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We study the humanitarian response to the destruction brought by the tsunami generated by the Sumatra earthquake of December 26, 2004, as measured by donations, and find that it decays in time as a power law \(\sim t^{-3/2} \) with \(\alpha=2.5\pm0.1\). This behavior is suggested to be the rare outcome of a priority queuing process in which individuals execute tasks at a rate slightly faster than the rate at which new tasks arise. We believe this to be an empirical evidence documenting the recently predicted [G. Grinstein and R. Linsker, Phys. Rev. E 77, 012101 (2008)] regime, and provide additional independent evidence that suggests that this “highly attentive regime” arises as a result of the intense focus placed on this donation “task” by the media.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Who has not wondered about why things take so long to be done or to come to fruition? Why are we not answering correspondence, electronic mails, or returning phone calls immediately? When we have an idea or develop an intention to create something new, such as a career change, a new relationship, or a healthier lifestyle, why does it take so long to take action and/or see results?

Recent studies of social systems suggest a simple answer: the distribution \(P(t)\) of waiting times between cause and action performed by humans is found to be a power law \(P(t) \sim t^{-\alpha}\) with \(\alpha < 2\), so that the mathematical expectation of the waiting time \(\langle t \rangle\) between consecutive events is infinite. This power law behavior applies to the waiting time until an electronic-mail message is answered [1], to the time intervals between consecutive electronic mails sent by a single user and time delays for electronic-mail replies [2], to the waiting time between receipt and response in the correspondences of Darwin and of Einstein [3], and to the waiting times associated with other human activity patterns which extend to web browsing, library visits, and stock trading [4].

These observations can be rationalized by priority queuing models that describe how the flow of tasks falling on (and/or self-created by) humans is executed using priority ranking [2–4]. Let \(\lambda\) denote the average rate of task arrivals and \(\mu\) the average rate \(\mu\) for executing them. We can then distinguish between two different regimes: (i) \(\mu \leq \lambda\), i.e., an “overburdened” regime where tasks arrive faster than can be executed, and (ii) \(\mu > \lambda\), i.e., tasks receive attention because they arrive with a rate below the execution rate, which we call the highly attentive regime here. Using a standard stochastic queuing model wherein tasks are selected for execution on the basis of random continuous priority values, Grinstein and Linsker [5] derived the exact overall probability per unit time, \(P(t)\), that a given task sits in the queue for a time \(t\) before being executed for each of these regimes,

\[
P(t) \sim \frac{1}{t^{3/2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \leq \lambda, \quad (1)
\]

\[
P(t) \sim \frac{1}{t^{5/2}} e^{-c(\lambda - \mu)^2}, \quad \text{for} \quad \mu > \lambda. \quad (2)
\]

The first regime with a value of the exponent \(\alpha=3/2\) is compatible with previously reported numerical simulations [2–4] and with most of the empirical data. The second regime, however, is characterized by an exponent \(\alpha=5/2\), i.e., it results in much shorter tails of \(P(t)\) as compared to the first one and does not seem to have been documented empirically.

Hence, in this paper we provide empirical evidence documenting the second regime by analyzing a data set of donations described together with the methodology in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we conjecture that the second regime may not have been previously documented because of the effect of intense media focus on modifying the rate of execution of this “donation task.” In Sec. IV, we scrutinize the assumptions underlying our conclusions and form a hypothesis for the highly attentive regime, which is tested in Sec. V. Specifically, we ask whether or not data exhibiting an exponent of \(5/2\) can be used as a signature to identify tasks receiving significant media attention. For this, we investigate a massive unique data set containing the time series of the daily view counts for nearly \(5 \times 10^6\) videos on YouTube. We find that this approach, while performed ex post, provides compelling results that support our media focus conjecture. Finally, in Sec. VI, we discuss these results and propose a set of experiments to place these results on a more firm methodological footing.

