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MINK and TNIK Differentially Act on Rap2-Mediated Signal Transduction to Regulate Neuronal Structure and AMPA Receptor Function
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Misshapen/NIKs (Nck-interacting kinases)-related kinase (MINK) and closely related TRAF2/Nck-interacting kinase (TNIK) are proteins that specifically bind to activated Rap2 and are thus hypothesized to relay its downstream signal transduction. Activated Rap2 has been found to stimulate dendritic pruning, reduce synaptic density and cause removal of synaptic AMPA receptors (AMPA-Rs) (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). Here we report that MINK and TNK are postsynaptically enriched proteins whose clustering within dendrites is bidirectionally regulated by the activation state of Rap2. Expression of MINK and TNK in neurons is required for normal dendritic arborization and surface expression of AMPA receptors. Overexpression of a truncated MINK mutant unable to interact with Rap2 leads to reduced dendritic branching and this MINK-mediated effect on neuronal morphology is dependent upon Rap2 activation. While similarly truncated TNK also reduces neuronal complexity, its effect does not require Rap2 activity. Furthermore, Rap2-mediated removal of surface AMPA-Rs from spines is entirely abrogated by coexpression of MINK, but not TNK. Thus, although both MINK and TNK bind GTP-bound Rap2, these kinases employ distinct mechanisms to modulate Rap2-mediated signaling. MINK appears to antagonize Rap2 signal transduction by binding to activated Rap2. We suggest that MINK interaction with Rap2 plays a critical role in maintaining the morphological integrity of dendrites and synaptic transmission.

Introduction

Ras GTPases, including Ras, Rap1 and Rap2, instigate signaling pathways controlling synaptic structure and function. In neurons, Rap proteins appear to play largely opposing roles to Ras signal transduction. For instance, overexpression of constitutively active Ras stimulates dendritic spine outgrowth, whereas constitutively active Rap2 induces spine loss and dendrite shortening (Fu et al., 2007). The strength of synapses is modifiable and may be increased via long-term potentiation (LTP) or decreased via long-term depression (LTD). These synaptic modifications involve regulated trafficking of AMPA-Rs (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Bear and Malenka, 1994; Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Bretd and Nicoll, 2003; Collingridge et al., 2004; Miyamoto, 2006; Shepherd and Huganir, 2007; Hanley, 2008). Ras, Rap1 and Rap2 are essential regulators of AMPA-R trafficking; Ras activation has been associated with LTP, whereas Rap1 and Rap2 have been implicated in LTD and depotentiation, respectively (J. J. Zhu et al., 2002, Y. Zhu et al., 2005; for review, see Stornetta and Zhu, 2010). These studies suggest Ras function may be related to growth and strengthening of synapses, while Rap1 and Rap2 activation are implicated in elimination and weakening of synapses.

Misshapen/NIKs (Nck-interacting kinases)-related kinase (MINK) and TRAF2/Nck-interacting kinase (TNIK) are members of the germinal center kinase (GCK) IV family of proteins (Fu et al., 1999; Dan et al., 2000). GCK proteins are characterized by an N-terminal kinase domain and a C-terminal citron homology (CNH) domain separated by a variable region of lower sequence homology (Dan et al., 2001). MINK and TNIK specifically bind to Rap2 via their CNH domains (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008). Since they only bind GTP-bound Rap2, MINK and TNK are presumed to be downstream targets or effectors of Rap2 (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008; Kawabe et al., 2010).

Proteomic analyses suggest that MINK and TNK may be components of the postsynaptic density (PSD) (Jordan et al., 2004; Peng et al., 2004; Collins et al., 2006; Trinidad et al., 2008). Here we demonstrate that MINK and TNK are highly enriched in the PSD. Loss of neuronal MINK or TNK expression leads to removal of surface AMPA-Rs and simplification of neuronal arbors. Notably, both of these phenotypes result from Rap2 activation (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). We have determined that a truncated MINK unable to interact...
with Rap2 causes atrophy of dendritic arbors in a manner that requires activation of Rap2. Furthermore, MINK, but not TNIK overexpression, is sufficient to disrupt Rap2-mediated removal of AMPA-Rs, suggesting that MINK and TNIK differentially impinge upon Rap2 signaling. Although MINK is proposed to act as an effector that simply transduces activated Rap2 signaling (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008), our data indicate that MINK functions as a negative regulator of Rap2-mediated signal transduction to control neuronal structure and AMPA-R trafficking.

