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Abstract
This paper is part of a research project about the visualization of complex systems. More specifically, it focuses on the emerging need for a narrative approach in the understanding of complex networks.

A listener plays a key role in any narration process. Likewise, in every visual representation, the observer has the same role: narrators evoke whereas observers interpret through their imaginary. Why should the designer use a narrative mode of thought? Why should he give to the audience a good story more than a sound argument? [1]. To answer these questions, we present the Map of the Future we designed for Wired Italy.

Who are ‘them’? But first... who are ‘we’? And finally why should ‘we’ consider truth something avoidable for ‘them’?

What is it all about?
Let’s start from the origins of the title.
In 2003, filmmakers Spike Jonze and Lance Bangs began to shoot Tell them anything you want [2], a short documentary feature about American writer and illustrator Maurice Sendak, author of the classic children’s picture book Where the Wild Things Are. The title of this article makes reference to what parents were supposed to tell children in order to bring them up in the best way. According to Sendak, people should consider children not as little specimens of organism who are not able to understand what adults usually are: to him, children are simply humans and, as with all humans, one should behave honestly. "In the discussion of children and the lives of children and the fantasies of children and the language of children,” he asserts, “I said anything I wanted, because I don’t believe in children. I don’t believe in childhood. I don’t believe there’s this demarcation”.

In this paper, the target subjects are not specifically children nor a particular category of adults: ‘them’, in the title, refers to the general audience of any visualization ‘we’, the designers, produce. And the purpose of this paper is to point out a method for telling visual stories taken from complex systems of information, and not to dig into kids’ experiences.

However, revising Sendak’s statement, we can easily share his conclusions: the designer must not underestimate the viewers of his/her work, especially when he acts as a narrator for them. As a matter of fact, when any kind of narrator (a movie or theater director, an illustrator of children’s books, an author of comics, a song-writer) tells a story, he/she has to rely on a public’s imaginary in some degree. Stories convey information, cultural values, and experiences [3]: everything is evoked by the narrator but, eventually, interpretation is the observer’s duty.

In fact, interpretation is an instinct for the observer, more than a duty. If a story is visually well-told, the viewer finds it compelling, deserving of wonder: the more the observer is favorably disposed towards its meaning and the connections of its elements.

Sometimes the observer feels so deeply related to the story that he/she becomes co-author of the story itself through the personal or collective imagery that he/she offers to the interpretation: those are the times in which the amount of information held in the images might be grasped more quickly by the audience.

So what?
Information visualization is a process that transforms data, information, and knowledge into a form that relies on the human visual system to perceive its embedded information. Its goal is to enable the viewer to observe, understand, and make sense of the information [4]. Guaranteeing this goal is the designer’s duty.

By nature, in the visualization of complex systems, we tend to obtain a truthful synthesis out of data, to implement a process of transcription from concrete words or numbers to visual signs; but what do we do when we are facing abstract notions that remind us of qualities more than quantities? Narration, considered as a tool with the paramount function of myths to find a shape, a form, in the turmoil of human experience [5], is a great assistant for this kind of job.

Modern research in cognitive psychology states people have two different modes of thought: the paradigmatic and the narrative. Unlike the paradigmatic mode of thought, which is used to persuade somebody of the truth of a sound argument, narrative thought uses a good story to persuade of plausibility [6].

Designing for narrative means adopting methods that come from disciplines built on narrative purposes. Take cinema: As the movie director, the designer aims to choose the visualization that best preserves the complexity of the environment. He directs actors (the elements of a system), judges light design (the choice of elements to visualize) and sets designs (the imagery to evoke), and chooses different optical lenses (the power of focusing) and, most importantly, the critical point of view of the camera (intentionality).

Fig. 1. A detail of the Map of the Future, by DensityDesign (© 2009 Wired Italy/Density Design Lab.)
That’s it! But... example please

A case study we’re glad to present consists in the Map of the Future that we designed for the Italian edition of Wired magazine [7].

In 2003, filmmakers Spike Jonze and Lance Bangs (HBO) on 10/14/2009 for the first time.

In designing a map of the future, based on some semi-scientific cooperative predictions, there’s no such thing as truth, or better, there are lots of very likely truths, all different from one another, depending on which combination of ‘evolution-factors’ is taken into consideration. Therefore, the designer can choose either to visualize every possible future (truth) or to tell the story of a possible world in which many futures could coexist (plausibility), leaving the audience the chance and the charge of deciding which future is more likely to them.

The lack of unchallengable quantitative data leads the designer to adopt a narrative mode of thought that stimulates itself the narrative mode of thought in the minds of the observers: no truth or certainty can be imposed by the visualization, because there is none. Encouraging the audience to think and see connections between multiple visual tales is a form of respect: you have to tell them anything but the truth, indeed.

Expected results are not scientific of course (we do not foresee how exactly someone can interpret visual stories) but empirical: Observers of the Map of the Future were driven to discuss it together; they were engaged in the visualized system, emotionally and intellectually, like an incredulous Scarecrow towards the end of The Wizard of Oz [9] who exclaims: “Oh joy, rapture, I’ve got a brain!”
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Fig. 2. The structure of the Map of the Future (© 2009 Wired Italy/Density Design Lab.)