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A hitherto unknown mechanism for wetting transition is reported. When a pendant drop settles upon deposition, there is a virtual “collision” where its center of gravity undergoes rapid deceleration. This induces a high water hammer-type pressure that causes wetting transition. A new phase diagram shows that both large and small droplets can transition to wetted states due to the new deceleration driven and the previously known Laplace mechanisms, respectively. It is explained how the attainment of a nonwetted Cassie-Baxter state is more restrictive than previously known.
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Textured surfaces have gained widespread attention due to their utility in a variety of applications such as self-cleaning surfaces, low drag (high slip) materials, substrates for efficient dropwise condensation heat transfer, among others [1–4]. The performance in many applications relies greatly on the wetting state of liquid droplets on rough hydrophobic surfaces. In one of the states, droplets reside on top of roughness features, i.e., in a Cassie-Baxter (CB) state [3]. Droplets that impale the roughness grooves, i.e., in a Wenzel state [3], represent another commonly observed scenario. Recent experimental work has successfully revealed pressure-induced transition from the CB to the Wenzel state on rough hydrophobic substrates with pillar geometries [5–14]. There are two primary mechanisms by which transition can be induced by high pressure of the liquid: depinning and sag mechanisms [6,7,10,14]. A liquid-air interface hangs between pillars in the CB state. The interface is curved due to the pressure difference across it [7,10,14]. If the hanging interface is such that it cannot remain pinned at the pillar tops, then it proceeds downward into the roughness grooves and fully wets the surface. Even when a liquid-air interface can remain pinned at the pillar tops, transition to the Wenzel state is possible if the sag in the curved liquid-air interface is such that it touches the bottom of the roughness groove [7,10].

For a droplet to remain in the CB state, the transition-inducing wetting pressures $P_{\text{wet}}$ must be less than the antiwetting pressure $P_{\text{antiwet}}$, which is the capillary pressure $P_C$ in the case of a textured surface [5–13]. In the case of a Laplace pressure-induced transition, a smaller droplet will more readily transition to a Wenzel state. Another mechanism of transition driven by gravity was implicated by Yoshimitsu et al. [15]. They found that larger droplets, above a critical size, transitioned to the Wenzel state. This result is opposite of the Laplace pressure-induced transition. This is surprising because the water droplets used in their experiments [15] were 1–12 mg, where gravity is not expected to play a dominant role during deposition. Usually, gravity is expected to be comparable to or larger than the surface tension forces for water droplets 82 mg or larger [16]. It has remained unclear if these data are repeatable, or, if repeatable, the details of the transition process are unclear. Our goal is to revisit this long standing and unresolved claim about gravity-based transition at small scales [15].

Careful experiments are reported here with two different methods of depositing a droplet on the substrate. It is found that if deposited quasistatically, which will be elaborated in this Letter, the CB droplet does not undergo gravity-driven transition to a Wenzel state. However, when a pendant drop is deposited, transition is induced—the cause for which will be explained based on a new deceleration-driven mechanism. This mechanism has broader implications to droplet impact [6], wetting on vibrating surfaces [8], and wetting in ink-jet printing [17].

The wetting experiments reported here were conducted on superhydrophobic surfaces consisting of arrays of 10 μm square posts, shown in Fig. 1(a). The Si micropost arrays were fabricated via standard photolithography processes and modified with a thin coating of fluorosilane (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl-trichlorosilane, Sigma Aldrich) by vapor phase deposition. The advancing contact angle of water on smooth fluorinated silicon was measured using a goniometer to be 120° ± 3°. The array of square posts produced superhydrophobic surfaces whose capillary pressure $P_C$ is given by [18,19]

$$P_C = \frac{\sigma}{a} \left[ -4 \cos \theta_a \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma$ is the surface tension of water, $\theta_a$ is the advancing contact angle on a smooth surface, $a$ is the post width, and $b$ is the spacing between posts. The wetting experiments were performed with various droplet volumes using two deposition methods. The wetting transition was detected by a...
dramatic decrease in contact angle and increase in droplet adhesion. Droplet volume was controlled with an automatic dispensing system having a volume step resolution of 0.02 μL. In the first method, to approximate a quasistatic deposition, droplets were deposited onto surfaces with post spacings ranging from 40 to 75 μm using a 30-gauge stainless steel needle so as to minimize the adhesion forces of the needle. After forming a stable CB sessile droplet on the textured substrate, its volume was increased at a rate of 0.2 μL per second. The needle was not detached from the droplet. As the volume of these CB droplets increased, no transition was observed even as the droplet volumes surpassed 500 μL (500 mg). The droplet seen in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) provides unambiguous evidence that a gravity-based transition is not observed even for droplets much larger than the critical mass of 82 mg where gravitational and surface tension forces are of the same order for water. These experimental observations show that the CB-to-Wenzel transition can occur not only for small droplets (due to the well-understood Laplace mechanism) but also for large droplets.

