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Motional heating of ions in microfabricated traps is one of the open challenges hindering experimental realizations of large-scale quantum processing devices. Recently, a series of measurements of the heating rates in surface-electrode ion traps characterized their frequency, distance, and temperature dependencies, but our understanding of the microscopic origin of this noise remains incomplete. In this work we develop a theoretical model for the electric field noise which is associated with a random distribution of adsorbed atoms on the trap electrode surface. By using first-principles calculations of the fluctuating dipole moments of the adsorbed atoms we evaluate the distance, frequency, and temperature dependence of the resulting electric field fluctuation spectrum. Our theory reproduces correctly the $d^{-4}$ dependence with distance of the ion from the electrode surface and calculates the noise spectrum beyond the standard scenario of two-level fluctuators by incorporating all the relevant vibrational states. Our model predicts a regime of $1/f$ noise which commences at roughly the frequency of the fundamental phonon transition rate and a thermally activated noise spectrum which for higher temperatures exhibits a crossover as a function of frequency.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Laser-cooled trapped ions represent one of the most promising systems for the implementation of large-scale quantum information processing [1–3]. Most of the basic requirements for building a quantum computer—the so-called DiVincenzo criteria [4]—have been demonstrated in the laboratory and the generation of entangled states of up to 14 ions has been achieved [5]. Many experimental efforts are now focused on the development of miniaturization and microfabrication techniques for ion traps [6–12] to realize more efficient and also fully scalable quantum computing architectures [9,13]. However, when devices are miniaturized, physics at the short distance becomes a challenge. This is evident in measurements of Casimir force (of either sign) [14,15] or of noncontact friction [16–18]; in the case of trapped ions it manifests itself in the appearance of an excess (“anomalous”) heating rate as the trap-surface distance is decreased [8,11,12,19–23]. Therefore, a detailed understanding of the origin of this noise will be essential for the future progress of trapped-ion quantum computing, as well as the development of several hybrid quantum computing approaches where, for example, ions [24,25], Rydberg atoms [26], polar molecules [27], or charged nanomechanical resonators [28] are operated in the vicinity of solid-state systems.

Theoretical attempts to explain the noise-induced heating of trapped ions—its distance, frequency, and temperature dependence—have been largely phenomenological. The most common noise source in conductors, the Johnson-Nyquist noise from the trap electrodes or circuitry, has a frequency-independent spectrum and decays as $d^{-2}$ with increasing trap-surface distance $d$. Experimental data, however, is consistent with a $d^{-4}$ scaling for a large variety of trapping geometries (see, e.g., Ref. [11] for a recent review) and suggests a $1/f$ or even stronger variation over the observed range of frequencies [8,21]. Therefore, since the early work of Turchette et al. [19], the influence of randomly oriented dipole domains (patch potentials) has been recognized as the leading source for motional heating of ions. The main assumption of the patch potential model, namely, that the electric noise originates from uncorrelated sources, explains correctly the observed distance dependence of the heating rate, but the model does not otherwise provide further insight into the physical origin of these fluctuations. More recent experiments with superconducting ion traps [20] strongly support the view that the source of anomalous heating is not in the bulk, but is a surface phenomenon, and detailed temperature studies [8,22] reveal that thermally activated processes are at play.

In this work, we develop a microscopic theory of anomalous heating in ion traps and other charged systems. The central assumption in this work is that the electric field noise in ion traps is produced by randomly distributed fluctuating dipoles on the gold electrode surface, which, in turn, are formed by surface adsorption of atomic impurities from the atmosphere or in fabrication. This assumption is in agreement with the experimental observations mentioned above and is supported by the fact that in many traps the heating rate increases over time, especially in the trap loading zone [11].

In our model, fluctuations of the adatom dipole moment arise from phonon-induced transitions between multiple bound surface states. We use analytic models supported by exact density functional calculations to analyze the adatom surface interaction potentials and the resulting time variations of the induced dipole moment. From this analysis we obtain the electric field fluctuation spectrum, and thereby the ion heating rate, as a function of the relevant microscopic parameters of the atom-surface interaction. Our calculations go beyond the standard scenario of two-level fluctuators [8,11,29,30] and we show that the inherent multilevel structure of the surface...
potential leads to a characteristic frequency and temperature dependence, where even for a single atom a region with $1/f$ scaling emerges due to a distribution of different transition rates. The predicted distance dependence and heating rates are in good agreement with measurements. More importantly, the characteristic features of our noise model could provide more insight into the microscopic origin of anomalous heating and be tested against other potential mechanisms [31].

