Quantum Codes Give Counterexamples to the Unique Preimage Conjecture of the N-Representability Problem
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It is well known that the ground state energy of many-particle Hamiltonians involving only 2-body interactions can be obtained using constrained optimizations over density matrices which arise from reducing an $N$-particle state. While determining which 2-particle density matrices are “$N$-representable” is a computationally hard problem, all known extreme $N$-representable 2-particle reduced density matrices arise from a unique $N$-particle preimage, satisfying a conjecture established in 1972. We present explicit counterexamples to this conjecture through giving Hamiltonians with 2-body interactions which have degenerate ground states that cannot be distinguished by any 2-body operator. We relate the existence of such counterexamples to quantum error correction codes and topologically ordered spin systems.
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For all known systems of identical particles, which have Hamiltonians restricted to symmetric (bosonic) or anti-symmetric (fermionic) states, the Hamiltonians contain at most two-body interactions. Therefore, for many purposes such as energy calculations, an $N$-body state can be replaced by its 2-particle reduced density matrix (RDM). In doing so, one might hope to reduce complex $N$-particle variational calculations with simpler 2-particle ones. Early efforts gave absurdly low energies until it was realized that it was necessary to restrict energy minimization to 2-particle density matrices which, in fact, come from the reduction of an $N$-particle state of the appropriate symmetry (its preimage). Characterizing these 2-particle RDMs is a fascinating question known as the $N$-representability problem [1–3].

In the 1960s, the $N$-representability problem was solved for 1-particle RDMs [1]. However, finding a solution for 2-particle RDMs is so challenging that most of those who tried concluded that it was intractable. This intuition was recently validated with a quantum information theoretic proof that $N$-representability for the 2-particle RDM belongs to the complexity class called QMA complete [4]; i.e., the worst cases would be hard even with a quantum computer.

Surprisingly, this coincided with a revival of interest in the $N$-representability problem from several directions. A number of groups have obtained good approximations to the ground state energy in special situations [5]. New eigenvalue bounds for the 1-particle RDM have been found for pure $N$-fermion states [6]. For both fermions and bosons the first improvements on expectation value bounds since 1965 were obtained in [7]. Moreover, the map from an $N$-particle state to an $m$-particle RDM is a special type of quantum channel, which found an important application involving Renyi entropy in [8].

A widely held property about the convex set of $N$-representable 2-particle RDMs is that every extreme point has a unique $N$-particle preimage. Extreme $N$-representable RDMs are fundamental; every $N$-representable 2-particle RDM is a weighted average of extreme points. Because energy is a linear function of the RDM, its minimum must lie on the set of extreme points. The unique preimage property holds for the best known extreme points, which come from generalizations of BCS states [3,9]. It is also true for the few other known extreme RDMs; similar observations [10] have been made for RDMs of translationally symmetric spin lattice systems. In 1972, Erdahl [9] Section 6] formally conjectured that all extreme RDMs have a unique preimage. This has been believed to hold since then.

Erdahl’s conjecture has been proven for $m$-particle extreme RDMs when $2m > N$ [9]. Moreover, if the conjecture were false, there would exist an unusual 2-body Hamiltonian, whose ground state degeneracy is “blind” to, i.e., undetectable by, 2-body operators. All ground states of such a “2-blind” Hamiltonian would have the same 2-particle RDM, and thus the degeneracy cannot be broken without at least a 3-body interaction; 2-body perturbations would only shift the energy.

In this Letter, we give explicit counterexamples to Erdahl’s conjecture. To do so, we first exhibit a class of 2-blind spin lattice Hamiltonians, whose ground states are quantum error correction codes. Extended to fermions, these examples provide extreme $N$-representable 2-particle RDMs with multiple preimages, which are thus the desired counterexamples. We then directly relate the general
conditions for quantum error correction to the existence of such counterexamples. Our results imply that extreme $N$-representable RDMs can be very different than those known previously. In addition, the Hamiltonians we use [11] play a pivotal role in the study of topological quantum error correction [12,13].

