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Abstract

A reaction scheme has been devised according to $3 \text{RX} + 3 \text{Ti(III)} + 0.25 P_4 \rightarrow PR_3 + 3 \text{XTi(IV)}$ wherein RX = PhBr, CyBr, Me$_3$SiI, or Ph$_3$SnCl with contrasting results in the case of more hindered RX; the scheme accomplishes direct radical functionalization of white phosphorus without intermediacy of PCl$_3$.

It is known that $P_4$, white phosphorus, has excellent properties as a trap for carbon-centered radicals in solution and under the mild conditions that are typical for organic synthesis. The most prominent example of this was the demonstration that phosphonic acids may be prepared from corresponding carboxylic acids by way of $O$-acyl derivatives of $N$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone (Barton PTOC esters). The latter provide carbon centered radicals in an oxygen-initiated chain reaction, and these are consumed upon combination with $P_4$ as the critical P–C bond-forming event; upon oxidative workup, any remaining P–P bonds are cleaved and the phosphonic acid $RP(O)(OH)_2$ is the end product. It is also known that P–P bonds other than those in $P_4$ may serve as traps for organic radicals. This has been shown by Sato et al. in a scheme for radical phosphination of organic halides wherein ArX serves as a source of Ar· which in turn attacks Ph$_2$P–PPh$_2$, yielding ArPPh$_2$.

*We dedicate this work to the memory of Sir Derek Barton.  
†Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA. E-mail: ccummins@mit.edu
Figure 1: Ti(N[Bu]Ar)$_3$ together with various P$_4$-derived phosphanes and polyphosphorus products. R = 'Bu; X = Cl, Br, I; R' = Ph, Mes, Cy, Ph$_3$Sn; Mes = 2,4,6-Me$_3$C$_6$H$_2$; Dmp = 2,6-Mes$_2$C$_6$H$_3$. 
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Such a vision for phosphine synthesis via homolytic substitution at a phosphorus center has also been developed by Vaillard et al., who employed Me₂MPPh₂ (M = Si or Sn) as the phosphorus substrate and RX as the carbon-radical source, together with a radical initiator, to produce RP(O)Ph₂ efficiently after an oxidative workup. For our part, we have previously shown that the three-coordinate titanium(III) complex Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ (Ar = 3,5-C₆H₃Me₂), 1, is a potent halogen-atom abstractor, capable of abstracting X· (X = Cl, Br, or I) from various donor molecules at room temperature or below, in aprotic organic media (Figure 1). With the present work, we sought to develop a high-yield synthesis of phosphines PR₃ from 3 RX and 0.25 P₄, using Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ as a halogen atom sink (see idealized Equation 1). Success in this arena would demonstrate that it is possible to synthesize valuable tertiary phosphanes PR₃ through direct functionalization and complete consumption of P₄ by a radical mechanism.

\[
3 \text{ RX} + \frac{1}{4} \text{P}_4 \rightarrow 3 \text{ Ti(N('Bu)Ar)₃} \rightarrow \text{PR₃} - 3 \text{XTi(N('Bu)Ar)₃}
\]

(1)

In the course of a prior study of radical cleavage of symmetrical 1,4-dicarbonyl compounds by Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃, the propensity was examined of Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ to abstract X· from halobenzenes. This study revealed that treatment of Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ with the stoichiometric amount of PhBr or PhI effected conversion to XTi(N('Bu)Ar)₃ X⁻ 1, rapidly at room temperature, while conversion to ClTi(N('Bu)Ar)₃ upon treatment with PhCl was considerably slower. Dissolution of Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ in neat chlorobenzene and stirring overnight at room temperature did effect complete conversion to ClTi(N('Bu)Ar)₃, however. A radical cyclization experiment using o-bromophenyl allyl ether as the RX substrate for Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ has been used to substantiate the hypothesis that phenyl radicals are indeed generated upon halogen atom abstraction from PhX by Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃. On the basis of this information, together with the knowledge from recent independent work that Ti[N('Bu)Ar]₃ engages in negligible re-
action with $P_4$, we realized that Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 is an unusual reducing agent in that it could be selective for RX activation in the presence of $P_4$. This is unusual because most chemical reducing agents capable of X· abstraction from RX would not be expected to be selective for this reactivity channel in the presence of $P_4$. An aspect of this type of special selectivity in reactions of Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 has been demonstrated previously wherein 7-chloronorbornadiene was treated with a 1:1 mixture of Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 and Mo(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3; in this instance Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 was entirely selective for Cl-atom abstraction giving CTi(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3, while exhibiting no propensity for trapping the 7-norbornadienyl radical which was seen to interact selectively with the molybdenum complex.\textsuperscript{8} In addition, typical one-electron reducing agents that might be used for effecting X· abstraction, e.g. CoCl(PPPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}, SmI\textsubscript{2}, or Cp\textsubscript{2}TiCl, simply give no reaction with a substrate such as PhBr.\textsuperscript{9,10}

