**Stiffness and strength of suture joints in nature**

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

| As Published | http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.062904 |
| Publisher | American Physical Society (APS) |
| Version | Final published version |
| Accessed | Sun Jun 18 12:06:46 EDT 2017 |
| Citable Link | http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/69566 |
| Terms of Use | Article is made available in accordance with the publisher’s policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher’s site for terms of use. |
Stiffness and strength of suture joints in nature

Yaning Li, 1,2 Christine Ortiz, 1 and Mary C. Boyce 2,*

1 Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
2 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

(Received 17 September 2011; published 29 December 2011)

Suture joints are remarkable mechanical structures found throughout nature composed of compliant interlocking seams connecting stiffer components. This study investigates the underlying mechanisms and the role of geometry governing the unique mechanical behavior of suture joints. Analytical and numerical composite models are formulated for two suture geometries characterized by a single repeating wavelength (e.g., triangular and rectangular). Stiffness, strength, and local stress distributions are predicted to assess variations in deformation and failure mechanisms. A unique homogeneous stress field is observed throughout both the skeletal and interfacial components of the triangular geometry, thus providing advantages in load transmission, weight, stiffness, strength, energy absorption, and fatigue over the rectangular geometry. The results obtained have relevance to biomimetic design and optimization, suture growth and fusion, and evolutionary phenotype diversity.
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Many natural structures have evolved and adapted to improve their functional performance by making efficient use of available resources, fabricating hierarchical material microstructures with innovative geometric designs [1–3]. Biological suture joints are one elegant example whereby two stiff skeletal components interlock together via a more compliant interfacial seam, thereby providing flexibility for accommodating growth, respiration, and/or locomotion [4–7]. This topic is one of great interest for many fields, including biophysics (e.g., cranial suture growth [8]), materials physics (e.g., hierarchical structure-property relationships [9]), mechanical design (e.g., geometric mechanisms for achieving strength, stiffness, and fatigue [10]), biomimetics (e.g., bioinspired flexible armor [11]), paleontology (e.g., understanding ammonite diversity through mass extinctions [12]), and evolutionary biology (e.g., consequences of phenotypic divergence [13]).

The wavy, mechanically interdigitating structure of a suture joint can be as simple as a sinusoid [14] or exhibit a longer wavelengths [15–17]. A common measure of the fractal-like structure of shorter wavelengths superposed onto an arbitrary sawtooth geometry is shown in Fig. 1(a) and is described as a function \( y = f(x) \), where \( f(x) \) is a single-valued analytic function.

The mechanical response of a suture joint is determined for the case of tensile loading within the suture plane and normal to the suture axis, a prevalent physiologic loading condition [4–7] [Fig. 1(a)]. Stress is transmitted to the teeth through shearing of the interfacial layer. Using the free body diagram shown in Fig. 1(b), force equilibrium yields the relationship between the normal stress within the tooth, \( \sigma(x) \), and the interfacial shear and normal stress, \( \tau(x) \) and \( \tau_n(x) \):

\[
\tau(x)dx + \tau_n(x)f'(x)dx = \left[\sigma(x) + d\sigma\right][f(x) + df] - \sigma(x)f(x),
\]

where \( \tau_n(x) = f'(x)\tau(x) \). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (e.g., Ref. [21]), we can show that a uniform stress distribution corresponds to the minimum strain energy for a certain average stress. By taking \( \sigma'(x) = 0 \) in Eq. (1a), we obtain

\[
\tau = \frac{f'(x)}{1 + [f'(x)]^2} \sigma, \quad [f'(x) \neq 0].
\]

From Eq. (1b), we can see that if \( f'(x) \) is constant, the stresses in both phases are uniform. Therefore, \( f'(x) = \text{constant} \) is a necessary condition to achieve uniform stress. The stress distribution must also satisfy the boundary conditions. When \( f'(x) = 0 \) (rectangular teeth), \( \sigma'(x) \) cannot be zero, otherwise, \( \tau \) is zero. Furthermore, the traction boundary conditions cannot be satisfied in the rectangular and general trapezoidal [when
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the skeletal volume fraction $s$ of suture joints is described by two nondimensional parameters: 

\[ \tau = \sin \theta \cos \theta. \] (2)

The implications of a uniform stress in a triangular sawtooth geometry are profound, particularly regarding strength and fatigue, as will be discussed later. We will focus on analyzing this optimum triangular suture geometry for the remainder of this Brief Report. For comparison, a rectangular suture geometry (which has the closed-form solution through the well-known shear-lag model of fiber-reinforced composites [22]) is also analyzed.

