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Structural Characterization of GNNQQNY Amyloid Fibrils by Magic Angle Spinning NMR.†
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Abstract

Several human diseases are associated with the formation of amyloid aggregates, but experimental characterization of these amyloid fibrils and their oligomeric precursors has remained challenging. Experimental and computational analysis of simpler model systems has therefore been necessary, for instance on the peptide fragment GNNQQNY7-13 of yeast prion protein Sup35p. Expanding on a previous publication, we report here a detailed structural characterization of GNNQQNY fibrils using magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR. Based on additional chemical shift assignments we confirm the coexistence of three distinct peptide conformations within the fibrillar samples, as reflected in substantial chemical shift differences. Backbone torsion angle measurements indicate that the basic structure of these co-existing conformers is an extended β-sheet. We structurally characterize a previously identified localized distortion of the β-strand backbone specific to one of the conformers. Intermolecular contacts are consistent with each of the conformers being present in its own parallel and in-register sheet. Overall the MAS NMR data indicate a substantial
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Abbreviations

CSI     chemical shift indexing
DQ      double-quantum
DRAWS   dipolar recoupling with a windowless sequence
IP      in-register parallel
MAS     magic angle spinning
R2      rotational resonance
R2W     rotational resonance width
REDOR   rotational echo double resonance
SQ      single-quantum
TEDOR   transferred echo double resonance
TPPM    two-pulse phase-modulation
difference between the structure of the fibrillar and crystalline forms of these peptides, with a clear increased complexity in the GNNQNY fibril structure. These experimental data can provide guidance for future work, both experimental and theoretical, and provide insights into the distinction between fibril growth and crystal formation.

There are more than 20 human diseases, include Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s and Huntington’s diseases(1), that are associated with the formation of amyloid fibrils, through the misfolding and aggregation of different amyloidogenic proteins. To further our understanding of these diseases, which affect increasingly large fractions of the population, it is essential to understand the misfolding process, in terms of the mechanism of fibril formation as well as the structural features of the resulting aggregates. Unfortunately, it has proven challenging to obtain structural information on the highly stable but insoluble and non-crystalline amyloid or amyloid-like fibrils, because they do not diffract to high resolution and are insoluble. Thus, the two major techniques used in structural biology, X-ray diffraction and solution NMR, are not applicable to these systems. The steps that constitute the misfolding process itself are even more difficult to examine experimentally. This includes the structure determination of oligomers that are thought to form precursors to the fibrillar form, and are increasingly suspected to be toxic species in amyloid-related disorders. Obtaining structural information on these systems often relies on a combination of indirect methods(2).

Furthermore, the paucity of experimental data has stimulated a significant interest in exploring theoretical or computational studies of fibril formation and the intermediates formed along the pathway to mature fibrils(3).

Many computational studies aim to understand amyloid formation by focusing on relatively simple model systems that should represent the essential features of amyloid fibril structure. Recently, experimental atomic level data on an amyloid core structure were obtained via X-ray crystallography on a crystalline (i.e. non-fibrillar) form of a fibril-forming peptide, GNNQNY(4,5). This peptide is one of various peptide fragments from the yeast prion protein Sup35p that have been found to form amyloid-like fibrils, but this is one that also forms microcrystals(6). With newly developed micro-diffractometers, these crystals yielded a high resolution structure, and the short length of the peptide and the relative simplicity of the cross-β spine motif present in the crystals have made it an attractive model amyloid fibril system. As such it has stimulated numerous in silico studies of the characteristics and formation of model amyloid fibrils (7-27). The purpose of such simulations is to produce insights into the common features of the fibril formation process. This specifically includes information on unstable intermediates, thereby complementing experimental data that is most easily obtained on the more stable states along the fibril formation pathway. Note that such intermediates are of particular interest due to their potential role as toxic agents in various amyloid disorders(28).

However, it is important to keep in mind that the widely used structural data on GNNQNY are based on the crystalline form of the peptide, and that the same peptide also forms amyloid fibrils under very similar conditions(6,29,30). In electron micrographs these latter moieties have a striated, flat ribbon-like appearance(29,30), similar to fibrils formed by other peptides and proteins (31-36). Experimental studies have indicated significant structural differences between the crystalline and fibrillar structures(30,37). Given the significant interest in GNNQNY as a model system of fibril formation, it is important to characterize the molecular structure of these fibrils and compare them to the crystal structure, an experimental challenge for which few techniques are available. Magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR has proved uniquely valuable in the structural characterization of amyloid fibrils(38,39), due to its ability to provide site-specific structural constraints on biological solids, without requiring crystalline samples.
Accordingly, we have previously used MAS NMR to obtain initial qualitative data comparing the GNNQQNY crystals and fibrils, which revealed significant differences in chemical shifts between the two forms, suggestive of differences in atomic structure. In addition, the fibrils featured three distinct peptide conformations, which differ among themselves in terms of their chemical shifts. However, the data was semi-quantitative and limited to the few N-terminal residues which were labeled in the fibrils. Within those sites the MAS NMR results suggested a localized helix-like deviation from β-sheet structure for one of these conformers, in contrast to more typical amyloid β-sheet structures (as were found in the other two fibril forms). As such the nature and structure of these conformers has remained a surprising and poorly understood aspect of these a priori (and based on the X-ray data) seemingly simple peptide fibrils.

