Results from a Low-Energy Analysis of the CDMS II Germanium Data
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A convergence of astrophysical observations indicates that ~80% of the matter in the Universe is in the form of nonbaryonic, nonluminous dark matter [1]. Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) [2], with masses from a few GeV/c² to a few TeV/c², form a well-motivated class of candidates for this dark matter [1,3]. If WIMPs account for the dark matter, they may be detectable through their elastic scattering with nuclei in terrestrial detectors [4].

Although many models of physics beyond the standard model provide WIMP candidates, supersymmetric (SUSY) models where the lightest superpartner is a cosmologically stable WIMP are among the most popular [1,3]. In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM), WIMPs with masses ≤ 40 GeV/c² are generally disfavored by accelerator constraints (e.g., [5]). Interest in lower-mass WIMPs has been renewed by recent results from the DAMA/LIBRA [6] and CoGeNT [7] experiments, which have been interpreted in terms of elastic scatters from a WIMP with mass ~10 GeV/c² and cross-section ~10⁻⁴⁰ cm² [8,9]. Although it is difficult to accommodate a WIMP with these properties in the MSSM [10], alternate models avoid existing constraints (e.g., [11]).

The CDMS II experiment attempts to identify nuclear recoils from WIMPs in an array of particle detectors by reanalyzing data from the Cryogenic Dark Matter Search (CDMS II) experiment at the Soudan Underground Laboratory. Data taken between October 2006 and September 2008 using eight germanium detectors are reanalyzed with a lowered, 2 keV recoil-energy threshold, to give increased sensitivity to interactions from weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) with masses below ~10 GeV/c². This analysis provides stronger constraints than previous CDMS II results for WIMP masses below 9 GeV/c² and excludes parameter space associated with possible low-mass WIMP signals from the DAMA/LIBRA and CoGeNT experiments.
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measuring both the ionization and nonequilibrium phonons created by each particle interaction. Backgrounds can be rejected on an event-by-event basis since they primarily scatter from electrons in the detector, depositing significantly more ionization than a nuclear recoil of the same energy. Previous analyses of CDMS II data [12] imposed a recoil-energy threshold of 10 keV to maintain sufficient rejection of electron recoils that only ~0.5 background events would be expected in the signal region. At lower energies, the discrimination between nuclear and electron recoils degrades, leading to higher expected backgrounds. Since WIMPs with masses <10 GeV/$c^2$ primarily produce <10 keV recoils, this analysis lowers the recoil-energy threshold to 2 keV, comparable to the hardware trigger threshold. This lower energy threshold increases sensitivity to low-mass WIMPs at the cost of significant acceptance of backgrounds.

The data analyzed here were collected using all 30 Z-sensitive Ionization and Phonon (ZIP) detectors installed at the Soudan Underground Laboratory [12,13]. The detector array consisted of 19 Ge (~230 g each) and 11 Si (~105 g each) detectors, each a disk ~10 mm thick and 76 mm in diameter. Each detector was instrumented with four phonon sensors on one face and two concentric charge electrodes on the opposite face. A small electric field (3–4 V/cm) was applied across the detectors to extract charge carriers created by particle interactions. The detectors were arranged in five “towers,” and are identified by their tower number (T1–T5) and by their ordering within the tower (Z1–Z6). The entire array was cooled to \( \approx 50 \text{ mK} \) and surrounded by passive lead and polyethylene shielding. An outer plastic scintillator veto was used to identify showers containing cosmogenic muons which were not shielded by the rock overburden above the Soudan laboratory (2090 meters water equivalent).

The data were taken during six data runs from October 2006 to September 2008 [12]. Only the eight Ge detectors with the lowest trigger thresholds were used to identify WIMP candidate events since they have the best expected sensitivity to WIMPs with masses from 5–10 GeV/$c^2$. All 30 detectors were used to veto events that deposited energy in multiple detectors.