II. METHODOLOGY

In this paper, we study the dynamics of the daily donation amount in response to the devastating tsunami generated by the Sumatra earthquake of December 26, 2004. This data set was already analyzed by Schweitzer and Mach [6] and it was shown that the total fraction of donors over time, \(f(t)\), can be
perfectly described by an epidemic model, \( f(t) = \left[1 + \exp\left(-\left(t - \omega\right)/\tau\right)\right]^{-1} \), provided that one takes into account a slowing down of the inverse time scale \( 1/\tau(t) \). The latter has a clear relation to mass media communication: people simply lost their interest in the topic because of an overuse in the media (a kind of “mental saturation”). The second parameter \( \omega \) gives the time when the donations are peaked. As reported in Ref. [6], this value varies for different donor organizations [8 days after the tsunami for the largest donor organization (DH) versus 36 days for the smallest one (AH)]. These differences arise because of the relative size and scale, and target demographics of these organizations—DH is a national alliance, whereas AH is more local. Additionally, DH possesses the infrastructure for advertising and processing large volumes of donations and, importantly, maintains a mass media presence throughout this crisis. In the following, we only concentrate on the largest data set (DH) because of the statistical significance.

Different from [6], we do not focus on \( f(t) \) but on the growth rate \( D(t) \) which gives the daily number \( D_{\Delta}(t) \) or the daily amount \( D_{\Delta}(t) \) of donations (see also Figs. 1 and 2), which behave very similar as already noticed in [6]. As a second difference to the previous investigations, we only focus on the long-term behavior of \( D(t) \), i.e., for sufficiently large \( t \) (see also Sec. IV).

In order to analyze the data, we adopt an ergodic approach which assumes that sampling the collective responses of many individuals in time is equivalent to sampling many realizations of the same stochastic process. Therefore, in order to measure the static distribution of waiting times describing a single individual, we instead measure the ensemble response of a large number of “independent identically distributed” individuals, each of whom is presented with the same task simultaneously. This approach amounts to mapping the static distribution of waiting times describing individuals into the time domain. This type of mapping is only possible because of the “singular” nature of the tsunami which provides a shock that allows all possible donors to become aware of the donation task at the same distinct time.

In order to see how the distribution of rates of such responses can be mapped to the overall probability \( P(t) \) per unit time that a given task sits in the queue for a time \( t \) before being executed, consider the tsunami that occurred on December 26, 2004. A donation associated with this event can be considered as a task that was triggered (but not necessarily executed) on that day simultaneously for a large population of potential donors. This task competes with many others associated with the job, private life, and other activities of each individual in the entire population. The specificity of the social experiment provided by the tsunami is that the same “singular task” is presented at approximately the same time to all potential donors, but the priority value of this singular task can be expected to be widely distributed among different individuals. Grinstein and Linsker [7] showed that distribution (2) is independent of the specific shape of the distribution of priority values among individuals. Since the singular task has been initiated at nearly the same time for all individuals, the donations at a time \( t \) after this initiation time is then simply equal to \( D(t) = NP(t) \), where \( N \) is the number of individuals who will eventually donate in the population and \( P(t) \) is the previously defined overall probability per unit time that a given task sits in the queue for a time \( t \) before being executed. This suggests that the tsunami donation data provide a direct test for the prediction of the priority queueing model solved exactly by Grinstein and Linsker [5], and this methodology forms the basis for the analysis we perform.
III. EMPIRICAL RESULTS FOR DONATIONS

Here, we study both the daily number of donations, $D_d(t)$ (Fig. 1), and the daily amount of donations, $D_a(t)$ (Fig. 2), as functions of time, where $t$ is counted in days after the tsunami. Both curves are characterized by a large burst of donation activity in the days after the tsunami on December 26, 2004, followed by a slow decay. The curves peak around January 4, a 9 day delay likely caused by the timing of the event around the holidays when many people were away and charities were not open. Schweitzer and Mach [6] demonstrated that the decay in the rate of donations is slower than exponential, with an instantaneous decay rate $\tau$ growing proportional to the time $t$ elapsed since the occurrence of the tsunami. This observation is typical of scale-free dynamics and thus suggests a power law decay of the type

