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The pathogenicity of *Plasmodium falciparum* (Pf) malaria results from the stiffening of red blood cells (RBCs) and its ability to adhere to endothelial cells (cytoadherence). The dynamics of Pf-parasitized RBCs is studied by three-dimensional mesoscopic simulations of flow in cylindrical capillaries in order to predict the flow resistance enhancement at different parasitemia levels. In addition, the adhesive dynamics of Pf-RBCs is explored for various parameters revealing several types of cell dynamics such as firm adhesion, very slow slipping along the wall, and intermittent flipping. The parasite inside the RBC is modeled explicitly in order to capture phenomena such as “hindered tumbling” motion of the RBC and the sudden transition from firm RBC cytoadherence to flipping on the endothelial surface. These predictions are in quantitative agreement with recent experimental observations, and thus the three-dimensional modeling method presented here provides new capabilities for guiding and interpreting future in vitro and in vivo studies of malaria.

Red blood cells parasitized by *Plasmodium falciparum* (Pf)-RBCs undergo irreversible changes in structure and biophysical characteristics, which can lead to drastically altered blood circulation. The membrane shear modulus of parasitized RBCs may increase up to ten-fold causing capillary occlusions (1–2), thereby resulting in substantial increase in resistance to blood flow. Such effects may be intensified due to the enhanced cytoadherence of Pf-RBCs to the vascular endothelium (3–6). This adherence of Pf-RBCs is believed to be the main cause of bleeding complications in cerebral malaria due to blockages of small vessels in the brain (7). Unlike the extensive research on leucocytes, very few in vitro experiments (8–11) have examined the adhesive dynamics of Pf-RBCs. For example, in ref. 8 the walls of microfluidic channels were coated with purified protein ligands participating in cytoadherence (e.g., ICAM-1) and with mammalian Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells expressing such ligands. Purified ICAM-1 caused rolling or flipping of Pf-RBCs without detachment or arrest of RBC motion. In contrast, mammalian CHO cells seem to result mostly in a firm attachment of Pf-RBCs with sporadic complete detachment. This difference in behavior and the complicated adhesive dynamics of Pf-RBCs have not been studied quantitatively. More broadly, there have not been any quantitative studies of the dynamics of RBCs in malaria to investigate the rheology and flow resistance in addition to the reported new adhesive dynamics.

Recent progress in multiscale numerical modeling (12–14) allows us to model soft matter, and RBCs in particular, at sufficient detail, i.e., simulating nanometer scales while simultaneously capturing the large scale dynamics. We have developed and validated a Dissipative Particle Dynamics (DPD) model (12, 14–16) that can accurately simulate the properties and dynamic behavior of healthy RBCs as well as Pf-RBCs. The multiscale model can represent a RBC at the spectrin level with 30,000 points (17) or at a coarser level with 500 points by proper scaling of the physiologically correct parameters (12, 14, 15); hence, no ad hoc calibration is required. The predictive capability of the DPD model has been demonstrated in comparisons with microfluidic experiments that probe controlled pressure-velocity relationships of (healthy) RBC flow through microchannels whose inner openings mimic the smallest dimensions for RBC passage in the microvasculature (16). In addition, we have extended the adhesive dynamics model of (18, 19) to the DPD framework, and validated it by simulating the adhesive dynamics of leucocytes for which extensive experimental results exist (20, 21). In summary, in the current work using DPD we model the RBC membrane as a viscoelastic material, the solid Pf-parasite, the fluid inside the cells and the exterior plasma, as well as the functionalized microchannel walls. The model parameters include the membrane shear modulus $\mu_0$, the membrane bending rigidity $k_t$, the membrane viscosity $\eta_m$, and the interior/exterior $\eta_\text{in}/\eta_\text{ext}$ fluid viscosities; see Methods and SI Text for more details.

Results

We first validate our RBC model in health and disease with physiologically correct values of all parameters using data from optical tweezers experiments. Subsequently, using the same set of parameters we investigate the dynamics of Pf-RBCs at different parasitemia levels and quantify the different modes of adhesive dynamics in the presence of ICAM-1 coated wall surfaces.

