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We report an analysis of charmless hadronic decays of charged $B$ mesons to the final state $K^+\pi^0\pi^0$, using a data sample of $(470.9 \pm 2.8) \times 10^7$ $B\bar{B}$ events collected with the BABAR detector at the Y(4S) resonance. We observe an excess of signal events, with a significance above 10 standard deviations including systematic uncertainties, and measure the branching fraction and $CP$ asymmetry to be $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0) = (16.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-6}$ and $A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0) = -0.06 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$, where the uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively. Additionally, we study the contributions of the $B^+ \to K^+(892)^+\pi^0$, $B^+ \to f_0(980)K^+$, and $B^+ \to \chi_{c0}K^+$ quasi-two-body decays. We report the world’s best measurements of the branching fraction and $CP$ asymmetry of the $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0$ and $B^+ \to K^+(892)^+\pi^0$ channels.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent measurements of rates and asymmetries in $B \to K\pi$ decays have generated considerable interest because of possible hints of new physics contributions [1,2]. Unfortunately, hadronic uncertainties prevent a clear interpretation of these results in terms of physics beyond the standard model. A data-driven approach involving...
measurements of all observables in the $B \to K\pi$ system can in principle resolve the theoretical situation, but much more precise measurements are needed [3–5].

The ratios of tree-to-penguin amplitudes in the related pseudoscalar-vector decays $B \to K^+\pi^-$ and $B \to K\rho$ are predicted to be 2 to 3 times larger than those in $B \to K\pi$. Hence, these decays could have considerably larger $CP$ asymmetries and thus provide useful additional information [6–8]. In Table I we review the existing experimental measurements of the channels in the $B \to K^+\pi^-$ system. Improved measurements of the $K^+\pi^0$ decay can be obtained using the full $Y(4S)$ BABAR data set.

The four $K^+\pi$ decays populate six $K\pi\pi$ Dalitz plots (the four $K\rho$ decays also produce four of the same six final states). To date, Dalitz-plot analyses have been performed in the channels $K^+\pi^+\pi^-$ [15,16], $K^0\pi^+\pi^-$ [13,18], and $K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ [11,19]. The first two of these have shown the presence of a poorly understood structure, dubbed the $f_K(1300)$, in the $\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution. A study of the invariant mass spectrum in $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0$ decays could help elucidate the nature of this peak, since even-spin states will populate both $K\pi^+\pi^-$ and $K\pi^0\pi^0$ (assuming isospin symmetry), while odd-spin states cannot decay to $\pi^0\pi^0$.

Knowledge of the dominant contributions to the $K^+\pi^0\pi^0$ Dalitz plot may also help to clarify the interpretation of the inclusive time-dependent analyses [20] of $B^0 \to K^0\pi^0\pi^0$ [21]. For such $b \to s$ penguin-dominated decays the naive standard model expectation is that the time-dependent $CP$ violation parameter should be given by $S_{CP} = -\eta_{CP}\sin(2\beta)$, where $\eta_{CP}$ is the $CP$ eigenvalue of the final state ($+1$ for $K^0\pi^0\pi^0$) and $\beta$ is an angle of the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa [22,23] unitarity triangle. Currently, the results for $B^0 \to K^0\pi^0\pi^0$ show the largest deviation, among hadronic $b \to s$ penguin-dominated decays [9], from the angle $\beta$ measured in charmed decays, albeit with a large uncertainty. Such deviations could be caused by new physics, but in order to rule out the possibility of sizable corrections to the standard model prediction, better understanding of the population of the $K^+\pi^0$ Dalitz plots is necessary.

In this article, we present the results of a search for the three-body decay $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0$, including short-lived intermediate two-body modes that decay to this final state. A full amplitude analysis of the three-body decay would require detailed understanding of effects related to the misreconstruction of signal events, such as the smearing of their Dalitz-plot positions. These effects are significant in the final state under study, which involves two neutral pions. Therefore, in order to avoid heavy reliance on Monte Carlo (MC) simulations, we do not perform a Dalitz-plot analysis, but instead extract information on intermediate modes including narrow resonances [$K^{*+}(892)\pi^0$, $f_0(980)K^+$, and $\chi_{c0}K^+$] by studying the two-body invariant mass distributions.