To further understand the transition of larger droplets, high-speed images of wetting interactions during gentle deposition of large droplets were recorded at 8500 fps. The image sequence for the 75 μm spaced substrate is shown in Fig. 3 and the corresponding movie is provided in the supplemental material [21]. It is seen that initially, as the droplet settles on the substrate, there are surface perturbations and shape changes. A dominant feature that is observed is that the center of gravity (CG) of the droplet is lowered by a length scale Δ ~ 1 mm on a time scale t_{fall} ~ 10 ms that corresponds to the free fall time scale (i.e., Δ ~ g t_{fall}^2). This motion of the CG gives rise to a velocity $V_{fall} = \sqrt{2g\Delta}$ of the CG (see supplemental material [21]).

If it is assumed that the pressure scales as the convective term in the Navier-Stokes equations, then the corresponding steady Bernoulli-type dynamic wetting pressure $P_D = \rho V_{fall}^2 / 2$. This pressure is calculated here to be on the order of 10 Pa. The antiwetting capillary pressure $P_C$, calculated for 75 μm spacing using Eq. (1), is 202 Pa and far exceeds the steady Bernoulli-type dynamic wetting pressure of 10 Pa calculated above. Therefore, it cannot explain the transition of the droplet to the Wenzel state. The high-speed images in Fig. 3 show that the CG stops moving down, representing a virtual collision with the substrate, in a very short time scale that is less than the millisecond scale time resolution of the high-speed camera. Transition to the Wenzel state occurs during this time, and is followed by capillary waves. We propose that during this rapid deceleration, the pressure must scale predominantly with the time derivative inertia term in the Navier-Stokes equations. Rapid deceleration can produce a large water-hammer-type pressure [6] that is given as $P_{WH} = k \rho V_{fall}^2 C$, where $k$ is a constant depending on the type of collision, shape, and velocity of the droplet [22], and $C$ is the speed of sound. For the current scenario with low velocity and large droplet size, $k = 0.001$ (see supplemental material)
by (see supplemental material [21]). This implies \( P_{\text{WH}} = 2000 \text{ Pa} \), which is significantly larger than the antiwetting capillary pressure to cause transition. Thus, energy can be channeled by rapid deceleration into a large water hammer-type pressure that can result in transition to the Wenzel state.

Next, we estimate the critical size of the droplets that can undergo CB-to-Wenzel transition via the deceleration mechanism. The displacement \( \Delta \) can be estimated by considering the reduction in potential energy and the eventual gain in surface energy [23] as \( \Delta \sim \rho g R^3/\sigma \), where \( R \) is the radius of the droplet. As the volume of the droplet increases, so do \( \Delta \) and \( V_{\text{fall}} \); and, ultimately, the water hammer pressure. The capillary pressure \( P_C \) given in Eq. (1) can be generalized to arrays of posts with other prismatic cross sections in terms of the solid-liquid contact perimeter \( P \) and the liquid-vapor interfacial area \( A \) projected onto a horizontal surface in one unit cell. It is given by (see supplemental material [21])

\[
P_C = -\sigma P \cos \theta_a/A = \sigma/\ell_r,
\]

where \( \ell_r \) defined in Eq. (2) is the length scale associated with the average radius of curvature of the liquid meniscus required to impale the roughness features. The critical droplet radius \( R_{\text{WH}}^* \) for transition can be obtained by equating the deceleration-based water hammer pressure \( P_{\text{WH}} \) to the capillary pressure \( P_C \) of the surface and is given by

\[
\frac{R_{\text{WH}}^*}{\ell_r} = \left( \frac{\ell_C}{\ell_r} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\ell_C}{\ell_r} \right)^{5/3},
\]

where \( \ell_C = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho g} \) is the capillary length based on the balance between the gravitational and surface energies. \( \ell_C = 2k^2C^2/g \) is a length scale based on the balance between sound wave and gravitational energies. Similar expressions for critical droplet radii \( R_D^* \) based on the dynamic and Laplace pressures, respectively, are

\[
\frac{R_D^*}{\ell_C} = \left( \frac{\ell_C}{\ell_r} \right)^{4/3}; \quad \frac{R_L^*}{\ell_C} = 2.
\]