$$D(t) = \frac{A}{t^\alpha}, \text{ for large } t. \quad (3)$$

The dotted green line in Fig. 1 is the power law (3) with adjustable exponent where the parameter $\alpha=3.1 \pm 0.1$ is determined by maximum likelihood. The black dashed line in Fig. 1 corresponds to a pure exponential relaxation and is clearly an inferior fit ($p$ value=0). The solid yellow line in Fig. 1 is a fit using

$$D(t) = \frac{A}{t^\alpha} e^{-\beta t}, \text{ with fixed } \alpha = 2.5, \quad (4)$$

which has the same explanatory power as the pure power law model (3) ($p$ value=0.16). Allowing both $\alpha$ and $\tau$ to be freely fitted in Eq. (4) (two-parameter model) is undistinguishable from the one-parameter model (4) with fixed $\alpha = 2.5$ ($p$ value=0.98).

Figure 2 shows the total amount $D_e(t)$ of individual donations for each day, corresponding to the data in Fig. 1. The calibration of models (3) and (4) give practically the same values for $\alpha$ and $\tau$ in all cases. This is expected from the fact that, while the amount of a donation can vary in size, the statistical distribution of donation sizes for this particular data has been shown to be constant both before and after the tsunami [6].

Thus, from Figs. 1 and 2, we conclude that the total donation value per day or the daily number of donations gives practically the same tapered power law decay (4), which holds for sufficiently large $t$. One possible explanation for these results is based on the priority queuing model in the regime $\mu > \lambda$ (rate of performing tasks faster than rate of task arrival), which predicts the exponent $\alpha = 2.5$. Interpreted in the context of the queuing model, the donation rates following the tsunami are influenced by the extreme media attention, which lead the potential donors to address this task more diligently than they would otherwise. Quantitatively, a comparison of Eqs. (2) and (4) provides an estimation of $(\sqrt[\alpha]{\mu^\alpha \sim \lambda^\alpha})^\alpha = 1/\tau = 0.0075 \pm 0.0001 \text{ days}^{-1}$, leading to $\tau \approx 130$ days and $\mu = \lambda(1 + \epsilon)$ with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Taking, for instance, a rate of $\lambda = 10$ tasks arriving per day, the average rate of executing them is then estimated as $\mu \approx 10.6$ tasks per day. The closeness of $\mu$ to $\lambda$ signals the proximity to the bifurcation from the law (2) to the much slower decay $P(t) \sim 1/t^{5/2}$ occurring for $\mu \leq \lambda$ [5]. We note again that all previously mentioned examples [8–13] report an exponent $\alpha = 1.5 \pm 0.2$, in agreement with the queuing regime $\mu \leq \lambda$ where the execution rate is smaller than the task arrival rate, whereas this example clearly fits into the regime $\mu > \lambda$. Our present model seems quite parsimonious with just one exponent of the power law, which is predicted without adjustment to be equal to 5/2, plus a weak exponential taper.

IV. DISCUSSION OF LONG-TERM BEHAVIOR

In this section, we want to take a closer look into the underlying assumptions of our conclusions. Many other studies have documented a power law decay in time of the rate of responses to shocks in human societies which, according to the above reasoning, can be rationalized as revealing the distribution of individual waiting time distributions. This is precisely correct when there is no significant “epidemic” process in which individuals’ actions may be triggered indirectly by the initial shock via the influence of previously active individuals. On the other hand, Schweitzer and Mach [6] indeed reported the epidemics in donation behavior. In order to verify that there is no contradiction involved, one has to consider the time scales explicitly. As shown in [6], the epidemic dynamics is effective mostly for early times, i.e., close to the maximum of daily donations ($\log_{10} t = 1$) and disappeared already at about $\log_{10} t = 1.4$ (January 20). The current analysis, on the other hand, holds only for the long-term dynamics and provides a reasonable fit only for times $\log_{10} t > 1.4$, where epidemic influences are indeed negligible, in agreement with the derivation of Eq. (4).

