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Abstract

Exposure of heptane solutions of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(Me2Pyr)(OAr) (1a; Ar = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl), Mo(NAr)(CHCMe3)(Me2Pyr)[OCMe(CF3)2] (1b), and Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(Me2Pyr)(OSiPh3) (1c) to one atmosphere of ethylene for 12 h yields the ethylene complexes, Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(Me2Pyr)(OAr) (2a), Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(Me2Pyr)[OCMe(CF3)2] (2b), and Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(Me2Pyr)(OSiPh3) (2c). Addition of one equivalent of triphenylsilanol to a solution of 2c gives Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(OSiPh3)2 (3) readily. Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(OTf)2(dme) reacts slowly with ethylene (60 psi) in toluene at 80 °C to give cis and trans isomers of Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(OTf)2(dme) (4a) in the ratio of ~2(cis):1. Addition of lithium 2,5-dimethylpyrroline to 4a under 1 atm of ethylene produces Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(η5-Me2Pyr)(η5-Me2Pyr) (5a). Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(η5-MesPyr)2 (MesPyr = 2-mesitylpyrroline) reacts cleanly with ethylene in benzene at 60 °C over a period of four days to give exclusively Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(MesPyr)2 (5b). Treatment of 5b with 2 equivalents of (CF3)2CHOH in ether yields Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)[OCH(CF3)2]2(Et2O) (6). Neat styrene reacts with 2c and 3 to generate the styrene complexes, Mo(NAr)(CH2CHPh)(Me2Pyr)(OSiPh3) (7) and
Mo(NAr)(CH₂CHPh)(OSiPh₃)₂ (8), respectively. Similarly, the trans-3-hexene complex, Mo(NAr)(trans-3-hexene)(OSiPh₃)₂ (9a), can be prepared from 3 and neat trans-3-hexene. When 3 is exposed to 1 atm of ethylene, the molybdacyclopentane species, Mo(NAr)(C₄H₈)(OSiPh₃)₂ (10), is generated. X-ray structural studies were carried out on 2c, 5a, 6, 8, 9a, and 10. All evidence suggests that alkene exchange at the Mo(IV) center is facile, followed by cis,trans isomerization and isomerization via double bond migration. In addition, trace amounts of alkylidene complexes are formed that result in slow metathesis reactions of free olefins to give (e.g.) a distribution of all possible linear olefins from an initial olefin and its double bond isomers.
Introduction

Ethylene is a product of olefin metathesis reactions that involve one or more terminal olefins. High oxidation state molybdenum and tungsten imido alkylidene complexes react with ethylene to give methylidene complexes, which are the least stable alkylidenes toward bimolecular decomposition, and unsubstituted metallasicylobutane complexes, which are the most stable metallasicycles toward loss of an olefin. Ethylene also has been proposed to promote rearrangement of an unsubstituted metallasicylobutane to an olefin and thereby to lead to decomposition of high oxidation state alkylidene catalysts.

Perhaps the most informative studies concerning reactions between alkylidene complexes and ethylene in solution have employed $^{13}$C-labeled ethylene. Reactions between $^{13}$C$_2$H$_4$ and tungsten biphenoxy and binaphtholate catalysts have been studied most thoroughly. (Fewer studies have been reported for analogous Mo complexes.) Among the species observed in solution are an unsubstituted tungstacyclobutane complex, an ethylene complex, an unsubstituted tungstacyclopentane complex, and a heterochiral dimeric methylidene complex. Ethylene has also been employed in order to study the mechanism of catalyst decomposition and formation of bimetallic species. For example, the tungstacyclobutane complex, W(NArCl)(Biphen)(C$_6$H$_6$) (Ar$^{\text{Cl}}$ = 2,6-Cl$_2$C$_6$H$_3$; Biphen = 6,6'-dimethyl-3,3',5,5'-tetra-t-butyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diolate), was found to decompose to yield (inter alia) the dimeric, heterochiral methylidene complex, [W(NArCl)(Biphen)(μ-CH$_2$)$_2$], which decomposed further to yield homochiral [W(NAr$^{\text{Cl}}$)(Biphen)]$_2$(μ-CH$_2$CH$_2$), which in turn lost ethylene to yield [W(NAr$^{\text{Cl}}$)(Biphen)]$_2$. The ethylene complexes that have been prepared in reactions between imido alkylidene complexes and ethylene and that have been isolated and structurally characterized are the five-coordinate species W(NAr$^{\text{Cl}}$)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Biphen)(THF), Mo(NAr$^{\text{Cl}}$)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Biphen)(Et$_2$O), and {Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)[OCMe(CF$_3$)$_2$](THF)$_3$}B(3,5-(CF$_3$)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$)$_4$. There is some evidence that alkylidene complexes can be formed in reactions between Mo(IV) or W(IV) imido bisalkoxide or biphenoxy complexes and ethylene. For example, vinyltributylstannane is homologated to allyltributylstannane by Mo(IV) complexes in the presence
of ethylene. Although Mo(NArCl)(CH₂CH₂)(rac-Biphen)(ether) is inactive for ring-closing diallyl ether in 10 days at 22 °C, when 10 equivalents of norbornene were added to a benzene solution of 5 mol% Mo(NArCl)(CH₂CH₂)(rac-Biphen)(ether) and diallyl ether, a 56% yield of 2,4-dihydrofuran was obtained in 10 days.

In the last several years new types of Mo and W imido alkylidene complexes that have the formula M(NR)(CHR')(OR')(Pyr), where Pyr is a pyrrolide or substituted pyrrolide ligand and OR' usually is an aryloxide, have been prepared and explored. These MonoAlkoxidePyrrolide (MAP) species can be viewed as third generation high oxidation state imido alkylidene catalysts (after "first generation" bisalkoxides and "second generation" biphenoates and dinaphthalates). MAP species have many new features of fundamental interest, perhaps the most important of which is the presence of a stereogenic metal center. MAP species have proven to be extraordinarily reactive, as are isoelectronic rhenium alkylidyne alkylidene species that contain a stereogenic metal center. Under the right circumstances many have been found to be long-lived compared to first or second generation catalysts. Initial studies of reactions between MAP species and ethylene showed that when a sterically relatively small set of ligands is present, ethylene complexes are often formed in the presence of ethylene.

It is interesting to note that olefin complexes of the type M(NAr)(X)(Y)(olefin) (M = Mo or W; X or Y = alkoxide or η¹-pyrrolide) are related to TaCp*Cl₂(olefin) complexes, which catalyze the dimerization of terminal olefins to tail-to-tail or head-to-tail dimers via a metallacyclopentane "ring-contraction" mechanism. Complexes that contain Mo(NAr)(X)(Y) or W(NAr)(X)(Y) cores also will dimerize ethylene slowly to 1-butene.

In view of the isomeric relationship between olefin and alkylidene complexes, as well as the roles olefins may play in metathesis chemistry beyond the obvious role as substrates, as has been outlined above, we decided to explore routes to simple Mo(IV) ethylene complexes of the type Mo(NAr)(X)(Y)(CH₂CH₂) and reactions between ethylene complexes and olefins. The results of this investigation are reported here.
RESULTS

Exposure of heptane solutions of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OAr) (1a; Ar = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl, Me$_2$Pyr = 2,5-dimethylpyrrolide) and Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_3$)(Me$_2$Pyr)[OCMe(CF$_3$)$_2$] (1b) to one atmosphere of ethylene for 12 h led to formation of the ethylene complexes, Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OAr) (2a) and Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Me$_2$Pyr)[OCMe(CF$_3$)$_2$] (2b) in isolated yields of 59% and 63%, respectively (equation 1). Proton NMR spectra in C$_6$D$_6$ revealed resonances for bound ethylene at 2.95 (2H), 2.60 (1H), and 1.95 (1H) ppm in 2a and 2.74 (2H), 2.45 (1H), 2.16 (1H) ppm in 2b. The presence of four ethylene resonances (two overlapping) suggests that the ethylene does not rotate rapidly on the NMR time scale. A similar reaction between Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_3$Ph)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OSiPh$_3$) (1c) and ethylene led to formation of Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OSiPh$_3$) (2c) in 70% yield (ethylene resonances at 2.87 (1H), 2.70 (1H), and 2.20 (2H) ppm). Experiments employing $^{13}$C$_2$H$_4$ revealed ethylene carbon resonances at 56.3 and 60.2 ppm with J$_{CH}$ = 155 Hz and J$_{CC}$ = 39 Hz for 2a, and at 56.9 and 58.0 ppm with J$_{CH}$ = 153 Hz and J$_{CC}$ = 37 Hz for 2c. These chemical shifts and J$_{CH}$ values are similar to those reported in ethylene complexes noted earlier$^{5,6}$ and others reported here.