II. ANOMALOUS HEATING OF TRAPPED IONS

Figure 1(a) shows a typical experimental setting where a single ion of mass $m_I$ and charge $q$ is trapped at a distance $d$ above a metal surface using combined static and inhomogeneous rf electric fields (Paul traps). For various designs of surface patterned microtraps [6–12], the separation $d$ is between 20 and 100 μm. When the ion is laser cooled the vibrational ground-state fluctuating electric fields couple to the motion of the ion and lead to an increase of the average vibrational occupation number $\bar{n}$ with a characteristic rate [19]

$$\dot{\bar{n}} = \frac{q^2}{2m_I\hbar\omega_t}S_E(\omega_t).$$

Here $\omega_t$ is the trapping frequency of the ion, typically in the range $\omega_t/2\pi \approx 0.1$–10 MHz, and $S_E(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \langle \delta E(t)\delta E(0) \rangle e^{i\omega t}$ is the spectrum of the fluctuating electric field $\delta E(t)$ (projected onto the trapping axis) at the position of the trap. The heating rate (1) can be measured in experiments and thereby provides an accurate probe of the electric field noise over the accessible distance and frequency range.

In our model we consider electric fields originating from a distribution of fluctuating dipoles $\vec{\mu}_i(t)$ which are associated with individual atoms adsorbed on the surface at positions $\vec{r}_i$. In accordance with previous “patch potential” models [19,32] the assumption of uncorrelated noise sources leads to the expected distance dependence $d^{-4}$. This is illustrated in Fig. 1(b), where we have numerically evaluated the electric field noise of $N = 100$ randomly distributed dipoles on a surface. More explicitly, by averaging over a homogenous distribution of atoms with area density $\sigma$ the electric field noise spectrum at the position of the ion can be written as

$$S_E(\omega_t) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{\sigma}{d^3} \frac{S_\mu(\omega_t)}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2},$$

where $S_\mu(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \langle \delta \mu_z(\tau) \delta \mu_z(0) \rangle e^{i\omega \tau}$ is the spectrum of the fluctuating dipole of a single adatom. Our main goal in the remainder of this paper is to provide a microscopic derivation of the dipole-fluctuation spectrum $S_\mu(\omega)$, which by using Eqs. (1) and (2) allows us to establish a direct relation between the ion heating rate and the microscopic details of the atom-surface interactions.

III. ATOM-SURFACE INTERACTION

Atoms approaching a surface experience an attractive force which at large distances is the well-studied van der Waals (vdW) potential $\sim-C_3/z^3$ which eventually becomes repulsive when the electronic wave functions of the adsorbant and the bulk atoms overlap. An atom approaching the surface can lose energy by phonon-induced processes and get trapped in the resulting potential well. We develop a model, based on physical intuition, which captures the essential aspects of the atom-surface interaction. To this end, we present ab initio density-functional theory (DFT) calculations of hydrogen adsorption on Au(111) surface and obtain the interaction potential normal to the surface. This potential is used as a proxy for our model atom-surface potentials, which more closely mimic realistic impurity reactivity on gold surfaces. The utility in using a parametric model potential rests with it flexibility for tuning the phonon transition frequencies, and with controlling of both the short-range and vdW interactions.

A. Ab initio atom-surface potentials

For the calculations to be manageable, we chose hydrogen adsorption on the gold surface. Clearly, surface contaminants are more than hydrogen atoms and contain atmospheric species. We incorporate such species by devising realistic interaction potentials (Sec. III B) to model the adsorption of atmospheric or fabrication impurities on gold surfaces. For the H-Au interaction, all-electron scalar relativistic calculations of the total energy and optimized geometries of a H-Au surface model system were performed using the spin-polarized DFT as implemented in the DMOL3 software [33]. The exchange correlation energy was calculated using the local-density approximation (LDA) with the parametrization of Perdew and Wang (PWC) [34].

