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THE JORDAN FORMS OF $AB$ AND $BA^*$

ROSS A. LIPPERT† AND GILBERT STRANG‡

Abstract. The relationship between the Jordan forms of the matrix products $AB$ and $BA$ for some given $A$ and $B$ was first described by Harley Flanders in 1951. Their non-zero eigenvalues and non-singular Jordan structures are the same, but their singular Jordan block sizes can differ by 1. We present an elementary proof that owes its simplicity to a novel use of the Weyr characteristic.
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1. Introduction. Suppose $A$ and $B$ are $n \times n$ complex matrices, and suppose $A$ is invertible. Then $AB = A(BA)A^{-1}$. The matrices $AB$ and $BA$ are similar. They have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities, and more than that, they have the same Jordan form. This conclusion is equally true if $B$ is invertible.

If both $A$ and $B$ are singular (and square), a limiting argument involving $A + \epsilon I$ is useful. In this case $AB$ and $BA$ still have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. What the argument does not prove (because it is not true) is that $AB$ is similar to $BA$. Their Jordan forms may be different, in the sizes of the blocks associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$. This paper studies that difference in the block sizes.

The block sizes can increase or decrease by 1. This is illustrated by an example in which $AB$ has Jordan blocks of sizes 2 and 1 while $BA$ has three 1 by 1 blocks. We could begin with Jordan matrices $A$ and $B$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The product $AB$ is zero. The product $BA$ also has a triple zero eigenvalue but the
rank is 1. In fact, $BA$ is in Jordan form:

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A different 3 by 3 example illustrates another possibility:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Those examples show all the possible differences for $n = 3$, when $AB$ is nilpotent. More generally, we want to find every possible pair of Jordan forms for $AB$ and $BA$, for any $n \times m$ matrix $A$ and $m \times n$ matrix $B$ over an algebraically closed field.

The solution to this problem, generalized to matrices over an arbitrary field, was given over 50 years ago by Harley Flanders [3], with subsequent generalizations and specializations [4, 6]. In this article, we give a novel elementary proof by using the Weyr characteristic.

2. The Weyr Characteristic. There are two dual descriptions of the Jordan block sizes for a specific eigenvalue. We can list the block dimensions $\sigma_i$ in decreasing order, giving the row lengths in Figure 2.1. This is the Segre characteristic. We can also list the column lengths $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots$ (they automatically come in decreasing order).

![Fig. 2.1. A tableau representing the Jordan structure $J_4 \oplus J_4 \oplus J_2 \oplus J_1$.](image-url)
This is the Weyr characteristic. By convention, we define $\sigma_i$ and $\omega_i$ for all $i > 0$ by setting them to 0 for sufficiently large $i$. If we consider $\{\sigma_i\}$ and $\{\omega_i\}$ to be partitions of their common sum $n$, then they are conjugate partitions: $\sigma_i$ counts the number of $j$'s for which $\omega_j \geq i$ and vice versa. The relationship between conjugate partitions $\{\sigma_i\}$ and $\{\omega_i\}$ is compactly summarized by $\omega_{\sigma_i} \geq i > \omega_{\sigma_i+1}$ (or by $\sigma_{\omega_i} \geq i > \sigma_{\omega_i+1}$), the first inequality making sense only when $\sigma_i > 0$. Tying the two descriptions to linear algebra is the nullity index $\nu_j$:

$$\nu_j(A) = \dim \text{Null}(A^j) = \text{dimension of the nullspace of } A^j \text{ (with } \nu_0(A) = 0).$$

Thus $\nu_j$ counts the number of generalized eigenvectors for $\lambda = 0$ with height $j$ or less. In the example in Figure 2.1, $\nu_0, \ldots, \nu_5$ are 0, 4, 7, 9, 11. Then $\omega_j = \nu_j - \nu_{j-1}$ counts the number of Jordan blocks of size $i$ or greater for $\lambda = 0$. Further exposition of the Weyr characteristic can be found in [5] and some geometric applications in [1, 2].

Our main theorem is captured in the statement that $\omega_i(BA) \geq \omega_{i+1}(AB)$. Reversing $A$ and $B$ gives a parallel inequality that we re-index as $\omega_{i-1}(AB) \geq \omega_i(BA)$. This observation, although in different terms, was central to the original proof by Flanders [3].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraically closed field. Given $A, B^t \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$, the non-singular Jordan blocks of $AB$ and $BA$ have matching sizes, i.e., their Weyr characteristics are equal:

$$\omega_i(AB - \lambda I) = \omega_i(BA - \lambda I) \quad \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and all } i. \quad (2.1)$$

For the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, the Jordan forms of $AB$ and $BA$ have Weyr characteristics that satisfy

$$\omega_{i-1}(AB) \geq \omega_i(BA) \geq \omega_{i+1}(AB) \quad \text{for all } i, \quad (2.2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$|\sigma_i(AB) - \sigma_i(BA)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i. \quad (2.3)$$

If $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m}$ satisfy $\omega_i(P - \lambda I) = \omega_i(Q - \lambda I)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\omega_{i-1}(P) \leq \omega_i(Q) \leq \omega_{i+1}(P)$, then there exist $A, B^t \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ such that $P = AB$ and $Q = BA$.