Materials and Methods

DNA constructs. Full-length pCDNA3 Flag- and HA-tagged wild-type MINK, TNK, and MINK kinase dead mutants (K54R) were gifts from Ippei Dan (Kusumi Membrane Organizer Project, Nagoya, Japan). pGW1-HA-Rap2V12 (HA-Rap2(ca)) or pGW1-HA-Rap2N17 (HA-Rap2(n)) were gifts from D. Pak (Georgetown University, Washington, DC). Firefly Luciferase RNAi was generated as described previously (Seeburg and Sheng, 2008). The following oligonucleotides were annealed and cloned into the HindIII and BglII sites of pSuper vector to make constructs for TNK RNAi: 5′-GATCCCCATCTCGAGAAGTCATTAATCAAGAGAATTGCGATGGTGAGAGTACGGG-3′ and 5′-AGCTTTCTAAAACATCCTGAGAATTGCGATGGTGAGAGTACGGG-3′. The resultant pellet (P2) was resuspended in buffer 1, and spun again.

Materials and Methods

DNA constructs. Full-length pCDNA3 Flag- and HA-tagged wild-type MINK, TNK, and MINK kinase dead mutants (K54R) were gifts from Ippei Dan (Kusumi Membrane Organizer Project, Nagoya, Japan). pGW1-HA-Rap2V12 (HA-Rap2(ca)) or pGW1-HA-Rap2N17 (HA-Rap2(n)) were gifts from D. Pak (Georgetown University, Washington, DC). Firefly Luciferase RNAi was generated as described previously (Seeburg and Sheng, 2008). The following oligonucleotides were annealed and cloned into the HindIII and BglII sites of pSuper vector to make constructs for TNK RNAi: 5′-GATCCCCATCTCGAGAAGTCATTAATCAAGAGAATTGCGATGGTGAGAGTACGGG-3′ and 5′-AGCTTTCTAAAACATCCTGAGAATTGCGATGGTGAGAGTACGGG-3′. The resultant pellet (P2) was resuspended in buffer 1, and spun again.

Tissue distribution, PSD fractionation, and biochemistry. Postnuclear supernatants from different tissues and brain regions were prepared and processed for Western blot testing as described previously (Hussain et al., 1999). For PSD fractionation whole brains were homogenized in buffer 1 (0.32 M sucrose, 4 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) and spun at 1400 g for 10 min to generate supernatant (S) and pellet (P) fractions. The pellet (P1) was set aside and the supernatant (S1) was spun at 13,800 g for 15 min. The supernatant (S2) was set aside while the crude synaptosomal pellet (P2) was resuspended in buffer 1, and spun again. The resultant pellet (P2′) was washed with buffer 1 and then lysed hypotonically with ice-cold water. The pH was quickly brought to 7.4 by addition of concentrated HEPES, pH 7.4. The lysed P2′ was centrifuged for 20 min at 25,000 × g, giving rise to pellet PL1. This pellet was resuspended in 0.5% Triton X-100 and spun 20 min at 32,000 × g giving rise to the pellet PSD fraction which was resuspended in buffer 1. Hippocampal cultures grown for 13 or 20 d in vitro (DIV) were homogenized (H) in 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl, followed by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 10 min to generate supernatant (S) and pellet (P) fractions. Pellet fractions were resuspended in homogenization buffer.

Neuronal culture and immunostaining. Commercial antibodies used in this study include those against Rap1, Rap2, and HA (Covance), tubulin and Flag (Sigma-Aldrich), MINK, TNK, and MINK/TNIK (Genetex), Bassoon (Stressgen), GluR1 (Calbiochem), Alexa-conjugated phalloidin, and secondary antibodies (Invitrogen).

Hippocampal neurons were dissected from embryonic day 19 (E19) Sprague Dawley rat embryos, plated onto coated glass coverslips (30 μg/ml PDL and 2.5 μg/ml laminin), and cultured in Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) with B27 (Invitrogen), 0.5 mM glutamine, and 12.5 μM glutamate.