In Fig. 2, the H-Au(111) surface potential is shown. The long-range interaction of atom-conductor potential is given by $C_3/z^3$, where $C_3$ is proportional to the atomic electric...
dipole transitions and $z$ is the normal to the surface. The coefficient $C_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha(i\omega)d\omega$ can be obtained numerically by evaluating the dynamic atomic polarizability at imaginary frequencies. The value of $C_3$ for hydrogen is given in Ref. [35] as $C_3 = 7.36 \times 10^{-5}$ a.u. Allowance is made for the fact that Au dielectric constant is not infinite; that is, $C_3(Au) = \frac{\epsilon_A}{\epsilon_A + 1} C_3$, where $\epsilon$ is the dielectric constant for Au. The fundamental transition frequency is more than 25 THz, many orders of magnitude larger than any frequency scale in the experiments. Heavier adatoms on the gold surface mass scale the interaction, leading to lowering of the transition frequencies.

B. Model atom-surface potentials

To describe the atom-surface interactions for wider range of atoms, we use a model potential which is commonly referred to as exp-$3$ [36]. This potential is frequently used in surface science studies [36] and provides a suitable description for short- and medium-range distances. Our potential in this range is described by

$$U(z) = \frac{\beta z_0}{z_0 - 3} U_0 \left[ \frac{3}{\beta z_0} e^{\beta z_0(1-z/z_0)} - \left( \frac{z_0}{z} \right)^3 \right], \quad (3)$$

where $z_0$ is the equilibrium position, $U_0$ is the depth of the potential, and $\beta$ is the reciprocal range of repulsion. The typical shape of this potential is plotted in Fig. 3. In the long range Eq. (3) reproduces the correct $\sim C_4/z^4$ form where $C_4 = \frac{e^{\beta z_0} - 1}{\beta} U_0$ in terms of the model parameters, and by adjusting these three parameters, we can modify $U(z)$ to fit realistic atom-surface potentials. The potential supports several bound vibrational states with energies $\hbar \nu$, from this result, we also find a rough estimate of the number of strongly bound vibrational states $N_B \approx U_0/(\hbar \nu_{10})$.

From the exact H-Au potential shown in Fig. 2, we deduce $U_0 \approx 2$ eV, corresponding to a temperature of $T = 1.6 \times 10^{4}$ K, $z_0 \approx 1.6$ Å, and $\beta \approx 3.91$ Å$^{-1}$, as example for an adatom with a high reactivity with the Au surface. Because of the low H mass the vibrational frequencies are in the range of $\nu_{10}/2\pi \approx 40$ THz. In general, we expect a similar reactivity for other alkali atoms, as can be seen, for example, for K-Ag, where $U_0 = 1.79$ eV and $z_0 \approx 2$ Å [37]. However, due to the larger mass we obtain significantly lower vibrational frequencies $\nu_{10}/2\pi \approx 4$ THz. In contrast, for weakly interacting atoms, we find from the widely studied noble gas-metal potentials (see for instance, Refs. [38,39]) that potentials can be wider and much shallower. For example, for Ne on a gold surface $U_0 \approx 0.012$ eV, $z_0 \approx 3.1$ Å, and $\beta \approx 1.86$ Å$^{-1}$. Within this typical range of potential parameters and adatom mass $m \approx 10–150$ a.u., we expect the relevant vibrational frequency scales to be in the $\nu_{10}/2\pi \approx 0.1–1$ THz regime. For our model potential in Fig. 3, and using the reduced mass of Ne-Au, we find $\nu_{10}/2\pi \approx 0.3$ THz.

In our model of atom-surface interactions, we ignore the dependence of $U(z)$ on $x$ and $y$ due to surface roughness. However, for a metal surface, where the electrons are smeared out, this variation should be weak. Also, the random motion of an otherwise fixed dipole along the $xy$ plane would lead to a different $d^{-6}$ scaling of the heating rate which is not supported by experimental data.

