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Differential Gene Expression in the Developing Lateral Geniculate Nucleus and Medial Geniculate Nucleus Reveals Novel Roles for Zic4 and Foxp2 in Visual and Auditory Pathway Development
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Primary sensory nuclei of the thalamus process and relay parallel channels of sensory input into the cortex. The developmental processes by which these nuclei acquire distinct functional roles are not well understood. To identify novel groups of genes with a potential role in differentiating two adjacent sensory nuclei, we performed a microarray screen comparing perinatal gene expression in the principal auditory relay nucleus, the medial geniculate nucleus (MGN), and principal visual relay nucleus, the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN). We discovered and confirmed groups of highly ranked, differentially expressed genes with qRT-PCR and in situ hybridization. A functional role for Zic4, a transcription factor highly enriched in the LGN, was investigated using Zic4-null mice, which were found to have changes in topographic patterning of retinogeniculate projections. Foxp2, a transcriptional repressor expressed strongly in the MGN, was found to be positively regulated by activity in the MGN. These findings identify roles for two differentially expressed genes, Zic4 and Foxp2, in visual and auditory pathway development. Finally, to test whether modality-specific patterns of gene expression are influenced by extrinsic patterns of input, we performed an additional microarray screen comparing the normal MGN to “rewired” MGN, in which normal auditory afferents are ablated and novel retinal inputs innervate the MGN. Data from this screen indicate that rewired MGN acquires some patterns of gene expression that are present in the developing LGN, including an upregulation of Zic4 expression, as well as novel patterns of expression which may represent unique processes of cross-modal plasticity.

Introduction

Sensory information is processed along pathways comprised of modality-specific brain regions (Kiecker and Lumsden, 2005; Sur and Rubenstein, 2005; Lim and Golden, 2007). These regions arise during embryogenesis, when signaling centers induce graded patterns of gene expression to instruct local differentiation programs (Figdor and Stern, 1993; Ragsdale and Grove, 2001; O’Leary and Nakagawa, 2002; Grove and Fukuchi-Shimogori, 2003; Shimogori et al., 2004). The mechanisms linking early patterning events and later processes of functional differentiation are not well understood in the dorsal thalamus, the principal relay and processing center for all incoming sensory information to the cortex except for olfaction (Jones, 2007).

The lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) and medial geniculate nucleus (MGN) are primary sensory thalamic nuclei, receiving visual and auditory afferents from the retina and inferior colliculus (IC), respectively, which in turn send respective projections to the primary visual cortex (V1) and primary auditory cortex (A1) (Fig. 1A). They parcellate as neighbors in relative dorsocaudal and ventroanterior positions (Kiecker and Lumsden, 2004), which likely affect their exposure to molecular signals during development and subsequent patterns of gene expression (Kataoka and Shimogori, 2008; Vue et al., 2009).

To identify genetic programs that contribute to the functional specification of the LGN and MGN, we performed a gene microarray screen comparing LGN and MGN at postnatal day 0 (P0) and P5, a time after structural parcellation has occurred but during afferent ingrowth and continued cellular differentiation and synapse formation (Jones, 2007). To test the sensitivity of our screen in isolating genes with a sensory-specific role in thalamic differentiation, we characterized functional roles of two novel candidates, the zinc-finger transcription factor, Zic4, and the transcriptional repressor, Foxp2, in visual and auditory pathway development, respectively.

A persistent question in developmental neurobiology is the extent to which intrinsic lineage-derived programs and extrinsic
**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Wild-type mice of background strain 129/SvEv were used for the microarray analysis. *Slc6a4-null* mice had been generated previously and were viable and fertile. *Slc6a4-null* mice were generated previously and was sufficient for rewiring in the absence of IC ablation.

**Primary visual and auditory sensory pathways to the cortex.** A, Retinal ganglion cells project to the LGN, which in turn projects to V1. Auditory afferents from the cochlea terminate in the cochlear nucleus (CN) of the brainstem, which then innervates the IC. Cells from the IC project to the MGN, which in turn projects to A1. B, Dorsal view of the neonatal thalamus (the cortex has been peeled off), red arrow, LGN; blue arrow, MGN; Th, thalamus. Scale bar, 1 mm. Colored lines show coronal planes of section for Nissl stains and point to schematics of coronal sections featuring the MGN (blue) and LGN (red). C, D, Coronal sections of cresyl violet stains reveal the nuclear structure of the MGN (C) and LGN (D) at P0. Two examples of MGN (C* and C*) and LGN (D* and D*) dissected brain regions are representative of the tissue samples taken for microarray analysis. PRE, Pretectal nucleus; POT, posterior thalamic nucleus; VPL, ventral posterior thalamic nucleus, lateral part; LGv, ventral subdivision of the LGN; D, dorsal; M, medial. Scale bar, 150 μm.

