamma waves (\(p < 0.05\), \(p\) values are from the Rayleigh Test).

Bin = 20°. C. Scatter plot of the depth of modulation to gamma waves before and after the administration of WAY (filled circles) or RIT (crosses). RIT uncoupled 2 of the 3 units tested, for which a depth of modulation could not be computed.
Serotonin modulates the frequency, amplitude, and synchronization of slow oscillations in the PFC

Electrical stimulation of the DRN at physiological rates, by augmenting the release of endogenous 5-HT in cortical regions (Gartside et al., 2000), consistently increase the frequency and reduce the amplitude of slow waves in the PFC during anesthesia-induced SWS. These effects are triggered by a rapid promotion of UP states, with latencies (~30 ms) consistent with the conduction velocity of serotonergic axons projecting to the PFC (~24 ms) (Puig et al., 2005). Thus, serotonergic neurons in the DRN may directly regulate the frequency and amplitude of slow waves during SWS. Importantly, we cannot rule out the involvement of glutamatergic raphe-cortex inputs: many neurons in the DRN express VGluT3 (Gras et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2009; Varga et al., 2009), and there is evidence of a fast (~10 ms) AMPA/NMDA-mediated synaptic transmission in the hippocampus involving 5-HT/VGluT3-containing terminals from the MRN (Varga et al., 2009). This transmission, however, is too fast to explain the shifts from DOWN to UP states described here.

Since UP states reflect a membrane depolarization of neurons (Steriade et al., 1993), an excitatory receptor might mediate the increase of UP-state potentials observed during the stimulations. Despite we cannot preclude the involvement of glutamate, it is likely that cortical 5-HT2ARs are largely responsible for these effects. 5-HT2ARs are abundantly expressed by prefrontal pyramidal neurons (Willis et al., 1997; Martín-Ruiz et al., 2001; Santana et al., 2004), and stimulation of 5-HT2A/2CRs with the agonist and hallucinogen DOI impairs synchronization of slow waves in the PFC, an effect that is reversed by the selective 5-HT2AR antagonist M100907 (Celada et al., 2008). Remarkably, blockade of 5-HT2A/2CRs by RIT, but not blockade of 5-HT2CRs by SB, also desynchronizes slow waves by increasing UP-state potentials. Because 5-HT2ARs are also expressed by GABAergic interneurons in the PFC (Santana et al., 2004; this study), a reduction in the activity of cortical interneurons such as “early” FSi with RIT would disinhibit pyramidal cells, possibly facilitating UP state initiation. Low density of 5-HT2ARs is found in GABAergic interneurons of the DRN (Pompeiano et al., 1994; Fay and Kubin, 2000), so it is plausible that RIT indirectly disinhibits 5-HT neurons by inactivating local GABA inputs, further promoting the release of 5-HT in the PFC.

Thus, pharmacological stimulation or blockade of 5-HT2ARs with DOI and RIT alter slow rhythms in the PFC, suggesting that a balanced activation of 5-HT2ARs is critical for a stable synchronization of slow waves. 5-HT2ARs may be altered in schizophrenia: atypical antipsychotics are potent 5-HT2AR antagonists whereas some hallucinogens are 5-HT2AR agonists (Meltzer, 1999; Meltzer and Huang, 2008). Interestingly, a reduction of slow-wave activity has been detected in patients with schizo-

Table 3. Quantification of PV-positive neurons expressing 5-HT1A R and 5-HT2AR mRNAs within layers of the MoS by double ISH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer (%)</th>
<th>5-HT1A mRNA</th>
<th>5-HT2A mRNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>46 ± 14</td>
<td>20 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35 ± 10</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12 ± 11</td>
<td>13 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>36 ± 7</td>
<td>23 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD.
phrenia during sleep (Hoffmann et al., 2000). Our results, together with previous observations, suggest that a potential source of this decrease could be an unbalanced stimulation of cortical 5-HT_{1A}Rs.

**Serotonin downregulates neuronal activity in the PFC through 5-HT_{1A}Rs**

Serotonin predominantly (66%) downregulates pyramidal cell activity in vivo via stimulation of 5-HT_{1A}Rs (Puig et al., 2003, 2005). Similarly, the majority (~61%) of responses elicited in FSi by DRN stimulation are inhibitions. This agrees with a recent *in vitro* study reporting that 5-HT reduces GABA release from cortical FSi (Kruglikov and Rudy, 2008). The inhibitions have latencies (~22 ms) that are consistent with the conduction velocity of serotonergic axons, and are fully or partially blocked by WAY, indicating that they are mediated by 5-HT_{1A}Rs. The initial 5-HT_{1A}-R-independent component of some of the inhibitions is possibly GABA-mediated, either by the proposed raphe-cortex GABAergic projection (Puig et al., 2005), or by intracortical inhibitory inputs.

Few FSI are activated by DRN stimulation (~10%), challenging the pharmacological characterization. The involvement of 5-HT_{1A}Rs, and not 5-HT_{2A}Rs, is suggested by the blockade of the activations by RIT and the lack of effect of SB. Moreover, the delay of the activations (~77 ms) is similar to that of 5-HT_{2A}-mediated excitations in pyramidal neurons (~71 ms; Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004; Puig et al., 2005), and too long for either glutamatergic- (~10 ms) or 5-HT_{2A}-R (~36 ms) mediated excitatory responses (Puig et al., 2004, 2008; Varga et al., 2009).