IV. FLUCTUATING DIPOLES

A. Adatom dipoles

Adatoms adsorbed on the surface exhibit a finite permanent dipole moment perpendicular to the surface. This induced dipole moment can be understood from the distortion of the electronic wave functions. It is commonly argued that the dipoles form when the impurity adatom valence electrons penetrate into the surface conduction bands, modifying the surface work function. Here we calculate the magnitude of
The electric dipole moments of the adatoms by resorting to elementary electrostatics, using image charge techniques. The electrons and the ionic core interact with the surface electrons through their respective image charges, as depicted in Fig. 4. We begin by writing the potential seen by the orbital electron due to its image charge [40].

\[ V = -\frac{e^2}{8Z_n^2} \sum_i \left( \frac{z_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_i^2 \right) - \frac{3e^2}{16Z_n^2} \sum_i \left( \frac{z_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_i^2 \right), \]

where \( \vec{r}_i = (\vec{p}_i, z_i) \) is the position of the \( i \)th electron and \( Z_n \) is the distance from the nucleus of the adatom to the metallic surface (see Fig. 4). In specifying the position of the electron, we use \( z_i \) to refer to the distance from the electron to the nucleus along the normal to the surface. The first term is the above-discussed vD interaction with the surface. The second term in Eq. (5) vanishes in the first order of perturbation expansion, but contributes to the second-order energy shift, \( \Delta E^{(2)} \).

Following Ref. [40], we use a variational ansatz of the form \( \phi = (1 + \sum \lambda_i z_i) \psi_0 \), where \( \psi_0 \) are the unperturbed atomic wave functions, and calculate \( \Delta E^{(2)} \) by varying the parameters \( \lambda_i \). From the deformed electronic wave function \( \phi \), we find an approximated induced dipole moment of the atom near the surface, \( P(Z_n) = \langle \phi | \sum_i e\vec{r}_i | \phi \rangle \). For hydrogen atom \( P(Z_n) = 4.5a_0^2 \), where \( a_0 \) is the Bohr radius. Since the numerical factor in the expression is the static polarizability of hydrogen, \( \alpha_H = 4.5a_0^3 \), this expression can be generalized to a generic atom with polarizability \( \alpha \).

\[ P(Z_n) = 0.47ea_0^{1/2}a^{3/2} \frac{1}{Z_n}. \]

We use the numerically constructed wave functions \( |i\rangle \), corresponding to the vibrational bound states of our model potential \( U(z) \), to evaluate the average induced dipole moments,

\[ \mu_{z,i} := \langle i | P(z) | i \rangle. \]

We should note that due to the image charges the dipole moment seen by the atom will be twice the induced dipole moment in Eq. (6). In Fig. 5, we plot the resulting induced dipole moments \( \mu_{z,i} \) for the model potential parameters shown in Fig. 3. These parameters represent a weakly bound adatom similar to the Ne-Au surface potential [38]. Using this potential together with the polarizability of Ne, \( \alpha(Ne) = 0.36 \text{Å}^3 \) [41], and \( Z_n = z_0 \), we obtain an induced ground-state dipole moment \( \mu_{z,0}(Ne) = 0.005 \text{D} \). Hence, the dipole moment seen by the ion is approximately 0.01 D, which is in good agreement with 0.016 D calculated for Ne in [39]. Note that \( \mu_{z,0} \sim \alpha^{3/2}/\lambda^{1/2} \) and in general the typical magnitude of induced dipole moments is \( \sim 1 \text{D} \). For example, in [18,42], it is shown that the dipole moment for Cs adsorbed on Cu(100) is 4 D. Similarly in [43] the induced dipole moments for K adsorbed on W, Ni, and Pd ranges from 1.45 to 3.1 D.

### B. Phonon-induced transition rates

The emission and absorption of phonons in the bulk lead to transitions between different bound vibrational states and result in fluctuations of the induced dipole moment of the adatom. To evaluate the phonon-induced transitions rates, we approximate the trap electrode surface by a semi-infinite crystalline slab. The position of an atom in the solid is given by

\[ \vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i^0 + \vec{u}_i, \]

where \( \vec{r}_i^0 \) is the equilibrium position of the \( i \)th atom and \( \vec{u}_i \) is its deviation from the equilibrium. We can write the displacements \( \vec{u}_i \) terms of bosonic operators \( \omega_j(q) \) for the phonon eigenmodes,

\[ \vec{u}_i = \sum_{q,j} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2NM\omega_{j,q}}} \vec{e}_j(q) |\omega_j(q)\rangle e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_i^0 + \text{H.c.}}, \]