The results of a single crystal X-ray structural study of 2c are shown in Figure 1. The C(1)-C(2) bond length is 1.420(3) Å, which should be compared to the ethylene C-C bond lengths in Mo(N-2,6-Cl$_2$C$_6$H$_3$)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Biphen)(Et$_2$O) (1.400(13) Å)$^4$, W(NAr$^{Cl}$)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Biphen)(THF) (1.452(3) Å)$^5$, and {Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)[OC(CF$_3$)$_2$Me](THF)$_3$}$^+$ (1.408(4) Å)$^6$. The imido ligand
bends (Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 163.09(15)°) in response to some significant steric interaction between the triphenylsiloxide and the 2,6-diisopropylphenylimido ligand. The ethylene is oriented so that its C-C axis is essentially perpendicular to the Mo(1)-N(1) axis (N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) = 98.75(9)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) = 97.89(8)°).

A reaction between 1c and propylene in C₆D₆ led to formation of the ethylidene complex Mo(NAr)(CHMe)(Me₂Pyr)(OSiP₃h₃) initially, as judged from appearance of a new alkylidene quartet at 12.32 ppm, along with 2c and other species, but after 1 day at room temperature only 2c is observed along with propylene and expected metathesis products (2-butenes, H₂C=CHCMe₂Ph, etc.). Since ethylene is generated in the metathesis reaction and since ethylene is the smallest and most reactive olefin, the ultimate product or products are derived from ethylene.

Addition of CD₂CD₂ (1 atm) to a C₆H₆ solution of 2c led to immediate formation of Mo(NAr)(CD₂CD₂)(Me₂Pyr)(OSiP₃h₃), according to §H NMR spectroscopy. This observation confirms that ethylene exchanges readily in 2c, either through direct displacement of ethylene by CD₂CD₂ in a five-coordinate intermediate or through rapid formation and breakup of an intermediate five-coordinate molybdacyclopentane (vide infra). Rapid exchange can also be observed employing ¹³C NMR and ¹³C₂H₄.

Addition of one equivalent of triphenylsilanol to a solution of 2c led to an immediate color change from red to purple. A proton NMR spectrum revealed two pseudo quartets at 2.74 and 1.99 ppm which integrate as two protons each for bound ethylene in mirror symmetric Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(OSiP₃h₃)₂ (3) (equation 2). Protonolysis of pyrrolide ligands in these
circumstances has been proposed to require coordination of the alcohol oxygen to the metal before proton migration,\textsuperscript{9e} which in turn suggests that the 18e count in 2e is first reduced to 14e through ready formation of intermediate Mo(NAr)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(\eta\textsuperscript{1}-Me\textsubscript{2}Pyr)(OSiPh\textsubscript{3}).

In order to prepare potentially a large variety of ethylene complexes we attempted to prepare an ethylene complex through a reaction between Mo(NAr)(CHCM\textsubscript{2}Ph)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(dme) and ethylene. Mo(NAr)(CHCM\textsubscript{2}Ph)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(dme) was found to react slowly with ethylene (60 psi) in toluene at 80 °C. After two days, a red ethylene complex can be isolated in 86% yield. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum revealed several multiplet resonances between 4 ppm and 2.5 ppm that could be ascribed to an ethylene ligand, while the \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectrum showed three singlet resonances at \(\delta\) -78.26, -78.30, and -78.59 for triflate ligands in approximately a 1 : 1 : 1 ratio. All data are consistent with the reaction between Mo(NAr)(CHCM\textsubscript{2}Ph)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(dme) and ethylene to give cis and trans isomers of Mo(NAr)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(OTf\textsubscript{2})(dme) (4a) in the ratio of 2:1, respectively (equation 3). The reaction between Mo(NAr)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(CHCM\textsubscript{2}Ph)(dme) and ethylene most likely proceeds through metathesis to yield Mo(NAr)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(CH\textsubscript{2})(dme), which then decomposes in the presence of ethylene to give the observed product. To our knowledge the reaction shown in equation 3 is the only metathetical reaction of Mo(NAr)(OTf\textsubscript{2})(CHCM\textsubscript{2}Ph)(dme) to have been reported. Using a similar procedure (1 atm ethylene; 60 °C, 6 h), Mo(NAd)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(OTf\textsubscript{2})(dme) (4b) can be obtained as approximately a 2:1 mixture of cis and trans isomers, respectively.

Addition of lithium 2,5-dimethylpyrrolide to 4a under 1 atm of ethylene led to formation of Mo(NAr)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(\eta\textsuperscript{1}-Me\textsubscript{2}Pyr)(\eta\textsuperscript{5}-Me\textsubscript{2}Pyr) (5a) (equation 4). If the reaction is attempted in the absence of ethylene a significant amount of decomposition is observed. Compound 5a could not be
prepared through a reaction between Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(η$^1$-Me$_2$Pyr)(η$^5$-Me$_2$Pyr) and ethylene (1 atm) at 80 °C. Two ethylene resonances were found at 51.3 ppm and 48.1 ppm. A single crystal X-ray structural study of 5a (Figure 2) confirms that the pyrrolide ligands are bound in an η$^1$ and η$^5$ manner. The C(1)-C(2) bond length is 1.404(4) Å. The N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) and N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) angles (87.32(8)° and 101.17(8)°, respectively) suggest that ethylene is twisted a few degrees from being perpendicular to the Mo-N(1) bond.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cis-4a} + \text{trans-4a} & \xrightarrow{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 (1 \text{ atm}), \text{Et}_2\text{O}, 22 ^\circ \text{C}, 3 \text{ h}} \text{Mo(NAr)(CHCMe}_2\text{Ph)(MesPyr)}_2 \\
& \xrightarrow{-2 \text{ LiOTf}} \text{5a}
\end{align*}
\]

In contrast to the failure to prepare 5a through a reaction between Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(Me$_2$Pyr)$_2$ and ethylene, Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(η$^1$-MesPyr)$_2$ (MesPyr = 2-mesitylpyrroline) reacts cleanly with ethylene in benzene at 60 °C over a period of four days to give Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(MesPyr)$_2$ (5b) in 71% isolated yield (equation 5). The higher reactivity of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(η$^1$-MesPyr)$_2$ toward ethylene can be ascribed to the fact that it is a 14 electron species, in contrast to 5a. One ethylene carbon resonance was found at 53.9 ppm with $J_{CH} = 160$ Hz. Proton NMR spectra reveal multiplets at 2.45 ppm and 1.96 ppm for the ethylene protons, consistent with the 2-mesitylpyrroline ligands in 5b being bound in an η$^1$ manner, as found in Mo(NAr)(CHCMe$_2$Ph)(η$^1$-MesPyr)$_2$. As a demonstration that 5b can be employed as a
precursor to other bisalkoxide ethylene complexes, $5b$ was treated with 2 equivalents of HOCH(CF$_3$)$_2$ in ether to yield Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)[OCH(CF$_3$)$_2$]$_2$(Et$_2$O) ($6$) in 41% isolated yield after recrystallization. As judged from the proton NMR spectrum, $6$ contains a mirror plane of symmetry on the NMR time scale; the equivalent alkoxides each contain two inequivalent CF$_3$ groups; two ethylene proton resonances are observed at 2.64 ppm and 1.83 ppm. An ether adduct of the bishexafluoroisopropoxide imido alkylidene complex is formed as a consequence of the relatively small size of the hexafluoroisopropoxide ligand.$^{17,18}$
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resonance at 78.54 ppm ($J_{CH} = 134$ Hz) and a C$_\beta$ resonance at 39.21 ppm ($J_{CH} = 127$ Hz). In a proton NMR spectrum prepared employing unlabeled ethylene at room temperature only broad resonances were observed for the metallacycle and ethylene protons. When all volatile components were removed from the sample the residue was found to consist of 6. An X-ray structural study of an isolated molybdacyclopentane complex is reported later in this paper.

In the interest of isolating other olefin complexes we treated 2c with neat styrene. Dry styrene was vacuum transferred to a Schlenk flask charged with solid Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OSiPh$_3$) (2c). Removal of all volatiles in vacuo after 15 minutes gave a grey residue whose proton NMR spectrum in C$_6$D$_6$ suggested that the product, Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CHPh)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OSiPh$_3$) (7), was a mixture of four isomers. Four isomers of 7 can be rationalized in view of the presence of a stereogenic metal center, enantiotopic styrene faces, and no rotation of styrene on the NMR time scale. The major isomer (~30%) displays olefinic proton resonances at 4.23 (t, 1H), 3.08 (dd, 1H), and 2.40 (dd, 1H) ppm. We were not able to obtain a crystalline sample of 7 suitable for an X-ray study.