There is no existing previous measurement of the three-body branching fraction, but several quasi-two-body modes that can decay to this final state have been seen, with varying significances. These include $B^+ \to f_0(980)K^+$, observed in the $f_0(980) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ channel [15,16] and also seen in $f_0(980) \to K^+K^-$ [24]; $B^+ \to f_2(1270)K^+$, seen in $f_2(1270) \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ [15,16]; and $B^+ \to K^{*+}(892)\pi^0$, seen in $K^{*+}(892) \to K^+\pi^0$ [14]. The decay $B^+ \to \chi_{c0}K^+$ has also been observed with $\chi_{c0} \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ [15,16] and $\chi_{c0} \to J/\psi K^+\pi^0$ [24,25].

II. EVENT RECONSTRUCTION AND SELECTION

The data used in the analysis were collected with the BABAR detector [26] at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy $e^+e^-$ collider at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. The sample consists of an integrated luminosity of 429 fb$^{-1}$ recorded at the $Y(4S)$ resonance (“on peak”) and 45 fb$^{-1}$ collected 40 MeV below the resonance (“off peak”). The on-peak data sample contains the full BABAR $Y(4S)$ data set, consisting of $(470.9 \pm 2.8) \times 10^6 BB$ events.

We reconstruct $B^+ \to K^+\pi^0\pi^0$ decay candidates by combining a $K^+$ candidate with two neutral pion candidates. The $K^+$ candidate is a charged track with transverse momentum above 0.05 GeV/$c$ that is consistent with having originated at the interaction region. Separation of charged kaons from charged pions is accomplished with energy-loss information from the tracking subdetectors and with the Cherenkov angle and number of photons measured by a ring-imaging Cherenkov detector. The efficiency for kaon selection is approximately 80% including geometrical acceptance, while the probability of misidentification of pions as kaons is below 5% up to a laboratory momentum of 4 GeV/$c$. Neutral pion candidates are formed from pairs of neutral clusters with laboratory energies above 0.05 GeV and lateral moments [27] between 0.01 and 0.6. We require the mass of the reconstructed $\pi^0$ to be within the range $0.115 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.150$ GeV/$c^2$ and the absolute value of the cosine of the decay angle in the $\pi^0$ rest frame to be less than 0.9. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the mass of neutral pion candidates in on-peak data. Following this selection, when forming the $B$ candidate,
the \( \pi^0 \) candidates have their masses constrained to the world-average value [28].

We exclude candidates consistent with the \( B^+ \to K_S^0 K^+ \), \( K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \) decay chain by rejecting events with a pair of \( \pi^0 \) mesons that satisfies \( 0.40 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < m_{\pi^0\pi^0} < 0.55 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). This veto has a signal efficiency of at least 96\% for any charmless resonant decay and of almost 100\% for nonresonant \( B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \) and \( B^+ \to \chi_{c0}K^+ \) decays.

Because of the presence of two neutral pions in the final state, there is a significant probability for signal events to be misreconstructed, due to low momentum photons that are replaced by photons from the decay of the other \( B \) meson in the event. We refer to these as self-cross-feed (SCF) events, as opposed to correctly reconstructed (CR) events. Using a classification based on Monte Carlo information, we find that in simulated events the SCF fraction depends strongly on the resonant substructure of the signal, and ranges from 2\% for \( B^+ \to \chi_{c0}K^+ \) decays to 30\% for \( B^+ \to J/\psi(1270)K^+ \) decays.

In order to suppress the contribution arising from the dominant background, due to continuum \( e^+ e^- \to q\bar{q}(q = u, d, s, c) \) events, we employ a neural network that combines four variables commonly used to discriminate jetlike \( q\bar{q} \) events from the more spherical \( BB \) events. The first of these is the ratio of the second-to-zeroth order momentum-weighted Legendre polynomial moments,

\[
\frac{L_2}{L_0} = \frac{\sum_{\text{ROE}} \frac{1}{2} (3 \cos^2 \theta_i - 1) p_i}{\sum_{\text{ROE}} p_i},
\]  