Each detector was monitored throughout the data runs and periods of abnormal detector performance were removed [12]. Data taken within 20 days following exposure of the detectors to a neutron calibration source were removed to reduce low-energy electron-recoil backgrounds due to activation of the detectors. The data were randomly divided into two subsets before defining selection criteria at low energy. One subset, consisting of one quarter of the data (the “open” data), was reserved to study backgrounds at low energy and was not used to calculate exclusion limits. The remaining subset totaled 241 kg d raw exposure, after removing the bad data periods described above.

The detector response to electron and nuclear recoils was calibrated by regular exposures of the detectors to \( \gamma \)-ray (\(^{133}\text{Ba}\)) and neutron (\(^{252}\text{Cf}\)) sources. The ionization-energy scale was initially calibrated using the 356 keV line from the \(^{133}\text{Ba}\) source. The phonon energy was then calibrated by normalizing the phonon-based recoil energy for electron recoils to their mean ionization energy. In contrast to previous analyses, a position-dependent calibration was not applied since position-dependent variations in the reconstructed phonon energies are less significant than noise fluctuations at low energies. Using the observed positions of the 1.3 and 10.4 keV activation lines produced from exposure of the Ge detectors to the \(^{252}\text{Cf}\) source, a small rescaling (~4%) was applied to ensure that the recoil-energy scale for electron recoils was not underestimated at the 90% confidence level. For nuclear recoils, the recoil energy was reconstructed from the measured phonon energy alone by subtracting the Neganov-Luke phonon contribution [14] corresponding to the mean ionization measured for nuclear recoils of the same phonon energy from the \(^{252}\text{Cf}\) source. The ratio of ionization to recoil energy (“ionization yield”) for nuclear recoils was measured down to ~4 keV, below which a power-law extrapolation was used.

Candidate events were required to pass basic reconstruction quality cuts similar to the criteria used in previous analyses of these data [12]. Because of the negligible probability of a WIMP interacting more than once in the apparatus, candidates were required to have energies consistent with noise in all but one detector and have no coincident activity in the plastic scintillator veto. They were further required to have ionization signals consistent with noise in the outer charge electrode. The ionization energy required to be within \((+1.25, -0.5)\sigma\) of the mean ionization energy for nuclear recoils measured from calibration data, which defines the “nuclear-recoil band.” This asymmetric band, which has been tightened relative to previous low-energy analyses [15,16], was chosen based on calibration data and the observed low-energy backgrounds in the open data in order to maximize sensitivity to nuclear recoils while limiting leakage from electron recoils and zero-charge events. The recoil-energy range considered for this analysis was 2–100 keV.

The hardware trigger efficiency was determined using events for which at least one other detector triggered, which provide an unbiased selection of events near threshold. The data are well described by an error function, with the mean trigger threshold varying from 1.5–2.5 keV for the eight Ge detectors. Based on the selection criteria above, the signal acceptance was measured using nuclear recoils from the \(^{252}\text{Cf}\) calibration data. We calculated the nuclear-recoil band acceptance conservatively by assuming all events with ionization energy \(<3\sigma\) above the mean of the distribution were nuclear recoils. In particular, the zero-charge events described below were included,
charge events are not rejected at energies lower than 5 keV, where the ionization signal for nuclear recoils becomes comparable to noise. By extrapolating the exponential spectrum observed for zero-charge events above 5 keV, we estimate that they contribute ~50% of the candidate events.

A second source of misidentified electron recoils comes from events interacting near the detector surfaces, where ionization collection may be incomplete. These events are primarily concentrated just above the nuclear-recoil band, with an increased fraction leaking into the signal region at low energies. For recoil energies \(\gtrsim 10\) keV, nearly all such surface events can be rejected [12] because they have faster-rising phonon pulses than nuclear recoils in the bulk of the detector. This analysis does not use phonon timing to reject these events since the signal-to-noise ratio is too low for this method to be effective for recoil energies \(\lesssim 5\) keV. Extrapolating the exponential spectrum of surface events identified above 10 keV implies that ~15% of the candidates are surface electron recoils.