The reaction between purple Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (3) and neat, freshly dried styrene immediately yielded a green solution from which a green product could be obtained upon removal of all volatiles in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from pentane yielded green crystals of Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CHPh)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (8, equation 8), the proton NMR spectrum of which in C$_6$D$_6$ showed two isomers to be present in the ratio of 2:1. The major isomer displays olefinic proton resonances at 3.58 (t, 1H), 3.28 (dd, 1H), and 2.44 (dd, 1H) ppm for the bound styrene, while olefinic proton
resonances for the minor isomer are found at 4.64 (t, 1H), 2.99 (dd, 1H), and 2.26 (dd, 1H) ppm. Compound 8 also can be prepared by treating Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂Ph)(Me₂Pyr)(OSiPh₃) (7) with 1 equiv of Ph₃SiOH.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{MoN} \text{Ni-Pr-Pr} \\
\text{Ph₃SiO} \\
\text{PhNCHCH₂(Ph)Cl} \\
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text{MoN} \text{Ni-Pr-Pr} \\
\text{Ph₃SiO} \\
\text{PhNCHCH₂(Ph)Cl} \\
\end{array}
\]

An X-ray structural study of a single crystal of 8 showed it to be the isomer in which the phenyl ring points toward the imido ligand (Figure 4). The styrene is oriented perpendicular to the Mo(1)-N(1) axis (N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) = 98.49(10)° and N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) = 98.76(10)°) with C(1)-C(2) = 1.433(4) Å.

A reaction between Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(OSiPh₃)₂ (3) and neat trans-3-hexene immediately produced an indigo colored hexene complex (equation 9) whose NMR spectrum in C₆D₆ revealed the presence of 2 isomers in the ratio of 4:1. The major isomer displays two olefinic multiplets between 3.5 and 2 ppm, consistent with the formation of Mo(NAr)(OSiPh₃)₂(trans-3-hexene) (9a, equation 9). Crystals of 9a could be obtained through recrystallization of crude 9. An X-ray structural study of the major isomer reveals it to have the expected structure (Figure 5).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{MoN} \text{Ni-Pr-Pr} \\
\text{Ph₃SiO} \\
\text{PhNCHCH₂(Ph)Cl} \\
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text{MoN} \text{Ni-Pr-Pr} \\
\text{Ph₃SiO} \\
\text{PhNCHCH₂(Ph)Cl} \\
\end{array}
\]
The most logical proposal is for the second isomer of 9 to be Mo(NAr)(cis-3-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (9b). Treatment of 3 with neat cis-3-hexene led to a 1:1 mixture of 9a and what we propose to be Mo(NAr)(cis-3-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (9b) on the basis of its mirror symmetry. Over a period of 5 h the mixture evolved into approximately the same 4:1 mixture of 9a and 9b formed upon treatment of 3 with trans-3-hexene. Therefore 9a and 9b are in slow equilibrium with the position of that equilibrium being approximately what one would predict on the basis of the relative energies of the free olefins. After 12 h the $^1$H NMR spectrum reveals other olefinic resonances that correspond to the initial resonances in the spectrum of Mo(NAr)(2-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$, which is prepared independently from 3 and neat trans-2-hexene. Therefore we propose that 9a and 9b slowly are converted into a complex mixture that contain Mo(NAr)(trans-2-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (9c) (2 isomers) and Mo(NAr)(cis-2-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (9d) (2 isomers). An even more complex mixture (up to eight isomers eventually) is formed when 3 is treated with neat 1-hexene; we propose that Mo(NAr)(1-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ forms initially and is then converted into isomers of Mo(NAr)(2-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ and Mo(NAr)(3-hexene)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$.

When a sample of 3 in toluene-$d_8$ was degassed and exposed to 1 atm of ethylene, the color changed from purple to red-orange. At 20 °C, two broad resonances were observed at ~3.1 and ~2.5 ppm, which integrate to four protons each (Figure 6). At -20 °C, four resonances are observed at 3.11, 3.06, 2.58, and 2.41 ppm integrating as two protons each, consistent with formation of the metallacyclopentane species, Mo(NAr)(C$_4$H$_8$)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (10) (equation 10). When 3 was exposed to $^{13}$C-ethylene (~0.5 atm) at 20 °C, two resonances are observed in the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum at 72.4 and 37.5 ppm that can be assigned to C$_\alpha$ and C$_\beta$, respectively, along with a resonance for the $^{13}$C-labeled ethylene complex (at 55.2 ppm). Although ethylene exchange is facile on the chemical time scale, this exchange is not rapid on the NMR time scale since a small amount of 3 can be observed throughout the temperature range shown in Figure 6. It appears that the fluxional process shown in Figure 6 leads to equilibration of the two types of CH$_\alpha$ resonances ("upper" and "lower") and the two types of CH$_\beta$ resonances ("upper" and "lower"), but not to interconversion of CH$_\alpha$ and CH$_\beta$ resonances or equilibration of 10 with 3 on the NMR time scale. Compound 10 clearly must
form from an intermediate bis ethylene complex in which the ethylenes are approximately cis to one another, perhaps through rearrangement of an initial species that has some other structure, even an initial TBP species that contains ethylenes trans to one another. The fluxional process observed for 10 is proposed to consist of a five-coordinate rearrangement of either the intact metallacyclopentane or a Mo(VI) alkenyl hydride species formed from the metallacyclopentane through reversible β hydride elimination. The fact that CHα and CHβ resonances do not exchange eliminates the possibility of formation of a bisethylene species in which one or both ethylenes can rotate about the Mo(ethylene) bond axis. The fluxional process has been investigated by line-shape analyses of the β-protons (see Supporting Information). The activation parameters for the exchange of the β-protons were obtained from the Eyring plot: ΔH‡ = 18.0(0.3) kcal/mol and ΔS‡ = 15.6(1.2) eu. The large and positive entropy of activation is consistent with significant disorder in the transition state, and therefore would favor formation of an alkenyl hydride intermediate.

X-ray quality crystals of 10 were grown from a pentane:toluene (10:1) solution of 10 at -35 °C under 1 atm of ethylene. A drawing of the structure of 10 is shown in Figure 7. Complex 10 has an approximate square pyramidal coordination geometry, with the imido ligand occupying the apical position. It is similar to the structure of a molybdacyclopentane reported by Boncella.20 The Mo(1)-C(1) and Mo(1)-C(4) bonds (2.176(3) and 2.200(3) Å) are typical of Mo-C single bonds and the C(1)-C(2), C(2)-C(3), and C(3)-C(4) bond lengths (1.513(5), 1.526(5), and 1.524(5) Å, respectively) are typical of C-C single bonds.

When a sample of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe2Ph)(OSiPh3)2 in toluene-d8 was degassed and exposed to 1 atm of ethylene, four broad peaks were observed at 3.38, 2.86, 2.23, and 1.24 ppm in
the ratio of 1:1:2:2 (see Supporting Information), consistent with the formation of the square pyramidal molybdacylobutane species, Mo(NAr)(C₂H₆)(OSiPh₃)₂(11) (equation 11). When Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(OSiPh₃)₂ was exposed to ¹³C-ethylene (~0.5 atm) at 20 °C, two doublet resonances were observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum at 37.6 ppm (J(CC) = 33 Hz, J(CH) = 138 Hz) and 27.8 ppm (J(CC) = 33 Hz, J(CH) = 132 Hz), corresponding to Cα and Cβ, respectively, in a square pyramidal metallacyclobutane species.²² Although 11 can be isolated, after 1 day at room temperature Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(OSiPh₃)₂ (3) and Mo(NAr)(C₄H₈)(OSiPh₃)₂ (10) are also found in a solution of 11 as a consequence of decomposition of unobserved Mo(NAr)(CH₃)(OSiPh₃)₂.

Olefin exchange and double bond isomerization would suggest that linear olefins can be isomerized catalytically. In fact, treatment of 2c with 20 equivalents of 1-decene in C₆D₆ (15 mM) at room temperature led to resonances near 5.5 ppm characteristic of internal olefins. Upon heating the sample for a period of two days at 85 °C, the 1-decene was converted almost completely to internal olefins according to ¹H NMR spectroscopic studies shown in Figure 8.