where the summations are over all tracks and neutral clusters in the event excluding those that form the \( B \) candidate (the rest of the event or ROE), \( p_i \) is the particle momentum, and \( \theta_i \) is the angle between the particle and the thrust axis of the \( B \) candidate. The three other variables entering the neural network are the absolute value of the cosine of the angle between the \( B \) direction and the beam axis, the absolute value of the cosine of the angle between the \( B \) thrust axis and the beam axis, and the absolute value of the output of a neural network used for “flavor tagging,” i.e., for distinguishing \( B \) from \( \bar{B} \) decays using inclusive properties of the decay of the other \( B \) meson in the \( \Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B} \) event [29]. The first three quantities are calculated in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame. The neural network is trained on a sample of signal MC and off-peak data. We apply a loose criterion on the neural network output \( (NN\text{out}) \), which retains approximately 90\% of the signal while rejecting approximately 82\% of the \( q\bar{q} \) background.

In addition to \( NN\text{out} \), we distinguish signal from background events using two kinematic variables:

\[
m_{\text{ES}} = \sqrt{E_X^2 - p_B^2},
\]  

\[
\Delta E = E_B^e - \sqrt{s}/2,
\]

where \( E_X = (s/2 + p_B \cdot p_B)/E^e \), \( \sqrt{s} \) is the total c.m. energy, \( (E_B, p_B) \) and \( (E^e, p_B) \) are the four-momenta of the initial \( e^+ e^- \) system and \( B \) candidate, respectively, both measured in the lab frame, while the star indicates the c.m. frame. The signal \( m_{\text{ES}} \) distribution for CR events is approximately independent of the \( B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \) Dalitz-plot distribution and peaks near the \( B \) mass with a resolution of about 3 MeV/c\(^2\). We select signal candidates with \( 5.260 < m_{\text{ES}} < 5.286 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). The CR signal \( \Delta E \) distribution peaks near zero, but has a resolution that depends on the event-by-event Dalitz-plot position, the probability density function (PDF) of which is \( a \text{ priori} \) unknown. Prior to the selection of multiple candidates (see below), we make the requirement \( |\Delta E| < 0.30 \text{ GeV} \), in order to retain sidebands for background studies. However, to avoid possible biases [30] we do not use \( \Delta E \) in the fit described below and instead apply tighter selection criteria for events entering the fit, \(-0.15 < \Delta E < 0.05 \text{ GeV} \). These criteria have an efficiency of about 80\% for signal while retaining only about 30\% of the background, both compared to the looser requirement \( |\Delta E| < 0.30 \text{ GeV} \).

The efficiency for signal events to pass all the selection criteria is determined as a function of position in the Dalitz plot. Using an MC simulation in which events uniformly populate phase space, we obtain an average efficiency of approximately 16\%, though values as low as 8\% are found near the corners of the Dalitz plot, where one of the particles is soft.

An average of 1.3 \( B \) candidates is found per selected event. In events with multiple candidates we choose the one with the smallest value of a \( \chi^2 \) variable formed from the sum of the \( \chi^2 \) values of the two \( \pi^0 \) candidate masses, calculated from the difference between the reconstructed \( \pi^0 \) mass with respect to the nominal \( \pi^0 \) mass. This procedure has been found to select the best reconstructed candidate more than 90\% of the time and does not bias our fit variables.

We study residual background contributions from \( B\bar{B} \) events using MC simulations. We divide these events
into four categories based on their shapes in the \(m_{ES}\) and \(\Delta E\) distributions. The first category comprises two-body modes (mainly \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0\)); the second contains three-body modes [mainly \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \gamma\) and \(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\)]; the third and fourth are composed of higher multiplicity decays (many possible sources with or without intermediate charmed states) with missing particles and are distinguished by the absence or presence of a peak in the \(m_{ES}\) distribution, respectively. Based on the MC-derived efficiencies, total number of \(B \bar{B}\) events, and known branching fractions [9,28], we expect \(70 \pm 9, 39 \pm 18, 1090 \pm 40,\) and \(170 \pm 30\) events in the four categories, respectively.