**Factors from inputs instruct the differentiation of functional regions.** (Dehay et al., 1993, 2001; Miyashita-Lin et al., 1999). We tested whether specific sensory inputs contribute to the genetic differences between the LGN and MGN by comparing gene expression between normal MGN and "rewired MGN" (rwMGN), which has surgically been induced to receive visual input. In mice, hamsters and ferrets, ablating the IC early in development is sufficient to induce retinal afferents to innervate the MGN and drive the auditory pathway to process visual information (Schneider, 1973; Sur et al., 1988; Lyckman et al., 2001; Newton et al., 2004). Although structural features of the MGN and A1 persist, functional features of visual processing occur (Sharma et al., 2000; von Melchner et al., 2000). We compared normal MGN and rwMGN at P5, the earliest age at which retinal afferents are detected in MGN after IC ablation. Finally, we tested whether loss of a downregulated gene, *Sclk6a4*, was sufficient for rewiring in the absence of IC ablation.

**Gene microarray hybridization and analysis.** Labeled cRNA (15–20 μg) from each of three (P5) to four (P0) replicates for P0 and P5 LGN and MGN was applied to Affymetrix murine U74Av2 and 430.2 microarray chips by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Biopolymers lab. Microarray cell files were normalized and subjected to robust multichip average (RMA) preprocessing using RMAexpress software (Bolstad et al., 2003; Irizarry et al., 2003). Significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) was used to identify enriched genes by setting a minimum fold change at 1.5 and adjusting the delta value (a threshold value for the difference between the observed relative difference and the expected relative difference, as an average over n permutations) to present <0.01% false discovery rate (FDR) (Tusher et al., 2001). Many genes are represented on the Affymetrix chips by multiple probes. All probes mapping onto the same gene identified through this screen showed consistent patterns of differential expression between LGN and MGN.

**Quantitative real-time PCR.** LGN and MGN RNA samples were harvested as described above and used for single-strand cDNA synthesis from oligoDT primers. Primers amplifying a 150–200 bp amplicon of each gene in enriched sets were designed by using the Whitehead Institute Primer3 program (for primer sequences, see supplemental Table 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Primer efficiencies were calculated using a standard Ct curve on serial dilutions of cDNA template amplified with SYBR Green PCR mix (Qiagen) in the DNA Engine Opticon 2 System. PCRs were performed in triplicate for each sample and relative quantitation was calculated using the Pfaff formula with Gapdh as reference: E (efficiency) = 10(1/CtTarget − CtReference) / 10(1/CtTarget − CtReference) * 100% fold change = E(target) / E(reference).

**In situ hybridization.** Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense and sense riboprobes (500–600 bp; for primer sequences, see supplemental Table 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) were synthesized and subjected to robust multichip average (RMA) preprocessing using RMAexpress software (Bolstad et al., 2003; Irizarry et al., 2003). Significance analysis of microarrays (SAM) was used to identify enriched genes by setting a minimum fold change at 1.5 and adjusting the delta value (a threshold value for the difference between the observed relative difference and the expected relative difference, as an average over n permutations) to present <0.01% false discovery rate (FDR) (Tusher et al., 2001). Many genes are represented on the Affymetrix chips by multiple probes. All probes mapping onto the same gene identified through this screen showed consistent patterns of differential expression between LGN and MGN.
sized from sample cDNA using a T7 reverse transcriptase in vitro kit with DIG-labeled ribonucleotides (Invitrogen). Riboprobes were purified on Micro Bio-spin Columns (Biorad) and quantified by UV spectrophotometry. Brains at P0 and P5 were flash frozen in isopentane, stored at −80°C and sectioned at 18 μm at −20°C on a cryostat (n = 3 per group of interest at P0, n = 2 at P5). Sections were processed using an in situ hybridization protocol (Braissant and Wahli, 1998) and analyzed using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 microscope. Zic4 gradients were measured using a line capture tool along the nasal–temporal axis of the retina (4 sections of 1 animal) and the dorsolateral–ventromedial axis (n = 5 sections of 1 animal) of the LGN in ImageJ. Signal was normalized to the maximum value and averaged across 10 bins.

