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Calculations of the single-particle density of states (SPDOS) of electron liquids have long predicted that there exist two distinct charged excitations: the usual quasiparticle consisting of an electron or hole, and a plasmaron consisting of a hole resonantly bound to real plasmons in the Fermi sea. Using tunneling spectroscopy to measure the SPDOS of a 2D electronic system, we demonstrate the detection of a plasmaron in a 2D system in which electrons have mass. With the application of a magnetic field we discover unpredicted magnetoplasmars which resemble Landau levels with a negative index.

The “plasmaron” was originally proposed by Hedin and Lundqvist1,2 to exist in three-dimensional (3D) metals. However, aside from the unusual semimetal bismuth,3 it has not been unambiguously observed in 3D, and some theories suggest that the 3D plasmaron should not exist.4 In contrast, more recent theories predict a robust plasmaron in two-dimensional electronic systems (2DESs).5–9 Indeed, the plasmaron has recently been observed in graphene, which contains a 2DES with massless electrons.10 We observe several key differences between our observation of the plasmaron in a system with massive electrons and the experimental and theoretical results for graphene. Whereas in graphene the plasmaron is predicted to exist both above and below the Fermi energy8 and exhibits a simple scaling law with electron density, we find that in a system of massive electrons the plasmaron exhibits a strong asymmetry about the Fermi energy and exhibits a nonlinear and unpredicted dependence on electron density.

We perform our tunneling measurements using time-domain capacitance spectroscopy (TDCS).11–13 This technique allows the measurement of the SPDOS with precisely calibrated energy and density axes and minimal effects of heating. In TDCS, the 2DES to be studied is grown epitaxially inside of a capacitor. One plate of the capacitor, the tunnel electrode, is close enough to allow charge to tunnel to and from the 2D system. The other plate is distant enough to be electrically isolated and is used to detect the tunnel current by means of its image charge. DC voltages can be used to tune the density of the 2D system continuously, while tunnel voltages and currents can be induced by applying voltage pulses across the capacitor. In the present measurements the 2DES is a 230 Å GaAs quantum well. The plates of the capacitor are 3D doped regions of GaAs with an electron density of $1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. The tunnel electrode is separated from the 2DES by a 130 Å tunnel barrier of $x = 0.324$ Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As followed by 300 Å of undoped GaAs which acts as a spacer layer to reduce scattering.14 This spacer is thin enough it is populated by electrons due to the finite Thomas-Fermi screening length. The electrically isolated electrode is separated from the 2DES by 600 Å of $x = 0.324$ Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As.

Figure 1(a) shows a typical TDCS spectrum acquired at 100 mK with zero magnetic field. The horizontal axis is the density in the 2DES, which is controlled by the DC bias across the device. The vertical axis is energy, with $E = 0$ corresponding to the Fermi energy. The band edge of the 2DES, corresponding to injecting a wave-vector $k = 0$ hole, is visible as an abrupt edge that begins near $E = 0$ at zero density and moves downward in energy as the 2D system is populated [solid arrows in Fig. 1(b)]. Tunneling matrix element effects reduce the tunnel current at high energies and densities, causing the 2D band to appear as a peak rather than a step.12,14 Because of the additional energy used to create the plasmon, creating a plasmaron requires more energy than creating an ordinary hole. More energetic holes occur at more negative energies in our spectra. Thus, the plasmaron appears as a second edge below the 2D band edge in the spectrum [dashed arrows in Fig. 1(b)]. Both edges can be emphasized by differentiating the data with respect to tunnel voltage to provide $d^2I/dV^2$ and smoothing it by convolving with a $\sigma = 190$ eV Gaussian to remove resulting high-frequency noise [Fig. 1(d)].

Inelastic tunneling could potentially result in a similar increase in tunneling current when a new tunneling process becomes available at the energy required for creating a plasmon. However, such inelastic tunneling features are typically symmetric about the Fermi energy as both electrons and holes can lose energy through emission of a plasmon. The asymmetry of the observed feature rules out such an origin.