When 6 was treated with 20 equiv of 1-hexene in C₆D₆, trace amounts of internal olefins were observed after 20 min at room temperature. After one day at 22°C, the sample contained 52% internal olefins. At 60 °C over a period of 24 h the amount of internal olefins increased to 85% of the mixture, according to NMR spectra similar to those shown in Figure 8. A GC-Mass spectrum of the reaction mixture revealed the presence of C₇H₁₄, C₈H₁₆, C₈H₁₈, C₁₀H₂₀, C₁₁H₂₂, and C₁₂H₂₄ species. The shorter chains (C₇ and C₈) clearly consist of more than one isomer. When the reaction between 6 and 1-hexene was attempted in diethyl ether under similar conditions, no internal olefins
were found after one day. This experiment suggests that diethyl ether blocks any reaction that produces internal olefins. When 6 in C₆D₆ was treated with trans-3-hexene under similar conditions to those employed for 1-hexene, ¹H NMR and GCMS results were analogous to those observed for reactions involving 1-hexene.

When 6 was treated with 20 equiv of 1-octene, conversion to 90% internal olefins was observed after heating the reaction mixture to 100 °C for 18 h. When a similar experiment was carried out in ether, no internal olefins were observed. A GC-Mass spectrum of the internal olefins (Figure 9a) revealed that olefins from C₈H₁₆ to C₂₀H₄₀ are present, with C₁₃ and C₁₄ being the most abundant; chains longer than C₁₅ constitute a relatively small portion of the mixture. Hydrogenation of the olefins in this mixture (Pd/C catalyst; Figure 9b) converted all olefin isomers to a single linear alkane, which suggests that all internal olefins are linear. A GC-mass spectrum of a standard of linear alkanes C₈H₁₈ to C₁₄H₃₀ matched the GC-mass spectrum obtained for the hydrogenated mixture in retention time, peak shape, and fragmentation patterns for each alkane.

Mixtures of linear olefins most likely form through metathesis reactions. When 9 was treated with 1 atm of ethylene, the molybdacylopentane species 10 was observed immediately, along with free trans-3-hexene. After 2 weeks at room temperature, 1-butene was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture. When ¹³C-ethylene was employed, a singlet at 113.4 ppm for ¹³CH₂=CHCH₂CH₃ was observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture, consistent with its formation through a metathesis reaction of trans-3-hexene with ¹³CH₂¹³CH₂. After another week at room temperature, ¹³CH₂=CHCH₃ (115.9 ppm), ¹³CH₃CH=CHCH₃ and ¹³CH₂=CH¹³CH₃ (19.3 and 18.0 ppm) were observed in the reaction mixture, all of which are consistent with isomerization of 1-butene to 2-butene, followed by reaction of 2-butene with ¹³CH₂¹³CH₂. All observations are consistent with very slow metathesis of olefins over a period of weeks.

A benzene solution of 6 was placed under 1 atm of CD₃CH=CH₂ and the sample was heated to 60 °C for 1 day. A ²H NMR spectrum (Figure 10) showed resonances at 4.8 and 5.8 ppm, consistent with scrambling of deuterium into all three propylene sites through a process that must
involve more than reversible CH or CD activation of CD$_3$CH=CH$_2$ to give allyl intermediates. (See Discussion Section.)

When 6 was treated with 100 equivalents of cyclooctene in C$_6$D$_6$ polycyclooctene was formed and could be isolated in 38% yield after 24 h through precipitation with methanol. The polycyclooctene sample contained 88% trans double bonds according to $^{13}$C NMR spectra.

**DISCUSSION**

It is clear from the work reported here that molybdenum ethylene complexes can be the product of "reduction" of the metal upon exposure of MAP species, or bisalkoxides, to ethylene. These results are similar to what has been observed in solution in Mo or W biphenolate or binaphtholate systems.$^{3,5,4}$ The two most likely mechanisms for formation of ethylene complexes are rearrangement of a molybdacyclobutane complex to an olefin (e.g., rearrangement of the unsubstituted metallacyclobutane to propylene) or bimolecular coupling of methyldiene species. Both experimental$^{3a}$ and theoretical evidence (for high oxidation state Re, Mo, and W systems on silica$^{10,23}$) suggest that ethylene assists metallacycle rearrangement. Calculations suggest that the mechanism consists of ethylene accepting a β hydride from the metallacyclobutane ring and donating it back to an α carbon of the allyl intermediate. Since bis-µ-methyldiene species and a bis-µ-ethylene species have been structurally characterized in one high oxidation state tungsten system,$^5$ formation of the final ethylene complex is likely to involve ethylene attack on the bis-µ-ethylene

$$\text{MoH}_2\text{CCH}_2\text{MoArN}_2\text{Me}_2\text{PyrOR \ + \ C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{Mo(NAr)(C}_2\text{H}_4\text{(Me}_2\text{Pyr))(OR)}$$

(12)

species to give two equivalents of the ethylene species (equation 12) rather than formation of one equivalent of the ethylene species and a high energy three-coordinate intermediate that is then captured by ethylene. Restricting ethylene from the coordination sphere at the point where it is required for the last step (shown in equation 12) could be one of the reasons why MAP methyldiene
species that contain relatively bulky aryloxides are relatively stable toward decomposition reactions and lead to long-lived methyldiene complexes and long-lived catalysts in the presence of ethylene.\textsuperscript{9g}

Other important findings reported here include facile catalytic isomerization of olefins. We propose that olefins are isomerized via allylic CH activation to give an allyl intermediate ($\sigma$ or $\pi$). However, it is not necessarily true that the H is transferred to the metal, as shown in equation 13. Another possibility is for H to be transferred to the imido nitrogen to yield an intermediate Mo(IV) allyl \textit{amido} species. However, for the purposes of discussion we will choose the proposal shown in equation 12. This oxidative addition mechanism of isomerizing olefins is one of the classic methods of olefin isomerization that has been documented for decades.\textsuperscript{24}

\[
\begin{align*}
M + CH_2=CHCH_2R & \rightarrow M \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{H} \end{array} \quad R \quad \rightarrow M + CH_2=CHR \\
\text{(13)}
\end{align*}
\]

An important question is how an alkylidene, albeit only traces of it, is formed. Some of the leading candidates for forming an alkylidene from an ordinary olefin at a single metal center include addition of H from an external or internal source (e.g., through CH activation in a ligand) to the olefin to give an alkyl followed by $\alpha$ abstraction of H from the alkyl, e.g., as shown in equation 14.\textsuperscript{14,15,16} (For convenience, the "$+H$" and "$-H$" nomenclature is meant to encompass reactions that involve M-H species and other possible mechanistic variations, e.g., transfer of H to and from another ligand through CH activations, etc.) A second possible general mechanism of forming an alkylidene is to form an allyl hydride complex followed by a metallacyclobutane ring; an example is shown in equation 15. Formation of a metallacyclobutane could be an alternative consequence of formation of an olefin isomer from an allyl hydride intermediate (equation 13). The third possibility is to form and contract a metallacyclopentane ring to a metallacyclobutane ring and then lose the olefin to form the alkylidene, e.g., as shown in equation 16.\textsuperscript{25,26} Unfortunately, we have not been able to think of a means of determining exactly which process is (or processes are) responsible for formation of alkylidenes in the systems reported here, or confirming that they are imido alkylidenes.
The results presented here are related to others we have uncovered in which Mo(IV) or W(IV) compounds slowly catalyze typical metathesis reactions. As mentioned in the introduction, one is a homologation of a vinyl tin species to an allyl tin species in the presence of ethylene and Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(Biphen)⁷ as a catalyst. A mechanism was proposed that involved contraction of a "mixed" metallacyclopentane ring containing ethylene and the vinyl tin species to a metallacyclobutane ring. Complexes that contain an unsupported M=M double bond (M = Mo or W) such as [Mo(NAr)(CH₂-t-Bu)(OC₆F₅)]₂ (Ar = 2,6-i-Pr₂C₆H₃) or {W(NAr')[OCMe₂(CF₃)]}_₂ (Ar' = 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃) also will slowly catalyze olefin metathesis reactions.⁸ However, it was estimated that only a relatively small amount (~2%) of the M=M species was "activated" by the olefin. Finally, an ethylene complex mentioned earlier in this paper, Mo(N-2,6-Cl₂C₆H₅)(CH₂CH₂)(rac-Biphen)(ether), is inactive for ring-closing of diallyl ether over a period of 10 days at 22 °C, but when 10 equivalents of norbornene were added to a benzene solution of 5 mol% Mo(NArCl)(CH₂CH₂)(rac-Biphen)(ether) and diallyl ether, a 56% yield of 2,4-dihydrofuran was obtained in 10 days.
The results reported here also suggest that formation of Mo(IV) species as products of decomposition of metathesis catalysts could lead to isomerization of double bonds in metathesis substrates or products. However, metathesis usually is several orders of magnitude faster than olefin isomerization. Yet there may be some circumstances in which "reformation" of alkylidenes from olefins contributes to catalyst activity over the long term, e.g., "alkane metathesis," which is usually carried out at temperatures of 125° or more. Eventually, however, decomposition processes that involve destruction of the basic structure of an imido alkylidene catalyst will lead to irreversible loss of metathesis activity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

All of the observations suggest that (i) olefin complexes of the type M(NAr)(X)(Y)(olefin) can form readily; (ii) exchange of olefins at Mo(IV) centers is facile; (iii) isomerization of olefins at Mo(IV) centers is facile; and (iv) traces of metathesis catalysts are formed. We propose that the metathesis catalysts are of the type M(NAr)(X)(Y)(alkylidene), but that the amounts present are in the undetectable range (<1%).