In a first reaction targeted at generating PPh\textsubscript{3}, it was found that addition of 3 equiv of PhBr by microsyringe to a 0.04 M solution of 0.25 equiv $P_4$ containing 3 equiv of 1 in benzene results in immediate formation of a bright orange solution containing BrTi(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 (Br-I), PPH\textsubscript{3} (Ph-2, 71\% of the P-containing product), and P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} (Ph-3, 29\% of the P-containing product, Table 1). P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} is one of the four possible stable intermediates en route to complete $P_4$ degradation by $P_4$ to give PPh\textsubscript{3} and is present in this stoichiometric treatment because the trapping of the highly reactive phenyl radicals is not completely efficient in this system.\textsuperscript{11} In order to convert the full equivalent of $P_4$ to PPh\textsubscript{3}, 5 equiv of PhBr and Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 are used, giving 95\% conversion and an isolated yield of 72\% (Table 1). We could also selectively target P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} by treatment of 0.25 equiv of 0.04 M $P_4$ in benzene with 2 equiv of 1 followed by 2 equiv of PhBr, which gives P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} in 80\% yield with small amounts of PPh\textsubscript{3} and P\textsubscript{4}Ph\textsubscript{4} being observed as well. Evidence for the intermediacy of P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} along the reaction pathway was provided by the use of P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} itself as a starting material for PPh\textsubscript{3} synthesis.\textsuperscript{10} It was found that PhI can be used in place of PhBr with similar results, however PhCl does not lead to any PPh\textsubscript{3} or P\textsubscript{2}Ph\textsubscript{4} formation as Ti(N\text{tBu}Ar)_3 reacts very slowly with PhCl under these conditions.\textsuperscript{5}

This synthesis of phosphines from $P_4$ and a burst of radicals was found not to be
Table 1: Synthesis of PR$_3$ from n(RX + Ti(NtBu|Ar)$_3$) and 0.25 P$_4$ in benzene solvent at 20 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>$n^a$</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$\delta$ PR$_3^b$</th>
<th>% yield$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ph$_3$Sn</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>−325$^d$</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Me$_3$Si</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−252</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Number of equivalents per phosphorus atom.
$^b$ $^{31}$P NMR chemical shift for the PR$_3$ product referenced to external 85% H$_3$PO$_4$.
$^c$ Phosphorus-based yield of PR$_3$ as determined by $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy via integration with respect to an internal standard using a single-pulse experiment.
$^d$ $^1$J$_{119\text{Sn}^\text{P}}$ = 442 Hz, $^1$J$_{117\text{Sn}^\text{P}}$ = 425 Hz.
limited to aryl substituents. Treatment of a 0.04 M solution of 0.25 equiv P$_4$ with 5 equiv of I and 5 equiv of CyBr results in formation of PCy$_3$ (Cy-2) as the exclusive P-containing product (Table 1). The use of less than 5 equiv of CyBr resulted in mixtures of P$_2$Cy$_4$ (Cy-3) and Cy-2, much like what was seen for PhBr. When the radicals produced were longer lived, it was possible to obtain stoichiometric conversion of P$_4$ to the trisubstituted phosphine. For instance, treatment of a 0.04 M solution of 0.25 equiv P$_4$ with 3 equiv of I and 3 equiv of Me$_3$SiI or Ph$_3$SnCl results in clean and quantitative formation of the known phosphines P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ or P(SnPh$_3$)$_3$, respectively, as the sole products (Table 1, Figure 1).$^{12,13}$ The P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ produced here is easily separated from the reaction coproducts by vacuum transfer from the crude reaction mixture in 86% yield, while the highly crystalline P(SnPh$_3$)$_3$ can be isolated in 75% yield.

The ability of P$_4$ to act as a radical trap in combination with the work of Sato and coworkers on the radical phosphination of aryl halides suggests that P–P bonds, generally, may be competent radical traps.$^3$ This was found to be the case using our radical method, opening up the potential for the synthesis of asymmetric phosphines. Treatment of 0.5 equiv of P$_2$Ph$_4$ with 1 equiv of PhBr, MesBr, CyBr, or Ph$_3$SnCl and 1 equiv of I quantitatively produced 1 equiv of Ph-2 (δ 4.9 ppm), P(Ph$_2$)Mes (Mes-4, δ 16.0 ppm),$^{14}$ P(Ph$_2$)Cy (Cy-4, δ 3.4 ppm),$^{15}$ or P(Ph$_2$)SnPh$_3$ (Ph$_3$Sn-4, δ 56.2 ppm,$^{16}$ $^1J_{119Sn−P} = 715$ Hz, $^1J_{117Sn−P} = 682$ Hz),$^{16}$ respectively (Figure 1).$^{10}$ This striking attribute of P–P single bond chemistry has great potential for further synthetic development.