### III. STUDY OF THE INCLUSIVE \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\) DECAY

To obtain the \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\) signal yield, we perform an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the candidate events using two input variables \(m_{ES}\) and \(NN_{out}\). For each component \(j\) (signal, \(q\bar{q}\) background, and the four \(B \bar{B}\) background categories), we define a PDF

\[
P_j^i = P_j(m_{ES}^i)P_j(NN_{out}^i),
\]

where the index \(i\) runs over the selected events. The signal component is further separated into CR and SCF parts

\[
P_j^i = (1 - f_{SCF})P_{CR}(m_{ES}^i)P_{CR}(NN_{out}^i)
+ f_{SCF}P_{SCF}(m_{ES}^i)P_{SCF}(NN_{out}^i),
\]

where \(f_{SCF}\) is the SCF fraction. The extended likelihood function is

\[
L = \prod_k e^{-n_k} \prod_i \left[ \sum_j n_{j(k)}P_j \right],
\]

where \(n_{j(k)}\) is the yield of the event category \(j(k)\).

For the signal, the \(m_{ES}\) PDFs for CR and SCF are described by an asymmetric Gaussian with power-law tails and a third-order Chebyshev polynomial, respectively. Both CR and SCF \(NN_{out}\) PDFs are described by nonparametric PDFs (one-dimensional histograms). We fix the shape parameters of the signal \(m_{ES}\) PDFs to the values obtained from the \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\) phase-space MC sample. The parameters are corrected to account for possible differences between data and MC simulations, using correction factors determined with a high-statistics control sample of \(B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \rho^+ \rightarrow (K^+ \pi^- \pi^+)(\pi^+ \pi^0)\) decays. For the continuum background, we use an ARGUS function [31] to parametrize the \(m_{ES}\) shape. The end point of the ARGUS function is fixed to 5.289 GeV/\(c^2\), whereas the shape parameter is allowed to float in the fit. The continuum \(NN_{out}\) shape is modeled with a 20 bin parametric step function, i.e., a histogram with nonuniform bin width and variable bin content. One-dimensional histograms are used as nonparametric PDFs to represent all fit variables for the four \(B \bar{B}\) background components. The free parameters of our fit are the yields of signal and continuum background together with the parameters of the continuum \(m_{ES}\) and \(NN_{out}\) PDFs. All yields and PDF shapes of the four \(B \bar{B}\) background categories are fixed to values based on MC simulations.

The results of the fit are highly sensitive to the value of \(f_{SCF}\), which depends strongly on the Dalitz-plot distribution of signal events and cannot be determined directly from the fit. To circumvent this problem, we adopt an iterative procedure. We perform a fit with \(f_{SCF}\) fixed to an initial value. We then construct the signal Dalitz plot from the signal probabilities for each candidate event \((\text{Weights})\), calculated with the \(\text{Plot}\) technique [32], and determine the corresponding average value of \(f_{SCF}\). We then fit again with \(f_{SCF}\) fixed to the new value and repeat until the obtained values of the total signal yield (CR + SCF) and \(f_{SCF}\) are unchanged between iterations. This method was validated using MC and was found to return values of \(f_{SCF}\) that are accurate to within 3% of the nominal SCF fraction. Convergence is typically obtained within three iterations.

We cross-check our analysis procedure using the high-statistics control sample described above. We impose selection requirements on the \(D\) and \(\rho\) candidates’ invariant masses: \(1.84 < m_{K^- \pi^+ \pi^-} < 1.88\) GeV/\(c^2\) and \(0.65 < m_{\pi^- \pi^0} < 0.85\) GeV/\(c^2\). We fit the on-peak data with a likelihood function that includes components for the control sample, all \(B \bar{B}\) backgrounds, and \(q\bar{q}\). We find a yield that is consistent with expectation based on the world-average branching fractions [28].

We apply the fit method described above to the 31 673 selected candidate \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\) events. Convergence is obtained after four iterations with a yield of \(1220 \pm 85\) signal events and a SCF fraction of 9.7%. The results of the fit are shown in Fig. 2. The statistical significance of the signal yield, given by \(\sqrt{2}\Delta \ln L\) where \(\Delta \ln L\) is the difference between the negative log likelihood obtained assuming zero signal events and that at its minimum, is 15.6 standard deviations (\(\sigma\)). Including systematic uncertainties (discussed below), the significance is above 10\(\sigma\).