Neurons were transfected after 18 DIV and processed following 3–4 d of overexpression. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For RNAi experiments, each well of neurons was cotransfected with 1 μg of pGW1-DSRed2 or pGW1-Venus and 2.5 μg of pSuper or RNAi constructs. Neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose for 8 min. The cells were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C in 1 × GDB buffer (30 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 0.2% gelatin, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 0.8 mM NaCl), followed by secondary antibodies for 2–4 h. For AMPAR staining neurons were fixed for 6 min and incubated with GluR1 antibody overnight in 1× GDB buffer lacking Triton X-100. All subsequent primary and secondary antibody incubations were done in regular 1× GDB buffer as described above.

Electrophysiology. Organotypic slice cultures were prepared from postnatal day 7 hippocampi as described previously (Seeburg and Sheng, 2008). Rat brains were dissected in ice-cold buffer containing (in mM): sucrose (238), KCl (2.5), NaHCO3 (26), NaH2PO4 (1), glucose (11), MgCl2 (5), and CaCl2 (1). Hippocampi were cut into 350-μm-thick slices with a McIlwain tissue chopper, and plated on tissue inserts (Millipore) in wells with MEM (Cellgro) culture medium containing (in mM): glucose (26), NaHCO3 (5.8), HEPES (30), CaCl2 (2), MgSO4 (2), and supplemented with horse serum (20%), insulin (1 μg/ml), and ascorbic acid (0.0012%). Slices were incubated in 5% CO2 at 35°C.

Electrophysiological recordings were performed as described previously (Seeburg and Sheng, 2008). Neurons were transfected by biolistic gene gun at DIV 3–5 (100 μg of DNA; 90% test DNA construct; 10% eGFP marker) and recorded 3–4 d after transfection. Recordings were performed in solution containing (in mM): NaCl (119), KCl (2.5), CaCl2 (4), MgCl2 (4), NaHCO3 (26), NaH2PO4 (1), glucose (11), picrotoxin (0.1), and 2-chloroadenosine (0.002–0.004), and bubbled continuously with 5% CO2/95% O2. Patch recording pipettes (2.5–5 MΩ) were filled with internal solution containing (in mM): cesium methanesulfonate (115), CsCl (20), HEPES (10), MgCl2 (2.5), ATP disodium salt (4), GTP trisodium salt (0.4), sodium phosphocreatine (10), and EGTA (0.6), at pH 7.25. Simultaneous whole-cell recordings were obtained from a pair of transfected and nontransfected CA1 pyramidal neurons during stimulation of presynaptic Schaffer collaterals. For basal synaptic transmission experiments, presynaptic fibers were stimulated at 0.2 Hz, and AMPAR EPSCs were recorded at ~70 mV. Each data point represents an average of 60 consecutive synaptic responses. All recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier (Molecular Devices), and data were digitized at 20 kHz with Digidata 1322A (Molecular Devices). Analysis of recordings was performed using Clampfit software (Molecular Devices). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM, and statistical significance was assessed by ANOVA.

Microscopy and quantification. Fixed neurons were imaged with an LSM510 confocal microscope system (Zeiss). Confocal z-series image stacks encompassing entire dendrite segments were compressed into a single plane and analyzed using MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging). For all morphometric analyses 5 dendritic segments of 50 μm were collected from at least six neurons. Quantification of intrusion number per unit length of dendrite was obtained from DsRed channel images. For each construct, individual spine measurements were first grouped and averaged per neuron; means from several neurons were then averaged to obtain a population mean (presented as mean ± SEM). For integrated intensity quantification (i.e., average cluster intensity per unit area) different immunostained channels were parsed into separate images. Dendritic segments were outlined and a threshold level for each channel was manually set to exclude diffuse background staining, leaving only the puncta visible; the same threshold level was used for each neuron within an experiment. Statistical significance between samples was calculated using ANOVA.

Results

MINK and TNIK are neuronal proteins enriched in PSDs

To characterize MINK and TNIK proteins we first analyzed their tissue distribution. Western blot analysis with antibodies detecting both MINK and TNIK or specific to either protein (supplemental Fig. S1A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) revealed that they are predominately expressed in brain (Fig. 1A). Since both MINK and TNIK have been shown to interact with Rap2, but not Rap1 (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008), we also characterized the tissue distribution of Rap1 and Rap2. While both proteins are broadly expressed across tissues, expression of Rap2 is highest in brain, whereas Rap1 has relatively weak brain expression relative to some other tissues (Fig. 1A).
Studies at the mRNA level show that MINK expression rises during postnatal brain development (Dan et al., 2000). We asked whether protein expression of MINK and TNIK is similarly upregulated in specific neuronal subregions. Western blot analyses demonstrate that MINK and TNIK show increased expression from E18 to adulthood in rat cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 1B). In addition, MINK expression was developmentally regulated in cultured hippocampal neuron extracts (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). We observed a similar developmental increase in the expression of Rap2 (Fig. 1B; supplemental Fig. S1B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Thus, the protein distribution (Fig. 1A) and developmental regulation (Fig. 1B) of MINK, TNIK, and Rap2 are consistent with their functional interaction in brain.