Gene set enrichment analysis. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed on the following comparisons: P0 LGN versus P0 MGN, P5 LGN versus P5 MGN, and P5 MGN versus nP5 MGN. Gene sets from the c2 (curated gene sets) collection of the Broad Institute Molecular Signatures Database (http://www.broad.mit.edu/gsea/index.jsp) were used. For a given probe i, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) between the mean expression level (μi) across samples of two conditions (e = P0 LGN, P0 MGN, etc.) was defined as follows: SNR = [μi - μ0]/σi, where σi = standard deviation across samples.

The probes were then ranked by SNR in an ordered list L. Given a set G containing Nc probes, two cumulative distribution functions were compared: Pcum(i) = proportion of genes in G with rank < i and Pcum(i) = proportion of genes outside G that show a rank < i with the running enrichment score defined as RES(i) = Pcum(i) - Pcum(i). The peak enrichment score, ES, refers to the maximum deviation of RES(i) from 0. Statistical significance of ES was evaluated with a null distribution from 1000 permutations obtained by randomly shuffling the condition labels for each probe. Multiple comparisons were controlled for using the from 1000 permutations obtained by randomly shuffling the condition labels for each probe. Multiple comparisons were controlled for using the Bonferroni correction.

Results

Gene microarrays identify patterns of differential gene expression between the perinatal LGN and MGN.

The positions of LGN and MGN are exposed at the lateral edges of the thalamus after cortex removal and were dissected under a light microscope (Fig. 1A–D). Of ~45,000 probes screened on the Affymetrix 430 2.0 microarray chip, a SAM analysis identified 23 probes, corresponding to 20 unique genes, as enriched in the P0 LGN relative to the P0 MGN with a fold change (FC) >2 and FDR <0.01% (n = 15–20 per replicate, 4 replicates per group, delta value = 0.831) (Fig. 2A). Nineteen probes, corresponding to 13 genes, were enriched in P0 MGN relative to the P0 LGN (Fig. 2B). In the P5 LGN versus MGN screen, 125 probes, corresponding to 90 unique genes, were enriched in P5 LGN and 128 probes, corresponding to 74 unique genes, in P5 MGN using FC >2 and FDR <0.01% (n = 15–20 per replicate, 3 replicates per group, delta value = 1.441). Seventeen of twenty (85%) of the P0 LGN genes (Fig. 2A, red type) were present in the P5 LGN group and twelve of thirteen (92%) of the P0 MGN genes (Fig. 2B, blue type) were present in the P5 MGN group, demonstrating the presence of genes that are always different between LGN and MGN during a perinatal window (P0–P5). To reduce the P5 candidate genes to a more manageable number, we screened further using FC >3, yielding 41 probes, representing 31 unique genes, as enriched in P5 LGN (Fig. 2C) and 43 probes, representing 30 unique genes, in P5 MGN (Fig. 2D). Thirteen of thirty (43%) of these P5 LGN-enriched genes were present in the P5 LGN group (Fig. 2C, red type) and ten of thirty (33.3%) P5 MGN-enriched genes in the P0 MGN group (Fig. 2D, blue type).

To control for potential differences in maturational state, we tested whether LGN and MGN undergo similar global processes of differentiation from P0 to P5 by using SAM analysis to compare LGN at P0 versus P5 and MGN at P0 versus P5 (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In the LGN comparison, 12,712 probes were upregulated at P5 and 1655 were downregulated using FC >2 and FDR <0.01% (delta value = 0.596), while in the MGN comparison, using the same criteria, 13,266 were upregulated at P5 and 2846 were downregulated (delta value = 0.604). Since nearly a third of all the probes screened showed significant change between P0 and P5, we compared the most highly ranked genes. Among the top 115 probes for both the LGN and MGN age-enriched groups, 91/115 (79%) were identical, suggesting that LGN and MGN undergo common processes of maturation between P0 and P5 [supplemental Fig. 1 (green type), available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material].
Of the genes enriched in the LGN with respect to MGN, many were transcription factors, including those implicated in neuronal migration (Arx and Dlx1), cellular differentiation (Zic1, Zic3, Zic4, and Zic5), and areal patterning (Pax6). Non-transcription factor genes in LGN included cell-adhesion molecules (Cad8), neurotransmitter synthesis enzymes (Gadi1), and cellular signals (Sst, Cck, Npy). The transcription factors were also overwhelmingly present in the genes enriched in the LGN with respect to LGN, including a previously identified dorsal thalamic marker, Gbx2. Another gene, Foxp2, a transcriptional repressor implicated in speech and language development in humans (Vargha-Khadem et al., 2005), was highly enriched in MGN. Non-transcription factor genes included those involved in retinoic acid signaling (Crabp2), Wnt signaling (Ck2-α), and Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling (Calb1).