Based on our hypothesis that the radical-degradation of the P$_4$ tetrahedron occurs in a stepwise manner, we thought that it might be possible to target intermediate structures by tuning the steric properties of the RX substrate. It was found that treatment of 0.25 equiv of 0.04 M P$_4$ in benzene with 1.5 equiv I, followed by 1.5 equiv of MesBr gives P$_3$Mes$_3$, 5, as the major product and small amounts of P$_2$Mes$_4$ (Mes-3),$^{17–19}$ P$_3$Mes$_3$ could be isolated from the reaction mixture in 61% yield. Increasing the steric pressure further, we found that treatment of 0.25 equiv of 0.04 M P$_4$ with 1.5 equiv of I and 1.5 equiv of DmpI (Dmp = 2,6-Mes$_2$C$_6$H$_3$) gives cis,trans-DmpP$_4$Dmp, 6, as the
exclusive product and isolated in 78% yield.\textsuperscript{10,20} This latter reaction represents a facile approach for the synthesis of novel substituted tetraphosphabicyclobutane molecules directly from P\textsubscript{4} in a single step. Many of the previously reported syntheses of stable tetraphosphabicyclobutenes involve coupling of two substituted diphosphanes,\textsuperscript{21} or activation of P\textsubscript{4} by some highly designed substrate.\textsuperscript{20,22–24} Our synthesis is unique in that a large number of sterically hindered aryl or alkyl halides could be employed in a general synthesis.

In terms of recycling the titanium byproducts from these syntheses, it is worth noting that X·I (X = I, Br, Cl) are cleanly reduced back to Ti[N\textsubscript{t}Bu]Ar\textsubscript{3} by reduction with Na/Hg amalgam.\textsuperscript{25,26} This ability to easily recycle the titanium-byproducts generates a closed cycle for the synthesis of trisubstituted phosphines from P\textsubscript{4}. One might begin to contemplate a catalytic cycle using this system, however, the reduction of XTi(N\textsubscript{t}Bu]Ar\textsubscript{3} is slow and P\textsubscript{4} is itself susceptible to reduction to Na\textsubscript{3}P by Na/Hg amalgam under such conditions. As such, other halogen atom abstractors are currently being screened as potential entry points into the catalytic generation of trisubstituted phosphines from P\textsubscript{4} by this radical trapping method.\textsuperscript{10}

The present day synthesis of organophosphorus compounds is a multistep process in which P\textsubscript{4} is first chlorinated to generate PCl\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{27} PCl\textsubscript{3} is then functionalized via salt elimination reactions with appropriate Grignard or organolithium reagents, or with the organohalide and a harsh reducing agent.\textsuperscript{27} For example, the industrial method for triphenylphosphine preparation is based on the high temperature reaction of chlorobenzene with phosphorus trichloride in the presence of molten sodium.\textsuperscript{28} Manufacturers of organophosphorus compounds have recognized that the direct functionalization of white phosphorus is one of the major challenges in this field.\textsuperscript{28,29} New studies are needed to work out alternative direct routes to organophosphorus compounds avoiding chlorination of white phosphorus. Strides have been made with regard to the electrosynthesis of trisubstituted phosphines directly from P\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{30} but facile solution methods are lacking. It is our hope that this work will inspire a renewed interest in the use of P–P bonds as efficient radical traps and will eventually lead to a robust catalytic system for the synthesis of organophosphorus compounds directly from white phosphorus.
Meanwhile, the syntheses reported herein represent novel methodologies for the direct functionalization of P₄ and will themselves be the subject of further investigation.

**Experimental**

**Representative protocol for reaction between Ti(N['Bu]Ar)₃, RX (RX = PhBr, MesBr, DmpI, CyBr, Me₃SiI, and Ph₃SnCl), and P₄:**

**Synthesis of PPh₃**

Ti(N['Bu]Ar)₃ (279 mg, 0.484 mmol) was added to a 0.04 M solution of P₄ in benzene (5 mg total P₄, 0.040 mmol). BrC₆H₅ (76 mg, 0.484 mmol) was then added to the reaction mixture at room temperature by microliter syringe. Over the course of a minute, the originally green reaction mixture took on a bright orange color. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopies. Using OPPh₃ (26 ppm) as an internal standard, a single pulse ³¹P NMR experiment showed 71% conversion to PPh₃ (s, −4.9 ppm) with the balance made up by P₂Ph₄ (−14 ppm). GC-MS analysis confirmed that assignment. A solvent screening (benzene, toluene, THF, Et₂O, n-hexane) and concentration screening (0.01 M P₄, 0.02 M P₄, 0.03 M P₄, 0.04 M P₄, and 0.05 M P₄) indicated these conditions as optimal for conversion of 0.25 equiv P₄ to 1 equiv PPh₃ using 3 equiv Ti(N['Bu]Ar)₃ and 3 equiv PhBr.