At recoil energies \(\lesssim 5\) keV, the primary ionization-based discrimination breaks down as the ionization signal becomes comparable to noise even for electron recoils with fully collected charge. Extrapolating the roughly constant electron-recoil spectrum observed above 5 keV indicates that ~10% of the observed candidates arise from leakage of this background into the signal region. Just above this threshold, there is an additional contribution to the constant electron-recoil spectrum from the 1.3 keV line, which leaks above the 2 keV analysis threshold since our recoil-energy estimate assumes the ionization signal is consistent with a nuclear recoil, causing electron recoils to be shifted to higher recoil energies and lower yields.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**FIG. 1** (color online). Comparison of the energy spectra for the candidate events and background estimates, co-added over the 8 detectors used in this analysis. The observed event rate (error bars) agrees well with the electron-recoil background estimate (solid line), which is a sum of the contributions from zero-charge events (dashed line), surface events (+), bulk events (dash-dotted line), and the 1.3 keV line (dotted line). The selection efficiencies have been applied to the background estimates for direct comparison with the observed rate, which does not include a correction for the nuclear-recoil acceptance. The inset shows the measured nuclear-recoil acceptance efficiency, averaged over all detectors.
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**FIG. 2** (color online). Events in the ionization-yield versus recoil-energy plane for T1Z5. Events within the (+1.25, -0.5)\(\sigma\) nuclear-recoil band (solid) are WIMP candidates (large dots). Events outside these bands (small, dark dots) pass all selection criteria except the ionization-energy requirement. The widths of the band edges denote variations between data runs. Events from the \(^{252}\text{Cf}\) calibration data are also shown (small, light dots). The recoil-energy scale assumes the ionization signal is consistent with a nuclear recoil, causing electron recoils to be shifted to higher recoil energies and lower yields.
These estimates indicate that we can claim no evidence for a WIMP signal. However, since the background model involves sufficient extrapolation that systematic errors are difficult to quantify, we do not subtract backgrounds but instead set upper limits on the allowed WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section by conservatively assuming all observed events could be from WIMPs. Limits are calculated using the high statistics version of Yellin’s optimum interval method [17]. Data from multiple detectors are concatenated as described in [16]. This method allows the choice of the most constraining energy interval on the lowest background detector while applying the appropriate statistical penalty for the freedom to choose this interval. The method and the order of detectors by position within the tower were specified with no knowledge of the WIMP candidates to avoid bias. For WIMP masses from 5–8 GeV/c², the most constraining interval contains events only from T1Z5 and has no dependence on the detector ordering used. The standard halo model described in [18] is used, with specific parameters given in [16,19]. The candidate event energies and selection efficiencies for each detector are given in [19].

The limits do not depend strongly on the extrapolation of the ionization yield used at low energies since the Neganov-Luke phonon contribution is small for recoil energies below 4 keV. Conservatively assuming 25% lower ionization yield near threshold would lead to only ~5% weaker limits in the 5–10 GeV/c² mass range.

Figure 3 (upper panel) shows the resulting 90% upper confidence limit on the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section. This analysis provides stronger limits than previous CDMS II Ge results for WIMP masses below ~9 GeV/c², and excludes parameter space previously excluded only by the XENON10 and XENON100 experiments for a constant extrapolation of the liquid xenon scintillation response for nuclear recoils below 5 keV [20–22]. Our analysis provides stronger constraints than XENON10 and XENON100 below ~7 GeV/c² under conservative assumptions for the scintillation response [8,20,23].

Spin-dependent limits on the WIMP-neutron cross section are shown in Fig. 3 (lower panel), using the form factor from [24]. XENON10 constraints, calculated assuming a constant extrapolation of the scintillation response at low energy [23,25], are stronger than these results for WIMP masses above ~7 GeV/c².

These results exclude interpretations of the DAMA/LIBRA annual modulation signal in terms of spin-independent elastic scattering of low-mass WIMPs (e.g., [8,22]). We ignore the effect of ion channeling on the DAMA/LIBRA allowed regions since recent analyses indicate channeling should be negligible [22,26]. These results are also incompatible with a low-mass WIMP explanation for the low-energy events seen in CoGeNT [7,8].
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