Composite micromechanical models of representative volume elements (RVEs) of triangular and rectangular suture joints were constructed which represent both the skeletal components and interface adherend regions. The RVE of the composite structure includes a top (upper row) and bottom (lower row) row of skeletal “teeth” which interdigitate and are perfectly bonded to a thin interfacial layer (zigzag layer between the teeth). The skeletal and interfacial phases are taken to exhibit isotropic linear elastic behavior. The shape of the suture joints is described by two nondimensional parameters: the skeletal volume fraction $f_v = (\lambda - 2g)/\lambda$, and the tooth apex angle $\theta$. The influence of geometry on the mechanical behavior of the suture joint is examined by fixing $f_v$ and altering $\theta$, or vice versa. The rectangular geometry is similarly described where $\theta$ [Fig. 2(a)] is related to the rectangular tooth aspect ratio through $\alpha = 2A/(\lambda - 2g) = 1/\tan \theta$. Also, the SCI $i$ is related to $\theta$ via $i = f_v/\sin \theta$.

The result of a uniform stress in both the skeletal and interface phases is a remarkable feature of the triangular-shaped suture joint and was further supported by numerical finite element results [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)]. Such constant stress structures imply an advantage for strength since the entire structure is equally participating in bearing the applied load and all material is fully utilized.

In the rectangular suture, stress is also transmitted to the teeth through shearing of the interfacial layer. However, the interfacial shear is distributed, with higher magnitude at the root ends. This stress transfer is captured by the shear-lag model [22] and has also been used to study the biological composite nacre [23,24]. The shear-lag model reveals that the stress varies within the tooth, reaching a maximum value at the root of a tooth [Fig. 2(b), right].

The uniform stress distribution in the triangular suture yields a significant improvement in strength compared to the rectangular suture. At any applied strain, the maximum normal stress in the teeth and the maximum shear stress in the interface of the rectangular suture are approximately twice those in the triangular suture, as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma_f$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\tau_f$ (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To quantify the strength of the suture joints, for $2\theta < 90^\circ$, two failure modes are assumed: tooth failure at a critical tensile stress $\sigma_f^t$ and interfacial shear failure at a critical shear stress $\tau_f^t$. The failure mode will depend on the combination of constituent strengths $\sigma_f^t$ and $\tau_f^t$, and also the geometry as determined by $f_v$ and $\theta$. For a given $\sigma_f^t$ and $\tau_f^t$, failure maps can be constructed for the triangular and rectangular geometries as a function of $\theta$ (tooth aspect ratio) as shown in Fig. 3.

The effective tensile strengths of the triangular and rectangular suture joints are defined as $\sigma_f^t$ and $\sigma_R^t$, respectively, further normalized by the product of skeletal volume fraction $f_v$ and skeletal strength $\sigma_f^t$. Using Eq. (2) together with $\sigma_f^t$ and $\sigma_R^t$, a nondimensional effective strength of the triangular...
where

\[ \theta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sin^{-1} \frac{2\theta_0}{\sigma_1^f}, \quad \theta_0 \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \]  

(4)

\[ \theta_0 = \tan^{-1} \frac{2\theta}{\sigma_1^f}, \quad \theta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2} \]  

(7)

The failure mode is determined by

The failure mode is determined by

\[ \frac{\sigma_1^f}{f_r \sigma_1^f} = \begin{cases} 0.5, & \theta \leq \theta_0 : \text{tooth failure} \\ \frac{\tan \theta_0}{\tan \theta}, & \theta > \theta_0 : \text{interface shear failure} \end{cases} \]  

(8)

Note that Eq. (3) is a precise expression for the strength of a triangular suture joint, whereas Eq. (6) gives an upper bound for the rectangular suture joint strength and corresponds to the highest interface load transmission.

Failure maps obtained using Eqs. (3) and (6) are plotted in Fig. 3 and reveal the dramatic advantage of the triangular morphology in providing strength. In Fig. 3(a), taking an example case with a strength ratio of \(2f_v/\sigma_1^f = 0.3\), a triangular suture joint with \(2\theta = 15^\circ\) exhibits tooth failure, giving a suture joint strength of \(\sigma_1^f = f_r \sigma_1^f\), whereas for \(2\theta = 60^\circ\) the suture joint will fail by interfacial shear at \(\sigma_1^f = 0.35 f_r \sigma_1^f\). In comparison, in Fig. 3(b) the rectangular geometry with \(2f_v/\sigma_1^f = 0.3\) exhibits the following scenarios: at \(2\theta = 15^\circ\), tooth failure at \(\sigma_1^f = 0.5 f_r \sigma_1^f\), and at \(2\theta = 60^\circ\), interfacial shear failure at \(\sigma_1^f = 0.26 f_r \sigma_1^f\). Hence, a transition in failure mode is observed from tooth failure at a high degree of interdigitation to interface shear failure at a low degree of interdigitation. The maximum strength of both suture joints is achieved in the tooth failure mode. Remarkably, the maximum strength of the triangular suture joint is twice that of the rectangular suture joint, quantitatively showing the importance of geometry in governing mechanical performance.