Proteomic studies of PSD fractions identified peptide sequences corresponding to MINK and TNIK, suggesting that these proteins may exist within the PSD (Jordan et al., 2004; Peng et al., 2004; Collins et al., 2006). To validate these data we analyzed the distribution of MINK and TNIK in a subcellular fractionation of brain extract leading to purified PSDs. We resolved that MINK and TNIK proteins are not only expressed within synapses, they are specifically enriched components of the PSD, similar to PSD-95 (Fig. 1C).

We used an antibody that reacts to both proteins to characterize MINK and TNIK expression in cultured hippocampal neurons (supplemental Fig. S1A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material and 1D), as the antibodies that specifically recognize either MINK or TNIK were ineffective for immunocytochemistry. Confocal microscopy revealed a punctate dendritic pattern of MINK/TNIK as well as a diffuse distribution throughout the cell body, dendrites and in axons (Fig. 1D). Costaining with phalloidin and the presynaptic marker Bassoon showed partial colocalization of MINK/TNIK within putative dendritic spines (Fig. 1D), consistent with our fractionation data (Fig. 1C). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that MINK and TNIK are neuronal proteins that are concentrated at the synapse.

**Loss of MINK or TNIK reduces dendritic arborization**

To investigate protein function we knocked down expression of MINK and TNIK using plasmid-based RNA interference (RNAi). RNAi constructs targeting MINK (MINK-RNAi) and TNIK (TNIK-RNAi) specifically reduced MINK and TNIK expression, respectively, when transfected in HEK cells (supplemental Fig. S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Neither the MINK-RNAi nor TNIK-RNAi constructs affected expression of the closely related protein Nck interacting kinase (NIK) or the unrelated protein WASP (supplemental Fig. S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Furthermore, MINK-RNAi did not reduce expression of a truncated version of MINK encoding the isolated variable domain (VAR-MINK, which lacks the RNAi target site) (supplemental Fig. S2A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). To confirm the efficacy of our RNAi constructs in neurons, we transfected hippocampal cultures with DsRed and either empty pSuper vector or RNAi constructs targeting MINK, TNIK, or luciferase (Luc-RNAi) as negative control. Immunostaining with an antibody recognizing MINK/TNIK revealed that RNAi constructs targeting MINK or TNIK caused a significant reduction in endogenous MINK/TNIK expression (Fig. 2A, B).

The effects of MINK and TNIK knockdown on neuronal morphology were visualized by cotransfected DsRed (Fig. 2B). Neurons were transfected after 18 DIV and processed following 3–4 d of overexpression. Dendritic complexity of transfected neurons was quantified using Sholl analysis which measures the number of dendrites crossing concentric circles at various radial distances from the cell soma. In normal 18-d-old cultured hippocampal neurons, the number of dendritic crossings typically increases distally from the soma until ∼35–45 μm where it then tapers off (Sholl, 1953). Both MINK-RNAi and TNIK-RNAi significantly reduced arbor complexity relative to control neurons (Fig. 2C). No additive or synergistic effect was observed upon simultaneous reduction of MINK and TNIK expression (Fig. 2C).

