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Using theoretical arguments, a simple scaling law for the size of the intrinsic rotation observed in tokamaks in the absence of a momentum injection is found: The velocity generated in the core of a tokamak must be proportional to the ion temperature difference in the core divided by the plasma current, independent of the size of the device. The constant of proportionality is of the order of 10 km s−1 MA−1 keV−1. When the intrinsic rotation profile is hollow, i.e., it is countercurrent in the core of the tokamak and concurrent in the edge, the scaling law presented in this Letter fits the data remarkably well for several tokamaks of vastly different size and heated by different mechanisms.
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Introduction.—Because of their axisymmetry, tokamak plasmas can be made to rotate at high speeds if momentum is injected into them. If the rotation is sufficiently large, large scale magnetohydrodynamic instabilities are stabilized [1] and the turbulent transport of energy can be much reduced [2–4]. Unfortunately, ITER [5], the largest magnetic confinement experiment currently being built, is not expected to have an effective momentum deposition due to its size and high density. As a result, there has been mounting interest in the intrinsic, or spontaneous, rotation observed in tokamaks without momentum injection [6]. If the intrinsic rotation could be made large, it could be used to prevent instabilities and reduce turbulence as is done with momentum injection. Understanding the origin of this rotation is also an interesting physics question. This has driven several experimental [6–10], numerical [11–13], and theoretical [14–17] studies. So far, numerical results for intrinsic rotation have only been obtained using global gyrokinetic simulations that have been recently proven to be flawed for radial momentum transport in the core of tokamaks [18].

In this Letter, we use very simple theoretical arguments to show that the velocity difference within the core of a tokamak must scale proportionally to the ion temperature divided by the plasma current. The constant of proportionality is independent of the machine size and is of order \(c^2/e = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MA} \cdot \text{keV}^{-1}\), where \(c\) is the speed of light and \(e\) is the proton charge. We show that pulses with hollow intrinsic rotation (countercurrent rotation at the magnetic axis and concurrent at the edge) from machines whose sizes range from tens of centimeters to several meters, that have very different plasma currents (from 0.1 to 2.5 MA), and that are heated by different mechanisms (JET [10], DIII-D [9], TCABR [19], and TCV [7]) follow the theoretical scaling.

Theoretical arguments.—In a tokamak plasma, turbulence and collisions transport momentum across magnetic surfaces. Momentum can be injected with neutral beams and radio frequency (rf) waves [20], but in many occasions there is no external source of momentum. When the latter is the case, the toroidal angular momentum flux \(\Pi\) through every flux surface must be zero, even though significant rotation can often be observed experimentally. Only the angular momentum in the toroidal direction is relevant. In the poloidal direction, the flow is strongly damped by collisional processes, which pass the momentum through the magnets to the structure of the tokamak. Thus, to calculate intrinsic rotation profiles, it is necessary to calculate the dependence of \(\Pi\) on the toroidal rotation frequency \(\Omega_\phi\) and then solve the equation \(\Pi(\Omega_\phi) = 0\) for \(\Omega_\phi\).

Both turbulence and collisions occur on time scales that are longer than the inverse of the gyrofrequency, which means that the particle trajectories can be understood as a fast gyromotion around guiding centers, which move fast along magnetic field lines and drift slowly across them. This is the physical idea underlying gyrokinetics, which is the most commonly used approximation in transport simulations [21–25].

Even in the absence of turbulence and collisions, particles move out of the surface of constant magnetic flux where they started due to the \(\nabla B\) and curvature drifts,
but they remain within a given distance of it. This distance is of the order of the poloidal gyroradius $\rho_\theta = m c v_{th}/e B_\theta$, where $e$ and $m$ are the charge and mass of the particle, $v_{th}$ is the thermal speed, and $B_\theta$ is the poloidal component of the magnetic field. Note that $\rho_\theta = (B/B_\theta) \rho$, where $\rho$ is the particle gyroradius and $B$ is the total magnetic field. In most tokamaks, $B/B_\theta$ is of order 10. Tokamaks are constructed so that $\rho_\theta \ll L_T$, where $L_T$ is the characteristic length of the variation of the temperature $T$, which we use as our length of reference.