To obtain the \(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0\) branching fraction using the result of the fit, we form, for each event, the ratio of the signal \(\text{Weight}\) and the efficiency determined from its Dalitz-plot position. Summing these ratios over all events in the data sample, we obtain an efficiency-corrected signal yield of \(7427 \pm 518\) events. The \(\text{Weight}\) calculation accounts for the fixed \(B \bar{B}\) backgrounds [32]. The Dalitz plot distributions obtained before and after applying the efficiency correction are shown in Fig. 3. We apply further corrections for the effect of the \(K^0_S\) veto (98%); differences between data and MC for the \(\pi^0\) reconstruction efficiency, determined from control samples of \(\tau\) decays as a function of \(\pi^0\) momentum (95.7%); and a bias in the
The CR signal and control sample are used to evaluate the uncertainties in CR. The PDF shapes due to data/MC differences (1.6%) are evaluated by considering a range of SCF shapes corresponding to different signal Dalitz-plot distributions. An uncertainty in the correction due to fit bias (1.9%) is assigned, which corresponds to half the correction combined in quadrature with its error. Uncertainties in the \( B \bar{B} \) background \( m_{ES} \) PDF shapes due to data/MC differences (1.6%) are evaluated by smearing the PDFs with a Gaussian with parameters determined from the \( B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \rho^+ \) control sample. The uncertainties in the \( B \bar{B} \) background PDFs due to finite MC statistics (0.8%) are determined by varying the contents of the bins of the histograms used to describe the PDFs within their errors. Uncertainties in the fixed \( B \bar{B} \) background yields (1.4%) are evaluated by varying these yields within their uncertainties. Contributions to the uncertainty in the selection efficiency arise from the \( \Delta E \) (4.0%) and \( N_{out} \) (3.0%) selection requirements, neutral pion reconstruction (2.8%), the \( K_S^0 \) veto correction (2.0%), kaon identification (1.0%), and tracking (0.4%). The uncertainty in the number of \( B \bar{B} \) pairs in the data sample is 0.6%. Including only systematic uncertainties that affect the fitted yield, the total is 6.5%. The total systematic uncertainty on the branching fraction is 6.5%. The total systematic uncertainty on the branching fraction is 6.5%.
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**FIG. 2** (color online). Projections of candidate events onto \( m_{ES} \) (left) and \( NN_{out} \) (right), following requirements on the other fit variable that enhance signal visibility. These requirements retain 60% of signal events for the \( m_{ES} \) plot and 87% of events for the \( NN_{out} \) plot. Points with error bars show the data, the solid (blue) lines the total fit result, the dashed (green) lines the total background contribution, and the dotted (red) lines the \( q\bar{q} \) component. The dash-dotted lines represent the signal contribution.
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**FIG. 3.** Signal Dalitz-plot distributions obtained using \( \mathcal{W} \) ights before (left) and after (right) efficiency correction. In order to define a unique position for each event, the Dalitz plot is shown as \( m_{K^+\pi^0}^{min} \) vs \( m_{K^+\pi^0}^{max} \), where \( m_{K^+\pi^0}^{min} \) is the smaller of the two \( K^+ \pi^0 \) invariant masses. Resonance bands are visible for \( K^*(892)^+ \) at \( m_{K^+\pi^0} \sim 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4 \), \( f_0(980) \) at \( m_{K^+\pi^0} \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4 \), and \( \chi_{c0} \) at \( m_{\pi^+\pi^0} \sim 12 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{c}^4 \).
fraction is 9.0%. Table II summarizes the systematic contributions.

The CP asymmetry is measured as

$$ A_{CP} = \frac{N_{B^-} - N_{B^+}}{N_{B^-} + N_{B^+}}. $$

where $N_{B^+(B^-)}$ is the number of events from $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ (CP conjugate decay) and is obtained by including in the above-described fit the value of the kaon charge. The fit returns an asymmetry of $A_{CP} = -0.06 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$. Most of the systematic uncertainties that affect the branching fraction cancel in the asymmetry. However, the following sources are considered and evaluated for the $A_{CP}$ measurement. Detector-induced asymmetries have been studied in previous similar analyses [14,15] and found to be small (0.5%). We evaluate the possibility that our selection induces an asymmetry by measuring the CP asymmetry in the $B^+ \to D^0 \rho^+$ control sample (3.0%), where none is expected. The $B\bar{B}$ background asymmetries are fixed in our fit; the uncertainty from this is evaluated (1.8%) by varying these by a weighted average of the CP asymmetries of the contributing $B\bar{B}$ decays. Finally the fit bias is estimated from MC pseudoexperiments (1.2%).