To clarify this situation and provide further details on the structure of the GNNQQNY fibrils, we performed additional MAS experiments on more extensively labeled fibril samples. Thus, we present here more complete chemical shift assignments and structural NMR measurements. Our experiments reveal that all three conformers basically have a β-sheet conformation, based on both chemical shift analysis and backbone torsion angle measurements that relate the relative orientations of 13C-15N dipolar interaction vectors. The previously observed chemical shift deviations for the second fibril form reflect a localized backbone distortion rather than a completely non-β monomer structure. We also examine the arrangement of monomers within these β-sheets by measuring intermolecular 13C-13C and 13C-15N distance measurements. 13C-13C double quantum techniques can generate coherences between singly 13C-labeled carbonyl sites in neighboring peptide monomers, and measure the 13C=O—13C=O distance to help constrain the strand-strand arrangement within amyloid fibrils. Rotational resonance width (R2W) measurements are used to determine additional intermolecular 13C-13C distances in an approach recently used in other peptides and proteins. A mixture of singly 15N- and 13C-labeled peptides was used to determine 13C-15N distances via 1D REDOR and 2D TEDOR experiments. Note that analogous 13C-13C and 13C-15N experiments have been used for the identification of inter-strand contacts in other amyloids. These intermolecular distance measurements consistently show that the Gly-7 residue of different monomers is in close proximity, and in a site-specific fashion show that G7-G7 intermolecular interactions only occur between identical fibril conformers (i.e. not between different conformers). 13C-13C proton-assisted-recoupling (PAR) experiments reveal similar same-to-same contacts elsewhere along the sequence. Taken together this data points to the different fibril conformers each adopting an in-register parallel (IP) structure, somewhat analogous to the crystals. Nonetheless, our data also show that significant chemical shift differences are present between the crystals and fibrils. Some of these correlate clearly to differences in backbone conformation between the fibril forms, in contrast to the two crystalline structures that feature virtually identical backbone (and side chain) conformations. We examine these differences with the crystals, and discuss the implications for past and future computational studies on this model system and its formation of amyloid fibrils.
lyophilized peptide in water, resulting in an acidic peptide solution. Largely as a function of concentration, the peptides form monoclinic or orthorhombic crystals and/or fibrils(29,30). After centrifugation and removal of excess water, the peptide aggregates were packed into MAS rotors (Revolution NMR, Fort Collins, CO). The samples were maintained in a hydrated state at all times and were stored at 4°C.

**NMR methods**

**Assignment methods**

NMR measurements were performed using custom-made spectrometers (designed by D. J. Ruben, Francis Bitter Magnet Laboratory, MIT) operating at $^{1}H$ Larmor frequency $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 500$ MHz (11.7T), and $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 700$ MHz (16.4T). Experiments at 500 MHz utilized a Varian triple-resonance HCN probe equipped with 4-mm stator, whereas experiments at 700 MHz used Varian triple-resonance HCN probes with a 3.2 mm MAS stator outfitted with either a solenoid or scroll coil (Varian Inc, Palo Alto, CA).(62) Spinning was regulated with Bruker MAS I spinning frequency controllers (Bruker BioSpin, Billerica MA). Assignment experiments used methods similar to those described previously (30). $^{13}C-^{13}C$ 2D assignment spectra were obtained using DARR/RAD mixing (63,64) or PAR recoupling(65). $^{15}N-^{13}C$ 2D correlations were obtained using double CP-based measurements(66), to give NCA, NCO, NCOCX, and NCACX 2D and 3D spectra(67-69). The NCOCX and NCACX(70) pulse sequences included a 10 to 20 ms DARR/RAD period to establish the intra-residue $^{13}C-^{13}C$ correlations. TPPM $^{1}H$ decoupling(71) was applied during acquisition and $t_1$ evolution. $^{13}C$ chemical shifts were referenced to aqueous DSS using external referencing via the published $^{13}C$ chemical shifts of adamantane.(72) $^{15}N$ chemical shifts were referenced to liquid ammonia, via indirect referencing using the suggested IUPAC frequency ratios ($^{13}C/^{1}H$) of aqueous DSS and liquid NH$_3$ ($^{15}N/^{1}H$). (73,74) NMR data processing and assignment were done with the aid of the NMRPipe(75), Sparky(76), and CCPNMR/Analysis (77) software packages.

**Torsion angle measurements**

Chemical shift based backbone torsion angle analysis was performed with the TALOS program (version 2003.027.13.05)(78), using the default database of 78 proteins. The results were examined by hand to evaluate individual cases. To resolve inconclusive cases, and to provide more precise results, complementary measurements of the $\Psi$ angles were done via NCCN torsion angle experiments (40,79), which applied REDOR dephasing of $^{13}C$ double quantum coherence obtained with the SPC5 pulse sequence (80). Data fitting was done using the numerical simulation software SPINEVOLUTION(81), employing secondary constraints (bond distances and bond angles) as determined from the crystal structure of the monoclinic crystals of GNNQQNY(4). Measurements on 100% $[U-^{13}C,^{15}N-GNNQNY]$ and 100% GNN$[U-^{13}C,^{15}N-QQNY]$ monoclinic crystals were done at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 500$ MHz, and measurements on 100% $[U-^{13}C,^{15}N-GNNQNY]$ and 100% GNN$[U-^{13}C,^{15}N-QQNY]$ fibrils were done at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 700$ MHz. Note that the higher magnetic field was essential to allow the distinct conformers within the fibrils to be resolved(30). The supporting information (SI) contains pulse sequence schematics and additional experimental details for these as well as subsequent MAS NMR experiments.