We identify the edges of the 2D and plasmaron bands by the location of the peak in $d^2I/dV^2$ [Fig. 1(e)]. At densities above $5 \times 10^{10}$, the 2D band edge lies at $E_{2D} = (-0.379 \pm 0.003) \text{meV} \times N_{2D}/(10^{10}\text{cm}^{-2}) + (0.19 \pm 0.01) \text{meV}$, where $N_{2D}$ is the electron density of the 2DES and the energy scale is calibrated using the cyclotron energy of the empty well assuming $m^* = 0.067$.12 The offset is due to our choice of the peak in the derivative of the TDOS as our band edge. For a noninteracting system, this bandwidth would be expected to be $0.362 \text{meV} \times N_{2D}/(10^{10}\text{cm}^{-2})$. Note this bandwidth is the energy difference between suddenly creating a hole at the bottom of the band and suddenly creating one at the Fermi energy, and it is not in general equal to the chemical potential. While the details giving rise to this larger bandwidth are complex, we note that both band-nonparabolicity15 and interaction effects16 are expected to increase this bandwidth slightly.
We find a good empirical fit for the location of the plasmon edge to be $E_{pl} = E_{2D} - (1.44 \pm 0.02 \text{ meV}) \times \sqrt{N_{2D}/(10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2})} - 0.19 \pm 0.01 \text{ meV}$. While this density dependence has not been predicted in the literature, we note the overall $\sqrt{N_{2D}}$ is suggestive of the density dependence of the plasmon component of the plasmaron, with $\hbar \omega_p(k) = \sqrt{\hbar^2 k^2 / (2m^*)}$.

While detailed calculations of the plasmaron energy and lifetime exist elsewhere, a simple “cartoon” model aids in developing intuition and in understanding how the heterostructure can modify the plasmaron structure. The plasmaron exists because for a small range of energies and wave vectors, it is possible to create a composite excitation with wave vector $k - q$ consisting of resonantly bound holes of wave vector $k - q$ and plasmons of wave vector $q$. To create a plasmaron with energy $E_{pl}(k)$, this resonance condition is given by

$$E_{pl}(k) = \frac{\hbar^2 [k^2 - (k - q)^2]}{2m^*} + \hbar \omega_p(q) + E_c(q,k).$$

Here $\hbar \omega_p(q)$ is the energy to create a plasmon of wave vector $q$ [proportional to $\sqrt{N_{2D} q}$ at small $q$ (Ref. [17]) and $E_c$ is a coupling energy. If we momentarily neglect the coupling energy we can graphically solve for resonance by rearranging this as $E_{pl}(k) - \hbar \omega_p(q) = \frac{\hbar^2 [k^2 - (k - q)^2]}{2m^*}$ (Fig. 2(a); note the energy axis is inverted to match the sign convention in our experimental data). On doing so, it becomes clear that for some values of $E_{pl}(k)$, a resonance occurs not for a single value of $q$ but instead across a range of $q$ vectors where the hole (thick blue line) and plasmon (thin green lines) dispersions become tangent (red circles); the hole-plasmon coupling is strongest when the hole and plasmon group velocities match. This results in a strong resonance that allows the coherent screening of the injected quasiparticle by a cloud of real plasmons, creating a long-lived excitation at $E_{pl} - \hbar \omega_p$ of about 5 meV is included (solid lines). If this argument is repeated for electrons as in (c), the dispersions cross at a sharp angle rather than tangentially, and there is no phase-space enhancement. In (c), more energetic electrons are plotted upward to match the sign convention in our spectrum.
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) TDCS spectra acquired at zero magnetic field (a) shows the 2D band edge as a sharp peak due to tunneling matrix element effects. The plasmaron is visible as a faint second step at more negative energies. A small cartoon shows the measured energy of the plasmaron is negative and roughly equal to the sum of the energy of the required hole, the required plasmon, and a coupling energy. The two edges are indicated in the line cuts in (b) (offset vertically for clarity), with the band edge indicated with a solid arrow, and the plasmon edge indicated with a dotted arrow. In (d), the band edges can be emphasized by taking an extra derivative of the data along the energy axis ($\partial^2 I/dV^2$). This allows the energies of the 2D band edge (dashed, red line) and plasmaron band edge (solid, blue line) to be extracted, as shown in (c). Note the extreme asymmetry of the plasmaron band about the Fermi energy, ruling out inelastic scattering as a possible origin.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) A simple cartoon model for the plasmaron can be developed by considering the resonance condition for the dispersion curves. In (a), the tangency between the hole (thick, blue line) and plasmon (thin, green line) dispersion results in a large phase-space enhancement for hole-plasmon coupling (red circles), forming the the long-lived plasmaron (dark, black line). The $y$ axis has been drawn with the most energetic holes downward to match the sign convention in our spectrum. A more accurate RPA calculation of the spectral function $A(k, \omega)$, roughly the momentum resolved SPDOS, is shown as a color-scale plot in (b). Dark (blue) peaks corresponding to the normal electron or hole and the plasmaron are visible. The general behavior is consistent with the cartoon model (dashed, green lines) if a fixed coupling energy $E_c$ of about 5 meV is included (solid lines). If this argument is repeated for electrons as in (c), the dispersions cross at a sharp angle rather than tangentially, and there is no phase-space enhancement. In (c), more energetic electrons are plotted upward to match the sign convention in our spectrum.
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destroys the plasmaron at large $k$. For typical densities in our device, this is predicted to occur at $k \sim 0.15 k_f$. The plasmaron dispersion curve and its range are pictured in black as the small upside-down $U$ near the bottom of Fig. 2(a).

