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1An ion sensitive probe (ISP) is developed as a robust diagnostic for measuring plasma potentials (\(\Phi_P\)) in magnetized plasmas. The ISP relies on the large difference between the ion and electron gyroradii (\(\rho_i/\rho_e \approx 60\)) to reduce the electron collection at a collector recessed behind a separately biased wall distance \(\sim \rho_e\). We develop a new ISP method to measure the plasma potential that is independent of the precise position and shape of the collector. \(\Phi_P\) is found as the wall potential when charged current to the probe collector vanishes during the voltage sweep. The plasma potentials obtained from the ISP match \(\Phi_P\) measured with an emissive probe over a wide range of plasma conditions in a small magnetized plasma. © 2010 American Institute of Physics.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The electrostatic plasma potential (\(\Phi_P\)) is one of the most fundamental plasma parameters in magnetic fusion. There are several probes capable of measuring the plasma potential in tokamaks and the most widely used is the Langmuir probe. However, Langmuir probes do not directly measure \(\Phi_P\); the plasma potential is inferred from other plasma parameters: the electron temperature (\(T_e\)), the ion and electron saturation currents (\(I_{ISAT}^i\) and \(I_{e-SAT}\), respectively), and the floating potential (\(V_F\)).

The most common method to measure the plasma potential directly is using an electron emissive probe. The attractiveness of the emissive probe is the ease of interpretation: the probe begins to float at \(\sim \Phi_P\) within \(1 T_e/e\), where \(e\) is the elementary charge) when operated in the high emission regime. However, the RFEA design is complex; multiple biased (and fragile) grids are necessary. The analyzer is also more perturbing to plasma than other probes. The body of the RFEA protrudes several millimeter into plasma past the analyzer’s entrance slit.

It has been proposed to use the large difference between the electron and ion gyroradii (\(\rho_i\) and \(\rho_e\), respectively) in magnetized plasmas to measure ion properties and \(\Phi_P\) directly. Standard theory demands that the collector be precisely positioned a distance \(h \sim \rho_i\) behind a wall to reduce the electron collection to meet the \(I_{e-SAT}=I_{SAT}\) criterion. The ion motion is assumed to be demagnetized and space-charge effects are ignored. At this point the collector is assumed to float at \(\Phi_P\). Different variations of the original Katsumata design are the ion sensitive probe (ISP), the plug probe, the tunnel probe, the ball-pen probe, and the baffle probe. We will use the ISP acronym to refer to this type of probe throughout the paper.

The purpose of our study is to explore the validity of the ISP theory of operation to determine \(\Phi_P\). Additionally, we would like to develop a technique that is insensitive to the exact positioning of the collector by examining the current collection as a function of the wall potential. Ultimately, we want to design and implement a robust, long-lived probe suitable for the plasma potential measurements in the scrape-off layer (SOL) plasmas of Alcator C-Mod tokamak, where densities commonly exceed \(10^{18}\) m\(^{-3}\), which makes the emissive probe unsuitable.

II. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

The ISP was studied in a magnetized rf plasma, DIONISOS. The magnetic field was a constant B \(B=0.04\) T and the working gas was argon at a neutral gas pressure \(P_Ne=0.26\) Pa. The rf source was a 3 kW Apex 3013 rf generator from Advanced Energy and the rf power was coupled to the plasma via a Nagoya III antenna through a matching network. The plasma density range was \(\sim 10^{16}-10^{18}\) m\(^{-3}\). The diameter of the extracted plasma column was \(\sim 5\) cm. The electron temperature range was 7–15 eV, implying that \(\rho_e \sim 0.2\) mm.

The main components of the ISP (Fig. 1) were (1) a circular stainless steel “collector,” diameter \(d_{COLL}=4.69\) mm; (2) a cylindrical stainless steel “wall,” inner diameter \(D_{WALL}=6\) mm and outer diameter \(OD_{WALL}=6.8\) mm; and (3) cylindrical alumina shield, \(ID_{SHIELD}=6.8\) mm and \(OD_{SHIELD}=11.3\) mm. The collector and the
The emissive probe was a U-shaped loop of thoriated tungsten wire. The emissive probe was operated in the hot emission regime. The plasma potential in the DIONISOS plasma was independently measured with a hot emissive probe at the same location and plasma conditions as the ISP measurements. The emissive probe was U-shaped loop of thoriated tungsten wire ~1 cm long and 125 μm in diameter. The filament was heated by passing a dc current (I_{dc}) through it. The emissive probe was operated in the hot emission regime. When the floating potential of the probe stopped changing as a function of I_{dc} (typically, I_{dc} > 2 A), then V_F ~ ΔF_p (within 1 T_e /e).