Increased Stiffness of Pf-Parasitized RBCs. In malaria disease, progression through the parasite development stages (ring → trophozoite → schizont) leads to a considerable stiffening of Pf-RBCs compared to healthy ones (22, 23). Furthermore, in the schizont stage the RBC shape becomes near-spherical whereas in the preceding stages RBCs maintain their biconcavity. Fig. 1 shows simulation results for healthy RBCs and Pf-RBCs at different stages of parasite development compared with optical tweezers experiments (23). The simulation results were obtained with a stress-free multiscale RBC model (see Methods) with 500 points, shear modulus $\mu_0 = 6.3$ $\mu$N/m for the healthy RBC, 14.5 for the ring stage, 29 for the trophozoite, and 60 $\mu$N/m for the schizont; these values are consistent with the experiments of refs. 22, 23. The bending rigidity for all cases is set to $2.4 \times 10^{-19}$ J, which is the value of bending rigidity for healthy RBCs, as the membrane bending stiffness for different stages is not known. The curve for the schizont stage marked as “near-spherical” corresponds to stretching an ellipsoidal shape with
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axes $a_1 = a_2 = 1.2a_0$. Here, the membrane shear modulus of $40 \, \mu N/m$ matches the stress-strain response with the experiment, i.e., it is smaller than that for the biconcave-shape simulation. For the near-spherical cell the membrane is subject to stronger local stretching for the same uniaxial deformation compared to the biconcave shape. For the deflated biconcave shape, the inner fluid volume can be deformed in response to stretching, while in the near-spherical shape the fluid volume applies additional force between the wall particles and the cell vertices. Hence, the cell geometry plays an important role, and hence it has to be closely modeled for accurate extraction of parameters from the optical tweezers experiments. In all simulations that follow we used the biconcave RBC shape.

**Flow Resistance.** First we model the blood as a suspension of healthy RBCs using the DPD model and simulate blood flow in tubes of diameters ranging from $10 \, \mu m$ to $40 \, \mu m$. It is important to model carefully the excluded volume (EV) interactions among cells, which are often implemented through a repulsive force between membrane vertices of different cells. A certain range (i.e., force cutoff radius) of the repulsive interactions may impose a nonzero minimum distance between neighboring RBC membranes, the so-called “screening distance” between membranes. The choice of a smaller cutoff radius may result in overlapping of cells, while a larger value would increase the screening distance between cells. This distance may be unphysical and may strongly affect the results at high volume fractions of RBCs. A better approach is to enforce EV interactions among cells by employing reflections of RBC vertices on the membrane surfaces of other cells yielding essentially a zero screening distance between two RBC surfaces. In addition, we employ a net repulsion of RBCs from the wall by properly setting the repulsive force coefficient between the wall particles and the cell vertices.

RBCs in Poiseuille flow migrate to the tube center forming a core in the flow. Fig. 2 shows a sample snapshot of RCBs flowing in a tube of diameter $D = 20 \, \mu m$. The pressure gradients employed here are $2.633 \times 10^5$, $1.316 \times 10^5$, and $6.582 \times 10^4$ Pa/m for tubes of diameters 10, 20, and 40, $\mu m$, respectively. In the case of low hematocrit $H_t$ (e.g., 0.15) the velocity profiles closely follow parabolic curves in the near-wall region. In the central region of the tube a substantial reduction in velocity is found for all volume fractions in comparison with the parabolic profiles indicating a decrease in the flow rate (15). A RBC core formation is established with a thin plasma layer next to the tube walls called the cell-free layer (CFL) (15). The thickness of the CFL is directly related to the Fahraeus and the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effects, both of which were accurately simulated by our DPD model (15). To determine the CFL thickness we computed the outer edge of the RBC core, which is similar to CFL measurements in experiments (24, 25). Fig. 2 shows a sample CFL edge from simulations for $H_t = 0.45$ and $D = 20 \, \mu m$ and local CFL thickness distribution, which is constructed from a set of discrete local measurements of CFL thickness every $0.5 \, \mu m$ along the flow ($\chi$) direction. The fluid viscosity of the CFL region is much smaller than that of the tube core populated with RBCs providing an effective lubrication for the core to flow. The apparent viscosity is defined as follows $\eta_{app} = \frac{\Delta P}{LQ}$, where $\Delta P$ is the pressure difference, $Q$ is the flow rate, and $L$ is the length of the tube. The apparent viscosity increases for higher $H_t$ values because higher cell crowding yields larger flow resistance. It is more convenient to consider the relative apparent viscosity defined as $\eta_{rel} = \frac{\eta_{app}}{\eta}$, where $\eta$ is the solvent viscosity. Fig. 2 shows the simulated $\eta_{rel}$ values in comparison with the empirical fit to experiments (26) for the tube diameter range $10-40 \, \mu m$ and $H_t$ values in the range 0.15–0.45. Excellent agreement between simulations and experiments is obtained for the proper EV interactions for all cases tested.