To isolate genes with a potential role in sensory-specific processes of neural circuit formation, we retrieved P5 LGN and P5 MGN expression data for a list of genes with a demonstrated role in axon guidance compiled from the literature. Screening 118 unique genes represented by 309 probes (supplemental Table 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), we found the following factors enriched in P5 LGN with respect to P5 MGN: EphA7, ephrinA5, Fgfr1, Wnt4/5a, Sema5b/6a/6d, Slii2, Unc5b, NCAM1, Sfrp2, Neuregulin1/2, Ntrk2/3, and Slitrk5 (supplemental Fig. 2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In turn, the following factors were enriched in P5 MGN with respect to P5 LGN: Bdnf, EphA1, EphB2, ephrinA2/A3, Frizzled1/3, netrinG1, and Slitrk6 (supplemental Fig. 2B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), suggesting that distinct groups of axon guidance factors contribute to neural connectivity and circuit formation in the two nuclei.

Real-time PCR and in situ hybridization confirm differential gene expression from LGN- and MGN-enriched sets

Differential gene expression levels between LGN and MGN were confirmed using quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) on all genes of the P0 LGN and P0 MGN sets. All 20 of the P0 LGN-enriched genes were confirmed with qRT-PCR, with significant upregulation (p < 0.05) and FC > 2 in LGN samples vs MGN samples (Fig. 3A). All 13 of the P0 MGN-enriched genes were also confirmed with qRT-PCR with significant upregulation (p < 0.05) and FC > 4 (Fig. 3B).

Top ranking genes for both the P0 and P5 LGN and MGN groups were selected for in situ hybridization to document anatomical expression patterns in more detail. At both P0 and P5, Zic4 and Zic1, two members of the Zic family of transcription factors, exhibited stronger expression in neonatal LGN than MGN (Fig. 4A, B, A’, B’), with no signal from sense probes (data not shown). Zic4 exhibited a graded expression pattern both in the dorsal subdivision of the LGN (Lgd) with high levels dorsolaterally and in the retina with high levels on the temporal side (Fig. 4B; supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), suggesting a possible role in retinotopic patterning of retinogeniculate projections. At both P0 and P5, Crabp2, Foxp2, and Sdcag33, demonstrated stronger expression in neonatal LGN than MGN (Fig. 4C–E, C’–E’), and no signal with sense probes (data not shown). Foxp2 showed strong expression in both dorsal and ventral subdivisions of the MGN (MgD, MgV), while Crabp2 was localized primarily to the MgD and Sdcag33 localized exclusively to the MgV.

Gene set enrichment analysis identifies functional pathways within LGN- and MGN-enriched sets

The analyses above are based on the study of individual genes. It is possible to screen for groups of genes that share similar functions, structure, biochemical pathways, and chromosomal location. Even if individual genes within a gene group show only minor changes in expression, group analysis can uncover large changes for the group as a whole. To identify functionally related groups of genes with collective enrichment in the LGN or MGN, GSEA using the c2 (curated gene sets) collection of the Broad Institute Molecular Signatures Database (http://www.broad.mit.
eduard/gsea/index.jsp) was performed (Subramanian et al., 2005). These pathways may represent developmental programs in the functional specification of the perinatal LGN and MGN. Eighteen of 1892 screened gene sets were significantly enriched in P0 LGN at \( p < 0.01 \) (supplemental Table 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Among the top 18 pathways were the cardiac EGF pathway, phosphatidylinositol signaling system, p53 upregulated genes, CD40 downregulated genes, and the IL-2 receptor pathway, the last two suggesting a role for cytokine signaling in cellular differentiation within the LGN.

One hundred eleven of 1892 gene sets had significant enrichment at \( p < 0.01 \) in P0 MGN (supplemental Table 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The top pathway was the Alzheimer’s disease set; additional pathways in the top 20 included the GATA3 gene set, upregulation with loss of MeCP2 set and the HOX8 pathway.