Collisions cause transport, known as neoclassical transport [26], because each collision makes the particle move from one drift orbit to another separated by $\Delta \phi = \Delta \phi_\parallel + \Delta \phi_\perp$. Note that $\rho_\parallel$, because each collision makes the particle move as our length of reference.

where $\rho_\parallel$ is the particle gyroradius and $B_\parallel$ is the parallel component.

It is observed in experiments that the critical balance between the parallel and the perpendicular dynamics [27]. It is observed in experiments that the critical balance between the parallel and the perpendicular components of the turbulence scales approximately linearly with $L_T/B_\theta$ [28], as predicted by critical balance [27].

In general, tokamaks are geometrically up-down symmetric to a great degree in the core. In such tokamaks, to lowest order in $\rho_\theta/L_T$, the transport of momentum can only happen when $\rho_\theta \ll L_T$, and we can use the simple model of neoclassical transport.

In most tokamaks, $B/B_\theta$ is of order 10. Tokamaks are constructed so that $\rho_\theta \ll L_T$, where $L_T$ is the characteristic length of the variation of the temperature $T$, which we use as our length of reference.

The drift orbit transport is caused by electromagnetic fluctuations, of which the most virulent are believed to be driven by the ion temperature gradient (ITG). For ITG turbulence well above marginality, the characteristic correlation length is

$$B_\theta (a/L_T) \rho \sim \rho_\theta,$$

where $a$ is the minor radius of the tokamak ($L_T \sim a$). This scaling is not based on the drift orbits as in the case of collisional transport, but on the critical balance between the parallel and the perpendicular dynamics [27]. It is observed in experiments that the turbulent transport scales approximately linearly with $B/B_\theta$ [28], as predicted by critical balance [27].

In general, tokamaks are geometrically up-down symmetric to a great degree in the core. In such tokamaks, to lowest order in $\rho_\theta/L_T$, the transport of momentum can only happen when $\rho_\theta \ll L_T$. Figure 1 shows that whereas the plasma rotate counterclockwise poloidally, and toward the axis of symmetry is the dashed line, and points counterclockwise, whereas the toroidal magnetic field $B_\phi$ points toward the reader. A particle 1 (red orbit) travels counterclockwise, and since to lowest order it follows the magnetic field, its toroidal velocity $v_\phi$ is pointing towards the reader. Particle 2 (blue orbit) travels in the opposite direction both poloidally and toroidally. Orbits separate from the flux surface a small distance of order $\rho_\theta$. Particle 1 moves toward the center of the tokamak because its poloidal velocity is counterclockwise. Particle 2 drifts outwards. Because of the temperature gradient, the center of the tokamak is hotter, and particles like particle 1 will have more energy, of the order of $(\rho_\theta/L_T) mv_{th}^2$, breaking the symmetry and, in this simplified picture, making the plasma rotate counterclockwise poloidally, and toward the reader toroidally. Figure 1 shows that whereas the direction of the magnetic field is unimportant, the vector $B \times \nabla T$ does give a preferred direction at higher order in $\rho_\theta/L_T$ parallel to or against which the plasma will tend to rotate. The mechanism described here does not determine the sense of the toroidal rotation, but it does demonstrate that background gradients break the up-down symmetry and that the effects of this symmetry breaking are of order $\rho_\theta/L_T$. Calculating all these effects is a rather unsatisfactory because it cannot explain the variety of observed profiles [10]. In particular, $\Omega_\phi$ cannot change sign, contradicting experimental observations (as we will show in the next section). Unfortunately, to lowest order, Eq. (1) is correct and no other mechanism for intrinsic rotation can be obtained.