Next we simulate blood flow in malaria as a suspension of healthy and $Pf$-RBCs at the trophozoite stage and hematocrit
we model next.

These increases do not include any contributions from the interaction of Pf-RBCs with the glycocalyx (27, 28); such important interactions are complex as they may include cytoadhesion which we model next.

**Adhesive Dynamics.** The adhesive dynamics of Pf-RBCs in shear flow was studied for different values of wall shear stress (WSS) and compared with the experiments of ref. 8 for the wall coated with purified ICAM-1. Fig. 4 (upper left) shows several successive snapshots of a simulated cell rolling along the wall. Small blue particles are added as tracers for visual clarity, and distinct RBC snapshots are separated by shifting their coordinate. The dynamics of the Pf-RBCs is characterized by a flipping behavior initiated at first by the cell peeling off the wall due to the hydrodynamic force after flat RBC adhesion (the first snapshot in the plot; see also Movie S2). After most of the initial cell wall contact area is peeled off, the RBC flips over onto its other side facilitated by the remaining small wall contact area. During these steps, Pf-RBCs undergo large membrane deformations as illustrated in the plot and in Movie S2. Similar flipping behavior and large membrane deformations (including membrane buckling) were also found in the experiments (8).

WSS appears to be the key parameter governing the Pf-RBC adhesive dynamics, because adhered RBCs are driven by fluid stresses and roll along the wall with a much smaller velocity than the flow velocity. Several initial simulations with varying WSS and other parameters fixed revealed that Pf-RBCs may exhibit firm adhesion at a WSS lower than 0.317 Pa while they can completely detach from the wall at higher values. Systematic visualizations showed that Pf-RBC detachment at high WSS occurs during the relatively fast motion of RBC flipping, because the contact area is then minimal. However, in the experiments of ref. 8 Pf-RBCs moved on a surface coated with purified ICAM-1 showing persistent and stable rolling over long observation times for a wide range of WSS between 0.2 Pa and 2 Pa. This observation suggests a stabilizing mechanism for the rolling of Pf-RBCs at high shear stresses. Such behavior is not surprising because it is known that leukocyte adhesion can be actively regulated with flow conditions and with biochemical stimuli (29, 30).

To stabilize RBC binding at high shear stresses we need to improve the model by allowing the bond spring constant ($k_s$) to vary with WSS; here, for simplicity we assume linear dependence of $k_s$ on WSS. Fig. 4 (lower left) presents the average rolling velocity of Pf-RBCs compared with experiments of cell rolling on a surface coated with purified ICAM-1 (8). The simulated average velocities show a near-linear dependence on the shear stress, and are in good agreement with the experiments. The discrepancy at the highest simulated shear stress suggests a further strengthening of cell-wall bond interactions. However, the simulated values remain between the 10th and the 90th percentiles found in experiments.