**Intermolecular distance measurements**

DQ DRAWS (dipolar recoupling with a windowless sequence) experiments (41,44) (45,46) were aimed at establishing strand-strand contacts characteristic of IP sheets by detecting the buildup of DQ-filtered coherence buildup in GNNQQNY fibrils and crystals containing a single $^{13}C$-carbonyl labeled residue, $[^{13}C'-Gly]$. The experiments were performed at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 500$MHz using a 4mm Varian HCN probe. The resulting buildup curves were analyzed by
fitting with the numerical simulation package SPINEVOLUTION (81), employing a linear spin-system consisting of seven $^{13}$C sites. These CPU-intensive calculations were performed on the 156-core Beowulf cluster at the Department of Structural Biology (University of Pittsburgh).

Fibril samples were prepared from 50/50 mixtures of specifically labeled [$^{13}$C'-Gly]-NNQQNY and [$^{15}$N-Gly]-NNQQNY. REDOR was used to measure intermolecular distances between these labels, using 3.2 mm Varian MAS probes at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz. $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C observed measurements were done using a Varian solenoid HCN probe at a MAS rate $\omega_r/2\pi = 8$ kHz and employing sample cooling with $-8$ °C cooling gas. We used REDOR mixing period of up to 25 ms with a 4s recycle delay, 91 kHz TPPM $^1$H decoupling(71) during mixing, evolution and acquisition, and 50 kHz REDOR pulses (on $^{13}$C when observing $^{15}$N and reversed). Extended mixing time (up to 50ms) REDOR measurements were performed using a scroll-coil BioMAS probe (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA), observing $^{13}$C. Data fitting and simulation of these REDOR curves was accomplished with SPINEVOLUTION (81), by simulating the normalized $S/S_0$ data (i.e. in the absence of relaxation), where $S_0$ data were obtained experimentally with the same mixing time but without the application of REDOR pulses. To supplement these 1D experiments, a single 2D z-filtered TEDOR (57) spectrum with 16 ms mixing time was recorded.

To measure the intermolecular $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C distance in 50/50 mixed [$^{13}$C'-Gly]-NNQQNY and [$^{13}$C-Nz-Gly]-NNQQNY fibril samples, we used rotational resonance ($R_2$) width measurements (47), implemented as described previously (52). These spectra were recorded at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz using a Varian solenoid HCN probe with a 3.2 mm spinner. A series of 2D spectra was recorded at different MAS rates using a constant 30 ms $R^2$ mixing time, with 95 kHz TPPM $^1$H decoupling (71). These measurements were done near $\omega_r/2\pi = -11$ kHz to match the $n=2 R^2$ conditions between the Gly C' and Gly Cα sites. At each MAS rate the peak intensities for C'-Cα cross peaks were measured and scaled relative to C' intensities of reference data with 0 ms $R^2$ mixing time. The resulting $R^2W$ profiles were fit with a multipole-multimode Floquet theory based program (52) to determine the distances.

Fibrils were also prepared from approximately equal amounts of GN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-N]QQNY and GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-Q]QNYY fibrils which were studied at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz, with a 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PAR experiment with $\tau_{mix}=14$ms (65), and a 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C DARR correlation experiment with $\tau_{mix}=10$ ms, both at $\omega_r/2\pi=9.5$ kHz.

Results

Solid-state NMR assignments

We have previously described the partial chemical shift assignments of the three coexisting fibril forms of GNNQQNY based on several samples where the first four residues (GNNQ) were uniformly $^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-labeled. This allowed for some initial insight into the fibril dynamics and structure. We now present additional results on a peptide that has a subsequent segment $^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-labeled (GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-Q]QNYY). We used standard 2D and 3D homo- and heteronuclear methods to obtain complete assignments on the labeled residues, the results of which are summarized in Table 1, together our previously published data.(30) We relied on 3D NCACX and NCOCX experiments to resolve various heavily overlapped resonances. Slices from these 3Ds, along with further experimental details, are included in the supporting information. Figure 1 contains 2D NCO spectra obtained for the crystalline and fibrillar forms of the peptide. The figure demonstrates that the shifts of the fibrillar peptides vary substantially from their crystalline counterparts and shows the multiplicity that is due to the coexistence of three distinct peptide conformers within the fibrillar sample. The intensity variations between the fibril resonances, which can be
correlated to differences in their population and local dynamical variations, agree with our earlier observations on the other residues.