GSEA performed on the P5 data revealed 193/1892 gene sets enriched in the P5 LGN at \( p < 0.01 \) (supplemental Table 6, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Pathways ranked in the top 20 included a gene set upregulated by TNFα signaling, both PI3K and mTOR signaling cascades, and the VEGF pathway. All four of these pathways have been previously suggested to play a role in the maintenance and plasticity of the developing and adult visual pathway (see Discussion), and their presence here suggests an additional role in early retinothalamic development. In the P5 MGN, 32/1892 gene sets were significantly enriched at \( p < 0.01 \) (supplemental Table 7, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The most significant set was the Wnt signaling pathway, which has been implicated in diencephalic patterning with a Wnt source emanating from the alar plate (Braun et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2004). Other top pathways included the NFAT pathway, Hox patterning genes, an additional Wnt signaling set, and the CCR3 pathway.

**Zic4 contributes to the patterning of retinogeniculate projections**

The role of Zic4 in visual pathway development was explored, because of its strong enrichment in the LGd and previous work identifying the role of gene family member, Zic2, in ipsilateral steering of retinal ganglion cell axons at the optic chiasm (Pak et al., 2004). While Zic2 expression is not appreciably detected with in situ hybridization in the LGd, Zic4 is strongly expressed in a gradient along the dorsolateral axis, as well as in a high temporal to low nasal gradient in retinal ganglion cells (supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). To test whether Zic4 is necessary for normal retinogeniculate patterning, intraocular injections of CTB were performed in littermate control and Zic4-null mice at an age when retinogeniculate terminals have matured to their adult form (P30–P48) (Guido, 2008).

No changes in the size of LGd were observed (Fig. 5), suggesting that nuclear development and gross targeting were intact. However, a number of defects were detected in the rostral third portion of LGd, where Zic4-null mice exhibited the following: (1) a marked dorsomedial expansion of the ipsilateral termination zone (71.9 ± 4.8% of the dorsomedial axis in KOs vs 48.2 ± 3.3% in wild-type, \( p < 0.0005 \), \( t \) test, \( n = 4 \) each group) (Fig. 5A–F,A’–F’, dotted line, G), (2) increases in the number of ipsilateral terminal clusters (mean no. of clusters = 1.62 ± 0.17 vs 1.12 ± 0.08, KO vs control, \( p < 0.05 \), \( t \) test, \( n = 4 \) each group), and (3) an increase in the percentage of LGd filled by ipsilateral projections (21.8 ± 2.5% KO vs 14.49 ± 1.7% control, \( t \) test, \( p < 0.05 \), \( n = 4 \) each group). There was no statistically significant difference in the amount of overlap between contralateral and ipsilateral terminals (number of overlap pixels/total LGN pixels), although the Zic4-null mouse showed a trend of an increase in overlap (1.74 ± 0.26% KO vs 0.98 ± 0.34% control, \( p = 0.08 \), \( t \) test) suggesting that activity-dependent processes of eye-specific segregation were not largely disrupted, though they may have been slightly attenuated, in the absence of Zic4. Furthermore, no difference in ipsilateral spread along the dorsoventral axis was found, indicating that altered ipsilateral terminal spread occurs only along the dorsomedial axis in Zic4-null mice (Fig. 5G). Finally, despite an increased number of clusters in the knock-outs, there was no difference in the scatter of ipsilateral terminals, suggesting that ipsilateral zones are compact and segregated from contralateral terminals. Middle and posterior third portions of...
the LGd showed no difference between knock-outs and wild type (data not shown).

**Foxp2 is regulated by activity in the auditory thalamus**

Foxp2 is a transcriptional repressor necessary for proper human speech and language development (for review, see Vargha-Khadem et al., 2005), but whose role in the auditory pathway has not yet been explored. Because Foxp2 expression has been shown to be dynamically regulated in songbirds during periods of plasticity (Teramitsu and White, 2006), we tested whether Foxp2 levels are modulated by auditory activity *in vivo*. Using Western blots, we found that Foxp2 protein was increased significantly in the MGN of P18 wild-type C57BL/6 animals after 120 min of high volume (90 dB) white noise stimulation compared with untreated controls ($1.04 \pm 0.025$ vs $0.78 \pm 0.037$, $p < 0.05$, $t$ test, $n = 4$ pooled for each group, technical replicate $n = 3$ for each group) (Fig. 6A, B, D). cFos expression was used as a positive control for early activity-dependent regulation of gene expres-
sion in the auditory thalamus and cortex (MGN: $1.29 \pm 0.02$ vs $0.78 \pm 0.02$, $p < 0.05$, A1: $1.27 \pm 0.025$ vs $0.76 \pm 0.059$, $p < 0.05$, t test) (Fig. 6A, C,E). In the LGN and V1, neither Foxp2 nor cFos levels were changed in experimental animals compared with control, suggesting that activity-dependent regulation is specific to the sensory pathway being stimulated (Foxp2 LGN: $1.09 \pm 0.02$ vs $1.08 \pm 0.07$, $p = 0.927$, t test; cFos LGN: $0.96 \pm 0.02$ vs $0.92 \pm 0.12$, $p = 0.75$, t test; Foxp2 V1: $1.01 \pm 0.048$ vs $1.19 \pm 0.232$, $p = 0.54$, t test; cFos V1: $1.05 \pm 0.108$ vs $0.85 \pm 0.096$, $p = 0.786$, t test) (Fig. 6B, C). Furthermore, Foxp2 expression did not change with auditory stimulation in A1, indicating that Foxp2 acts in an activity-dependent manner only in the thalamus ($0.967 \pm 0.14$ vs $0.977 \pm 0.03$, $p = 0.585$, t test).