ISH experiments support the electrophysiological data by showing that FSI in the MOs express 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs, but not 5-HT_{2C}Rs. In fact, most 5-HT_{2C}-R-expressing cells are excitatory, in disagreement with a recent study showing that ~25% of FSI in the PFC are immunopositive for 5-HT_{2C}Rs (Liu et al., 2007). The ISH also shows that there are two distinct populations of FSI expressing 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs. There is a clear disparity between the percentage of PV-5-HT_{1A}Rs mRNA-expressing cells (~36%) and the inhibitory responses evoked by DRN stimulations (~61%). Two reasons may account for this: (1) limited detection levels for the 5-HT_{1A}R probes, and (2) the fact that many FSI recorded during SWS-like states display an “early” pattern, and “early” FSI are proportionally more excited by 5-HT than “late” FSI. We hypothesize that during alertness more “late” FSI are active, so the number of FSI excited (5-HT_{2C}-R-expressing) and inhibited (5-HT_{1A}-R-expressing) by 5-HT might be more balanced (Table 1). Nevertheless, our results differ with a previous study reporting a very high expression of 5-HT_{1A}R-immunoreactivity in PV-containing neurons (85–99%; Aznar et al., 2003).

**Dual action of serotonin on pyramidal networks of the PFC**

UP and DOWN states reflect alternating periods of membrane depolarization and hyperpolarization of large neuronal ensembles (Contreras and Steriade, 1995; Mukovskiy et al., 2007). In this study we show that DRN stimulations increase UP-state potentials in the LFPs, suggesting that 5-HT induces an overall membrane depolarization in many cortical pyramidal neurons. However, 5-HT exerts a potent inhibitory modulation of pyramidal activity (Puig et al., 2003, 2005). A plausible explanation for this paradox is the distinct distribution of 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs within pyramidal neurons: 5-HT_{1A}Rs are densely located on the axon initial segment (DeFelipe et al., 2001), where they downregulate action potential generation; in contrast, 5-HT_{2A}Rs are abundant on the apical dendrites (Jakab and Goldman-Rakic, 1998), where they increase EPSCs (Aghajanian and Marek, 1999). Thus, 5-HT_{1A}Rs are located downstream of 5-HT_{2A}Rs in the process of spike generation, explaining why the overall effect of 5-HT on cortical pyramidal activity is inhibitory despite many pyramidal neurons coexpress 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs (Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004). LFPs reflect the summation of postsynaptic potentials in dendrites and are independent of action potential generation, whereas spiking activity represents the results of local processing (Nielsen et al., 2006; Monosov et al., 2008). Our results suggest that 5-HT may play a dual action on cortical pyramidal networks by enhancing synaptic inputs through 5-HT_{2A}Rs located on pyramidal dendrites, while downregulating spiking activity at the axon level through 5-HT_{1A}R stimulation.

**Serotonin modulates gamma oscillations through 5-HT_{1A}- and 5-HT_{2A}-expressing FSI**

Networks of FSI generate gamma oscillations (Whittington and Traub, 2003; Bartos et al., 2007), and the manipulation of FSI activity *in vivo* modulates the amplitude of gamma waves (Cardin et al., 2009). Here we show that many FSI express 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs, providing a substrate by which 5-HT can regulate gamma oscillations.

WAY increases both the amplitude of gamma oscillations and the discharge rate of 5-HT_{1A}-R-expressing FSI, while sharpening the synchronization of these neurons to gamma cycles. Thus, 5-HT may directly regulate the amplitude of gamma waves through 5-HT_{1A}-R-expressing FSI. WAY also increases pyramidal activity (Amargós-Bosch et al., 2004), promoting the interplay between the pyramidal and the FSI networks to further enhance gamma oscillations. Moreover, RIT, but not SB, reduces the amplitude of gamma waves and decouples some putative 5-HT_{2A}-R-expressing FSI from gamma cycles. This effect, combined with a downregulation of pyramidal excitatory currents, may desynchronize the FSI network. A direct serotonergic regulation of the amplitude of gamma oscillations by 5-HT_{1A}-R- and 5-HT_{2A}-R-expressing FSI is also suggested by the fact that very few FSI express 5-HT_{2C}Rs, and that blockade of 5-HT_{2C}Rs by SB does not modify the amplitude of gamma waves.

Importantly, the administration of the antagonists was systemic, so we cannot disregard the possibility that these effects originate in subcortical structures. However, this is unlikely because gamma oscillations are generated locally in the cortex (Steriade et al., 1996; Sirota et al., 2008), where levels of postsynaptic 5-HT_{1A}Rs and 5-HT_{2A}Rs are very high (Pompeiano et al., 1992, 1994).

Because most FSI and pyramidal neurons in the PFC are inhibited by DRN stimulation during SWS-like states, overall 5-HT may downregulate cortical gamma oscillations. This is precisely what we observe after high-frequency stimulation of the DRN. Yet, during waking-like states a larger proportion of FSI is activated. This suggests that 5-HT increases the spiking of many FSI during alertness, balancing the activation of 5-HT_{1A}-R- and 5-HT_{2A}-R-expressing FSI, and allowing the serotonergic system to finely tune the amplitude of gamma oscillations during cognitive tasks.
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