Because the plasmon dispersion curve becomes steeper as $\sqrt{N_{2D}}$, the plasmaron becomes more widely separated from the 2D band as the density is increased. At the same time, the relevant $q$ vector increases, weakening and ultimately destroying the plasmaron as the 2DES density is increased.

The plasmaron, then, is a long-lived excitation that only exists at small wave vectors and small electron densities. It is made up of resonantly coupled holes and plasmons of equal but opposite wave vectors centered about the $k$ vector of the plasmaron. The wave vector of the plasmon and hole involved is large: $\sim 0.6 k_f$ for a GaAs 2DES at $N_{2D} = 1 \times 10^{11}$. A comparison of the general form of the plasmaron dispersion from this argument to the random-phase approximation (RPA) spectral function $A(k,\omega)$ (essentially the momentum resolved SPDOS) calculated as per Ref. 6 is included in Fig. 2(b).

In the case of injecting electrons into the quantum well, larger momenta $k - q$ give higher energy excitations rather than lower. When repeating the above discussion the parabolic electron dispersion is flipped with respect to the plasmon dispersion. The plasmon and electron curve then cross at a sharp angle rather than touching tangentially [Fig. 2(c)]. Accordingly, there is no broad region of resonance, and no long-lived plasmaron above the Fermi energy. This in marked contrast to the case in graphene, where no electron-hole asymmetry exists.8,9

At any given wave vector the plasmaron is sharply peaked at a particular energy; however, when this spectrum is averaged across all wave vectors, the added structure due to the plasmaron is expected to appear as a step, possibly with a peak at the low-energy edge depending on the lifetime of the plasmaron. For an isolated quantum well of infinitesimal thickness, this edge is predicted to lie from two to six times the 2D Fermi energy below the 2D band edge at a density of $1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, depending on what approximation scheme is used in calculating the spectral function. The plasmaron step appears much closer to the Fermi energy in our data, separated from the band edge by only roughly $1.3 \times E_F$ at $1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. The SPDOS calculated using RPA (as in Ref. 6) for an isolated infinitely thin well is superimposed on measured spectra in Fig. 3 at a variety of densities, showing this discrepancy. However, because the energy of the plasmaron is extremely sensitive to both the plasmon dispersion and the Coulomb interaction, a number of features in our structure not present in the simplest calculations tend to considerably reduce the distance between the 2D band edge and the plasmaron. The 230 Å wide square quantum well reduces the effective electron-electron interaction at short distances, and can be accounted for by the addition of a “form factor” to the Coulomb potential. Doing so moves the plasmaron edge somewhat closer to the band edge (Fig. 3).