Of note, we found that Foxp2 levels were higher in the normal LGN than the normal MGN at P18, a result consistent with expression results in the adult brain from the Allen Brain Atlas (http://www.brain-map.org/). This result suggests that dynamic levels of Foxp2 expression may be involved in later development of the visual pathway as well.

Visually rewired MGN exhibits LGN-like patterns of gene expression as well as novel patterns of plasticity-related genes

To clarify whether genetic programs of specification in the LGN and MGN are shaped by the identity of their inputs, we performed rewiring experiments in which retinal axons are rerouted to the MGN after ablation of the neonatal IC (Lyckman et al.,...
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Using the results of the SAM analysis of rwMGN and normal MGN, we searched for candidates with a potential role in guidance of retinal axons to their novel sensory target, rwMGN. To eliminate candidates with a nonspecific role in responsiveness to injury, we performed a control microarray on the P5 MGN from mice in which only the superior colliculus (SC) had been ablated at P0 (scMGN; data not shown). Ablating the SC, but not the IC, has been shown to induce ectopic targeting of retinal axons to the lateral posterior nucleus (LP) of the thalamus, but not the MGN, and therefore served as a control for changes in gene expression due to midbrain injury (Newton et al., 2004). One candidate, Scl6a4, a gene encoding a surface membrane serotonin transporter, was significantly downregulated in rwMGN and showed no difference between the normal MGN and scMGN (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Because serotonin signaling has been shown to modulate target recognition of thalamo-cortical axons (Bonnin et al., 2007) and Scl6a4 has been shown to modulate eyespecific segregation of retinogeniculate terminals (Upton et al., 1999, 2002; Salichon et al., 2001), we hypothesized that this gene might play a role in axon targeting to the rwMGN. However, intraocular CTB injections of Scl6a4 knock-out mice revealed no ectopic projections to the MGN (n = 3 KO, n = 2 WT) (supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), suggesting that loss of Scl6a4 is not sufficient to induce rewiring of retinal axons to the MGN.

To identify additional candidates with a potential role in visual rewiring, we screened the P5 MGN and rwMGN data with our list of known axon guidance genes (supplemental Table 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). We found that EphA7, plexin-C1, RGMb, and Ntrk2 were upregulated in rwMGN, while semaphorin3a, Dcc, netrinG2, robo2, NCAM1, and shh were downregulated (supplemental Fig. 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), suggesting that a suite of axon guidance factors modulates targeting and topographic mapping of retinal ganglion cell axons into the rwMGN. Two upregulated factors in rwMGN, EphA7 and Ntrk2, were increased in P5 LGN compared with P5 MGN and possibly represent common mechanisms of retinogeniculate targeting and/or patterning in the LGN and rwMGN.

Finally, GSEA analysis identified 332/1892 gene sets significantly upregulated in the rwMGN relative to the P5 MGN at p < 0.01 (supplemental Table 8, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Gene sets in the top 20 included a set of genes downregulated in response to rapamycin, inhibitor of the mTOR pathway, and the TNFα pathway, potentially reflecting cell death in response to deafferentation. Six/1892 gene sets were significantly downregulated at p < 0.01 (supplemental Table 9, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental mate-
rrial). Among these sets were the NF-κB pathway and Wnt signaling pathway, the latter of which was the most significantly enriched set of the P5 MGN.