where \( \vec{q} \) is the quasimomentum, \( N \) is the number of atoms in the bulk, and \( M \) is their mass. For each \( \vec{q} \) the normalized vectors \( \vec{e}_j(q) \) describe the three orthogonal phonon polarizations.
In the presence of phonons, the adatom surface potential \( U(z) \), which in Eq. (3) has been defined for a static surface, will in general depend on the fluctuating positions of the bulk atoms so that \( U(z) = U(z, \{ r_i \}) \). Expanding this potential to first order in \( u_i \), gives

\[
U(z, \{ r_i \}) \simeq U(z, \{ r_i^0 \}) + \sum_i \nabla U(z, \{ r_i^0 \}) u_i. \tag{9}
\]

Since our potential is already averaged over the two transverse directions, we are only interested in the variations in the normal direction. Further, the dominant deformation of the potential arises from the closest surface atom and in the following, we restrict the sum in Eq. (9) to a single atom. We use Fermi’s golden rule to evaluate the phonon-induced transition rate between two vibrational states \( |i\rangle \) and \( |f\rangle \),

\[
\Gamma_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_q \left| \langle f \mid \frac{d}{dz} U(z) \mid i \rangle \right|^2 n(\Delta \omega_{qf}) + 1, \tag{11}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{f \rightarrow i} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_q \left| \langle f \mid \frac{d}{dz} U(z) \mid i \rangle \right|^2 n(\Delta \omega_{qf}). \tag{12}
\]

where \( \Delta \omega_{qf} = |E_i - E_f|/\hbar, n(\Delta \omega) = (e^{\Delta \omega/\hbar k_B T} - 1)^{-1} \) is the averaged speed of sound in the surface material, and \( \rho \) is its bulk density.

In the following, we denote by \( \Gamma_0 \equiv \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 0} (T = 0) \), the zero-temperature decay rate from the first excited to the lowest vibrational state. From a simple harmonic approximation of \( U(z) \) around its minimum at \( z_0 \), we obtain the scaling

\[
\Gamma_0 \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{v_0^4 m}{v^2 \rho}. \tag{13}
\]

Using \( v = 3962 \) m/s and \( \rho = 19.3 \) g/cm\(^3\) for Au, and the model potential parameters given in Fig. (3), with \( v_0/2\pi = 0.3 \) THz, we find \( \Gamma_0/2\pi \approx 3.31 \) MHz. For K-Au, where \( v_0/2\pi = 4 \) THz \( \Gamma_0/2\pi \) is approximately 67 MHz and in general we expect \( \Gamma_0/2\pi \) to range from about 1 to a few hundred MHz. Note that the validity of Eqs. (11) and (12) is restricted to transition frequencies \( \Delta \omega_{qf} \) smaller than the Debye frequency \( \omega_D \) of the bulk material, which for gold is about 3.6 THz.

C. Dipole fluctuation spectrum

We are interested in the fluctuation spectrum of the induced dipole moment of a single adatom, defined as

\[
S_{\mu}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \left| \langle \mu_z(\tau) \mu_z(0) \rangle - \langle \mu_z(0) \rangle \right|^2 e^{i\omega \tau}. \tag{14}
\]

Summarizing the results from the previous sections we can write the dipole moment operator as \( \mu_z = \sum_i \mu_z \langle i | \rho_i \rangle \), where \( \rho_i = |i \rangle \langle i | \) is the projection operator on the vibrational level \( |i\rangle \). Therefore, for given values of \( \rho_i \) the dipole fluctuation spectrum can be related to the set of two-point correlation functions \( \langle \rho_i(\tau) \rho_j(\tau + \tau) \rangle \) of the vibrational populations. The populations, in turn, evolve according to the master equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_i = \sum_j M_{ij} \rho_j, \tag{15}
\]

where the diagonal \( M_{ii} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \Gamma_{-i,j} \) and the off-diagonal elements \( M_{ij} = \Gamma_{i,j} \) are determined by the phonon-induced transition rates discussed above. We evaluate the dynamics of the correlations \( \langle \rho_i(\tau) \rho_j(\tau + \tau) \rangle \) by first introducing the condition \( \sum_i \rho_i = 1 \) into the master equation. For \( N \) bound states, we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_i(\tau) = \sum_{j \neq N} (M_{ij} - M_{Ni}) \rho_j(\tau) + M_{iN} \rho_k(0), \tag{16}
\]

where \( \rho_k(0) \) is the steady-state population in level \( k \). For \( i = N \) we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} \rho_N(\tau) \rho_k(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (M_{Ni} - M_{NN}) \times \rho_i(\tau) \rho_k(0) + M_{NN} \rho_k(0). \tag{17}
\]