**$N$-representability and the unique preimage conjecture.**—We begin with a brief description of the $N$-representability problem and its generalization beyond fermionic symmetries. For fermions, a symmetric 2-body Hamiltonian $H_N$ acts on antisymmetric states $|\psi^+\rangle$ for which the 2-particle RDM is $\rho_{12} = \text{Tr}_{3...,N} |\psi^+\rangle \langle \psi^+|$. A 2-particle RDM $\rho_{12}$ is called $N$-representable if it has a preimage $\Lambda$, i.e., $\rho_{12} = \text{Tr}_{3...,N} \Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \sum p_\phi |\psi^-\rangle \langle \psi^-|$ is an $N$-fermion state. The critical interplay between the one- ($T_i$) and two-body ($V_{jk}$) terms of $H_N$ is captured by

$$H = H_N - E_0 = \sum_j T_j + \sum_{j<k} V_{jk} - E_0 = \sum_{j<k} \hat{H}_N^{jk},$$

where $E_0$ is the ground state energy of $H_N$, and $\hat{H}_N^{jk} = V_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} (T_j + T_k) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 E_0$ is known as the reduced Hamiltonian. One can verify the energy of $\Lambda$ is determined by its 2-particle RDM $\rho_{12}$ by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} N \\ 2 \end{array}\right) \text{Tr} \hat{H}_N^{12} \rho_{12} = \text{Tr} H \Lambda \geq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $\Lambda$ is a ground state of $H_N$.

Although $H$ is positive semidefinite by construction, $\hat{H}_N^{12}$ is not positive semidefinite in general; however, it acts as if it were on the set of $N$-representable RDMs. Thus it acts as a “witness” for $N$-representability, a special case of a general duality concept known as the polar cone of a convex set.

$N$-representable 2-particle RDMs form a convex set, as the average of two is also $N$-representable. The set of $N$-representable RDMs, like any convex set, is characterized by its extreme points, which are not the average of any two points in the set (Fig. 1). Erdahl [9] Section 3] showed that in finite dimensions every extreme $N$-representable RDM $\gamma_{12}$ is also exposed in the sense that there is some Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_N^{12}$ for which $\gamma_{12}$ is the unique lowest energy $N$-representable RDM. Every extreme point thus corresponds to the ground state eigenspace of at least one two-body Hamiltonian $H_N$.

When the ground state of $H_N$ is nondegenerate, it is the unique preimage of its 2-particle RDM. A degenerate ground state eigenspace defines a convex subset of the $N$-representable 2-particle RDMs, which typically corresponds to a flat (exposed) region on the boundary. In exceptional cases, this region is a single extreme point with multiple preimages; this happens when the Hamiltonian is 2-blind, meaning that all degenerate ground states have the same 2-body RDM.

It is useful to extend the concept of $N$-representability to the complete absence of symmetry. This leads to the closely related quantum marginal problem which asks if there is a preimage $\Lambda = \sum p_\phi |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ consistent with the reduction to $\{\rho_{jk}\} = \{\rho_{12}, \rho_{13}, \ldots, \rho_{1N}, \rho_{23}, \ldots, \rho_{N-1,N}\}$, where $\{\rho_{jk}\}$ is expressed in vector form to emphasize that those which are consistent form a convex set. The reduced spin lattice Hamiltonian $\{\hat{H}_{jk}\}$ is also written in vector form, and Eq. (2) becomes $\sum_{j<k} \text{Tr} \hat{H}_{jk} \rho_{jk}$.

Erdahl’s conjecture is equivalent to the statement that there is no 2-blind fermionic Hamiltonian. We first present a 2-blind spin lattice Hamiltonian which gives an extreme quantum marginal $\{\rho_{jk}\}$ with multiple preimages. We then explain how to extend this to fermions to disprove Erdhal’s conjecture.

**Lattice example.**—We consider the Hamiltonian for the two-dimensional quantum compass model used in condensed matter physics [14]. It has a doubly degenerate ground state eigenspace, known as the Bacon-Shor code [11] in quantum information theory, for which the 2-particle RDMs $\{\rho_{jk}\}$ are independent of the choice of eigenstate.

Let $X_{jk}$ and $Z_{jk}$ denote the Pauli operators $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_z$, respectively, acting on the site $(j, k)$ in a square $n \times n$ spin lattice, and define

$$H_n^z = - \sum_{jk} (J_x X_{jk} X_{j+1,k} + J_z Z_{jk} Z_{j,k+1}),$$

where $J_x, J_z > 0$, and subscript addition is mod $n$, corresponding to cyclic boundary conditions. For $n = 3$, define the even parity columns

$$v_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The odd parity columns are obtained by flipping all spins $0 \leftrightarrow 1$. Symmetry considerations can be used to show that $H_n^z$ is block diagonal, including two blocks spanned by states where all columns have even parity or all columns have odd parity.