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plasma potential can be determined by examining a current-voltage (I-V) characteristic of the probe collector as a function of the wall (not the collector) potential. In the simplest picture, no ion is electrostatically allowed to enter the probe volume once the wall potential is raised above the plasma potential; therefore, ΔF_p can be determined as the wall potential at which the collected current vanishes. Figure 2 shows two typical I-V curves where the wall and the collector were both swept simultaneously with a constant bias (V_{BIAS} = V_{WALL} - V_{COLL}) between them. The I-V characteristics of the wall was constant and similar to a regular Langmuir probe trace with I_{SAT} = 0.007 A ≈ I_{e,SAT} = 0.21 A and T_e = 7 eV. The plasma potential, determined by the emissive probe, was ~15 V. The collector current remains in the ion collection regime at all times when the bias voltage is positive (V_{WALL} > V_{COLLECTOR}) by 5–10 V. The current drops to zero once the wall potential is raised above the plasma potential. If the bias voltage is negative (V_{WALL} < V_{COLLECTOR}), one often measures substantial electron current for V_{WALL} < ΔF_p, but which also goes to zero when V_{WALL} > ΔF_p. Using this technique, we verified ΔF_p measurements against emissive probe results in DIONISOS over a wide range of densities and plasma regimes (Fig. 3). Note that the hot emissive probe does not float at exactly the plasma potential; however, its V_F is typically within ~1 T_e /e depending on the plasma density and temperature. The main source of error in the ISP measurements is due to the uncertainty of the “knee” location in the collected current in the vicinity of V_{WALL} = ΔF_p. The width of the knee is typically ~5 V, meaning that the plasma potential measurements between the two probes are in good agreement. We further examine the ion and electron saturation currents on the probe collector at fixed wall voltages. Figure 4 shows several I-V curves at four different constant wall voltages: V_{WALL} = −20, −10, +10, and +25 V. We observed that both the ion and electron saturation currents drop to zero once the wall voltage exceeds the plasma potential, consistent with the results in Fig. 2. The disappearance of the collector current is independent of the shape of the collector; the same results were obtained with a conical, ball-penlike collector. The plasma potential measured with the ISP is also insensitive to the exact recess distance of the collector, unlike the standard ISP theory where the collector must be precisely positioned at h = 2 mm to balance the electron and ion saturation currents. Note that the maximum measured electron current far exceeds the current expected from a...
simple gyromotion of the electrons; the recess distance of the collector is tens of \( \rho_e \)'s and yet the electron current remains much greater than the ion current. It is sometimes speculated in the literature that the source of the electrons at the collector surface is due to the secondary electron emission from the wall.\(^\text{15}\) However, our results show that the electron collection disappears for \( V_{\text{WALL}} \approx \Phi_p \) and \( I_{\text{WALL}} = I_{\text{SAT}} \), implying that the source of the electrons is not secondary emissions from the wall.

The ion collection curve for the case of \( V_{\text{BIAS}} = 10 \) V (Fig. 2) can also provide us with additional information on the perpendicular ion temperature. An exponential fit to the decaying part of the curve, similar to the technique used to estimate the electron temperature with Langmuir probes,\(^\text{14}\) gives us \( T_{i,\perp} \sim 10 \) eV. The high \( T_{i,\perp} \) in helicon argon plasmas was reported elsewhere,\(^\text{16,17}\) where the authors used laser induced fluorescence to measure the ion temperature. The authors reported \( T_{i,\perp} \) in excess of 1 eV, depending on the magnetic field, the rf power, and the frequency of the rf source.

The high (>1 mA) measured collector current in the ISP appears to exceed the maximum allowed space-charge limited current if only ions exist in the probe volume.\(^\text{20,21}\) A simple one-dimensional estimate of the Child–Langmuir current carried by singly charged ion bursts between a potential difference of 40 V, over a distance of 5 mm, and collected over a circular electrode of 5 mm in diameter gives \( I_{\text{COLLECTOR}} \sim 1.7 \) \( \mu \)A, which is three orders of magnitude lower than the measured current. The Child–Langmuir current limit is enhanced by treating the problem in two dimensions and by considering the nonzero velocity of the ions;\(^\text{22,23}\) however, the total enhancement in \( I_{\text{COLLECTOR}} \) due to these effects is still less than one order of magnitude. It seems necessary to involve electrons in the probe volume, perhaps by \( E \times B \) drift, to explain the results. This also places in question \( T_{i,\perp} \) measurements; however, \( \Phi_p \) results appear robust.

IV. CONCLUSION

The ISP appears to be a viable, robust, and long-lived alternative to the emissive probe as a plasma potential diagnostic in magnetized high density plasmas. We developed a new method to measure the plasma potential with the ISP that is independent of the precise position and shape of the collector: the plasma potential is equal to the wall potential when charge current on the probe collector vanishes. The ISP measurements show good agreement with emissive probe results over a wide range of densities and regimes in DIONISOS.
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