According to the above mechanisms, transition will occur if \( R > R_{\text{WH}}^* \) or \( R_D^* \) and if \( R < R_L^* \). It is noted that the Laplace mechanism based condition for \( R_L^* \) is independent of the capillary length scale \( \ell_C \); i.e., gravity does not play a role. This is as expected because the droplets are assumed to be smaller than the capillary length scale [10]. For square posts, it follows from Eq. (1) that \( \ell_r = 2(b(1 + b/2a))/(-4\cos\theta_a) \). Thus, when \( b/a \) is small, \( \ell_r \sim b/(-2\cos\theta_a) \), which implies that \( R_L^* \sim b/(-\cos\theta_a) \). This is the same as the scaling for Laplace pressure-based transition according to the depinning mechanism [18]. When \( b/a \) is large, \( \ell_r \sim b^2/(-4a\cos\theta_a) \), which implies that \( R_L^* \sim b^2/(-2a\cos\theta_a) \sim b^2/a \) (the last reduction in scaling is an equality when \( \theta_a = 120^\circ \) as in our case). This is the same as the scaling for transition according to the sag mechanism [7,10] when the post height \( H \sim a \); in our case \( H = a \). Thus, in our case, the condition in Eq. (4) captures both the depinning and sag based transitions in their respective limits (see supplemental material [21]). Equations (3) and (4) show that the capillary length scale becomes relevant in the case of water hammer-based or dynamic pressure-based mechanisms. Figure 4 shows that the data are explained by the water hammer-based mechanism of transition.

In Fig. 4, we plot the critical radius of droplets as a function of the parameter \( \chi = \ell_r/\ell_C \) and find good agreement with the experimental data presented in this Letter. The region between the Laplace and water hammer curves represents the CB regime while regions outside represent the Wenzel regime. Furthermore, it is interesting to note that the water hammer-based critical radius \( R_{\text{WH}}^* \) and Laplace-based critical radius \( R_L^* \) intersect when
FIG. 4. Size-dependent phase diagram of droplets in CB and Wenzel states on textured hydrophobic surfaces. Predictions for normalized critical radius $R^*/\ell_\sigma$, of water droplets that undergo CB-to-Wenzel transition as a function of the surface parameter $\chi = \ell_r/\ell_\sigma$ based on different wetting pressures: water hammer pressure (solid), Laplace pressure (dashed), and dynamic pressure (dash-dotted). The region between the Laplace and water hammer curves represents CB regime while other regions represent the Wenzel regime. The experimental data are plotted as circles and consist of normalized droplet radii that are in CB (open circles) and Wenzel (filled circles) states.

\[ \chi = \left( \frac{\ell_r}{\ell_\sigma} \right)_{\text{crit}} = \left( \frac{\ell_\sigma}{8\ell_C} \right)^{1/5} \]  

Hence hydrophobic textures with $\chi \geq \chi_{\text{crit}}$ will always result in Wenzel wetting (such as the 100 $\mu$m spaced substrates in our experiments). Thus, it is seen that both large and small droplets transition to Wenzel states due to the deceleration and Laplace mechanisms, respectively. This results in a new regime of transition and a new phase diagram of droplet sizes in CB and Wenzel states.

In summary, we show that large droplets can undergo CB-to-Wenzel transition due to a rapid deceleration-induced water hammer-type mechanism during deposition. It can be argued that the source of energy for this transition could be the surface energy in the initially distorted droplet shape or the gravitational energy. We propose that the latter is plausible (see supplemental material [21]). It is seen that as droplets settle on a substrate, even during gentle deposition, the center of gravity (CG) is lowered on the time scale of free fall. Then, the CG stops moving down, representing virtual collision with the substrate on a very short time scale. This rapid deceleration produces a water hammer-type pressure that scales with the unsteady inertia term and causes a wetting transition. A new phase diagram is presented, as shown in Fig. 4, where both small and large droplets can transition based on Laplace and water hammer mechanisms, respectively. This insight is novel and shows that the attainment of a CB state, in the scenarios considered in this Letter, is more restrictive than previously known.
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[21] See supplemental material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.036102 for the derivation of Eq. (2) and discussions on transition criteria, pressure scaling, and the energy source.