**TALOS torsion angle analysis**

The observed chemical shifts can be used to determine the secondary structure and backbone torsion angles. Chemical shift indexing (CSI) (82,83) provides a qualitative analysis of the secondary structure, and indicated a predominantly β-sheet conformation (see Table 2 and the SI). The assignment data are also used to determine the backbone torsion angles in a more quantitative manner, employing the widely-used TALOS software package (78) that compares observed chemical shifts with those for known protein structures. Despite being based on solution NMR shifts of mostly globular proteins, this approach proved reasonably reliable for the monoclinic crystals (30). It also seems to work well for most, but not for all, residues in the fibril conformers. In particular for residue N9 it proved problematic for the TALOS analysis to provide a clear answer (Figure S5). While we can identify a single constrained backbone conformation for forms #1 and #3, no unequivocal solution is found for conformer #2. The TALOS results are tabulated in Table 2, and further details are included in the SI. Analogous to the CSI data, conformers 1 and 3 are consistently β-sheet, while conformer 2 may feature an ill-defined distortion from such an extended β-sheet conformation.

**NCCN torsion angle measurements**

To verify the TALOS analysis and determine the backbone conformation of additional residues (e.g. the N-terminal Gly that are not suitable for TALOS analysis), we also performed NCCN torsion angle measurements. These rely on the generation of DQ coherence between the backbone carbons of residue \(i\), which is then dephased under the influence of the \(^{13}\text{C}^{15}\text{N}\) dipolar interactions involving the \(^{15}\text{N}\) sites of the \((i)\) and \((i+1)\) residues (40). As reference data, we first performed these measurements on monoclinic crystals prepared from segmentally labeled GNNQQNY peptides. Figure 2(a) shows a representative 2D spectrum, illustrating the assignments of the recoupled sites. Note that here the only sites of specific interest for the \(\psi\) torsion angle experiments are those involving the backbone carbons (indicated with dashed rectangles). The intensity of these cross peaks was monitored as a function of the REDOR time, resulting in dephasing curves (e.g. Figure 2b). Numerical simulations of these experimental data were done with the SPINEVOLUTION program (81), in order to determine the \(\psi\) backbone torsion angles. The resulting \(\psi\) values are listed in Table 3, alongside the results from the TALOS analysis (30) and the X-ray crystallographic data (4). Note that the best-fit values are consistent with the TALOS results, but are significantly closer to the X-ray values, suggesting an improved accuracy (see also Figure S7 in the SI and the discussion below).

Analogous NCCN measurements were performed on GNNQQNY fibril samples with overlapping segmental labeling: [U-\(^{13}\text{C},^{15}\text{N}\)-GNNQ][QNY] and GNN[^13C,^15N-QQN]Y (Figure 3). Due to the multiple forms being present, we observe significant crosspeak overlap. Peak integrations were only done on cross-peaks that could be resolved or at least de-convoluted reliably. This meant that several sites were missing, but none of these involved data that were ambiguous or missing in the TALOS analysis (see SI). In addition, the fibril data had fewer mixing times and a generally poorer signal-to-noise than the crystal data (in part due to the multiple conformations and broader linewidths). Exemplary results are illustrated in Figure 4 for the N9 residue, where the TALOS data were ambiguous (ref. Figure S5). Consistent with large differences in the chemical shift (and the CSI in figure S3 of the SI), the NCCN dephasing curve for conformer #2 is significantly different from the other two fibril forms, corresponding to different \(\psi\) values (Figure 4a,b). In this case, both the NCCN and the TALOS results are somewhat ambiguous. However, the NCCN data...
clearly exclude several of the TALOS matches (panel e). The TALOS data had a large uncertainty in $\psi$, which we can now resolve, but was reasonably consistent in terms of the $\phi$ angle. Similar observations apply to N8, where fibril form 1 and the monoclinic crystals show similar dephasing, but the curve is quite different for conformer 2 (Figure S8). For form #2, the best solution occurs at the non-$\beta$ torsion angles, which would explain the substantial chemical shift differences seen in this conformer’s N-terminus (Figure 1) and the associated non-$\beta$ CSI values(30). Although less consistent with all the available data (including the CSI and TALOS results), there is also a secondary and less likely solution that is closer to $\beta$-sheet torsion angles at $(\phi, \psi) < (-80^\circ, 117^\circ)$.

By combining the NCCN results with the TALOS data we obtained the unified backbone torsion angles summarized in Table 4 (see also SI). Note that many of these values (and their errors) basically reflect the TALOS results, and that application of TALOS to the crystalline peptides suggests that the TALOS errors are likely to underestimate the true uncertainty (see SI and discussion).

**Intermolecular G7-G7 backbone contacts**

We also performed experiments providing initial constraints for the supramolecular structure of the fibrils, initially targeting characteristic distances between the $\beta$-strands in an IP $\beta$-sheet. For the IP $\beta$-sheets found within the GNNQQNY crystals, the X-ray distance between subsequent peptide monomers is 4.9 Å (4,5), as typical for amyloid fibrils. As reference data, we used DQ-filtered DRAWS (41,44-46) to measure the DQ-buildup in monoclinic crystals prepared from GNNQQNY in which a single carbonyl site is labeled (Gly-$^{13}\text{C}'$). Figure 5a shows the DQ signal (as a fraction of the cross polarization signal) as a function of the DQ DRAWS mixing time. The presence of any significant intensity suggests that the $^{13}\text{C}'$ sites must be in close proximity. Numerical simulation of the experimental data suggested a distance of 4.4 Å, which is in reasonably good agreement with the X-ray distance.