Collectively, these data demonstrate that a loss of MINK or TNIK function can reduce neuronal complexity and indicates that expression of these proteins is required to maintain structural integrity of neurons.
MINK and TNIK knockdown modulate spine density and surface expression of AMPA receptors

Given their robust effects on neuronal arborization, we asked whether loss of MINK or TNIK expression also affects cytoarchitecture at the level of the synapse. We determined that knockdown of either MINK or TNIK caused a significant reduction in the density of dendritic spines (Fig. 3A). To investigate the functional significance of this spine loss, we analyzed the impact of MINK-RNAi and TNIK-RNAi on surface AMPA-R expression in hippocampal dendrites. Quantification of the integrated intensity of surface GluR1 (sGluR1) dendritic clusters revealed a specific decrease after downregulation of either MINK or TNIK expression (Fig. 3B, C). Loss of MINK or TNIK expression caused a similar reduction in sGluR2 dendritic clustering (supplemental Fig. S2B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Further, we examined the effect of reduced MINK on excitatory synaptic transmission in CA1 pyramidal neurons. Hippocampal slice cultures DIV 3–5 were transfected with GFP and either MINK-RNAi or Luc-RNAi as a control. Three to 4 d after transfection simultaneous recording of AMPA-EPSCs was performed from neighboring nontransfected CA1 neurons and transfected CA1 pyramidal neurons that were identified by GFP expression (Fig. 3D). We next sought to investigate the mechanism of these actions. Notably, overexpression of activated Rap2 is reported to produce each of the phenotypes we characterized for a loss of MINK and TNIK expression (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). Furthermore, both MINK and TNIK directly interact with the active form of Rap2 (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008). Recently, the E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-1 was shown to modulate Rap2-mediated regulation of neurite development via binding to TNIK (Kawabe et al., 2010). However, MINK fails to mediate a ternary complex between Nedd4-1 and Rap2 (Kawabe et al., 2010), leaving the question as to how MINK regulates neuronal structure and function unclear.

To begin addressing this issue we analyzed whether MINK and Rap2 functionally interact within neurons. We used a cotransfection paradigm to test the effects of Rap2 on the localization of MINK and TNIK expressed in hippocampal neurons (Fig. 4; supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Consistent with previously published results, constitutively active Rap2 (HA-Rap2(era)) formed punctate clusters throughout the dendritic shaft and cell body, and caused the dendritic arbors to become severely stunted (Fig. 4A) (Fu et al., 2007). In contrast, overexpressed Flag-MINK, a kinase dead mutant form of MINK (Flag-MINK KD), and Flag-TNIK were diffusely distributed throughout dendrites, cell body and axons in neuronal structure, expression of MINK and TNIK in neurons seems necessary for surface AMPA-R expression and function.

MINK and TNIK localization in neurons is modulated by the activation state of Rap2

Having established the importance of MINK and TNIK in maintaining synaptic structure and function, we next sought to investigate the mechanism of these actions. Notably, overexpression of activated Rap2 is reported to produce each of the phenotypes we characterized for a loss of MINK and TNIK expression (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). Furthermore, both MINK and TNIK directly interact with the active form of Rap2 (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008). Recently, the E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-1 was shown to modulate Rap2-mediated regulation of neurite development via binding to TNIK (Kawabe et al., 2010). However, MINK fails to mediate a ternary complex between Nedd4-1 and Rap2 (Kawabe et al., 2010), leaving the question as to how MINK regulates neuronal structure and function unclear.
without apparent alteration in dendritic arborization (Fig. 4A,B; supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Upon coexpression with HA-Rap2(ca), Flag-MINK, Flag-MINK KD, and Flag-TNIK each lost their diffuse cytoplasmic appearance and formed clusters throughout the shaft of the simplified dendritic arbors and within the cell body (Fig. 4A; supplemental Fig. S3A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In non-neuronal cells the interaction between active Rap2 and either MINK or TNIK is mediated via their C-terminal CNH domains (Taïra et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008). A truncated form of either MINK or TNIK lacking the CNH domain (MINK ΔCNH and TNIK ΔCNH, respectively) remained diffusely distributed throughout the entire cell in the presence or absence of HA-Rap2(ca) (Fig. 4A,B; data not shown). Collectively, these data corroborate the notion that functional interaction between activated Rap2 and either MINK or TNIK occurs in a CNH domain-dependent manner in hippocampal neurons.