**Experimental Section**

General synthetic procedures can be found in earlier papers that concern MAP catalysts. Compounds 1a and 1b were prepared as described in the literature. A cold solution of Ph₃SiOH (149 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) in 5 mL diethyl ether was added dropwise to a cold solution of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(2,5-Me₂NC₄H₂)(OSiPh₃) (1c). A cold solution of Ph₃SiOH (149 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) in 5 mL diethyl ether was added dropwise to a cold solution of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(2,5-Me₂NC₄H₂)₂ (319 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) in 5 mL of diethyl ether. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes and the volatile components were removed in vacuo. The resulting orange solid was recrystallized from diethyl ether to give 259 mg of orange crystals; yield 62%: ^1^H NMR (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 11.85 (s, 1H, syn Mo=CH, J₇₁ = 120.4 Hz), 7.54-7.08 (m, 23H, Ar), 5.79 (s, 2H, NC₄H₂), 3.72 (sept, 2H, MeC₆H₆, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.11 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.62 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.52 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.06 (app d, 6H, MeCHMe), 0.96 (br, 6H, MeCHMe); ^13^C NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 286.7, 153.4, 148.6, 147.5, 136.4, 135.7, 135.5, 130.6,
\( \text{Mo(NAr)(CH}_2\text{CH}_2)(\text{OAr})(2,5\text{-Me}_2\text{NC}_4\text{H}_2) \) (2a). A Schlenk flask was charged with Mo(NAr)(CHCMe\text{Ph})(OAr)(2,5-Me\text{2}NC\text{4}H\text{2}) (60 mg, 0.090 mmol), a stir bar, and 2 mL of heptane. The solution was degassed by freeze pump thawing the solution (3 times) and 1 atm of ethylene was introduced. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15 min and set aside at \(-30 \, ^\circ\text{C}\) for 12 h. Removal of the volatiles \textit{in vacuo} followed by addition of pentane to the residue afforded a dark red precipitate of the product; yield 31 mg, 59%: \(^1\text{H NMR} \) (300 MHz, C\text{6}D\text{6}) \( \delta \) 7.22 (d, 2, \text{Ar}), 7.02 (t, 1, \text{Ar}), 6.89 (br, 2, \text{Pyr}), 3.57 (sep, 2, \text{CHMe}_2), 3.25 (br, 2, \text{CHMe}_2), 2.95 (m, 2, \text{ethylene}), 2.60 (m, 1, \text{ethylene}), 2.16 (s, 6, \text{PyrMe}), 1.95 (m, 1, \text{ethylene}), 1.25 – 1.13 (m, 24, \text{CHMe}_2); \(^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (125 MHz, C\text{6}D\text{6}) \( \delta \) 163.84, 155.02, 143.74, 139.41, 128.68, 128.40, 128.30, 127.22, 123.96, 123.75, 120.77, 107.67, 60.24, 56.29, 28.39, 25.97, 25.18, 24.70, 24.57, 16.02. Experiments employing \(^{13}\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\) revealed the resonances at 60.24 ppm and 56.29 ppm to be those for ethylene carbons \( J_{\text{CH}} = 155 \, \text{Hz} \) and \( J_{\text{CC}} = 39 \, \text{Hz} \). Anal. \text{Calcd for C}\text{32}H\text{46}MoN\text{2}O: C, 67.35; H, 8.12; N, 4.91. Found: C, 67.56; H, 8.11; N, 4.97.

\( \text{Mo(NAr)(CH}_2\text{CH}_2)[\text{OCCMe(CF}_3\text{)]}_2(2,5\text{-Me}_2\text{NC}_4\text{H}_2) \) (2b). Compound 2b was prepared in a manner analogous to 2a by treating Mo(NAr)(CHCMe\text{3})[OCMe(CF\text{3}]_2)(2,5-Me\text{2}NC\text{4}H\text{2}) (235 mg, 0.347 mmol) with ethylene in heptane. The complex was crystallized from pentane at -30 °C as dark red crystals; yield 123 mg, 57%: \(^1\text{H NMR} \) (300 MHz, C\text{6}D\text{6}) \( \delta \) 6.19 – 6.81 (m, 3, \text{Ar}), 5.51 (br, 2, \text{Pyr}), 3.48 (sep., 2, \text{CHMe}_2), 2.77 (m, 2, \text{ethylene}), 2.45 (m, 1, \text{ethylene}), 2.16 (m, 1, \text{ethylene}), 2.10 (s, 6, \text{PyrMe}), 1.65 (s, 3, \text{OCMe}) 1.10 (d, 6, \text{CHMe}_2), 1.06 (d, 6, \text{CHMe}_2); \(^{19}\text{F NMR} \) (282 MHz, C\text{6}D\text{6}) \( \delta \) – 75.20, – 77.80; \(^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (125 MHz, C\text{6}D\text{6}) \( \delta \) 154.24, 145.74, 128.68, 128.40, 128.30, 127.22, 123.96, 123.75, 120.77, 107.67, 60.24, 56.29, 28.39, 25.97, 25.18, 24.70, 24.57, 16.02. Anal. \text{Calcd for C}\text{24}H\text{32}F\text{6}MoN\text{2}O: C, 50.18; H, 5.61; N, 4.88. Found: C, 50.26; H, 5.31; N, 4.67.

\( \text{Mo(NAr)(CH}_2\text{CH}_2)(2,5\text{-Me}_2\text{NC}_4\text{H}_2)(\text{OSiPh}_3) \) (2c). Compound 2c was prepared in a manner analogous to 2b by treating Mo(NAr)(CHCMe\text{2}Ph)(2,5-Me\text{2}NC\text{4}H\text{2})(\text{OSiPh}_3) (250 mg, 0.32
mmol) with ethylene in 5 mL of diethylether. The dark red precipitate was collected and recrystallized from diethylether; yield 150 mg, 70%: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) 7.89 (dd, 6H, \(Ar\), \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2.0 Hz), 7.24-7.14 (m, 9H, \(Ar\)), 6.94-6.83 (m, 3H, \(Ar\)), 5.00-6.00 (br, 2H, NC\(_2\)H\(_2\)), 3.71 (sept, 2H, MeC\(_{\text{H}}\)Me, \(J = 6.5\) Hz), 2.87 (m, 1H, C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 2.70 (m, 1H, C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 2.20 (m, 2H, C\(_2\)H\(_4\)), 2.11 (br s, 6H, C\(_3\)H\(_3\)), 1.10 (d, 6H, Me\(_2\)CHMe, \(J = 7.0\) Hz), 1.00 (d, 6H, Me\(_2\)CHMe, \(J = 7.0\) Hz); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) 153.8, 145.8, 141.3, 136.3, 135.9, 129.5, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 57.9, 56.8, 28.3, 25.6, 23.2, 17.0. Experiments employing \(^{13}\)C\(_2\)H\(_4\) revealed ethylene carbon resonances at 56.8 and 57.9 ppm (\(J_{\text{CH}} = 153\) Hz and \(J_{\text{CC}} = 37\) Hz). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{38}\)H\(_{44}\)MoN\(_2\)OSi: C, 68.24; H, 6.63; N, 4.19; Found: C, 68.18; H, 6.60; N, 3.84.