The equivalence of (2.2) and (2.3) is purely a combinatorial property of conjugate partitions (see Lemma 3.2).

The Jordan block sizes are hence restricted to change by at most 1 for $\lambda = 0$. Taking Figure 2.1 as the Jordan structure of $AB$ at $\lambda = 0$, Figure 2.2 is an admissible modification (by + and −) for $BA$. 
3. Main results. Our results are ultimately derived from the associativity of matrix multiplication. A typical example is

$$B(AB\cdots AB) = (BA\cdots BA)B.$$  

**Theorem 3.1.** If $A$ and $B^t$ are $n \times m$ matrices over a field $F$, then for all $i > 0$

$$\omega_i(AB - \lambda I) = \omega_i(BA - \lambda I) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in F - \{0\}$$

$$\omega_i(BA) \geq \omega_{i+1}(AB) \quad \text{for } \lambda = 0.$$

**Proof.** (For $\lambda \neq 0$) For any polynomial $p(x)$, $p(BA)B = Bp(AB)$. Thus $p(AB)v = 0$ implies $p(BA)Bv = 0$. Since $Bv = 0$ implies $p(AB)v = p(0)v$, we have $\dim \text{Null}(p(AB)) = \dim \text{Null}(p(BA))$ when $p(0) \neq 0$. Hence $\nu_i(AB - \lambda I) = \nu_i(BA - \lambda I)$ when $\lambda \neq 0$.

(For $\lambda = 0$) We define the following nullspaces for $i \geq 0$:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \{v \in F^n : B(AB)^i v = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_i' = \{v \in F^n : (AB)^i v = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{v \in F^m : v^t(BA)^i = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_i' = \{v \in F^m : v^t(BA)^i B = 0\}$$

We see that $\mathcal{R}_i \subset \mathcal{R}_{i+1}'$ and $\mathcal{L}_i \subset \mathcal{L}_{i+1}'$, and $\dim(\mathcal{R}_{i+1}) - \dim(\mathcal{R}_i) = \dim(\mathcal{L}_{i+1}') - \dim(\mathcal{L}_i')$.

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathcal{R}_{i+1}'$ be a set of vectors that are linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{R}_{i+1}$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i \in \mathcal{R}_{i+1}$ only if $c_1 = \cdots = c_k = 0$. Then the vectors
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The first part of Theorem 3.1 says that the Jordan structures of $AB$ and $BA$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ are identical, if $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. For a general field, the results can be adapted to show that the elementary divisors of $AB$ and $BA$, that do not have zero as a root, are the same. An illustration is helpful in understanding the constraints implied by the second part, $\omega_{i-1}(BA) \geq \omega_i(BA) \geq \omega_{i+1}(AB)$. Suppose the tableau in Figure 3.1 represents the Jordan form of $AB$ at $\lambda = 0$. Theorem 3.1 constrains the tableau of the Jordan form of $BA$ at $\lambda = 0$ to be that of $AB$ plus or minus the areas covered by the circles of Figure 3.2.

The constraints on Weyr characteristics are equivalent to constraining the block sizes of the Jordan forms of $AB$ and $BA$ to differ by no more than 1. Although this
Given \( AB \) (boxes), Theorem 3.1 imposes these constraints on the Weyr characteristic of \( BA \) (a circle can be added or subtracted from each row of the tableau):

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 & \geq 6, 9 \geq \omega_2 \geq 6, 6 \geq \omega_3 \geq 4, 6 \geq \omega_4 \geq 3, 4 \geq \omega_5 \geq 3, 3 \geq \omega_6 \geq 2, 3 \geq \omega_7 \geq 2, 2 \geq \omega_8 \geq 0, 2 \geq \omega_9 \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The equivalence “is not hard to see” \cite{3} from Figure 3.1, it warrants a short proof. Taking \( d = 1 \), Lemma 3.2 establishes the equivalence of (2.2) and (2.3).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \) and \( p'_1 \geq p'_2 \geq \cdots \) be partitions of \( n \) and \( n' \) with conjugate partitions \( q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \cdots \) and \( q'_1 \geq q'_2 \geq \cdots \). Let \( d \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[
q'_i \geq q_{i+d} \quad \text{and} \quad q_i \geq q'_{i+d} \quad \text{for all} \quad i > 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad |p_i - p'_i| \leq d \quad \text{for all} \quad i > 0.
\]

**Proof.** If \( p'_i > d \), then \( q'_i \geq i > q_{p_i+1} \) by the conjugacy conditions. By hypothesis, \( q_{p_i-d} \geq q'_{p_i} > q_{p_i+1} \) and thus \( p'_i - d < p_i + 1 \) since \( q_j \) is monotonically decreasing in \( j \). Thus \( p'_i \leq p_i + d \) (trivially true when \( p'_i \leq d \)). By a symmetric argument (switching primed and unprimed), we have \( p_i \leq p'_i + d \).