Discussion

Using gene microarrays, we have identified groups of novel candidates with a potential role in LGN and MGN specification. At P0, a relatively small number of genes distinguish LGN from MGN, and a large proportion (85% and 92%, respectively) continue to show differential expression at P5, suggesting that a small number of programs orchestrate this postnatal differentiation. At P5, the number of differentially expressed genes increases about fivefold, reflecting a proliferation of secondary differentiation programs with age. Between P0 and P5, changes in expression are remarkably similar for the LGN and MGN (79% of the top differentially expressed probes are identical), suggesting that they undergo similar processes of maturation.

Among the candidates for LGN specification are four of the five members of the Zic family of transcription factors, which have been implicated in early patterning events (Aruga, 2004; Gaston-Massuet et al., 2005). Among the MGN candidates are three transcriptional modulators—Foxp2, a transcriptional repressor, Crabp2, a retinoic acid binding protein, and Sdccag33, a zinc-finger transcription factor. Crabp2 and Sdccag33 are enriched in the MGd and MGv, respectively, making them potentially useful MGN markers.

Functional significance of LGN- and MGN-enriched genes

Visual and auditory stimuli have distinct spatiotemporal characteristics and lead to different stimulus feature representations, frequency codes, and intra-areal circuitry (Sharma et al., 2000; Jones, 2007). Candidate genes enriched in the LGN and MGN potentially shape differences in circuit structure and function through axonal pathfinding (from E15 to P5), cellular differentiation, and synaptogenesis (Tuttle et al., 1998; Lyckman et al., 2001).

Differences in LGN and MGN expression may originate from differences in the position of precursor cells relative to local signaling centers (Vue et al., 2007; Szabó et al., 2009). The nature and identity of these signals are newly being discovered, including cues from the zona limitans intrathalamica (Shh), alar plate (Wnt), and border between the thalamus and pretectum (FGF8) (Kiecker and Lumsden, 2004; Kataoka and Shimogori, 2008; Vue et al., 2009). Our screen likely includes candidates that participate in these region- or lineage-specific programs of differentiation, and some previously published markers were confirmed: Dlx1 and Arx expression colocalizes with cells of the LGv (Kitamura et al., 1997) and selective expression of Isl1, Pax6, and Dlx1,2,5 in the LGN and Gbx2 in the MGN has been observed (Nakagawa and O’Leary, 2001; Kawasaki et al., 2004).

In addition to our screen for individual candidates, we used GSEA to search for functionally related groups of genes (Lyckman et al., 2008; Tilford and Siemers, 2009). Cytokine signaling pathways, such as the CD40-downregulated and IL-2 pathways, were identified in P0 LGN, while transcriptional networks, including
MeCP2 and Hoxc8 pathways, were linked to P0 MGN. Intriguingly, several pathways in P5 LGN were implicated in visual plasticity: TNFα is involved in homeostatic increases of synaptic strength (Kaneko et al., 2008), as well as retinal ganglion cell (RGC) degeneration (Nakazawa et al., 2006); the mTOR pathway promotes adult RGC axon regeneration (Park et al., 2008); and the VEGF pathway supports RGC survival after injury (Kilic et al., 2006; Nishijima et al., 2007). Our results implicate these processes in retinogeniculate circuit formation of the perinatal LGN.

The advantage of a gene microarray approach is its capacity to identify previously unidentified candidates in processes of regional specification (Kawasaki et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2005; Murray et al., 2007, 2008; Leamey et al., 2008). Enriched groups provide the link between early patterning events and later functional processes of circuit formation and cellular differentiation. Limitations include the fact that the data reflect transcript, not protein levels, the measurement of which requires protein arrays. Dissections pooled structural subdivisions, as well as functional cell subpopulations, including specialized streams of visual information (Huberman et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2008). Laser dissection or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) techniques may be necessary to characterize the genetic identity of these subdivisions (Arlotta et al., 2005).

Zic4 exhibits a novel role in retinogeniculate mapping

Zic4 is a zinc-finger transcription factor with a role in cerebellar development, its heterozygous codeleration with Zic1 leading to cerebellar malformation in mice and Dandy-Walker malformation in humans (Grinberg et al., 2004). We provide the first report of Zic4’s role in visual pathway patterning. While loss of family member, Zic2, leads to the failure of retinogeniculate fibers to project ipsilaterally (Pak et al., 2004), Zic4 loss produces the disordering of appropriately targeted ipsilateral fibers. Moreover, Zic2 is not detectably expressed in LGd, highlighting a divergent role for Zic4.