It can be shown that the lowest energy eigenvalues of these blocks are unique and those of other blocks strictly larger [11,15]. (In fact it is not hard to verify that $E_0 \leq -9J_z$ on these blocks and that for $J_z \gg J_x$ the
be the fermion creation operator $d$ with $\det = 1$, and $C$ be the fermion creation operator $d$ with $\det = 1$, the odd parity ground state of Eq. (3) is

$$|C_0\rangle = a_1|A_1\rangle + a_2|A_2\rangle + a_3|A_3\rangle,$$

and the odd parity ground state $|C_1\rangle$ can be obtained by flipping all spins.

To verify that all ground states $u|C_0\rangle + v|C_1\rangle$ have the same 2-particle RDMs, it is both necessary and sufficient to show that for all 2-body operators $B$,

$$\langle C_p|B|C_q\rangle = \delta_{pq} b,$$

for some constant $b$. To prove that $\langle C_p|B|C_p\rangle = b$ for all $p$, we introduce the parity-conversion operators $\tilde{X}_j = \prod_i X_{j,i}$, which change the parity of the ground states; $\tilde{X}_j|C_p\rangle = |C_{1-p}\rangle$ for all $j$. Given any 2-body operator $B$ which acts on sites $(j_1, k_1)$ and $(j_2, k_2)$, an $\tilde{X}_j$ may be chosen which does not affect the same sites as $B$; $j \neq j_1, j_2$. Therefore:

$$\langle C_0|B|C_0\rangle = \langle C_0\tilde{X}_j B\tilde{X}_j|C_0\rangle = \langle C_1|B|C_1\rangle = b.$$

One can similarly show $\langle C_0|B|C_1\rangle = 0$ using the phase-flipping operator $\tilde{Z}_k = \prod_i Z_{k,i}$, $\tilde{Z}_k|C_p\rangle = (-1)^p|C_p\rangle$.

Therefore, because $H_n$ is a 2-body Hamiltonian which has all degenerate ground states have the same 2-particle RDMs, it follows that $H_n$ is 2-blind and $\{\rho_n\}$ gives an exposed, and therefore extreme $\{\rho_n\}$ with no unique preimage. This fact is already surprising and interesting. We show next that this can be mapped to fermions to give a counterexample to Erdahl’s conjecture.

**From spin lattices to fermions.**—To extend our example to fermions, we introduce orthornormal site label functions $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_N\}$ which can be regarded as the spatial components of a full electron wave function. Defining $a_{j,s}$ to be the fermion creation operator $\langle \Omega | f_j, s \rangle$ with $|\Omega\rangle$ the vacuum, we map each lattice basis state to a Slater determinant having one fermion per site:

$$V:|s_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |s_N\rangle \mapsto a_{1,s_1}^\dagger \cdots a_{N,s_N}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle.$$  

The map $V$ can be extended by linearity to arbitrary lattice states $V:|\psi\rangle \mapsto |\psi^-\rangle$ so that $V^\dagger V = I_{\text{latt}}$, and $VV^\dagger$ projects onto the antisymmetric subspace $H_{\text{sing}}^-$ with half-filled (singly occupied) spatial orbitals. We also define maps $V_{jk}:|s_j s_k\rangle \mapsto a_{j,s_k}^\dagger a_{k,s_j}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle$ restricted to sites $j, k$; one can then verify that $\rho_{jk} = \text{Tr}_{3\ldots N} |\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-|$ can also be directly obtained from $\{\rho_{jk}\}$.

Because $\rho_{jk} = V_{jk}^\dagger \rho_{12} V_{jk}$, we can also recover $\{\rho_{jk}\}$ from $\rho_{12}$. Since $V$ acts like a unitary map from the lattice space to $H_{\text{sing}}^-$, we can obtain the full correspondence:

$$|\psi\rangle \leftrightarrow |\psi^-\rangle \downarrow \{\rho_{jk}\} \leftrightarrow \rho_{12}.$$  

Since $\downarrow$ denotes one-to-one mappings, it follows immediately that $\rho_{12}$ is extreme with multiple preimages if and only if $\{\rho_{jk}\}$ is extreme with corresponding preimages. Applying this procedure to the Bacon-Shor code gives an extreme fermionic 2-particle RDM with multiple preimages, disproving Erdahl’s conjecture. Moreover, because every extreme $N$-representable 2-particle RDM is exposed, we know that there exists a “2-blind” fermionic Hamiltonian which exposes this extreme point.