If the expansion in $\rho_\theta/L_T \ll 1$ is continued to next order, the rotation and its shear are not the only physical factors that provide a preferred direction and can give rise to momentum transport: The pressure and temperature gradients also break the up-down symmetry. Consider the guiding centers of two particles (1 and 2) that at point $A$ at the outboard midplane of a tokamak have velocities in opposite directions, as sketched in Fig. 1. The dashed line represents the cut of a surface of constant magnetic flux through a poloidal plane (the axis of symmetry is the dash-dotted line). The poloidal magnetic field $B_\theta$ is parallel to the dashed line and points counterclockwise, whereas the toroidal magnetic field $B_\phi$ points toward the reader. At point $A$, particle 1 (red orbit) travels counterclockwise, and since to lowest order it follows the magnetic field, its toroidal velocity $v_\phi$ is pointing towards the reader. Particle 2 (blue orbit) travels in the opposite direction both poloidally and toroidally. Orbits separate from the flux surface a small distance of order $\rho_\theta$. Particle 1 moves toward the center of the tokamak because its poloidal velocity is counterclockwise. Particle 2 drifts outwards. Because of the temperature gradient, the center of the tokamak is hotter, and particles like particle 1 will have more energy, of the order of $(\rho_\theta/L_T) mv_{th}^2$, breaking the symmetry and, in this simplified picture, making the plasma rotate counterclockwise poloidally, and toward the reader toroidally. Figure 1 shows that whereas the direction of the magnetic field is unimportant, the vector $B \times \nabla T$ does give a preferred direction at higher order in $\rho_\theta/L_T$ parallel to or against which the plasma will tend to rotate. The mechanism described here does not determine the sense of the toroidal rotation, but it does demonstrate that background gradients break the up-down symmetry and that the effects of this symmetry breaking are of order $\rho_\theta/L_T$. Calculating all these effects is a rather unsatisfactory because it cannot explain the variety of observed profiles [10]. In particular, $\Omega_\phi$ cannot change sign, contradicting experimental observations (as we will show in the next section). Unfortunately, to lowest order, Eq. (1) is correct and no other mechanism for intrinsic rotation can be obtained.
contributions to turbulent transport have also been pro-
doing DIII-D, TCABR, and TCV. To characterize the
sophisticated analytical task, involving many factors
subtler than the simple argument given above [36].
The next-order contributions to momentum transport in
$\rho_\theta/L_T \ll 1$ were first calculated in neoclassical theory
[37,38], where they are proportional to radial derivatives
of the ion temperature. Models to calculate the next-order
contributions to turbulent transport have also been pro-
posed [16,17]. Near marginality, the turbulence amplitude
is small, and the neoclassical corrections to the distribution
function of order $\rho_\theta/L_T$ due to finite drift orbit size are the
dominate mechanism that breaks the up-down symmetry
of the turbulence. Well above marginality, the character-
istic eddy size is $\rho_\theta$ [27], allowing the turbulence to
sample regions in which the temperature gradient differs
by $\rho_\theta/L_T$, and breaking the symmetry this way. In general,
we expect the new next-order terms to depend strongly on
density and temperature gradients because these drive the
turbulence. Schematically, as shown in [17], we may write

$$\Pi \sim -v_{\rho} R^2 \left[ \frac{\partial \Omega_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\Omega_\phi}{\ell_{\text{pinch}}} + O\left( \frac{\rho_\theta v_{th}}{L_T R L_T} \right) \right]$$

$$- v_{\rho} R^2 \left[ \frac{\partial \Omega_\phi}{\partial r} + O\left( \frac{\rho_\theta v_{th}}{L_T R L_T} \right) \right].$$

(2)

From (2), setting $\Pi = 0$ and assuming that the scale length of $\Omega_\phi$ is of order $L_T$, we obtain $R \Omega_\phi \sim (\rho_\theta/L_T) v_{th} \sim (c/eB_\phi)(T/L_T)$, where $T = m v_{th}^2/2$ is the temperature.