Identical measurements were performed on analogously labeled amyloid fibrils, as shown in Figure 5b. Since we were unable to reliably resolve the three individual fibril conformers at the 11.74 T field used in these experiments, the combined intensity was used for the fibril data analysis. A simple model that assumes an identical distance and relaxation for all three fibril forms results in a $^{13}\text{C}'$-$^{13}\text{C}'$ distance of 4.9 Å (included in Table 5), possibly consistent with IP $\beta$-sheets as in the crystals. If the simple model were not correct, but one or more of the peptides were not sufficiently close and thus not contributing to the DQ buildup, we would expect the $^{13}\text{C}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ distance in the remaining conformers to be even shorter. For instance simulations for two IP fibrillar peptide forms, and one that is anti-parallel (with its $^{13}\text{C}'$ sites too far apart to participate), yield an apparent distance of 4.7 Å for the parallel peptides (data not shown). Note that these measurements did not allow us to confirm that the intermolecular interactions involve the same or different conformers, but do clearly show that the N-termini of at least some peptides must be close together. We address the uncertainties with additional intermolecular experiments.

We performed additional $^{13}\text{C}$-$^{13}\text{C}$ distance measurements via $R^2$ width ($R^2$W) experiments (47,52) on samples consisting of 50/50 (by mass) mixed $^{13}\text{C}'$-Gly/$^{13}\text{C}$-Gly GNNQQNY fibril samples. In the mixed fibrils we measure intermolecular distances between labeled sites in neighboring peptides. For any peptide there is a 50% chance that its neighbor has the appropriate (i.e. different) labeling, in which case these intermolecular interactions would include two different closest distances (to peptides above and below) within the same $\beta$-sheet (see Figure 5(e) and SI). Figure 5(c) shows representative 2D panels from the $R^2$W experiment, near the polarization transfer maxima (where the MAS rate matches half the frequency difference between the selectively recoupled nuclei). The observed cross peaks
show that intermolecular polarization transfer occurs only between peptides of the same conformation (not between different conformers). Integration of cross peak volumes as a function of the MAS rate yields $R^2_W$ profiles as shown in panel (d). The maximum transfer occurs at different MAS rates (due to differences in chemical shift), but the maximum transfer is similar among the three fibril forms. Fits of these profiles yield $^{13}C-^{13}C$ distances of 4.5 to 4.9 (±1.0) Å for each of these fibril forms (see Table 5). These simulations assumed a single closest distance dominating the interaction as is the case in the monoclinic and orthorhombic crystal structures (Figure 5e). Note that the observed distance measurements are actually consistent with the crystalline values, within the margin of error.

Figure 6 includes the results of REDOR-based intermolecular N-C distance measurements on a 57/43 (molar ratio) mixed $^{15}N$-Gly/$^{13}C'$-Gly GNNQQNY fibril sample, showing significant dephasing due to the $^{15}N$/$^{13}C$ interaction. To check for any potential background dephasing, the experiment was also performed on $^{13}C'$-Gly / $^{13}C\alpha$-Gly GNNQQNY fibrils (as used for the $R^2_W$ experiments). These data confirmed that none of the three fibril forms showed any significant background dephasing and thus that the observed REDOR dephasing is predominantly due to the labeled $^{15}N$ sites. These 1D REDOR data do not reveal which $^{13}C'$ was dephased by which $^{15}N$ site. To examine this aspect we recorded a 2D ZF-TEDOR spectrum, with a 16 ms mixing time, as shown in Figure 6(c). It confirmed that each of the $^{13}C'$ sites interacts (only) with the $^{15}N$ site of the same fibril form, consistent with the $R^2_W$ data.

For a more quantitative analysis, the REDOR curves were simulated with SPINEVOLUTION. Initially a simple simulation was performed where the interaction was described by a single $^{13}C-^{15}N$ coupling that accounts for the experimentally determined $^{15}N$/$^{13}C$ ratio. These distance fits suggest distances of 4.5±0.8Å, 4.5±0.6Å, and 5.0±0.8Å for the fibril conformers 1, 2, and 3, respectively (Table 5). Note that in such a simulation we ignore the fact that there are multiple distance contributions to the interaction. To examine this aspect, we also tried a geometrical model that assumes an IP geometry (more details in the SI). The data do match this model, with the best fits shown in Figure 6(b) corresponding to $^{15}N$/$^{13}C$ distances of 4.6, 4.7, and 5.5 Å, respectively (with longer secondary distances of 6.2, 6.2, and 5.5 Å). We do note that under the constraints of this model, the fit of the data achieved for the third conformer is not perfect. Since the N-termini could be less rigid, and we have seen some indications of increased motion (not shown), we tried simulations that included a limited amount of isotropic motional averaging (as a scaling of the dipolar interaction). These did yield improved fits to these form #3 data (data not shown). Generally, dynamics would imply actual distances that are shorter than our ‘rigid lattice’ simulations suggest.