All two-point correlation functions can be calculated from the above two equations, and the full noise spectrum is obtained by summing all the two-point correlations.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Fig. 6, we plot the typical behavior of the dipole fluctuation spectrum \( S_{\mu}(\omega) \) as a function of frequency. The spectrum has been evaluated for the model potential parameters given in Fig. 3 and for different temperatures \( T \). The lowest temperature \( k_B T = 0.02 U_0 \) corresponds to a situation where the thermal energy is smaller than the vibrational energy \( h v_0 \approx 0.1 U_0 \) and only transitions between the two lowest vibrational states contribute to dipole fluctuations. In this case, the dipole fluctuation spectrum resembles that of a thermally activated two-level system [30], where at low temperatures

\[
S_{\mu}(\omega) \approx (\mu_0 - \mu_1)^2 \frac{2 \Gamma_0}{\omega^2 + \Gamma_0^2} e^{-\frac{\omega v_0}{2k_B T}}. \tag{19}
\]

For frequencies below the fundamental phonon transition rate, \( \omega < \Gamma_0 \), the spectrum is frequency independent (white noise), while above \( \Gamma_0 \) the scaling changes to \( 1/\omega^2 \) as one would
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intermediate regime emerges where the dipole fluctuation spectrum states are populated. At these temperatures the spectrum resembles to temperatures where only the two lowest vibrational states are populated. At these temperatures the spectrum resembles that of a two-level fluctuator [see Eq. (19)] and its size increases with increasing temperature. For higher temperatures (shown in red, green, and blue) more and more bound states are thermally occupied and an intermediate regime emerges where the dipole fluctuation spectrum exhibits 1/$f$ noise scaling. For all temperatures, the crossover from the flat (white noise) regime to a 1/$f$ noise or 1/$f$ noise scaling occurs at around $\omega_0 \approx \Gamma_0 [n(\nu_{10}) + 1].$
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**FIG. 6.** (Color online) The dipole fluctuation spectrum $S_\mu(\omega)$ is plotted for six different temperatures $T$ (given in units of the vibrational excitation frequency $\nu_{10}$) and the model potential parameters given in Figs. 3 and 5. The frequency is scaled by the zero-temperature transition rate $\Gamma_0$. The curves in black ($k_B T/\hbar \nu_{10} = 0.2$, 0.3, 0.4) correspond to temperatures where only the two lowest vibrational states are populated. At these temperatures the spectrum resembles that of a two-level fluctuator [see Eq. (19)]] and its size increases with increasing temperature. For higher temperatures (shown in red, green, and blue) more and more bound states are thermally occupied and an intermediate regime emerges where the dipole fluctuation spectrum exhibits 1/$f$ noise scaling. For all temperatures, the crossover from the flat (white noise) regime to a 1/$f$ noise or 1/$f$ noise scaling occurs at around $\omega_0 \approx \Gamma_0 [n(\nu_{10}) + 1].$

We expect for a two-level system [30]. The noise is thermally activated with a characteristic temperature scale $T = \hbar \nu_{10}/k_B$, which depending on the atomic species ranges from few kelvin to above room temperature for light and tightly bound adatoms like hydrogen.