Conversely, if \( q_{i+d} > 0 \), then \( p'_i \geq p_{q_{i+d}} - d \geq (i + d) - d = i > p'_{q_{i+d}} \), the first inequality by hypothesis and the next two by the conjugacy conditions. Since \( p'_j \) is monotonically decreasing, we have \( q_{i+d} < q'_i + 1 \), and thus \( q_{i+d} \leq q'_i \) for all \( i > 0 \) (trivially true when \( q_{i+d} = 0 \)). A symmetric argument gives \( q'_{i+d} \leq q_i \).

What remains is to show that the constraints in Theorem 3.1 are exhaustive; we can construct matrices \( A, B \) that realize all the possibilities of the theorem. Here we find it easier to use the traditional Segre characteristic of block sizes \( \sigma_i \):

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \) and \( \sigma'_1 \geq \sigma'_2 \geq \cdots \) be partitions of \( n \) and \( m \) respectively.

If \( |\sigma_i - \sigma'_i| \leq 1 \), then there exist \( n \times m \) matrices \( A \) and \( B^t \) such that \( \sigma_j(AB) = \sigma_j \) and \( \sigma_j(BA) = \sigma'_j \).
Proof. For each \( j \) such that \( \sigma_j \) and \( \sigma_j' \geq 1 \), we construct \( \sigma_j \times \sigma_j' \) matrices \( A_j \) and \( B_j \) such that \( A_j B_j = J_{\sigma_j}(0) \) and \( B_j A_j = J_{\sigma_j'}(0) \) according to these three cases:

1. \( \sigma_j = \sigma_j' \): set \( A_j = J_{\sigma_j}(0) \) and \( B_j = I_{\sigma_j} \),
2. \( \sigma_j + 1 = \sigma_j' \): set \( A_j = [0 \ I_{\sigma_j}] \) and \( B_j = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\sigma_j'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \),
3. \( \sigma_j = \sigma_j' + 1 \): set \( A_j = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\sigma_j'} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( B_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{\sigma_j'} \end{bmatrix} \).

This defines \( k = \min\{\omega_1(AB), \omega_1(BA)\} \) matrix pairs \( (A_j, B_j) \). Consider \( \{\sigma_j\} \) as a partition for \( n \) rows and \( \{\sigma_j'\} \) as a partition for \( m \) columns. Construct the block diagonal matrix \( A = \text{diag}(A_1, \ldots, A_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \) with zeros filling any remaining lower right part. Then with partitions \( \{\sigma_j'\} \) for \( m \) rows and \( \{\sigma_j\} \) for \( n \) columns let \( B = \text{diag}(B_1, \ldots, B_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \). □

The final construction merely stitches together a singular piece with a non-singular piece.

Corollary 3.4. Let \( P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \) and \( Q \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m} \) have Segre characteristics \( \sigma_i^\lambda \) and \( \sigma_i^0 \) for each eigenvalue \( \lambda \), i.e.
\[
P \sim \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, i > 0} J_{\sigma_i^\lambda}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad Q \sim \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}, i > 0} J_{\sigma_i^0}(\lambda).
\]
If \( \sigma_i^\lambda = \sigma_i^0 \) for all \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( |\sigma_i^0 - \sigma_i^0| \leq 1 \), then there exist matrices \( A \) and \( B' \) in \( \mathbb{F}^{n \times m} \) such that \( P = AB \) and \( Q = BA \).

Proof. If \( \tilde{P} = X^{-1}PX \) and \( \tilde{Q} = Y^{-1}QY \) are in canonical form with \( \tilde{P} = \tilde{A}\tilde{B} \) and \( \tilde{Q} = \tilde{B}\tilde{A} \), then setting \( A = X\tilde{A}\tilde{Y}^{-1} \) and \( B = Y\tilde{B}\tilde{X}^{-1} \), we have \( P = AB \) and \( Q = BA \). Hence we take \( P \) and \( Q \) to be in canonical form.

Let \( M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} \bigoplus_{i > 0} J_{\sigma_i}(\lambda) \), i.e., \( M \) is a (non-singular) \( k \times k \) matrix in Jordan canonical form with Segre characteristic \( \sigma_i^\lambda \), where \( k = \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \sum_{i} \sigma_i^\lambda \). Let \( A_0 \) and \( B_0 \) be the \( A \) and \( B \) matrices from Theorem 3.3 with \( \sigma_i = \sigma_i^0 \) and \( \sigma_i' = \sigma_i^0 \). Then \( A = M \oplus A_0 \) and \( B = I_k \oplus B_0 \). □
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