### IV. STUDY OF QUASI-TWO-BODY CONTRIBUTIONS

We use the $s$-Plot distributions obtained from the fit and projected onto the Dalitz-plot axes to search for peaks from intermediate resonances. These projections are shown for both $K^+ \pi^0$ and $\pi^0 \pi^0$ invariant masses in Fig. 4. Signal peaks from $K^*(892)^+$, $f_0(980)$, and $\chi_{c0}$ are clearly observed. We do not see any enhancement that could be attributed to the $f_0(1500)$, though the $\pi^0 \pi^0$ invariant mass distribution contains a pronounced dip around 1550 MeV/c² that could arise from interference between various resonances in this region. A broad peak around 1400 MeV/c² in the $K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ invariant mass distribution could be due to contributions from spin-0 and/or spin-2 $K^*(1430)^+$ states.

The numbers of signal events for the quasi-two-body contributions are determined by defining signal regions around the peaks of the resonances. Efficiency-corrected $s$-Weights are summed in the same way as used to measure the inclusive branching fraction. To estimate contributions from nonresonant and resonant $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ decays other than the quasi-two-body decays under consideration (which we refer to as background in this section), the same procedure is applied to sidebands on either side of each signal region in the two-particle invariant mass. The background yields are estimated as the normalized averages of the two sidebands’ yields and are subtracted from the efficiency-corrected yields in the signal regions. The signal and sideband regions are illustrated by arrows for each of the three quasi-two-body modes in Fig. 5. We use this approach rather than a full Dalitz-plot analysis since the latter would require a more detailed understanding of the properties of SCF events. Our method does, however, suffer from systematic uncertainties (evaluated below) due to other contributions to the Dalitz plot.

---

![FIG. 4. Signal sPlot distributions not corrected for efficiency for (a) $0.5 < m_{K^+\pi^0} < 2.0$ GeV/c², (b) $0.5 < m_{\pi^0\pi^0} < 2.0$ GeV/c², and (c) $3.0 < m_{\pi^0\pi^0} < 4.0$ GeV/c². $m_{K^+\pi^0}$ is the $K^+\pi^0$ combination with lower invariant mass. Excesses of events in the $f_0(980)$, $\chi_{c0}$, $K^*(892)^+$, and $K^*(1430)^+$ mass regions are clearly visible.](image-url)
and possible interference effects. This precludes its use for studying quasi-two-body decays via broad resonances. We have validated our approach using ensembles of MC simulations with varying mixtures of resonant substructure and found that in all cases we are able to correctly obtain the true values of the branching fractions of the quasi-two-body decays under study, which all have narrow intermediate states under study.

Fits to the efficiency-corrected invariant mass distributions are used to cross-check the results of the subtraction method. In these fits we describe the signal distributions with double-Gaussian functions, with parameters obtained from MC simulations, and the background shapes with polynomials. The two methods yield consistent results, both in MC simulations and in data.

After background subtraction we obtain efficiency-corrected signal yields of $1078 \pm 197$ for $B^+ \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$, $1186 \pm 241$ for $B^+ \rightarrow f_0(980)K^+$, and $245 \pm 105$ for $B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c0}K^+$. We correct each yield for the inefficiency of the corresponding signal region selection, obtained from Monte Carlo simulations. Finally the yields are corrected as follows: (i) for bias, estimated from Monte Carlo pseudoexperiments; (ii) for $\pi^0$ efficiency, using the momentum distributions of both $\pi^0$ mesons from a Monte Carlo cocktail reflecting the yields obtained in data; and (iii) in the case of the $K^*(892)^+$ yield only, for the $K_{S}^0$ veto. Finally, we divide by the number of $B\bar{B}$ pairs to obtain the product branching fractions

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow K^*(892)^+ \pi^0) \times \mathcal{B}(K^*(892)^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0) = (2.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow f_0(980)K^+) \times \mathcal{B}(f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = (2.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow \chi_{c0}K^+) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi_{c0} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = (0.51 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}, \quad (9)$$

where the first uncertainties are statistical and the second systematic. The sum of these contributions does not saturate the inclusive branching fraction, indicating significant contributions from other sources, as is also clear from Figs. 3 and 4, and expected from the results of studies of $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays [15,16].

