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We describe a method to enhance the sensitivity of precision measurements that takes advantage of the environment of a quantum sensor to amplify the response of the sensor to weak external perturbations. An individual qubit is used to sense the dynamics of surrounding ancillary qubits, which in turn are affected by the external field to be measured. The resulting sensitivity enhancement is determined by the number of ancillas that are coupled strongly to the sensor qubit; it does not depend on the exact values of the coupling strengths and is resilient to many forms of decoherence. The method achieves nearly Heisenberg-limited precision measurement, using a novel class of entangled states. We discuss specific applications to improve clock sensitivity using trapped ions and magnetic sensing based on electronic spins in diamond.
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Precision measurement is among the most important applications of quantum methods in physics. For example, quantum control of atomic systems forms the physical basis of the world’s best clocks. Ideas from quantum information science have been used to demonstrate that entanglement can enhance these measurements [1–3]. At the same time a wide range of quantum systems have been recently developed aimed at novel realizations of solid-state qubits. Such systems can be used as quantum measurement devices, such as magnetic sensors [4]. In this Letter we describe a novel technique that employs the local environment of the sensor as a resource to amplify its response to weak perturbations. We will use solid-state sensors and ion clocks as examples.

The purpose of quantum metrology is to detect a small external field coupled to the sensor by a Hamiltonian: $H_b = b(t)\kappa S_z$, where $S_z$ is the spin operator of the quantum sensor. Here, $b(t)$ can be an external magnetic field or the detuning of a laser from a clock transition, while $\kappa$ is the spin’s coupling to the field. The working principle of almost any quantum metrology scheme can be reduced to a Ramsey experiment [5,6], where the field is measured via the induced phase difference between two states of the quantum sensor. The figure of merit for quantum sensitivity is the smallest field $\delta b_{\text{min}}$ that can be read out during a total time $T$. For a single spin $1/2$ the sensitivity is given by $\delta b_{\text{min}} \approx \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{T}}$, if the sensing time is limited to $T$ (e.g., by environmental decoherence).

In many cases the external field also acts on the sensor’s environment, which normally only induces decoherence and limits the sensitivity. Here we show that in some cases the environment can instead be used to enhance the sensitivity. For generality we will illustrate the key ideas using the central spin model [Fig. 1(a)]. A central spin (which can be prepared in a well defined initial state, coherently manipulated and read out) is coupled to a bath of dark spins that can be polarized and collectively controlled, but cannot be directly detected. The system is described by the Hamiltonian $H = H_b + H_{\text{int}}$, with

$$H_b = b(t)(\kappa S_z + \xi \sum I_z^i), \quad H_{\text{int}} = |1\rangle \langle 1| \sum \lambda_i I_z^i,$$

where $\lambda_i$ are the couplings between sensor and environment spins, while $\kappa$ and $\xi$ are couplings to the external field of the central and dark spins, respectively. Here, $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$ and $S_z$ refer to the central spin while $|\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle$, $I_z^i$ to the dark spins (and we set $h = 1$). We consider two cases. In the first one, $H_{\text{int}}$ can be turned on and off at will and is much larger than any other interaction (e.g., a laser-mediated ion interaction). In the second case, $H_{\text{int}}$ is intrinsic to the system and of the same order of magnitude as the inverse of the relevant sensing time (e.g., dipole-dipole interactions between solid-state spins). In all cases we will assume collective control over the dark spins.

To illustrate the sensing method we consider first the idealized case where the couplings between the central and the dark spins can be turned on and off at will and the dark

![FIG. 1 (color online). (a) A central spin coupled to a spin bath, with couplings varying with distance. (b) Ideal measurement circuit. Gates labeled $\lambda_i I_z$ represent controlled rotations $e^{-i\lambda_i I_z}$ of the dark spins, obtained via $H_{\text{int}}$. The gates $b I_z$ represent rotations $e^{-ib I_z}$ due to the external field $b$. At the end, only the state of the central spin is measured.](image-url)
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spins are initialized in a pure state $|\uparrow\cdots\uparrow\rangle$. Consider the circuit in Fig. 1(b). First, the central spin is prepared in an equal superposition of the two internal states $|0\rangle + |1\rangle$. Then $H_{\text{int}}$ is rotated to the $x$ axis and applied for a time $\tau$. This induces controlled rotations of the dark spins, resulting in an entangled state

$$\frac{|0\rangle|\uparrow\cdots\uparrow\rangle + |1\rangle|\varphi_1\cdots\varphi_N\rangle}{\sqrt{2}},$$

(2)

where $|\varphi_i\rangle = \cos(\varphi_i)|\uparrow\rangle - i\sin(\varphi_i)|\downarrow\rangle$, with $\varphi_i = \lambda_i\tau$. This state is then used to sense the magnetic field. For now we neglect the coupling of the central spin to the external field ($\kappa = 0$) and define $\theta_d = \xi \int dt b(t)$.