In addition, the nearby metallic tunnel electrode screens the Coulomb interaction at large distances and also tends to reduce the plasmon energy at large wave vector;18,19 this can also be incorporated into the form factor. This further reduces the discrepancy as well as smoothing the plasmaron peak into more of a step. Finally, coupling to optical phonons modifies the dielectric function somewhat at energies and wave vectors relevant to the plasmaron, further reducing the plasmaron energy and completing the transformation of the plasmaron contribution to the SPDOS to a step rather than peak.

The calculated energy spectrum is still substantially different from the measured spectrum. RPA underestimates the screening of the Coulomb interaction by the correlation hole around injected quasiparticles; more accurate calculations would be expected to further reduce the energy of the plasmaron. The exquisite sensitivity of the plasmaron feature to the electron-electron interactions make it an excellent benchmark for testing approximate methods in many-body theories.

On applying a quantizing magnetic field, we find the plasmaron step breaks up into a series of faint “ghost” Landau levels below the 2D band edge [Fig. 4(a)]. We note that the 2D density is measured independently using magnetocapacitance in this measurement, confirming that there is no offset on the density axis of this spectrum and thus confirming our identification of the $N = 0$ Landau level. On applying a quantizing magnetic field, the energy of creating a hole becomes nondispersive, discretized by Landau quantization into flat bands separated by $\hbar \omega_c$. At the same time, the dispersion curve of magnetoplasmons is gapped by the cyclotron energy, is rather flat, and has one or more magnetoroton extrema at long wavelengths.20,21 Thus, repeating the cartoon arguments applied to the zero-field plasmon, it seems reasonable for the band of plasmarons responsible for the plateau in our data to sharpen into one or more ghost Landau levels lying below $N = 0$, which we label “magnetoplasmarsons” by analogy to magnetoplasmons. This is indeed observed [Fig. 4(b)]; on...
FIG. 4. (Color online) TDCS spectra showing the development of
a magnetoplasmaron. Sweeping density at a fixed field of 1 Tesla (a),
the magnetoplasmaron appears as a series of “ghost” Landau levels
$h\omega_c$ below the $N = 0$ Landau level. On holding the density fixed
and sweeping the magnetic field (b), the separation between the magne-
toplasmaron and the $N = 0$ Landau level is seen to vary linearly with
$B$ as $h\omega_c$, and can be seen to merge into the $B = 0$ plasmaron band.
The contrast has been enhanced below the black line just below the
2D band edge to show the plasmaron feature more clearly.

varying the magnetic field while holding the bias voltage
fixed (roughly fixing the density), a number of ghost Landau
levels can be observed, with separations that scale with the
cyclotron energy. In particular, at $\nu = 4$ at 1 Tesla, two
magnetoplasmaron peaks are visible below the $N = 0$ Landau
level with an interpeak splitting of $1.8 \pm 0.1$ meV, similar to
the cyclotron energy $h\omega_c$ of 1.6 meV at this field. The splitting
between the $N = 0$ Landau level and the first plasmaron peak
is complicated by the exchange splitting of the bottom Landau
level; however, taking the energy of the $N = 0$ level to be
the mean energy of the spin-up and spin-down peaks, the
measured splitting is $2.3 \pm 0.1$ meV, significantly larger than the
cyclotron energy.

Once the magnetic field is applied, we chiefly observe mag-
etoplasmaron features within the band of energies occupied
by the plasmaron plateau at zero field. The magnetoplasmaron
peaks sharpen and move away from the $N = 0$ Landau level
as the cyclotron energy grows, but they largely vanish as they
fall below the energy of the plasmaron edge at zero magnetic
field. The exact mechanism of this cutoff at high magnetic
fields is currently unknown. However, the polarizability of the
2DES at high magnetic field has a similar overall envelope
to that at zero magnetic field. This may be responsible for
the similar cutoff energies and densities. These sharp features,
corresponding to long-lived quasiparticles, appear at high ener-
gies where lifetimes are usually short due to electron-electron
interactions.
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