The precise mapping between the power law decay of the rate of activity to the power law distribution of waiting times is thus valid only in the subcritical regime characterized by a branching ratio less than 1 [8,14,15]. In the critical regime where cascades of triggering occur, the power law decay in time of the rate of activity is renormalized by the social epidemic process [14]. The precise mapping or its renormalized version applies, for instance, in the relaxation of the rate of downloads after the publication of an interview [9], in the relaxation dynamics of book sales on Amazon.com and video views on YouTube™ [8,10,11], in the dynamics of visitations of a major news portal [12], and in the decay of popularity of internet blog posts [13], as well as in the relaxation of financial volatility after a peak [16].

As a second point, we would like to give an interpretation of the behavior observed after January 20, i.e., in the tail of the relaxation of the donation rate after the main peak of activity. In accordance with the theoretical prediction [5], we observed a highly attentive regime characterized by $\mu > \lambda$, i.e., the effective rate of performing tasks is larger than the rate of arriving tasks. Different from the early times (at about the maximum of donations per day) where herding played the dominant role, people donating at later times were really in the regime to act on purpose (“attention”), rather than being “forced” to donate.

We argue that the highly attentive regime is caused by an effective increase in $\mu$, rather than by an effective decrease in $\lambda$, and that the increase in $\mu$ is the result of an extensive
media coverage after the tsunami disaster. By being continuously exposed to the devastating effects of the tsunami, individuals which have not donated so far have seen their donation execution rate renormalized upward into what could be called the “highly attentive” regime $\mu > \lambda$, from what appears to be the more ubiquitous overburdened regime $\mu \leq \lambda$.

Rather than increasing the effective rate $\mu$ of performing tasks, one could argue that a large part of the donations were not triggered by the tsunami itself, but by the intense media coverage that extended continuously over the period covered by our data. This would then predict a broad distribution of task arrival times spanning the whole time interval over which the media were highly active. But, together with the usual overburdened regime $\mu \leq \lambda$ leading to a slower relaxation of the donation rate with exponent $\alpha = 3/2$, this would create an even slower decay of the relaxation rate, given roughly by the convolution of the broad distribution of media-triggered task arrival times with the slow response to each of them. We conclude that this cannot be the explanation for the much faster decay (4). The impact of the media attention is most likely to result in the creation of a highly attentive regime, leading to an increased focus placed on this donation task, quantified by $\mu > \lambda$. The next step is to validate our hypothesis on a different data set, which we proceed to perform in the next section.

V. PRELIMINARY VALIDATION USING YOUTUBE DATA

To our knowledge, the tsunami donation data provide the first empirical example of the second predicted regime $\mu > \lambda$ [17]. Compared with the exponents of the power law rate of responses to shocks in human societies discussed above which are all on the order of or smaller than 3/2, the tsunami donation experiment is arguably the only one with such an exceptional media coverage, both in intensity and duration. We conjecture that the intense pressure by the combined media led to an effective increase in the rate of execution of this donation task. Our conjecture can be formulated more generally as follows.

Conjecture 1

1. If a social activity following a singular task presented at approximately the same time to a population enjoys continuous media exposure or is subjected to other persistent attention grasping processes, we should expect it to decay according to Eq. (4) [as predicted by Eq. (2)] if social interactions can be neglected (corresponding to the exogenous subcritical regime, in the classification of Ref. [8]).

2. Reciprocally, if an activity following some shock is observed to decay according to Eq. (4), one should expect to find evidence for continuous media exposure or for other mechanisms promoting the highly attentive regime.

In the following, we discuss a preliminary verification of the second element of Conjecture 1. By analyzing the relaxation response of the daily view counts for nearly $5 \times 10^6$ videos on the popular video sharing website YouTube, we performed an ex post investigation to determine whether the data with the characteristic relaxation signature of $1/t^{3/2}$ could be clearly linked to events which received significant media focus. Briefly, each video is described by a time series of its daily view count, collected each day over a period of up to 1.5 years. We extract the relaxation exponents using a least-squares fit on the logarithm of the data. A full description of this data and the methodology used for the analysis has been previously reported in [8]. Selecting time series whose daily view count experiences a burst followed by a relaxation best fit given by

$$V(t) \sim \frac{1}{t^\alpha},$$

where $V(t)$ is the daily view count and $\alpha = 2.5 \pm 0.1$, returns 7230 matching videos.