We reasoned that either the activation state of Rap2, and/or Rap2 association with the membrane might also modulate endogenous MINK and TNIK distribution. Ras family proteins require lipid modification of their C-terminal CAAX motif (C, cysteine; A, aliphatic; X, any residue) for targeting to membranes and for their appropriate signaling functions (Willumsen et al., 1984; Béranger et al., 1991; Hancock et al., 1991). Thus, we expressed dominant-negative Rap2 (Rap2 dn), or constitutively active Rap2 with or without its CAAX motif (Rap2 ca or Rap2 ca ΔCAAX, respectively) in hippocampal cultures to examine their effects on endogenous MINK/TNIK (Fig. 4C). In cells expressing activated Rap2 there was a significant increase (~40%) in the intensity of endogenous MINK/TNIK dendritic clusters, while Rap2 dn caused a slight but significant reduction in endogenous MINK/TNIK cluster intensity relative to empty vector-transfected neurons (Fig. 4C,D). Overexpression of activated Rap2 lacking its CAAX motif failed to alter endogenous MINK/TNIK dendritic clusters (Fig. 4C,D). Thus, Rap2 bidirectionally modulates endogenous MINK/TNIK cluster intensity within dendrites, dependent upon its CAAX targeting motif.

Active Rap2 translocates from the plasma membrane to endosomes (Béranger et al., 1991; Uechi et al., 2009). Therefore, we examined the dendritic clusters induced by Rap2 activation on endogenous MINK/TNIK to determine whether these clusters may also localize to endosomes. We found that MINK/TNIK dendritic clusters partially colocalized with syntaxin-6, an endosomal marker of vesicles trafficking between the plasma membrane and trans-Golgi network (Fig. 4E) (Bock et al., 1997). Collectively our data are consistent with a functional interaction occurring between Rap2 and MINK/TNIK in neurons.

Rap2 activation is specifically required for truncated MINK (MINK ΔCNH) to reduce dendrite complexity

Activation of Rap2 signal transduction causes a severe reduction in neuronal branch complexity (Fu et al., 2007). The kinase function of TNIK has been implicated in this pathway, as expression of a kinase dead form of TNIK can disrupt Rap2-mediated impairment of dendritogenesis (Kawabe et al., 2010). To investigate whether MINK functions similarly in hippocampal neurons we ectopically expressed full-length MINK, MINK lacking kinase activity (MINK KD), or MINK missing the CNH domain (MINK ΔCNH; unable to interact with active Rap2) with and without Rap2(ca) (Fig. 5A,B) (Nonaka et al., 2008). Neurons were transfected after 18 DIV and processed following 3–4 d of overexpression. Neuronal morphology was visualized by cotransfected DsRed (Fig. 5A). Sholl analyses revealed that overexpression of either wild-type or kinase-dead MINK did not significantly alter overall dendritic arborization relative to GFP control (Fig. 5A,B). Expression of either Rap2(ca) alone or upon coexpression with...
MINK severely decreased the number of dendritic crossings over the entire measured distance where the few remaining primary branches (defined as number of dendrites directly emanating from the soma) displayed almost no secondary arbors (Fig. 5A, B). Unlike what was reported for kinase dead TNIK coexpression with Rap2 (ca) (Kawabe et al., 2010), MINK KD failed to abrogate Rap2 (ca)-mediated reduction in arborization (Fig. 5A, B). Surprisingly, expression of either a truncated MINK or TNIK that is unable to interact with Rap2 (MINK ∆CNH and TNIK ∆CNH, respectively) was sufficient to reduce branch complexity akin to that of Rap2 (ca) expression alone (Fig. 5A, B; supplemental Fig. S3B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

To directly test whether Rap2 function is involved in MINK ∆CNH- or TNIK ∆CNH-induced effects on synaptic structure we cotransfected hippocampal neurons with either MINK ∆CNH or TNIK ∆CNH and dominant-negative forms of either Rap1 (HA-Rap1(dn)) or Rap2 (HA-Rap2(dn)) to block endogenous Rap1 and Rap2 signaling, respectively. We found that cotransfection of HA-Rap1 (dn) did not affect the reduction in dendritic arborization induced by either MINK ∆CNH or TNIK ∆CNH expression (Fig. 5C; supplemental Fig. S3B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Branch complexity was similarly reduced despite coexpression of Rap2 (dn) with TNIK ∆CNH, suggesting that TNIK ∆CNH mediates its effects downstream of Rap2 activation (supplemental Fig. S3B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These data are consistent with previous findings suggesting that TNIK kinase activity functions downstream of Rap2 to modulate dendritogenesis (Kawabe et al., 2010). However, cotransfection of Rap2 (dn) rescued the dendritic phenotype induced by MINK ∆CNH such that the level of branching did not differ significantly from that of Rap2 (dn) expression alone (Fig. 5C).