The micromechanical model is now extended to calculate the effective stiffness of the suture joint, \(\bar{E}\). The Young’s modulus of the skeletal phase is taken to be \(E_1\) and the Young’s modulus and the shear modulus of the adhesive layer to be \(E_0\) and \(G_0\), respectively. From the previous analysis of the stress distribution in the teeth and the interfacial layer together with a kinematic analysis of the contribution of the teeth and the interfacial layer to the imposed deformation, \(\bar{E}\) of the triangular suture joint is derived as a function of the geometric and material parameters as

\[ \bar{E} = \frac{E_1}{E_1 \left( f_v, \theta, \frac{E_1}{E_0}, \frac{E_1}{G_0} \right)} \]  

(9)

For the rectangular suture joint with large stiffness ratio \(E_1/E_0\), \(\bar{E}\) is derived to be

\[ \bar{E} = \frac{E_1}{E_1 \left( f_v, \theta, \frac{E_1}{E_0}, \frac{E_1}{G_0} \right)} \]  

(10)

Finite element models for stiffness ratios \(R_i = E_i/E_0\) = 10, 100, and 1000, different \(f_v\), and different \(\theta\) are also constructed and simulation results are in complete agreement with Eq. (9) [Figs. 4(a)–4(c)]. \(\bar{E}/E_1\) increases monotonically with \(f_v\) and decreases with \(\theta\) as shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. For large \(f_v > 80\%\), the \(\bar{E}/E_1\) of the triangular suture joint approaches 1 (\(\bar{E}/E_1 \rightarrow 1\)) and increases more rapidly with an increase in \(R_i\). Recalling the relationships between the SCI (i) and \(i = f_v/\sin \theta\), the stiffness is alternately plotted as a function of \(i\) in Fig. 4(c), showing \(\bar{E}/E_1\) to increase with increasing \(i\) toward the rule of mixtures scaling.

A final comparison of the stiffness and strength performance of the triangular vs. rectangular suture joint geometry is shown in the stress-strain curves of Fig. 5. Here, the case of \(f_v = 0.80\) is considered with the material properties of bone and collagen \(E_1 = 10\) GPa, \(E_0 = 100\) MPa, \(\sigma_1^f = 100\) MPa, and \(\tau_0^f = 20\) MPa [23,25,26] taken as an example.
As evident in the slope of the stress-strain curves, the stiffness increases as $\theta$ decreases. The triangular geometry provides higher stiffness compared to the rectangular case with a 20% advantage for $\theta > 20^\circ$ and the two coinciding for very small $\theta$. The triangular suture geometry also provides higher strength for all cases. In particular, at small $\theta$ where the stiffnesses of the two geometries are nearly equivalent, the triangular suture joint exhibits twice the strength of the rectangular.

Using Eq. (4), the strength-optimized $\theta$ for the triangular suture joint is $\theta_0 = 11.8^\circ$, while for this rectangular suture, from Eq. (7), $\theta_0 = 21.8^\circ$ or aspect ratio $\alpha = 2.5$. These angles correspond to the shift of failure mode, as also shown in Fig. 5, from tooth failure to interface shear failure.

In summary, this study quantitatively explores the underlying mechanisms and the role of structure and geometry in governing the mechanical behavior of suture joints. For the triangular suture joint, a unique homogeneous stress field was observed throughout the entire composite structure (e.g., in both the skeletal and interfacial components). Hence, the triangular geometry exhibits a significant strength and stiffness advantage compared to sutures with rectangular geometry.

The uniform tensile stress indicates that the entire skeleton is equally bearing the applied load and, hence, the material is optimally used, providing a weight and a volume advantage to meet a given strength. The spatial homogeneity of the stress also has implications on fatigue life where suture joints often undergo cyclic tensile loading as part of their function. The transition in failure mechanism (skeletal failure to interfacial shear), as well as the stiffness and strength of the suture are found to be nonlinearly tunable by altering the suture geometric and material parameters which tailor the interface shape, degree of interdigitation, complexity, and composition. The failure maps also point to the advantage of increasing the shear strength of the interfacial layer as a means of transitioning the failure mode and achieving the upper bound in suture strength with a triangular geometry. It is clear that nature varies these geometric parameters of sutures to achieve spatial variations in mechanical properties within individual biological structures as needed for necessary functionality [27]. Such variations also exist between species and are expected to be closely related to evolutionary and phenotypic diversity [27]. This work provides a foundation for our ongoing work in assessing the role and influence of heterogeneities, spatial gradients, and hierarchically structured interfaces to further optimize mechanical performance of suture joints. The equations and design principles formulated can be employed to guide biomimetic design.
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FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparison of the numerical and analytical results of the effective stiffness of the triangular suture joint [the symbols represent the finite element results; the lines represent the analytical results of Eq. (9)]: (a) influence of $f_v$, (b) influence of $\theta$, and (c) influence of $i$.