The poloidal magnetic field is given by the toroidal plasma
current $I_p$, $B_\phi \sim I_p/c L_B$, where $L_B$ is the characteristic
length of variation of $B_\phi$. Therefore, $R \Omega_\phi \sim (L_B/L_T) \times (c^2/e)(T/I_p)$. In the core, $L_B$ and $L_T$ are both of the order of the minor radius $a$, so the toroidal velocity is

$$V_\phi = R \Omega_\phi \sim \frac{c^2}{e} \frac{T}{I_p}.$$  

(3)

This equation gives the scaling of intrinsic rotation in the
core with the temperature and plasma current. It is inde-
dependent of machine size. The dimensional constant
of proportionality is $c^2/e = 10 \text{ km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MA} \cdot \text{keV}^{-1}$.

Experimental measurements.—We now compare experi-
mental data from different machines that show similarities in
their intrinsic rotation profiles. In Fig. 2 two pulses from
JET represent two distinct types of intrinsic rotation pro-
files: the ones in which the toroidal velocity increases from
the magnetic axis toward the edge of the tokamak (red
profile), which we call hollow profiles, and the ones in
which it decreases (blue profile), which we call peaked
profiles (the toroidal velocity is deemed positive if it is
cocurrent). The two pulses in Fig. 2 have very different
input power and plasma current, and they are only meant
to be examples of the two types of velocity profiles. The
peaked profiles need not have higher temperature gradients
than the hollow profiles. The velocity at the edge is mostly
cocurrent, and this seems to be common to all tokamaks
with low magnetic ripple in the absence of momentum
injection. In JET, the hollow profiles correspond to
Ohmic shots and some of the ion cyclotron resonance
heating (ICRH) pulses in both low-confinement mode
($L$ mode) [10] and high-confinement mode ($H$ mode)
[39]. The cases with peaked profiles are all ICRH
$L$-mode and $H$-mode shots.

To check (3), we compare the pulses with the hollow
core velocity profile for four different tokamaks: JET [10],
DIII-D [9], TCABR [19], and TCV [7]. To characterize the
generated intrinsically in the core, we use the difference
in toroidal velocity $\Delta V_\phi$ between the minimum
of toroidal velocity closest to the magnetic axis on the
outboard side and the first maximum encountered when
moving from the magnetic axis toward the edge on the
outboard side. This definition of $\Delta V_\phi$ is illustrated in
Fig. 2(a). The parameter $\Delta V_\phi$ attempts to exclude any
intrinsic velocity generated at the edge—most likely by
means not covered in our theoretical discussion above. To
give a measure of the sources generating intrinsic rotation
in (2), we use the difference in ion temperature $\Delta T_i$
between the magnetic axis and the temperature at the top of
the pedestal in $H$ modes, or the temperature measurement
that is the closest to the separatrix in $L$ modes. The differ-
ence $\Delta T_i$, illustrated in Fig. 2(b), excludes the ion
temperature jump in the pedestal in the case of $H$ modes.

Figure 3 shows $I_p \Delta V_\phi$ vs $\Delta T_i$ for various tokamaks [40].
According to (3), we expect

$$I_p \Delta V_\phi = \alpha \frac{c^2}{e} \Delta T_i.$$  

(4)

The dimensionless prefactor $\alpha$ could not be determined in
our qualitative theoretical discussion, but we can find its
value from the present experimental analysis. The data are
consistent with an approximate linear dependence with a
slope of $(18 \pm 4) \text{ km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{MA} \cdot \text{keV}^{-1}$ for all machines,
giving $\alpha \approx 1.8 \pm 0.4$. The slope was determined by
least-square fitting and the error is the 99% confidence interval.
In Fig. 3 there are Ohmic, ICRH, and electron cyclotron

FIG. 2 (color online). Intrinsic rotation profiles (a) and ion
temperature profiles (b) in JET plasmas with ICRH, pulses
66 395 (red) and 74 692 (blue). The rotation in the cocurrent
direction is positive rotation. The position of the magnetic axis is
around $R = 3 \text{ m}$; the separatrix is around $R = 3.8 \text{ m}$.
resonance heating (ECRH) shots, $L$ and $H$ modes, plasma currents spanning from 0.1 to 2.5 MA, and machines of sizes ranging from tens of centimeters (TCABR) to meters (JET). The fact that both the scaling and the prefactor seem to be valid for this variety of situations suggests that the theoretical ideas proposed above are robust.