Under the action of the magnetic field, up to a global phase, the state evolves to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle|\uparrow\cdots\uparrow\rangle + |1\rangle|\varphi_1\cdots\varphi_N\rangle)$, with $|\psi_j\rangle = \cos(\varphi_j)|\uparrow\rangle - i\sin(\varphi_j)|\downarrow\rangle = [\cos^2(\varphi_j) + e^{i\theta_d}\sin^2(\varphi_j)]|\varphi_j\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \theta_d \sin(2\varphi_j)|\varphi_j^\perp\rangle$,

where $\langle \varphi_j|\varphi_j^\perp\rangle = 0$ and the last equation holds for small fields (to first order in $\theta_d$). The central spin is then flipped by a $\pi$ pulse and another control operation with $H_{\text{int}}$ as in a spin-echo, since in this case of the protocol would be to decouple the sensor spin from the signal the phase acquired by the sensor spin alone, a contribution to the signal. We can further add to the measured scaling in $n$, where

$$S = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_i \sin^2(\varphi_i)$$

between the states of the dark spins, depending on the exact noise model. Although inspired by ideas similar to our proposal, experiments using two ion species have so far been limited to just one spectroscopy ion [11]; our method allows increasing the number of spectroscopy ions.

Specifically, we can implement the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{int}}$ [Eq. (1)] using multichromatic gates [12], which are known to be much more robust to heating noise than Cirac-Zoller gates used so far [13]. Then, our scheme can map the phase difference due to the detuning of several spectroscopy (dark) ions onto a single logic ion, which can be read out by fluorescence. This achieves Heisenberg-limited sensing of the clock transition without individual addressability of the spectroscopy ions and without creating GHZ states. Importantly, we accomplish this even if the spectroscopy ions have different couplings to the logic ion, as it is the case in a trap with different ion species due to the absence of a common center of mass mode.

We briefly discuss the effects of decoherence. It has been argued [14] that the coherence time reduction for a $n$-spin entangled state, when each spin undergoes individual Markovian dephasing, reduces the sensitivity to roughly that of spectroscopy performed on $n$ individual spins. The sensitivity scales then as $\sqrt{n}$, as opposed to the ideal scaling $\propto n$ derived here (see Ref. [15] for a different scaling in the case of atomic clocks). Since our method allows reading out $n$ spectroscopy ions using only a single logic ion, even in this unfavorable case we would obtain an improvement $\propto \sqrt{n}$ with respect to current experimental realizations where only a single ancillary ion is possible [11]. Furthermore, this decoherence model is not so relevant in present setups, as technical noise during the gates and imperfect rotations are dominant for traps with many ions. Our method is highly robust to static repetitive imperfections during the gates, leading to further improvement depending on the exact noise model.

In many physical situations short bursts of controlled rotations, as used above, are not available. Instead, the couplings between the central and dark spins are always on and their exact strength is unknown. This situation is considerably relaxes the requirements for entanglement enhanced spectroscopy as compared to known strategies involving squeezed or GHZ-like states (for examples of nonsymmetric and generalized entanglement applications in metrology, see [9,10]). Specifically, we note that in contrast to prior work [10], our scheme does not involve creating, or projecting onto, the GHZ or NOON states at any point during the protocol.

We now present two experimental implementations that approximate this idealized scheme: quantum clocks with trapped ions and spin-based magnetometry.
encountered in solid-state spin systems used for magnetometry [4]. Even for these systems it is possible to achieve nearly Heisenberg limited metrology.

Specifically, we consider magnetic sensing using a single nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond [16], surrounded by dark spins associated with Nitrogen electronic impurities [17,18]. We focus on NV centers since dark spins is well described by Hamiltonian (1). Then, the system comprising one NV center and several Nitrogen spins is described by Hamiltonian (1).

In Fig. 2 we introduce a control sequence that yields an effect equivalent to the circuit in Fig. 1(b). The pulse sequence can be best understood using the well known effect equivalent to the circuit in Fig. 1(b). The pulse sequence can be best understood using the well known Zehnder interferometry [5,6], where the interferometer system comprising one NV center and several center can be reduced to an effective two-level system [4]. Then, the system comprising one NV center and several Nitrogen spins is best described by Hamiltonian (1).

FIG. 2 (color online). Environment-assisted magnetometry with NV centers. (a) Mach-Zehnder interferometer, showing the central spin state and the orientation of the dark spins in the toggling frame of the external pulses. (b) Pulse sequence acting on the sensor and dark spins.

To analyze longer time behavior we simulate the signal encountered in solid-state spin systems used for magnetometry [4]. Even for these systems it is possible to achieve nearly Heisenberg limited metrology.

Specifically, we consider magnetic sensing using a single nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond [16], surrounded by dark spins associated with Nitrogen electronic impurities [17,18]. We focus on NV centers since dark spins is well described by Hamiltonian (1). Then, the system comprising one NV center and several Nitrogen spins is described by Hamiltonian (1).