These data demonstrate that MINK ∆CNH-mediated arbor simplification depends upon Rap2 activation, while TNIK ∆CNH does not. Thus, MINK and TNIK can differentially impinge upon Rap2-mediated signal transduction where MINK may function upstream of Rap2 to regulate dendritic cytoarchitecture.

Figure 4. Activated Rap2 binds MINK in hippocampal neurons. A, Representative images of hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP and constitutively active Rap2 (HA-Rap2(ca)) and/or Flag-tagged MINK constructs as indicated (left). Scale bars, 50 μm. Representative 40 μm dendritic segments shown below each low-magnification image. B, Schematic diagram of wild-type MINK, kinase dead MINK (MINK KD) and MINK lacking CNH domain (MINK ∆CNH) constructs used for overexpression in neurons. C, Representative images of Rap2 mutant construct effects on dendritic clustering of endogenous staining for MINK/TNIK. Neurons were transfected with GFP and constitutively active Rap2 (HA-Rap2(ca)) and/or Flag-tagged MINK constructs as indicated (left). Scale bars, 50 μm. Representative 40 μm dendritic segments shown below each low-magnification image.

MINK blocks Rap2-mediated reduction in surface GluR1 clusters

In addition to its prominent role in regulating neuronal structure, active Rap2 inhibits synaptic strength by decreasing surface AMPA-Rs (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007; Ryu et al., 2008). We determined that suppression of MINK expression also compromises dendritic arborization (Fig. 2B, C) and decreases AMPA-R function (Fig. 3B–F). In terms of a mechanism of action, these data led us to speculate that disruption of MINK’s interaction with Rap2 allows for unrestricted Rap2-mediated signaling resulting in abrogated neuronal structure and function.

To directly test this hypothesis we asked whether MINK affects Rap2-mediated modulation of AMPA-Rs. When exogenously expressed in hippocampal neurons, neither TNIK, nor any of the MINK constructs examined (wild-type, kinase dead, ∆CNH) altered sGluR1 clusters in dendrites relative to empty vector-transfected cells (Fig. 6A, B). Consistent with previous findings, overexpression of active Rap2 alone caused a marked reduction in dendritic clustering of surface AMPA-Rs (Fig. 6B) (Zhu et al., 2005; Ryu et al., 2008). However, coexpression of either wild-type or kinase-dead MINK with active Rap2 completely abolished Rap2-mediated reduction in sGluR1 intensity.
(Fig. 6A,B). These data indicate that, in a kinase independent manner, MINK acts downstream of activated Rap2 to counteract its downregulation of surface AMPA-R expression. Additionally, we determined that coexpression of active Rap2 with either TNIK or the MINK construct lacking its Rap2 interaction domain (MINK ΔCNH) failed to disrupt Rap2-mediated loss of sGluR1 (Fig. 6A,B). Collectively, our data indicate that MINK and TNIK may differentially impinge upon multiple aspects of Rap2-mediated signaling. Furthermore, they support the hypothesis that MINK interaction with active Rap2 can prevent Rap2-mediated decrease in surface AMPA-Rs.

Discussion

MINK and TNIK contain an N-terminal kinase domain, as well as noncatalytic domains that are involved in mediating protein–protein interaction. Based on structure–function analyses, it has been suggested that MINK and TNIK do not merely function as conventional kinases, but act primarily as scaffolds that assemble molecular complexes required for downstream signal transduction (Dan et al., 2001; Lim et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2004). While some MINK and TNIK protein interactors and phosphorylation targets have been identified (Fu et al., 1999; Dan et al., 2000; Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008; Mahmoudi et al., 2009; Kawabe et al., 2010), several pivotal questions regarding the function of these proteins remain unanswered. For instance, which signaling pathways depend on MINK and/or TNIK action, do these processes require that MINK and TNIK act as scaffolding molecules and/or as kinases, and moreover, which neuronal processes are influenced by MINK and TNIK? In this study, we establish that MINK and TNIK are enriched within PSDs and they act as critical modulators of synaptic structure and function. MINK and TNIK are required for normal synaptic density, dendrite complexity, as well as surface AMPA-R expression in hippocampal neurons. Moreover, we provide evidence to suggest that MINK and TNIK function distinctly to regulate Rap2-mediated signaling pathway(s).