**Intermolecular N9-Q10 backbone contacts**

Additional data regarding intermolecular backbone contacts were obtained from a fibril sample prepared from a 50%/50% mixture of GN[U-$^{13}C$, $^{15}N$-N]-QQNY and GNN[U-$^{13}C$, $^{15}N$-Q]QNY. Here, any interresidue contacts should reflect intermolecular interactions. Such inter-molecular contacts were indeed observed, including a number of backbone-backbone contacts that are of particular interest here. Figure 7(a) shows the Cα-Cα region of a $^{13}C$-$^{13}C$ PAR spectrum with $\tau_{\text{mix}}=14$ ms obtained from this sample. Several interresidue, off-diagonal cross-peaks can be identified, based on our assignments and by comparison with shorter mixing-time DARR data that show only the diagonal peaks (panel b). The internuclear distances associated with these contacts are harder to estimate than in the more quantitative measurements discussed earlier, but should reflect distances of less than 7 Å (61). The figure also includes one inter-form cross-peak, which reflects the fact that we do actually observe inter-form contacts in this sample. However, most of these involve side chain resonances and thus appear consistent with steric-zipper-like interactions.
However, due to the inherent ambiguity and signal overlap, their detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this publication. It necessitates additional complementary experimental data and is the topic of ongoing research, but does suggest the possibility of inter-form polarization exchange, which implies an additional level of complexity in the GNNQQNY fibril assembly.

**Discussion**

**Fibril shifts**

The newly determined chemical shift assignments in the C-terminal half of the peptide fibrils confirm a number of observations that we reported previously, and also clarify some puzzling aspects of the results. Clearly, the shifts of the fibrils are different from the crystalline peptides throughout the length of the peptide (e.g. Figure 1 and Figure S3). Also, the conformational heterogeneity extends into these newly labeled residues, again showing three different sets of shifts. In this context, it is worth pointing out that these additional samples once again give the same resonances and intensity ratios compared to the fibril forms reported previously. Furthermore, we excluded the possibility that a pre-existing seeding aggregate drives the polymorphism of these samples (which are sourced from different peptide syntheses from different companies) by filtering the freshly dissolved peptide through a 3 kDa cutoff centrifugal filter, and again obtained identical spectra with the same fibril forms (data not shown). One of those (conformer #2) previously diverged most strongly from the others and its shifts appeared indicative of local helix-like backbone structure (30). However, based on the shifts themselves, the remaining residues in fibril form #2 appear to be $\beta$-sheet indicating that the ‘helicity’ is very localized. It was previously noted that there are apparent differences in the Tyr behavior in the crystals and fibrils, both from our NMR data and reported by others (37). Unfortunately, we did not label the Tyr residue (due to earlier synthetic difficulties). We can deduce some information on the Tyr shifts by comparison with limited spectral data from before, although in a non-form-specific manner (see Figure S2 and SI). This meant that the Tyr shifts could not be included in the conformer-specific backbone analysis. A more detailed picture may be obtained from future NMR investigations.

**Fibril backbone structure**

We have used our MAS NMR data to determine the backbone structure of each of the three conformers present in the GNNQQNY amyloid fibrils. This was primarily motivated by the fact that the data indicated that one of the three co-existing fibril conformers might contain significant non-$\beta$ structure (30). This was noteworthy since this is in contrast to the uniform $\beta$-sheet conformation of both GNNQQNY crystal structures and seemed counter-intuitive in a short amyloid-forming peptide. Using more extensive labeling, extended chemical shift assignments, and explicit torsion angle measurements we now provide a more complete and quantitative analysis of the backbone structure.

To examine any concerns regarding the applicability of TALOS and NCCN-based MAS NMR approaches to an amyloid fibril system (of such an unusually Q/N rich sequence), we took advantage of the availability of the crystal structures of known conformation. In agreement with our previous measurements (30,84), we find the TALOS program generates results in good agreement with the known torsion angles (Figure 8). We do note that the errors reported by TALOS appear to underestimate the actual deviations from the X-ray based structures. There could be a number of factors that negatively affect the performance of TALOS in the samples at hand. One concern could be that the chemical shifts are affected by non-local contributions (e.g. the effect of nearby aromatic rings like the Tyr side chain, on neighboring $^{13}$C shifts (85)). In this context comparison of the chemical shifts of the two
crystalline forms is of interest, since the monomers (in the X-ray structures) are virtually identical in structure, yet display significant differences in chemical shift. Based on the X-ray data, the heavy atom RMSD between the two crystal forms is 0.35 Å (0.26 Å for the backbone), as determined by the SUPERPOSE function in the CCP4 suite(86,87). The difference in chemical shift thus appears largely due to the difference in supramolecular packing of the monomers in the two crystal lattices. Another consideration in this particular comparison is that the X-ray data were obtained at 100 K, whereas the NMR chemical shifts are all obtained near room temperature, which may affect the structural and/or dynamical nature of the sample (88,89). Finally, the TALOS approach relies on the availability of segments of similar sequence and structure in the reference database, which may not be valid for these peptides.

Despite these potential concerns, the TALOS data from the crystals match the X-ray data with average deviations of 14-21° (see SI). We then examined the NCCN experiment and found the results to be quite accurate (see Figure 8(a)). While the NCCN torsion angles are consistent with the TALOS results, they do tend to represent an improvement in accuracy as the best-fit NCCN results match the X-ray data very well (see also figure S7 in the SI). However, its precision can be limited and it suffers from degeneracy in the fit solutions. In some cases the NCCN precision appears comparable to or inferior to the errors reported by TALOS. Recall however that the latter are likely to be underestimates (see table S3 in the SI), whether due to features specific to these (solid) samples or in general (90).