**Mo(NAr)(CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\))(OSiPh\(_3\))\(_2\) (3).** Mo(NAr)(CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\))(2,5-Me\(_2\)NC\(_4\)H\(_2\))(OSiPh\(_3\)) (25.6 mg, 0.038 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ph\(_3\)SiOH (10.6 mg, 0.038, 1 equiv) were transferred to a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. Pentane (7 mL) and diethyl ether (0.1 mL) were added. The color of the reaction mixture changed from red to purple. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until triphenylsiloxide was completely consumed. The reaction mixture was stored at \(-35^\circ\)C and 20 mg of purple crystals were obtained; yield = 61%: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) 7.77 (d, 12H, \(Ar\)), 7.20-7.10 (m, 18H, \(Ar\)), 6.98-6.89 (m, 3H, \(Ar\)), 3.69 (sept, 2H, MeC\(_{\text{H}}\)Me, \(J = 6.9\) Hz), 2.74 (app q, 2H, C\(_2\)H\(_4\), \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 5.6 Hz), 1.99 (app q, 2H, C\(_2\)H\(_4\), \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 5.6 Hz), 1.00 (d, 12H, Me\(_2\)CHMe\(_2\), \(J = 7.0\) Hz); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) 154.6, 146.7, 137.6, 135.9, 129.5, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 57.9, 56.8, 28.3, 25.6, 23.2, 17.0. Experiments employing \(^{13}\)C\(_2\)H\(_4\) revealed the resonance at 55.2 ppm to be that for ethylene carbons (\(J_{\text{CH}} = 155\) Hz). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{50}\)H\(_{51}\)MoN\(_2\)OSi\(_2\): C, 70.65; H, 6.05; N, 1.65; Found: C, 70.61; H, 6.14; N, 1.86.

**Mo(NAr)(CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\))(OTf)\(_2\)(dme) (4a).** Ethylene (60 psi) was added to a solution of Mo(NAr)(CHCMe\(_2\)Ph)(OTf)\(_2\)(dme) (0.800 g, 1.1 mmol) in 10 mL of toluene. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for two days under a 60 psi of ethylene. Red crystals (0.646g, yield = 86%) were isolated directly from the reaction via filtration. The product is a mixture of cis and trans isomers in the ratio of \(-2:1\), as determined by \(^1\)H and \(^{19}\)F NMR spectroscopy studies: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) **cis-4** – selected resonances- \(\delta\) 3.93-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.82-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.53 (s, 3H, OMe),
3.35 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.15 (d, 6H, Me2CHMe2, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.98 (d, 6H, Me2CHMe2, J = 7.0 Hz); 1H NMR (500 MHz, C6D6) trans-4 – selected resonances- δ 3.08 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.95 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.26 (d, 12 H, Me2CHMe2, J = 7.0 Hz); 13C NMR (125 MHz, C6D6) δ 151.0, 139.4, 124.7, 124.4, 77.4, 72.3, 70.7, 70.2, 69.2, 64.2, 63.1, 28.6, 25.4, 24.9, 23.7; 19F (282 MHz, C6D6) δ -78.3, -78.3, -78.6. Anal. Calcd for C20H31F6MoNO8S2: C, 34.94; H, 4.54; N, 2.04; Found: C, 35.24; H, 4.58; N, 2.06.

Mo(NAd)(CH2CH2)(OTf)2(dme) (4b). A 100 mL Schlenk round bottom flask equipped with a side arm and a magnetic stir bar was charged with solid Mo(NAd)(OTf)2(CH2CH2)(dme) (354 mg) and 10 mL benzene. The reaction mixture was degassed (3x) and then exposed to 1 atmosphere of ethylene. The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 6 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum and the yellow solid generated was washed with diethyl ether. 155.4 mg of yellow solid were obtained (yield = 71%). The product obtained is a mixture of cis and trans isomers in the ratio of ~2 : 1, as determined by 1H and 19F NMR spectroscopy studies: 1H NMR (500 MHz, C6D6) 3.95-3.80 (m), 3.51 (s, OMe), 3.47 (s, OMe), 3.45-3.40 (m), 3.33 (s, OMe), 3.14 (s, OMe), 2.95-2.65 (m), 2.58-2.45 (m), 2.26-2.17 (m), 2.11 (br), 1.77 (br), 1.31 (br); 13C NMR (125 MHz, C6D6) δ 78.3, 77.4, 72.9, 72.6, 71.7, 69.9, 69.8, 67.6, 65.8, 64.2, 63.0, 60.9, 41.9, 41.1, 35.8, 35.7, 29.4, 29.3; 19F (282 MHz, C6D6) δ -77.5, -77.8, -78.3. Anal. Calcd for C18H29F6MoNO8S2: C, 32.68; H, 4.42; N, 2.12; Found: C, 33.26; H, 4.44; N, 2.00.

Mo(NAr)(CH2CH2)(η1-2,5-Me2NC4H2)(η5-2,5-Me2NC4H2) (5a). A 25 mL Schlenk round bottom flask equipped with a side arm and a magnetic stir bar was charged with solid Mo(NAr)(OTf)2(CH2CH2)(dme) (156 mg, 0.23 mmol, 1 equiv), and lithium 2,5-dimethylpyrrolide (46 mg, 0.46 mmol, 2 equiv). The flask was degassed and 5 mL of diethyl ether were vacuum transferred into it. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up and room temperature and then immediately exposed to 1 atm of ethylene. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 h. The volatile materials were removed under vacuum. Benzene was added and the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. The volatile materials were removed and the residue was recrystallized from pentane to give 58.3 mg of red crystals; yield = 52%: 1H NMR (500 MHz, C6D6) δ 6.90-6.78 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.51 (s, 2H, NC4H2), 5.76 (s, 1H, NC4H2), 5.27 (s, 1H, NC4H2), 3.34 (sept,
2H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.06-2.96 (m, 1H, C₂H₄), 2.78-2.68 (m, 1H, C₂H₄), 2.66-2.56 (m, 1H, C₂H₄), 2.33-2.23 (m, 1H, C₂H₄), 2.52 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.44 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.88 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.65 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.05 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.00 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 152.0, 151.6, 147.9, 139.8, 139.1, 139.6, 123.9, 112.3, 110.9, 103.7, 100.7, 99.4, 51.3, 48.1, 28.0, 25.5, 23.3, 21.0, 20.1, 17.2, 14.6. Experiments employing ¹³C₂H₄ revealed the resonances at 51.3 ppm and 48.1 ppm to be those for ethylene carbons (JCH = 157 Hz for each).  Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₃₇MoN₃: C, 64.05; H, 7.65; N, 8.62; Found: C, 63.47; H, 7.52; N, 8.44.

Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(2-MesitylNC₄H₃)₂ (5b). Benzene (10 mL) was added to a 25 mL Schlenk flask charged with a stir bar and Mo(NAr)(CHCMe₂Ph)(MesPyr)₂ (0.9243 g, 1.302 mmol). The solution was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and then exposed to 1 atm of ethylene. The closed flask was heated at 60 °C for 4 days. The volatiles were removed in vacuo to give a brown residue. Pentane (~5 mL) and Et₂O (~1 mL) were added to the residue. The brown solids were collected and dried in vacuo for 1 h; yield 0.620 g (71%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.88 (m, 3, Ar-H, Pyr-H), 6.82 (s, 1, Ar-H), 6.81 (s, 1, Ar-H), 6.77 (s, 4, Ar-H), 6.53 (m, 2, Pyr-H), 6.24 (m, 2, Pyr-H), 3.43 (sept, 2, C₂H₄), 2.65 (m, 2, C₂H₄), 2.16 (s, 6, Pyr-Me), 2.11 (s, 12, Pyr-Me), 1.96 (m, 2, C₂H₄), 1.00 (d, 12, CHMe₂, JHH = 7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 154.71, 147.80, 140.50, 139.23, 138.28, 132.46, 129.39, 129.15, 128.96, 123.64 (NC₄H₃Mes), 111.92 (NC₄H₃Mes), 110.30 (NC₄H₃Mes), 54.22 (CH₂CH₂), 28.70, 24.45, 21.95, 21.52. Experiments employing ¹³C₂H₄ revealed that the 54.22 resonance is due to ethylene (JCH = 160 Hz).  Anal. Calcd for C₄₀H₄₉MoN₃: C, 71.94; H, 7.20; N, 6.29. Found: C, 72.24; H, 7.29; N, 6.05.

Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)[OCH(CF₃)₂]₂(Et₂O) (6). Mo(NAr)(CH₂CH₂)(MesPyr)₂ (0.201 g, 0.301 mmol) and 5 mL of diethyl ether were added to a 20 mL scintillation vial. Hexafluoroisopropanol (74 µL, 0.7.03 mmol, 2.33 equiv) was added in portions. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and filtered through glass wool. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to ~1 mL. After standing the solution at -27 °C for 1 day red crystals were isolated; yield 0.088 g (41%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.92 (m, 3, Ar-H), 4.68 (s, 2, OCH(CF₃)₂), 3.64 (q, 4, Et₂O), 3.54 (sept, 2, CHMe₂), 2.64 (m, 2, C₂H₄), 1.83 (m, 2, C₂H₄), 1.13 (d, 12, CHMe₂, JHH = 7 Hz), 0.88 (t, 6, Et₂O);
$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$ 155.05, 148.33, 129.96, 128.58, 124.00, 78.75 (CF$_3$), 66.09 (O((CH$_2$)Me)$_2$), 63.17 (CH$_2$CH$_2$), 29.14 (CHMe$_2$), 24.44 (CHMe$_2$), 14.26 (O((CH$_2$)Me)$_2$); $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$ -75.56, -75.88. Anal. Calcd for C$_{24}$H$_{33}$F$_{12}$MoNO$_3$: C, 40.75; H, 4.70; N, 1.98. Found: C, 40.91; H, 4.67; N, 2.40.

**Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CHPh)(2,5-Me$_2$NC$_4$H$_2$)(OSiPh$_3$) (7).** A 25 mL Schlenk round bottom flask equipped with a side arm and a magnetic stir bar was charged with solid Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(2,5-Me$_2$NC$_4$H$_2$)(OSiPh$_3$) (306 mg, 0.458 mmol). Styrene, freshly dried over CaH$_2$, was vacuum transferred into the Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 min. The volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. Pentane (5 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was placed at -35 °C; 252 mg of grey solid product was isolated (yield 74%): $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_7$D$_8$) - four isomers; selected peaks- $\delta$ 4.23 (t, 1H, CH$_2$=CPh, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 4.16 (t, 1H, CH$_2$=CPh, $J = 11.5$, 6.5 Hz), 2.97 (dd, 1H, CH$_2$=CPh, $J = 11.5$, 6.0 Hz), 2.87-2.79 (m), 2.72 (dd, 1H, CH$_2$=CPh, $J = 12.2$, 6.0 Hz), 2.63-2.56 (m), 2.40 (dd, 1H, CH$_2$=CPh, $J = 11.5$, 6.5 Hz), 2.22 (s, Me), 2.09 (s, Me), 1.94 (s, Me), 1.89 (br), 1.83 (s, Me), 1.33 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.17 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.12 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 0.95 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 0.83 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, $J = 6.5$ Hz); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_7$D$_8$) $\delta$ 154.6, 154.0, 151.8, 147.0, 146.7, 146.5, 146.1, 146.0, 141.5, 141.0, 139.8, 138.4, 136.4, 136.3, 130.0, 129.8, 128.9, 128.3, 128.0, 127.0, 126.2, 124.0, 123.8, 123.6, 113.9, 109.2 (br), 104.1, 76.8, 73.7, 66.8, 60.0, 57.9, 57.7, 56.7, 54.6, 29.1, 28.7, 28.6, 26.2, 25.6, 25.3, 24.1, 23.6, 23.4, 17.4. Anal. Calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{48}$MoN$_2$OSi: C, 70.94; H, 6.49; N, 3.76; Found: C, 70.62; H, 6.67; N, 3.75.

**Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CHPh)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (8). Method A:** A 25 mL Schlenk round bottom flask equipped with a side arm and a magnetic stir bar was charged with solid Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$ (60 mg, 0.0706 mmol). Styrene, freshly dried over CaH$_2$, was vacuum transferred to the Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture changed immediately from purple to green. The volatiles were then removed *in vacuo* and after recrystallization from pentane, 64 mg of green crystals were generated (yield = 98%).
Method B: Mo(NAr)(CH₂CHPh)(2,5-Me₂NC₄H₂)(OSiPh₃) (41.5 mg, 0.056 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ph₃SiOH (15.4 mg, 0.056, 1 equiv) were transferred to a 20 mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar. Pentane (5 mL) and 0.1 mL of diethyl ether were added. The color of the reaction mixture changed from red to green. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the starting material was completely consumed. The reaction mixture was placed at -35 °C, and 44.1 mg of green crystals were obtained (yield 85%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) -major isomer (67%)- δ 7.79 (d, 6H, Ar, J = 6.5 Hz), 7.73 (d, 6H, Ar, J = 6.5 Hz), 7.30-6.60 (m, 26H, Ar), 3.58 (t, 1H, CH₂=CHPh, J = 12.3 Hz), 3.41 (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.28 (dd, 1H, CHMe=CHPh, J = 12.3, 6.4 Hz), 2.44 (dd, 1H, CH₂=CHPh, J = 12.3, 6.4 Hz), 0.98 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.75 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz); -minor isomer (33%)- δ 7.75 (d, 6H, Ar, J = 6.5 Hz), 7.47 (d, 6H, Ar, J = 6.5 Hz), 7.30-6.60 (m, 26H, Ar), 4.64 (t, 1H, CH₂=CHPh, J = 10.9 Hz), 3.72 (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.99 (dd, 1H, CH₂=CHPh, J = 10.9, 6.7 Hz), 2.26 (dd, 1H, CH₂=CHPh, J = 10.9, 6.7 Hz), 1.02 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.96 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) -major and minor isomers- δ 154.8, 154.0, 148.1, 147.1, 145.6, 144.7, 137.7, 137.5, 137.2, 136.2, 136.1, 136.0, 135.9, 130.5, 130.4, 130.3, 130.0, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 126.8, 126.2, 125.9, 125.7, 123.5, 123.3, 77.0, 73.0, 54.3, 52.1, 29.7, 29.4, 25.1, 24.7, 23.7, 23.0. Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₅₅MoO₂Si₂: C, 72.62; H, 5.99; N, 1.51; Found: C, 72.71; H, 6.01; N, 1.47.

Mo(NAr)(trans-3-hexene)(OSiPh₃)₂ (9). A 1 dram vial was charged with solid Mo(NAr) (CH₂CH₂) (OSiPh₃)₂ (96 mg, 0.113 mmol). Addition of neat trans-3-hexene (100 µL) led to a color change from purple to indigo. Pentane (0.1 mL) was added and indigo crystals formed and were filtered off. The crystals were washed with pentane and dried in vacuo; yield 69 mg (67%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) -major isomer 9a (80%) selected peaks- δ 7.79 (t, 12H, Ar, J = 6.7 Hz), 7.26-7.04 (m, 18H, Ar), 7.00-6.88 (m, 3H, Ar), 3.82 (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.49-3.32 (m, 1H), 2.42-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.20-2.03 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.75-1.62 (m, 1H), 1.42-1.32 (m, 1H), 1.11 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.97 (d, 6H, MeCHMe, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.94 (t, 3H, MeCH₂CH=, J = 7.3 Hz), 0.89 (t, 3H, MeCH₂CH=, J = 7.3 Hz); -minor isomer 9b (20%) selected
peaks- \( \delta 3.75 \) (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz), 2.68 (m, 2H, MeCH\( _2 \)CH\( = \)), 1.00 (d, 12H, MeCHMe, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz); \( ^{13} \)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) -major and minor isomers- \( \delta 153.6, 147.3, 138.3, 137.4, 136.1, 136.0, 135.8, 130.4, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 123.5, 78.1, 77.3, 33.8, 29.8, 28.4, 25.4, 23.0, 20.0, 18.6. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{54}\)H\(_{59}\)MoO\(_2\)Si\(_2\): C, 71.57; H, 6.56; N, 1.55; Found: C, 71.67; H, 6.65; N, 1.59.

**Mo(NAr)(C\(_4\)H\(_8\))(OSiPh\(_3\))\(_2\) (10).** A J-Young NMR tube was charged with a solution of Mo(NAr) (CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)) (OSiPh\(_3\))\(_2\) (15 mg) in 0.6 mL of pentane and 0.1 mL of diethyl ether. The NMR tube was degassed three times and filled with 1 atm of ethylene. After 10 minutes at room temperature, the reaction mixture had changed from purple to red-orange. The NMR tube was stored at -35°C to give orange crystals; 5.0 mg, 32% yield. X-ray quality crystals of 10 were grown from a pentane:toluene solution (10:1) of 10 at -35 °C under 1 atm of ethylene: \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_7\)D\(_8\), -20°C) \( \delta 7.72 \) (d, 12H, Ar, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz), 7.16 (t, 6H, Ar, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz), 7.07 (t, 12H, Ar, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz), 7.02-6.91 (m, 3H, Ar), 3.85 (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz), 3.38 (br, 1H, MoCH\(_2\)), 2.86 (br, 1H, MoCH\(_2\)), 2.38 (br, 2H, MoCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.00 (d, 12H, MeCHMe, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz). Anal. Calcd for C\(_{54}\)H\(_{59}\)MoO\(_2\)Si\(_2\): C, 71.12; H, 6.31; N, 1.60; Found: C, 71.50; H, 6.48; N, 1.40.