When the same analysis was attempted for the peaked profiles in JET, the trend was not so clear, but we cannot conclude that the scaling is absent either. The study of peaked profiles will be the object of a future publication. Here we review several possible explanations for the lack of a clear scaling. In [8,12] a change from trapped electron mode (TEM) driven turbulence to ITG turbulence was proposed as the cause for the transition between peaked and hollow profiles. If this is the case, $\Delta T_i$ is not a good parameter to work with because TEM turbulence depends strongly on the electron density gradient, for which $\Delta T_i$ is not a good proxy, and on the electron temperature profile that for low collisionality may differ from $T_e$. It is also possible that the peaked-profile cases are dominated by the inward pinch of momentum generated at the edge [10], making the rotation in the core correlated to the parameters at the edge and not to the parameters of the core. With our preliminary analysis of peaked profiles in JET, we cannot decide if the transition from hollow to peaked profiles is due to the reasons given above, or other reasons not considered here. Experiments in DIII-D show that shaping affects intrinsic rotation, with high triangularity shots tending to have peaked profiles. Shaping may affect ITG and TEM turbulence differently, making one or the other type of turbulence dominant for high triangularity and hence deciding the direction of rotation in this way, or it may have an effect on the direction in which ITG or TEM turbulence pushes the plasma. Further study is needed. Even though the trend with $\Delta T_i$ and $I_p$ was not so clear, the velocity difference $\Delta V_{\phi}$ was still of the same order as (3).

Discussion. Using simple theoretical arguments, we have shown that the intrinsic rotation generated in the core must scale according to (4). Hollow intrinsic rotation profiles from very different tokamaks follow this scaling. The scatter in Fig. 3 is to be expected since (4) is derived from an order of magnitude estimate and prefactors of order unity may vary from shot to shot.

There are ways of generating intrinsic rotation that have not been considered in this Letter. For example, in the core, rf heating can transport momentum [41,42] due to the large orbits of energetic ions. In the edge, direct particle losses can generate rotation [43]. It seems that these effects are not important in the cases presented in Fig. 3 because these include shots with and without energetic ions, and with and without a pedestal. We do not know how generic this is. We have introduced a dimensionless parameter $\alpha = e I_p \Delta V_{\phi}/c^2 \Delta T_i$, which was of order unity for a variety of regimes and machines considered here. It would be very instructive to quantify experimentally measured rotation in other cases in terms of this parameter. In cases that $\alpha$ is significantly larger than unity, the rotation must have an external origin, such as energetic ions, edge effects, or momentum injection.

The experimental results presented above cannot determine if the transport of momentum is dominated by collisions or turbulence because both have the same scaling (3). Since turbulent viscosity is of the same order as the thermal diffusivity [35,44–47], and turbulent transport usually dominates, we expect the $\rho_\theta/L_T$ corrections to the turbulent transport to play the dominant role in driving intrinsic rotation.

The authors acknowledge many helpful discussions with M. Barnes and T. Johnson. This work was supported in part by EPSRC, STFC, Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) of Portugal, the Leverhulme Trust Network for Magnetized Plasma Turbulence, the US DOE under DE-FC02-04ER54698, the European Communities through Association Euratom/IST, and carried out within the frameworks of the Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear-Laboratorio Associado and the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was done under the JET-EFDA workprogramme [48].

*fpurar@mit.edu

To give an idea of the complexity of the theoretical problem, we point out that the poloidal velocity will not be counterclockwise at low collisionality, as suggested by Fig. 1, but clockwise due to the collisional friction between particles of the type sketched in Fig. 1 and a population of trapped particles [26]. Determining the toroidal rotation requires even more work than the poloidal velocity, and the finite-orbit-width effects come in through other mechanisms. For example, the poloidal velocity breaks the up-down symmetry and gives a preferred direction to the turbulent and collisional transport of toroidal angular momentum.