Increased clustering and disorganization of the ipsilateral terminals of Zic4-null mice resembles, but does not mimic, the phenotype of ephrin-A2/3/5-null mice (Pfeifferberger et al., 2005). While both exhibit increased ipsilateral clustering, dorsomedial expansion of the ipsilateral projection in the Zic4 null is not present in the ephrin-A2/3/5 null. Interestingly, this dorsomedial expansion is the complement of the dorsoventral expansion of ipsilateral fibers in Ten_m3-null mouse (Leamey et al., 2008) and whether these molecules interact to establish proper ipsilateral retinotopic projections warrants future investigation.

An open question is whether it is loss of Zic4 in the projecting cells, or target cells, or both that leads to mismapping of ipsilateral fibers. Loss of the temporal high Zic4 gradient in the retina might allow ipsilateral axons formerly enriched in Zic4 to terminate more dorsomedially in the LGd. Alternatively, loss of Zic4 in LGd target cells might downregulate a repulsive cue that permits ipsilateral expansion. It is also unclear whether Zic4 regulates the expression of downstream axon guidance cues or acts itself as a cue, as has been demonstrated for other transcriptional factors such as En-2 (Brunet et al., 2005). In vitro assays, as well as region-specific mutants of Zic4 or in utero electroporation targeting retinal or thalamic cells for Zic4 knock-down or overexpression, would be useful in addressing this question.

Foxp2 and activity-dependent regulation in the mammalian brain

Foxp2 is a transcriptional repressor implicated in orofacial dyspraxia and corticostriatal abnormalities in humans with a heterozygous loss-of-function mutation (Vernes et al., 2006). It is necessary for ultrasonic vocalizations in perinatal mice (Shu et al., 2005) and song learning in adult canaries (Haesler et al., 2007), and its expression is dynamically regulated in songbirds with activity or conditions of learning (Haesler et al., 2004; Teramitsu and White, 2006).

We report here for the first time in mammals, that Foxp2 is positively regulated with auditory stimulation, suggesting an activity-dependent role in synaptic plasticity of the auditory pathway. Although a role in axonal pathfinding was not explored, Foxp2 may additionally contribute to mapping of auditory projections, as Foxp1 has been shown to regulate motoneuron differentiation and pathfinding (Roussou et al., 2008). A role for Foxp2 in early stages of auditory processing may contribute to higher level deficits of speech and language in humans (Vargha-Khadem et al., 2005).

Mechanisms of cross-modal plasticity in response to early injury

In the cortex, areal specification is influenced by intrinsic factors, including positional and lineage-derived gene programs (Miyaushita-Lin et al., 1999) and extrinsic factors, such as the nature and identity of inputs (Dehay et al., 1993, 2001; Lukaszewicz et al., 2005). Similarly, we show that the functional differentiation of thalamic nuclei is influenced by its inputs. A quarter of genes and several gene pathways, including the mTOR-related pathway, that were upregulated in the rwMGN are also present in the LGN. As is unlikely that LGN-like patterns of gene expression are the “default state” of the MGN in the absence of IC input, we propose that retinal axons induce specific LGN-like patterns of gene expression through their frequency code and/or chemical signals. Nonetheless, there may be constraints on how the MGN interprets novel input, leading to additional “plasticity-related” expression.

The molecular mechanism of retinal targeting to the deafened MGN is not understood. Patterns of rwMGN gene expression include axon guidance pathways potentially mediating retinal ingrowth. A promising candidate, the downregulated serotonin transporter, Slc6a4, has previously been shown to modulate eye-specific segregation and influence somatosensory barrel formation (Upton et al., 1999, 2002; Salichon et al., 2001). However, Slc6a4-null mice are not rewired, suggesting either that its loss is not sufficient or that its effects are compensated by other genes. It is possible that other genes alone or in addition to downregulated Slc6a4—e.g., upregulated Zic4 (supplemental Fig. 6, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) or C1qb (Stevens et al., 2007)—are required or that the physical ablation of IC is necessary.

Conclusion

In summary, we report here newly identified, enriched groups of candidate genes for perinatal murine LGN and MGN specification. These genes and gene sets potentially reflect distinct differentiation programs set by differences in patterning cues and neural inputs. We identify for the first time a role for Zic4 in ipsilateral retinogeniculate patterning to the thalamus, and an activity-dependent regulation of Foxp2 in response to auditory stimulation. Furthermore, abnormal visual inputs respect the MGN with both LGN-like and novel patterns of gene expression. These
data thus identify novel molecular mechanisms linking early patterning events to functional differentiation of the visual and auditory pathways.
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