For the fibrils we also applied such a combination of the NCCN and TALOS approaches, as summarized in Table 4. TALOS was unable to provide data for a number of residues (e.g. the N-terminal Gly and Asn-9 of conformer 2), and the NCCN torsion angle data provide insights into those residues. For a visual comparison, the results are plotted in panels (b) and (c) of Figure 8, in the form of Ramachandran plots (for the crystal residues 8-12 or residues 8-11 for the fibril conformers). The crystalline peptides have a uniform β-sheet structure, a feature that is reproduced in the conformers 1 and 3. Fibril conformer 2, which previously showed some non-β structure (30), now is found to be largely β-sheet in terms of its backbone structure. A very notable distortion occurs its N-terminus (Figure 8c), in a conformation that is somewhat reminiscent of a β-bulge conformation in terms of the backbone torsion angles (see Figure S14 in the SI for a schematic representation). Intriguingly, β-bulges have previously been suggested to prevent the lateral association of β-sheets (91,92). However, here the fibril appears to be able to accommodate this distorted backbone conformation within the β-sheets, perhaps analogous to amyloid-like crystals formed by the peptide MVGGVV derived from Aβ reported by Eisenberg and colleagues(5), which also feature a turn in the peptide backbone.

**Intermolecular arrangements**

One of the key characteristics of the GNNQQNY crystal structures is the IP intra-sheet alignment of the monomers. As pointed out by Sawaya, et al.(5), both structures represent one out of many possible β-sheet configurations. We employed several independent measurements that showed intermolecular contacts between the N-terminal glycines, consistently only between peptides having the same conformation. In addition, we see intra-form backbone-backbone contacts for each of the three fibril conformers in the long-mixing $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PAR experiments. Taken together, these data are consistent with, and even suggestive of, an IP alignment analogous to the crystalline aggregates. Especially with such short peptides in amyloid fibrils manifesting a multiplicity of conformations it is difficult to completely exclude all non-parallel and/or out-of-register sheet configurations, based on the current data. We note that the N-terminus is positively charged, as expected given the acidic conditions and confirmed by the $^{15}$N chemical shift. This makes a very close proximity less desirable, but this tendency can be overcome by the extensive stabilizing interactions
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characteristic of the highly stable amyloid fibrils. This is indeed seen in several of the amyloid-like peptide crystal systems examined by Eisenberg and colleagues (5), as well as simulation studies on the GNNQQNY IP structure(9,22). Given the across-the-board intra-form intermolecular interactions, the IP nature of both crystal forms (which form under very similar conditions and have even been reported to form from GNNQQNY fibrils(37)), the appearance that steric zipper features may be preserved in the fibrils(30) and the fact that various simulations find it to be the most stable alignment for GNNQQNY (7,13,16,18,22), we are inclined to consider the MAS NMR results to strongly suggest a parallel in-register conformation. Note that seeing the intermolecular contacts only within a single conformer is specifically supportive of an IP \(\beta\)-sheet. Anti-parallel \(\beta\)-sheets, as observed in crystals observed for other short peptides(5) tend to display structurally different monomers within the \(\beta\)-sheet, instead of the internally structurally identical, parallel \(\beta\)-sheets seen in both GNNQQNY crystal forms. Solid state NMR studies of fibrils prepared from amylin fragments were found to be antiparallel within the \(\beta\)-sheets, as indicated by the detected of multiple sets of resonances of equal intensities(93). The latter observation also contrasts with the different intensities that characterize the different conformers detected in the GNNQQNY fibrils. Any anti-parallel arrangement involving two of the fibril forms would necessitate equal populations for those conformers. Note that in other experiments we have actually observed certain inter-form contacts (such as the one indicated in Figure 7), but these commonly involve side-chains and are more consistent with steric-zipper-like sheet-to-sheet contacts. However, those data on apparent sheet-to-sheet contacts necessitate a more detailed analysis and discussion that go beyond this publication.

If we compare the MAS NMR distance measurements to the equivalent distances in the crystal structures (Table 4), then we see that the measured distances in the fibrils tend to be slightly longer. However, these differences are within the margin of error of the experiments. There are several contributions that increase the uncertainty in these distances. First, the presence of multiple, partially overlapping conformers in all spectra makes it difficult to de-convolute the peaks. A second complication is related to the fact that the G7-labeled sites are near the peptide’s N-terminus. This was done for practical reasons and related to the availability (and cost) of various singly-labeled glycine variants (in contrast to appropriately backbone- and sidechain-protected Gln, Asn and Tyr). Since the Gly is near the N-terminus, increased dynamics may be expected (relative to the rest of the peptide). This is reflected in the temperature factors of the X-ray crystal structures(4,5) and simulations on GNNQQNY aggregates(11,22). Ongoing MAS NMR relaxation measurements suggest only moderate dynamics in the N-terminus and are the focus of a forthcoming publication. In any case, dynamics would effectively reduce the dipolar coupling and thus lead to overestimate of the distances. This would mean that the true distances might be shorter, therefore not changing the essence of our observed interactions, or how they reflect on the supramolecular arrangement.