Synaptic activity stimulates Rap2 activity (Heo and Meyer, 2003; Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007). However, possible factors or conditions that modulate Rap2 signaling following its activation remain unclear. Candidate effectors of Rap2 include MINK and TNIK since they only bind the activated form of this GTPase (Taira et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 2008). Indeed, TNIK is capable of binding the ubiquitin ligase Nedd4-1 to form a complex that regulates Rap2 signaling involved in neurite outgrowth (Kawabe et al., 2010). In contrast, MINK fails to interact with Nedd4-1. Thus, despite the fact that MINK is predicted to be more abundant within PSDs than TNIK (Peng et al., 2004), the significance of its interaction with Rap2 remains unclear. Here, we demonstrate that dendritic cluster intensity of MINK and TNIK is bidirectionally regulated by the activation state of Rap2 in neurons, where active Rap2 stimulates MINK/TNIK clustering. Our data also indicate that
disruption of MINK or TNIK function allows for unbridled Rap2-mediated pruning of dendritic arbors. For instance, expression of either MINK or TNIK lacking their respective Rap2 interaction domain reduces neuronal complexity. Based on structure function analyses, it is conceivable that expression of MINK ΔCNH acts as a dominant-negative on endogenous MINK. In this case, MINK ΔCNH might disrupt endogenous MINK targeting/interaction with, and inhibitory action upon, Rap2. Thus Rap2-mediated signal transduction leading to reduced neuronal complexity would be allowed to proceed unchecked. However, further analyses of the CNH–Rap2 interaction should elucidate the distinct mechanisms used by MINK ΔCNH and TNIK ΔCNH to regulate Rap2-mediated dendritic pruning.

In addition to regulating synaptic structure, activation of Rap2 effects synaptic transmission by reducing surface AMPA-Rs (Zhu et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007; Kielland et al., 2009). In a study of young hippocampal cultures (14 d in vitro) Rap2 specifically reduced surface expression of the GluR2 AMPA-R subunit (Fu et al., 2007). Here we analyzed older hippocampal neurons (21–22 d in vitro) and found activated Rap2 abrogates surface GluR1 as well as GluR2 expression, consistent with previous findings (Zhu et al., 2005). The difference in specific AMPA-R subunits affected could arise from distinct maturity of neurons assayed and/or the specific AMPA-R antibodies used for surface expression analysis. Despite this difference it is clear that activated Rap2 critically regulates AMPA-R trafficking. Extending these studies we investigated whether MINK or TNIK also impinges on this aspect of Rap2-mediated signaling. We determined that the maintenance of surface GluR1 and GluR2 in dendritic spines requires expression of MINK or TNIK. However, rather than propagate Rap2-mediated signaling as has been described for TNIK (Kawabe et al., 2010) MINK appears to “gate” activated Rap2 signal transduction. Overexpression of MINK blocks Rap2-mediated removal of synaptic AMPA-Rs, whereas overexpression of TNIK had no effect. MINK’s disruption of Rap2-mediated loss of AMPA-Rs is independent of its kinase activity, but requires the CNH domain that binds to Rap2, indicating that MINK likely functions as a scaffolding molecule that inhibits Rap2 signaling. Collectively, our findings provide evidence for a novel signaling mechanism whereby an “effector” protein functionally “gates” the signal transduction for its cognate GTPase. While overexpression of MINK ΔCNH alone was sufficient to decrease dendritic complexity, it was not sufficient to affect surface GluR1 levels. Precisely how MINK achieves separable effects on distinct branches of Rap2-mediated signal transduction (i.e., regulation of dendritic complexity and surface AMPA-Rs) should be clarified by further analyses of the MINK–Rap2 interaction.

Abnormal synaptic morphology has been associated with neurological disorders including mental retardation, epilepsy, Alzheimer’s and schizophrenia (McGlashan and Hoffman, 2000; Chelly and Mandel, 2001; Coleman and Yao, 2003; Lewis et al., 2003; Rund, 2009). Our observations suggest that precise coregulation of the actors in the MINK–Rap2 signal transduction pathway is required to maintain the integrity of neuronal morphology and function. Recently, human TNIK was identified in a genomewide screen for single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with schizophrenia, and found to bind directly to Disrupted in Schizophrenia 1 (DISC1), which is itself a schizophrenia risk gene (Camargo et al., 2007; Potkin et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2009). Whether or not the closely related protein MINK shares a link to schizophrenia or diverges from TNIK-mediated signaling in this respect remains unknown.
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