Systematic uncertainties include all the same sources in the same relative amounts as evaluated for the inclusive decay except for fit bias, $K_{S}^0$ veto, and $\pi^0$ efficiency, which are evaluated separately for each quasi-two-body mode. We also evaluate the following additional contributions.

The uncertainty due to the method of background subtraction [3.5% for $K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$, 11.9% for $f_0(980)K^+$, and 13.5% for $\chi_{c0}K^+$] is obtained by comparing the nominal results with those obtained with alternative sideband regions. We evaluate the potential effect of interference [10.0% for $f_0(980)K^+$ only] using toy Monte Carlo events generated for a Dalitz-plot model containing $f_0(980)$ and nonresonant components with relative magnitudes obtained from the fit results, and a relative phase sampled in a range that gives distributions consistent with the data. Finally we consider possible data/MC differences affecting the signal region efficiency correction [5.6% for $K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$, 3.8% for $f_0(980)K^+$, and 0.4% for $\chi_{c0}K^+$] determined from the change in the result when the SCF fraction is varied in Monte Carlo events. The $K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$ and $\chi_{c0}K^+$ branching fraction measurements are not affected by systematics due to interference. For the former, effects of interference with $K^+ \pi^0$ $S$-wave contributions cancel when integrated over the part of the Dalitz plot inside the signal mass window, while $P$-wave contributions are not expected based on studies of related decays [15,16].

### TABLE III. Summary of systematic uncertainties for the branching fraction measurement of the quasi-two-body resonances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$K^*(892)^+ \pi^0$</th>
<th>$f_0(980)K^+$</th>
<th>$\chi_{c0}K^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal from inclusive</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background subtraction</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference</td>
<td>···</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>···</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit bias</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass cut efficiency</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi^0$ efficiency</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{S}^0$ veto</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>···</td>
<td>···</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measurements of CP same as for the inclusive systematic. The sources of systematic uncertainty are the
where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second
is due to isospin. [The branching fraction of $f_{0}(980) \to \pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ is unknown, hence we cannot correct for it.] We obtain

$$B_{K}^{+}(892) \to K^{+}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} = (8.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-6},$$

$$B_{\chi_{c0}K}^{+} = (18 \pm 8 \pm 3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-5},$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic, and the third (for $B^{+} \to \chi_{c0}K^{+}$) is from the subdecay branching fraction.

We obtain the CP asymmetries of the quasi-two-body modes with the same method used to obtain the quasi-two-body branching fractions, except we distinguish the yields of the $B^{+}$ and $B^{-}$ decays. We obtain the following asymmetries:

$$A_{CP}(B^{+} \to K^{+}(892)\pi^{0}) = -0.06 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.04,$$

$$A_{CP}(B^{+} \to f_{0}(980)K^{+}) = 0.18 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.04,$$

$$A_{CP}(B^{+} \to \chi_{c0}K^{+}) = -0.96 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.04,$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. The sources of systematic uncertainty are the same as for the inclusive CP asymmetry measurement. The measurements of CP asymmetries for $B^{+} \to f_{0}(980)K^{+}$ and $B^{+} \to \chi_{c0}K^{+}$ are consistent with the world-average values based on decays of the intermediate resonances to $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ [9,28]. The $B^{+} \to \chi_{c0}K^{+}$ result has a large and non-Gaussian uncertainty and its difference from zero is not statistically significant.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, using the full BABAR data sample of 429 fb$^{-1}$ collected at the Y(4S) resonance, we observe charmless hadronic decays of charged B mesons to the final state $K^{+}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$. The signal has a significance above 10σ after taking systematic effects into account.

We study the Dalitz-plot distribution of the signal events and do not see any excess that could be attributed to the $f_{X}(1300)$. However, due to the possible complicated interference pattern, we cannot draw any strong conclusion about this state from our analysis. We measure the product branching fractions and direct CP asymmetry parameters of the quasi-two-body modes with narrow resonance peaks in the $K^{+}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ Dalitz plot.

The results are summarized in Table IV. All measured CP asymmetries are consistent with zero. The branching fraction result for $B^{+} \to \chi_{c0}K^{+}$ is consistent with the world average, while that for $B^{+} \to K^{+}(892)\pi^{0}$ is consistent with and more precise than our previous measurement [14], which it supersedes.
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