In order to convert all of the P₄ to PPh₃, the reaction was repeated using a 0.04 M solution of P₄ (5 mg total P₄, 0.040 mmol, 0.25 equiv), 5 equiv (465 mg, 0.807 mmol) of Ti(N['Bu]Ar)₃ and 5 equiv (126 mg, 0.807 mmol) of BrC₆H₅. Again, over the course of a minute, the originally green reaction mixture took on a bright orange color. The reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopies. Using OPPh₃ (26 ppm) as an internal standard, a single pulse ³¹P NMR experiment showed 98% conversion to PPh₃ (s, −4.9 ppm). GC-MS analysis confirmed that assignment. A screening of reaction stoichiometry showed 5 equiv of Ti(N['Bu]Ar)₃ and 5 equiv BrC₆H₅ was necessary for the complete conversion of P₄ to PPh₃; when fewer equivalents were used, small amounts of P₂Ph₄ were still observed. When the optimized
conditions are scaled up 10-fold, PPh$_3$ was isolated by repeated crystallizations at $-35\,^\circ$C in Et$_2$O in 72% yield (304 mg).

These optimized conditions of 0.04 M P$_4$ (0.25 equiv), benzene, and 5 equiv of RX/Ti(N[Me$_3$Bu]Ar)$_3$ are effective for both PPh$_3$ and PCy$_3$ syntheses. For P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ and P(SnPh$_3$)$_3$ the same conditions are used but with only 3 equiv (stoichiometric) RX/Ti(N[Me$_3$Bu]Ar)$_3$. Starting with 50 mg of P$_4$, P(SiMe$_3$)$_3$ was isolated by vacuum transfer in 86% yield (348 mg) and P(SnPh$_3$)$_3$ was isolated in 75% yield (1.30 g) by repeated recrystallization from Et$_2$O. For the synthesis of P$_3$Mes$_3$ and cis,trans-DmpP$_4$Dmp, the same conditions are used but with only 1.5 equiv of RX/Ti(N[Me$_3$Bu]Ar)$_3$. P$_3$Mes$_3$ was isolated by repeated crystallization from Et$_2$O in 61% yield starting with 50 mg of P$_4$. cis,trans-DmpP$_4$Dmp was isolated by repeated crystallization from Et$_2$O in 78% yield starting with 50 mg of P$_4$.

In order to use P$_2$Ph$_4$ as the starting material for PPh$_3$ synthesis, the same reaction protocol and conditions can be used. Treatment of a 0.04 M solution of P$_2$Ph$_4$ (5 mg, 0.014 mmol, 0.5 equiv) with Ti(N[Me$_3$Bu]Ar)$_3$ (93 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1 equiv) followed by BrPh (60 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1 equiv) resulted in a rapid color change from green to orange upon stirring. The reaction mixture was analyzed by $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopies. Using OPPh$_3$ (26 ppm) as an internal standard, a single pulse $^{31}$P NMR experiment showed 97% conversion to PPh$_3$ (s, $-4.9$ ppm). Similar results were found when 0.5 equiv P$_2$Ph$_4$ was treated with 1 equiv of MesBr, CyBr, or Ph$_3$SnCl, which produced 1 equiv of P(Ph$_2$)Mes ($-16.0$ ppm), P(Ph$_2$)Cy ($-3.4$ ppm), or P(Ph$_2$)SnPh$_3$ ($-56.2$ ppm, $^1$J$_{\text{Sn-P}} = 715$ Hz, $^1$J$_{\text{Sn-P}} = 682$ Hz), respectively, each in greater than 95% yield.

Please see the Supporting Information accompanying this manuscript for additional synthetic and characterization details.
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[9] It has been well documented that SmI$_2$ is capable of slowly reducing aryl halides in the presence of HMPA, however the slow rate of this transformation did not allow for a radical-synthesis of trisubstituted phosphines.
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A reaction scheme has been developed that accomplishes direct radical functionalization of white phosphorus without the intermediacy of PCl$_3$. 
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