In general, the adhesive behavior of Pf-RBCs, explored by means of numerical simulation for various parameters, revealed several types of cell dynamics such as firm adhesion, RBC peeling off the surface followed by flipping from one side to the other or by detachment from the wall, and very slow slipping along the wall. However, close examination of the video containing an example of RBC adhesive dynamics on the mammalian CHO cells from experiments (8) shows firm adhesion of Pf-RBCs for some time followed by sudden detachment. In contrast, firm adhesion in simulations appears always to be stable with no detachment within the simulation time of approximately 30 s. In experiments the Pf-RBC motion before the detachment displays very slow slipping along the surface due to the flow and random collisions with other flowing RBCs. Hence, it is likely that the sudden complete detachment from the wall is caused by the RBC slipping into a wall region with a limited number of ligands available for binding due to imperfect coating. To verify this hypothesis we ran a simulation in which the ligand sites were removed from the wall area between 30 μm and 40 μm in the flow direction. Fig. 4 (lower right) presents the Pf-RBC instantaneous velocity (green curve) corresponding to slow slipping along the surface continued up to an coordinate (stream-wise position) between 30 μm and 40 μm, where a complete cell detachment occurs due to absence of ligands for binding, in agreement with the Pf-RBC dynamics on the mammalian CHO cells found in experiments (8). No other change in physical parameters of cell adhesion have been found to reproduce these dynamics.

Next, we model explicitly the effect of the solid parasite inside the Pf-RBCs. Recent experiments (22) suggest that the volume of cytosol may be reduced threefold in the later stages of intracellular parasite development compared to healthy RBCs, indicating that the parasite takes up considerable volume within a Pf-RBC. Hence, a sufficiently large parasite provides a rigid backbone within a Pf-RBC to strongly affect its adhesive dynamics. In experiments in ref. 9 it was observed that the parasite is attached to one side, especially in the ring and trophozoite stages, causing a structural anisotropy in the Pf-RBC that affects cytoadherence.

The parasite is modeled as a collection of DPD particles uniformly distributed within a cylindrical volume of radius 3.3 μm and height 0.2 μm. These particles are placed inside the modeled RBC and constrained to undergo rigid motion. To prevent the parasite body from crossing the RBC membrane, we introduce Lennard-Jones interactions between the parasite body particles and membrane vertices; however, the parasite swims freely in the RBC cytosol. The number of DPD particles to represent the RBC cytosol is reduced according to the volume occupied by the
parasite body. Fig. 4 (upper right) presents successive snapshots of a rolling RBC with a rigid parasite inside the cell (see also Movie S3). The RBC membrane displays local buckling due to its low bending rigidity, which is consistent with the RBC visualizations in Fig. 4 (upper left). In addition, a “hindered tumbling” motion of the membrane appears to be caused by the solid parasite, see Movie S3. Fig. 4 (lower right) shows the corresponding instantaneous velocity (red curve), exhibiting a more erratic pattern than the blue curve. For example, the red curve in Fig. 4 (lower right) indicates several time intervals during which the Pf-RBC shows firm adhesion for several seconds. Furthermore, firm adhesion may be followed by several fast flips of the RBC along the surface characterized by two closely located peaks of velocity around the time of 20 s. Systematic visualizations revealed that the smaller peaks of cell velocity in Fig. 4 (lower right) correspond to “hindered tumbling” motion facilitated by the parasite body due to the parasite being freely suspended in the RBC cytosol. A proper positioning of the parasite body inside the RBC may result in a stress distribution on the front part of the membrane which forces the RBC into a crawling motion.

Discussion

We have employed a validated multiscale model to quantify the dynamic properties of Pf-RBCs in typical conditions encountered in the microcirculation. Specifically, the simulated mechanical responses of healthy RBCs and Pf-RBCs were found to be in excellent agreement with optical tweezers experiments as did the dynamic responses measured in terms of the cell-free layer and the increase in the apparent blood viscosity. Flow resistance was computed at parasitemia levels higher than those often found in clinical blood tests (31) of individuals suffering from malaria. At a parasitemia level above 0.2% an immune response is initiated, and levels around 20% are found in very severe cases of malaria with high mortality (9, 32). Clinical tests are able to detect Pf-RBCs at a parasitemia level as small as 0.0001–0.0004%. Active malaria in most cases is characterized by levels of 0.5%–20%. Even though the parasitemia levels simulated here are beyond this range, we attempted to span the full range 0%–100% to evaluate the dependence of blood flow properties on parasitemia levels. At low parasitemia levels, differences in measured properties may not be significant, and therefore they would be difficult to detect. It is also possible that the predicted resistance in simulations is underestimated due to a potential increase in membrane bending rigidity of Pf-RBCs and the presence of “rigid” parasites inside the cells. These properties of Pf-RBCs could further impair the ability of Pf-RBCs to comply with deformations in the flow, and consequently prevent their close packing in the flow core. Other conditions, not considered here, are more likely to significantly influence blood flow resistance in malaria. For example, stiffer Pf-RBCs can block small capillaries up to 5–6 μm in diameter (1). In addition, the property of Pf-RBCs to adhere to each other and to vascular endothelium at later stages of parasite development may strongly impair blood flow in capillaries and small arterioles resulting in a substantial increase of flow resistance.