To construct an exposing Hamiltonian, we first define a map on traceless one-body spin operators $W_j$ as:

$$W_j = \sum_{s_1} w_{s_1} s_j |f_j\rangle \mapsto W_j \mapsto \sum_{s_1} w_{s_1} a_{j,s_1}^\dagger a_{j,s_1}.$$  

Since any lattice Hamiltonian can be written as a linear combination of tensor products of one-body operators, Eq. (9) maps any lattice Hamiltonian to a fermionic Hamiltonian $H_{\text{form}}$ acting on the full antisymmetric subspace. $H_{\text{form}}$ conserves the particle number at each site and acts on the invariant subspace $H_{\text{sing}}^-$ in the same way that $H_{\text{latt}}$ acts on the lattice space:

$$H_{\text{latt}} \mapsto H_{\text{form}} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{sing}} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{\text{double}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{\text{sing}} = VH_{\text{latt}}V^\dagger.$$  

To ensure that the ground state of $H_{\text{form}}$ is that of $H_{\text{latt}}$, we add a penalty term $\sum_{j} U_j (n_j - 1)^2$ with sufficiently large $U_j$, where $n_j = a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow} + a_{j\downarrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow}$. This gives an $n^2$-parameter family of exposing Hamiltonians.

These procedures also work for bosonic systems.

**Quantum error correction codes.**—The counterexample given above is a special case of a much more general connection between RDMs with multiple preimages, $m$-blind Hamiltonians, and quantum error correction codes. In quantum coding theory [16], a quantum state is encoded into a subspace of a larger system in a way such that errors can be identified and corrected without disturbing the encoded state. This subspace is spanned by an orthonormal basis of code words $|C_p\rangle$, and a necessary and sufficient condition for a quantum code to be able to correct a set of single-particle errors $E = |E_m\rangle$ is that:

$$\langle C_p|E_m^\dagger E_m|C_q\rangle = \delta_{pq} Q_{\ell m}.$$  

$E$ contains the operators $\{F_{1,a}, \ldots, F_{N,a}\}$ for all $a$, where $F_{j,0}, F_{j,1}, \ldots, F_{j,d-1}$ is a basis of for one-particle operators on site $j$. Therefore, since $E_m^\dagger E_m = F_{j,a}^\dagger F_{j,a'}$ forms a...
basis for the set of two-body operators, the criteria for a code to be able to correct all single-particle errors \( \langle C_p | E_m | C_q \rangle = \delta_{pm} \delta_{qm} \), is exactly the criteria of Eq. (5) for all states in the code space \( \{|C_p\} \) to have the same set of 2-particle RDMs.

This set of 2-particle RDMs will be extreme if and only if the code space is the ground space of some Hamiltonian with at most 2-body interactions. The Bacon-Shor code has this property and yields extreme points with multiple preimages. However, most quantum codes, including stabilizer codes and nonstabilizer CWS codes [16,17], do not have this property and simply yield interior or boundary points with multiple preimages.

Erdahl’s general conjecture was for \( m \)-particle RDMs, with \( m \geq 2 \). While counterexamples for \( m > 2 \) can come from the Bacon-Shor code defined on larger lattices, they also come from \( m \)-blind Hamiltonians whose ground states define a quantum code that can correct any \( \frac{m^2}{2} \)-particle errors. Topological quantum codes can have this property; for example, Kitaev’s toric code [12] is a 4-blind Hamiltonian which gives an extreme \( N \)-representable 4-particle RDM with multiple preimages. Other topological quantum codes exhibit the same properties [13,18]. Indeed, similar relationships between topological quantum codes and RDMs have been observed in [19,20].

Extensions and open issues.—The fermionic extreme points constructed here, which come from \( N \)-particle states with half-filled orbitals, are quite different from those encountered for atomic and molecular systems, and also differ from the best known extreme points which come from generalizations of BCS states [3,9]. The critical issue is not whether the states described here—or their associated Hamiltonians—arise in practical applications. Our results demonstrate that the class of extreme points is much larger and more complex than previously believed. From the standpoint of quantum chemistry, the challenge is to characterize a class of extreme points which will lead to useful new computational algorithms.

The 2-blind fermionic Hamiltonians we used to disprove Erdahl’s conjecture are quite different from fermionic Hamiltonians that physicists usually encounter, which have two-body potential terms as well as one-body terms having the form of a Laplacian. This leads to a question of fundamental importance in developing physically realizable quantum codes; can Hamiltonians with physically reasonable Laplacian and local potential terms, including realistic spin and magnetic interactions, be 2-blind?

Some of these counterexamples are closely related to topologically ordered spin systems. Stabilizer topological codes are counterexamples for \( m > 2 \), and subsystem [21] topological codes [22] are candidates of counterexamples for \( m = 2 \). Further work along these directions will undoubtedly continue to forge new connections between quantum information, condensed matter physics, and quantum chemistry.
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