In Fig. 2 we introduce a control sequence that yields an effect equivalent to the circuit in Fig. 1(b). The pulse sequence can be best understood using the well known effect equivalent to the circuit in Fig. 1(b). The pulse sequence can be best understood using the well known Zehnder interferometry [5,6], where the interferometer system comprising one NV center and several center can be reduced to an effective two-level system [4]. Then, the system comprising one NV center and several Nitrogen spins is best described by Hamiltonian (1).

FIG. 2 (color online). Environment-assisted magnetometry with NV centers. (a) Mach-Zehnder interferometer, showing the central spin state and the orientation of the dark spins in the toggling frame of the external pulses. (b) Pulse sequence acting on the sensor and dark spins.

Still read out one spin only. Note that all the dark spins contribute positively. For values of the couplings such that $|\lambda_i|\tau \equiv \pi$, or strongly coupled dark spins, we obtain a contribution $\propto 2n_{sc}(\sin^2(\lambda_i^2|\tau|)) = n_{sc}$. Each of the $n_{sc}$ strongly coupled spins thus gives a contribution of order one, irrespective of the sign or exact value of the coupling. Weakly coupled dark spins ($\lambda_i|\tau \leq 1$) contribute instead with a factor $(\lambda_i|\tau)^2/8$ and we obtain a total phase $\Phi = \Omega_{sc}^{\pi} \left[1 + \frac{\pi n_{sc}}{8} P(n_{sc} + \frac{1}{2} \sum (\lambda_i|\tau)^2)\right]$, where the primed sum is on the weakly coupled spins only. In general the sensitivity enhancement scales at least as $\sim Pn_{sc}$ [20]. We thus achieve nearly Heisenberg-limited sensing of the external field [14].

We next compare the sensitivity achievable with the proposed pulse sequence to that obtained with a spin-echo sequence. We consider the same system (a sensor spin surrounded by the same spin bath) and include the effects of decoherence resulting from external perturbations, the interaction with the dark spins as well as decoherence of the dark spins themselves. Once the central spin looses phase coherence due to interactions with the bath, it is no longer possible to use it for magnetometry. This limits the sensing time and consequently the magnetometer sensitivity. Spin echo (as well as more sophisticated decoupling techniques [19,21]) can be used to prolong the phase coherence of the central spin. Under realistic assumptions the coherence time for the pulse sequence presented here is on the same order of the sensor coherence time under spin echo, $T_2^*$. Indeed, the decoherence rate of an entangled state of the form (2) is dictated by the internal evolution of the strongly coupled spins, and the relevant decoherence time is thus the time it takes before any of these spins have decohered. The same is, however, the case for spin-echo sequences: if a central spin is strongly coupled to $n_{sc}$ dark spins, a spin flip of a single dark spin will lead to different evolutions in the two halves of the spin-echo sequence, thus degrading the central spin. As the source of both decoherence processes is the dipole-dipole interaction among dark spins, the coherence times of spin-echo and our procedure are on the same order and the signal amplification obtained with our strategy [Eq. (4)] yields a sensitivity enhancement [22].

To be more quantitative, we analyze the evolution due to dipole-dipole couplings in the bath, described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = |1\rangle\langle 1| \sum_{i<j} \lambda_i I^z_i + \sum_{i<j} \kappa_{ij} (3I^z_i I^z_j - I_i \cdot I_j).$$

A short time expansion shows that the state fidelity at the end of the spin-echo pulse sequence is given by

$$1 - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \sum_{r<j} (1 - P_{2}) |\kappa_{ij}|^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 + \ldots$$

and by

$$1 - \frac{\pi^2}{16} \sum_{r<j} |\kappa_{ij}|^2 \times (7|\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_j^2| + 12|\lambda_i|\lambda_j + P^2(\lambda_i + 2\lambda_j) \times (2|\lambda_i| + |\lambda_j|) + \ldots$$

for the modified pulse sequences. For experimentally relevant values of polarizations, similar reductions in fidelity occur for both pulse sequences [23]. To analyze longer time behavior we simulate the signal
the possibility to use a broad class of partially entangled states to achieve Heisenberg-limited metrology, even in the presence of disordered couplings, partial control, and decoherence.
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[7] It is still necessary to calibrate the quantum sensor by measuring a known external magnetic field to obtain an estimate of the sum $\sum \sin^2(\theta, \tau)$.
[20] The signal in Eq. (4) will depend in practice on the average polarization (as $P$ could vary in different experimental runs). We expect the noise from these variations to be smaller than the quantum projection noise.
[22] Although our pulse sequence is more sensitive to dark spin dephasing (as it creates superposition states of the dark spins), this noise source is dominated by the noise due to single species dipole-dipole interactions [13].
[23] For $P \approx 1$ spin echo achieves longer coherence times since flip-flops are quenched in a fully polarized bath, while they would still be allowed with our method. However the spin-echo coherence time scales poorly as $\sqrt{1-P^2}$ and decoupling can be used to reduce the flip-flop effects.