The dependence of the RBC rolling velocity on shear stress found in experiments is clearly nonlinear. Therefore, the assumption of linear dependence of $k_s$ on the shear stress is an oversimplification. In addition, there may be a change in bond association and dissociation kinetics with shear stress, which would affect the rolling stabilization of infected RBCs at high shear rates. Our simulations suggest that the adhesive dynamics of Pf-RBCs is not sensitive to changes below 30%–40% in reaction rates $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$. However, the cell dynamics may be strongly affected if these parameters are changed considerably as seen in leukocyte dynamics simulations. Moreover, experimental data show a broader scatter of the average RBC velocity for different cells than found in simulations (15). This observation is likely to be related to nonuniform distributions of receptors on the RBC
membrane and ligands on the wall. In the simulations, distributions of both receptors and ligands are fixed, and are nearly homogeneous with approximately the same area occupied by each receptor or each ligand. A scatter in behavior among distinct RBCs in the simulations is solely related to the stochastic nature of the adhesive model. However, in experiments irregular distributions of receptors and ligands are likely to significantly contribute to scatter in RBC adhesive dynamics.

The parasite body constrains the RBC membrane by supplying a rigid support, which forces RBC flipping without substantial bending. The presence of a rigid body inside a RBC significantly affects the RBC adhesive dynamics resulting in behavior more erratic compared with the more regular adhesive dynamics of RBCs with no parasites. A thin disk to represent the parasite body was considered; however, other geometrical forms or sizes of the parasite may have a different effect on RBC adhesive dynamics. Therefore, an experimental characterization of the parasite geometry for different stages of parasite development would be of great interest. In addition, the modeled parasite body was freely suspended in the RBC cytosol, while under real conditions it is likely that the parasite is attached to the membrane, because it exposes adhesive proteins on the membrane surface to mediate binding to the wall. These unresolved issues require further experimental and numerical investigation.

Methods

Simulation Method. The DPD method (18) is a particle-based mesoscopic simulation technique, where a simulated system consists of N point particles. Each particle corresponds to a collection of atoms or molecules rather than an individual atom. DPD particles interact through pair wise soft potentials and point particles. The stress-free model is obtained by simulation annealing such that each bond dissociation event is generously accepted to relax the system until thermal equilibrium. The bonds are modeled as temporary dissociation of existing bonds with probability \( \Delta \mu \), where \( \Delta \mu \) is the time step, \( \Delta \mu \) testing unbound ligands for potential bond formation with probability \( 1 - \exp(-\Delta \mu \Delta t) \), and \( \Delta \mu \) applying forces of all existing bonds. More details on the adhesive dynamics and model parameters can be found in SI Text.

Adhesive Dynamics. Adhesive dynamics is simulated with the stochastic bond formation dissociation model similar to ref. 18. The bonds are modeled as linear springs and their formation \( k_i \) and dissociation \( k_{off} \) rates depend on the separation distance between the RBC receptors and ligands distributed on the wall as a square lattice with the lattice constant of 0.5 μm. The receptor and ligand densities in simulations may be different from those in experiments (8). However, we note that receptor-ligand interactions in simulations correspond to effective adhesive interactions of PF-RBCs with the wall. The simulated receptor-ligand interactions do not correspond to actual molecular bonds and may represent a number of existing molecular bonds. Adhesive dynamics in simulations proceeds by: (i) checking for potential dissociation of existing bonds with probability \( 1 - \exp(-\Delta \mu \Delta t) \), where \( \Delta \mu \) is the time step, \( \Delta \mu \) testing unbound ligands for potential bond formation with probability \( 1 - \exp(-\Delta \mu \Delta t) \), and \( \Delta \mu \) applying forces of all existing bonds. More details on the adhesive dynamics and model parameters can be found in SI Text.
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