Figure 3 shows the 7230 videos which are ranked according to the maximum view count received on a single day. As a condition for the application of the conjecture, we should only consider the videos which experienced a large sudden “burst” of activity, since this ensures that social interactions can be neglected and the relaxation dynamics falls into the exogenous subcritical regime according to the classification of Ref. [8]. We thus focus our attention on the top 124 videos that each received more than 50 000 views on a single day, as delineated with the dashed vertical line in Fig. 3.

While it is not possible to detail the context and content of each of the 124 videos, some very clear trends emerge. Examining these videos carefully, we find that 37 ($\approx 30\%$) refer to items for which we could find news articles or press releases. Of these, 24 ($\approx 19\%$) refer directly to news events (political figures, celebrities, etc.), five ($\approx 4\%$) are related to movie releases, and the most interesting result is that eight ($\approx 7\%$) are on the topic of Saddam Hussein’s execution. The
dynamics for these last eight videos are shown in Fig. 4, and we see that they are very similar indeed to the donation data.

While these results certainly do not prove our conjecture that media focus can modulate our innate task execution rate \( \mu \), they support our interpretation and provide plausible evidence that such a mechanism could be responsible for the observed exponent value of 5/2 in the empirical data. This conclusion is further supported by the fact that an exhaustive analysis of our entire YouTube database reveals that the typical decays of views are characterized by exponents clustering around three values \( \alpha = 0.2 \), \( \alpha = 0.6 \), and \( \alpha = 1.4 \), which have been understood as, respectively, associated with endogenous critical, exogenous critical, and exogenous subcritical regimes [8]. The value \( \alpha = 2.5 \) found here is thus very atypical and its observation associated with particular highly attentive regimes is particularly revealing.

**VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

The contributions of the present work are (i) the empirical support for the proposed regime describing individual waiting time distributions and (ii) the further support provided to the interpretation that this regime results from a media-enhanced task execution rate that modifies the distribution with an exponent of 3/2 to a thinner tailed distribution with a larger exponent of 5/2. These results open a number of possibilities to quantitative studies on the manipulation of execution rates of ensembles of individuals. A more rigorous test of this approach would search for “singular events,” which propose some task to be completed, and determine whether one can obtain some quantitative measure of attention grasping mechanisms in the form of search queries, video views, purchases, downloads, or some other suitably well-defined quantities. While we believe that we have found strong evidence supporting our claim to have observed a regime governing individual dynamics, designing an experiment to test the conjecture _a priori_ would provide direct evidence.

Finally, this work emphasizes the important contributions that can be made to understanding individual waiting time distributions by investigating the dynamical collective response to “shocks” or other events with a clearly identifiable footprint in time. While other studies have implicitly used this approach to rationalize their data, we do not believe that this point has been stressed or that this approach has been fully exploited in the literature. It may not be _per se_ surprising that media focus can enhance our implicit rate for taking action. However, it is quite remarkable that this can produce clearly identifiable signatures in the dynamics of collective action. Hence, these findings may open a new frontier for the quantitative study of individual and social activity.

Further work would involve combination of similar data from other comparable activities, such as the earthquake that struck Haiti on January 12, 2010, combined with social studies, such as questionnaires, interviews, and other tools probing the real motivation and media exposure of donors. The Haitian disaster could also serve as a good test case, as some of the media coverage consisted of descriptions of mishandling the international help, and such coverage could, according to our reasoning, significantly decrease the donations.

[17] After this paper was made available online, we were informed by the authors of a paper on bloggers’ behavior that they also found an exponent larger than 2 in their data; M. Mitrovic and B. Tadic, Eur. Phys. J. B 73, 293 (2010).