When the temperature exceeds the characteristic vibrational energy $T > \hbar \nu_{10}/k_B$, more and more vibrational levels are populated and contribute to the dipole fluctuations (curves in red, green, and blue in Fig. 6). An intermediate frequency regime appears where the noise spectrum exhibits a 1/$f$ scaling. We emphasize that in contrast to standard models for 1/$f$ noise, based on a random set of two-level fluctuators with varying parameters [29,30], this 1/$f$ scaling occurs in our model even for a single dipole and emerges from a distribution of different vibrational transitions rates $\Gamma_i$, which compete to the dynamics. We find that the approximate crossover between the white noise and the 1/$f$ noise regime occurs at $\omega \approx \Gamma_0 \times [n(\nu_{10}) + 1]$. To check the validity of our model, we compare our spectrum to the measured values. Using Eq. (2), we can relate $S_\mu(\omega)$ to $S_E(\omega)$. Since $S_\mu \propto \mu^2$, we note that in the 1/$f$ noise region, $10^{-11} < S_\mu(\omega) < 10^{-7}$ $D^2$/Hz. The value for $\Gamma_0 \sim 1$–10 MHz, and the 1/$f$ behavior sets in at 10–100$\Gamma_0$, which corresponds to 10–100 MHz. Using the coverage fraction $\theta = 0.1$ corresponding to about $\sigma \sim 10^{18}$ m$^{-2}$, we find $3.2 \times 10^{-8} < \omega S_E(\omega) < 0.0032$ V$^2$/m$^2$ at $d_0 = 10 \mu$m. The experimentally measured values range between $10^{-7}$ and 0.001 V$^2$/m$^2$ [11].

![Fig. 7](image)

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) Temperature dependence of the dipole fluctuation spectrum vs normalized temperature ($k_B T/\hbar \nu_{10}$). The three curves show the temperature dependence evaluated for frequencies in the “white-noise regime” ($\omega \rightarrow 0$), the “1/$f$ regime” ($\omega = 20 \times \Gamma_0$), and the high-frequency, “1/$f^2$ regime” ($\omega = 100 \times \Gamma_0$). The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 6.

## A. Temperature dependence

The temperature dependence of the ion heating noise is more succinctly displayed in Fig. 7 as a function of scaled temperature in units of $\nu_{10}$. At low frequencies, that is, in the white-noise regime, the fluctuations are thermally activated, showing a peak at $k_B T/\hbar \nu_{10} \approx 1$, while for higher temperature they fall off again as $\sim 1/T$. The suppression of low-frequency fluctuations with increasing temperature is in principle expected from a single two-level fluctuator. In some models based on multiple two-level systems with a distribution of activation energies, noise increases with increasing temperature over the whole frequency range [30].

In our model this behavior is recovered for frequencies within the “1/$f$ region” of the spectrum, $\omega \approx 20 \times \Gamma_0$, where the temperature dependence matches some of the experimental findings described in [8] and fits an Arrhenius curve $F(T) = S_T e^{-\frac{\theta_0\nu}{k_B T}}$ with the parameters, $S_T = 10^{-13}$ $D^2$/Hz and $\theta_0 \approx 0.242 U_0 = 56.9$ K. Finally, for very large frequencies, $\omega \gg \Gamma_0$, that is, in the 1/$f^2$ regime, noise scales linearly with $T$.

## VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a microscopic model for electric field noise generated by fluctuating dipoles associated with adatoms on a metallic surface. We have shown that phonon-induced transitions between different bound vibrational states cause fluctuations of the induced dipole moments and generate electric field noise which can contribute to the anomalous heating observed in surface ion traps. The analysis presented in this work has been largely based on analytic model potentials for the atom-surface interactions and induced dipole moments, allowing us to characterize the resulting field fluctuation spectrum for a wide range of atomic or molecular species in terms of a small set of microscopic parameters.

While more accurate predictions will depend on the atomic species, our model explains correctly the magnitude and the


$\omega > \Gamma_0$. From our estimates, we find that this transition rate is in the range, but slightly above the typical ion trapping frequencies. This suggests that either heavier or more loosely bound adatoms are responsible for the noise, or additional mechanism like multiphonon transitions or dipole-dipole interactions are at play leading to the emergence of even lower fluctuation rates.

Our model predicts several distinct features for the electric field noise spectrum which appear at characteristic frequencies and temperature scales of the adatom surface interactions. In future experiments the development of new trap designs [3] could allow for more targeted search for these predictions, for example, by probing samples where a clean metal surface is contaminated with a single, prespecified atomic species. To access the frequency regime of 10 to a few 100 MHz, similar heating experiments could be done with nanomechanical resonators or carbon nanotubes, for which accurate optical and electrical readout schemes have been developed (see, e.g., Ref. [44] and references therein).
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