When \(^{13}\)C\(_2\)H\(_4\) (~0.5 atm) was used the following resonances were observed for the metallacyclopentane carbons; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_7\)D\(_8\), -20 °C) \( \delta 72.4 \) (MoC\(_\alpha\)), 37.5 (MoC\(_\alpha\)C\(_\beta\)).

**Mo(NAr)(C\(_3\)H\(_6\))(OSiPh\(_3\))\(_2\) (11).** A J-Young NMR tube was charged with a suspension of Mo(NAr)(OSiPh\(_3\))\(_2\)(CHCMe\(_2\)Ph)\(_2\) (9 mg) in 0.6 mL of pentane. The suspension was degassed (3x) and then exposed to 1 atm of ethylene. The reaction mixture was placed at -35 °C. Red crystals (5.3 mg) were generated (yield = 65%). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_7\)D\(_8\)) –selected peaks– \( \delta 3.73 \) (sept, 2H, MeCHMe, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz), 3.38 (br, 1H, MoCH\(_2\)), 2.86 (br, 1H, MoCH\(_2\)), 2.23 (br, 2H, MoCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.24 (br, 2H, MoCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.00 (d, 12H, MeCHMe, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz); When \(^{13}\)C\(_2\)H\(_4\) (~0.5 atm) was used the following peaks were observed corresponding to the square-pyramidal molybdacyclobutane carbons; \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_7\)D\(_8\)) \( \delta 37.6 \) (d, \( J_{CC} = 33 \) Hz, \( J_{CH} = 138 \) Hz, MoC\(_\alpha\)), 27.8 (d, \( J_{CC} = 33 \) Hz, \( J_{CH} = 138 \) Hz).
= 132 Hz, MoC=\alpha C\beta). Anal. Calcd for C_{51}H_{53}MoO_{2}Si_{2}: C, 70.89; H, 6.18; N, 1.62; Found: C, 71.30; H, 6.48; N, 1.40.

**Reaction involving cyclooctene.** To a benzene-\textit{d}_6 (0.6 mL) solution of Mo(NAr)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})(O-i-Pr\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{2}(Et\textsubscript{2}O) (0.014 g, 0.0198 mmol) was added (260 µL, 1.98 mmol) of cyclooctene via syringe. After 24 h at 23 °C, the mixture was dissolved in methylene chloride, and the solution was then added dropwise to methanol with vigorous stirring. A white precipitate of polycyclooctene was filtered off from the methanol solution; yield 0.082 g (38%). Both \textit{trans} (88%) and \textit{cis} (12%) CH=CH bonds were observed: \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 5.38 (m, 1, \textit{trans}-CH), 5.36 (m, 1, \textit{cis}-CH), 2.01 (m, 2, \textit{trans}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.97 (m, 2, \textit{cis}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.31 (br m, 4, \textit{trans}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.29 (m, 2, \textit{cis}-CH\textsubscript{2}); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 130.54 (m, \textit{trans}-CH), 130.08 (m, \textit{cis}-CH), 32.83 (has a shoulder, \textit{trans}- and \textit{cis}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.96 (\textit{cis}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.85 (\textit{trans}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.40 (\textit{cis}-CH\textsubscript{2}), 29.26 (\textit{trans}-CH\textsubscript{2}).

**Crystal Structure Determinations.** All structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS\textsuperscript{28} and refined against F2 on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL-97\textsuperscript{29} using established refinement techniques.\textsuperscript{30} For details see Supporting Information.
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Figure 1. POV-ray drawing of 2c. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-C(1) = 2.190(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(2) = 2.182(2) Å, Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.7353(18) Å, Mo(1)-O(1) = 2.0080(15) Å, C(1)-C(2) = 1.420(3) Å, Mo(1)-N(2) = 2.3588(18) Å, Mo(1)-C(22) = 2.458(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(23) = 2.506(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(24) = 2.441(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(25) = 2.355(2) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 163.09(15)°, Mo(1)-O(1)-Si(1) = 149.06(9)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) = 98.75(9)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) = 97.89(8)°.
**Figure 2.** POV-ray drawing of 5a. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-C(1) = 2.215(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(2) = 2.199(2) Å, Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.7423(17) Å, Mo(1)-N(2) = 2.3785(17) Å, Mo(1)-N(3) = 2.1444(17) Å, C(1)-C(2) = 1.404(4) Å, Mo(1)-C(31) = 2.396(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(32) = 2.407(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(33) = 2.420(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(34) = 2.396(2) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 174.88(14)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) = 87.32(8)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) = 101.17(8)°.
Figure 3. POV-ray drawing of 6. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-C(1) = 2.181(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(2) = 2.178(2) Å, C(1)-C(2) = 1.409(3) Å, Mo(1)-O(1) = 1.9792(14) Å, Mo(1)-O(2) = 1.9888(14) Å, Mo(1)-O(3) = 2.3071(14) Å, Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.7148(16) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 178.18(14)°, Mo(1)-O(1)-C(23) = 138.89(13)°, Mo(1)-O(2)-C(26) = 137.50(13)°, O(1)-Mo(1)-O(2) = 118.18(6)°, O(1)-Mo(1)-N(1) = 117.77(7)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-O(2) = 115.60(7)°.
Figure 4. POV-ray drawing of 8. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.738(2) Å, Mo(1)-O(1) = 1.9116(15) Å, Mo(1)-O(2) = 1.9176(16) Å, Mo(1)-C(1) = 2.121(3) Å, Mo(1)-C(2) = 2.159(2) Å, C(1)-C(2) = 1.433(4) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 169.78(17)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(1) = 98.49(10)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(2) = 98.76(9)°.
Figure 5. POV-ray drawing of 9a. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.7337(13) Å, Mo(1)-O(1) = 1.9381(11) Å, Mo(1)-O(2) = 1.9197(11) Å, Mo(1)-C(3) = 2.1509(15) Å, Mo(1)-C(4) = 2.1350(15) Å, C(3)-C(4) = 1.440(2) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 174.15(11)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(3) = 98.17(6)°, N(1)-Mo(1)-C(4) = 97.88(6)°.
Figure 6. Variable-temperature $^1$H NMR spectroscopic studies of Mo(NAr)(OSiPh$_3$)$_2$(C$_4$H$_8$) (10) in toluene-$d_8$ under 1 atm of ethylene.
Figure 7. POV-ray drawing of 10. Thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mo(1)-N(1) = 1.719(2) Å, Mo(1)-O(1) = 1.911(2) Å, Mo(1)-O(2) = 1.880(2) Å, Mo(1)-C(1) = 2.176(3) Å, Mo(1)-C(4) = 2.200(3) Å, C(1)-C(2) = 1.513(5) Å, C(2)-C(3) = 1.526(5) Å, C(3)-C(4) = 1.524(5) Å, Mo(1)-N(1)-C(11) = 175.2(2)°, C(1)-Mo(1)-C(4) = 71.86(13)°.
**Figure 8.** $^1$H NMR spectroscopic studies to monitor the reaction between Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)(Me$_2$Pyr)(OSiPh$_3$) (2c) (5 mol%) and 1-decene.
Figure 9. Reaction of 20 equiv of 1-octene with Mo(NAr)(CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2})[OCH(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}(Et\textsubscript{2}O) after one day at 100 °C. (a) GC trace of the reaction mixture prior to hydrogenation. (b) GC trace of the reaction mixture after hydrogenation.
Figure 10. $^2$H NMR spectrum of Mo(NAr)(CH$_2$CH$_2$)[OCH(CF$_3$)$_2$]$_2$(Et$_2$O) in benzene under 1 atm of CD$_3$CH(CH$_2$). From left to right: 7.16 (benzene), 5.69 (1 H), 5.45 (0.33 H), 5.35 (0.46 H), 5.23 (0.71 H), 4.99 (1.05 H), 4.93 (1.45 H), 1.47 (7.68 H), 1.43 (1.21 H), 0.85 (0.41 H).
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Simple Mo(IV) imido ethylene complexes can be prepared through exposure of imido alkylidene complexes to ethylene, and from these other olefin complexes (e.g., styrene and trans-3-hexene). All evidence suggests that alkene exchange and isomerization at the Mo(IV) center are facile, and that trace amounts of alkylidene complexes are formed that result in slow metathesis reactions to give a distribution of all possible olefins.