Experimentally, the observation of an IP \(\beta\)-sheet is reminiscent not only of the structure of the crystalline forms of GNNQQNY (4,5), but has also been observed in MAS NMR experiments on the parent protein Sup35p, Rnq1p and fragments of Ure2p (94-97). All of these are Gln- and Asn-rich prion proteins found in yeast, but this pattern of IP \(\beta\)-sheet formation is quite common in amyloid fibrils in general and appears to facilitate amyloid formation in proteins of non-symmetric (and purposely scrambled) primary sequences(96,98).

**Conclusion**

The GNNQQNY crystal structures have stimulated a great deal of interest as an atomic-level perspective of the core structure of amyloid fibrils. It also has spawned considerable research using *in silico* methods to further our understanding of the amyloid fibril formation
and stability. The structural and mechanistic correlation between the crystalline and fibrillar aggregates has remained enigmatic however, especially in the context of recent experimental data suggesting a transition between the fibrillar and crystalline conformations(37). We previously identified the presence of multiple conformations within the fibrils, which prominently included one with apparent non-β structure. We then also highlighted a number of indicators suggesting structural differences between the crystals and fibrils. Here we have presented new experimental data that explicitly address some of the key features of the crystal structures and show a number of basic similarities between the fibrils and crystals. Our data indicate that the conformers are actually all three predominantly β-sheet in structure, but with a highly localized distortion in one. In addition, we have presented experimental data supporting a parallel, in-register assembly into form-specific β-sheets. These observations should serve as valuable experimental reference data to allow the continued development of GNNQQNY as an model amyloid system in theoretical studies. Conversely, given their independently known crystal structures, GNNQQNY (and other amyloid-like crystalline peptides) proved to be useful as an experimental amyloid test system for the development and demonstration of MAS NMR and other experimental techniques. Here we have used the crystalline form of the peptide as a reference system to examine the effectiveness of the TALOS analysis, NCCN torsion angle measurements and $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C distance measurements in a realistic amyloid-like β-sheet context, of an independently known conformation.

Questions do remain regarding the role of these conformers within the fibrils. Such issues are the target of continued MAS NMR studies, but will also benefit from experimentally-informed in silico studies. For instance, it will be interesting to see whether a twisting or distortion of the peptide backbone is observed in fibrils assembled in silico. In the context of our results, theoretical studies may also be able to address the question of how the localized backbone twist (of conformer 2) may be accommodated into the fibrils and their seemingly IP β-sheet arrangement. As it is unclear whether this has been observed in the current computational studies, it may be important to more closely approximate the experimental conditions. In this regard, we point out that the concentration and pH appear to play critical roles that have not necessarily been sufficiently examined in the computational studies. Overall, our data point to an increased complexity in the behavior of the fibrillar form of GNNQQNY, even though it does share a variety of features with its crystals that continue to serve as a canonical model of the cross-β spine.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. MAS NMR $^{15}$N$^{13}$CO spectra on (a,b) [U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-GNNQ]QNY monoclinic and orthorhombic crystals and (c) fibrils, as well as (d,e) GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-QQNY] monoclinic and orthorhombic crystals and (f) GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-QQN]Y fibrils. All data were acquired at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz except (a) and (d) which were acquired at 500MHz. Backbone cross-peaks connecting neighboring residues are highlighted in black and connected between panels. The chemical shifts of the two crystalline forms were found to be similar, but the fibrils exhibit significantly different chemical shifts and contain three forms (#1-3), which vary in intensity as well as chemical shift.
Figure 2.
Representative NCCN torsion angle data on GNNQQNY monoclinic crystals, obtained at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 500$ MHz. (a) 2D reference spectrum on 100% [U-$^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-GNNQ]QNY crystals, without REDOR dephasing, showing the assignments of the signals. Boxed cross peaks are due to backbone sites, which were used for $\psi$ torsion angle measurements. Other signals reflect Asn and Gln side chains. (b) Experimental dephasing curves as a function of the REDOR mixing time, for three residues (G7, N9, and N12). The solid lines indicate best-fit simulations of the experimental data, obtained with SPINEVOLUTION (81).
Figure 3.
Assignments of fibril NCCN SQ-DQ 2D spectra. These are the reference data with 0 ms REDOR mixing time, for (a,b) 100% [U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-GNNQ]QNY and (c) GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-QQN]Y fibrils. Panel (b) shows the spectral region with the G7 cross peaks. Measurements were done at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz. Note the intensity variations and the significant overlap of various signals.
Figure 4.
Complementary data from NCCN and TALOS torsion angle analyses. (a) Experimental and simulated NCCN dephasing data for N9 in fibril forms #1 (circles, dashed line), #2 (triangles, black line), and #3 (diamonds, gray line). The simulations of the experimental data result in fits that are consistent with multiple torsion angles: N9 in (b) form #1, (c) form #2, and (d) form #3. These data exclude many of the ambiguous TALOS data form #2, as illustrated in (e) for the NCCN fit (left) and the TALOS-based Ramachandran plot (right) of N9 in form #2. The dashed line indicates the solution most consistent with both data sets (shown as lines in panel a).
Figure 5.
Intermolecular $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C distance measurements. (a) DQ coherence buildup of G7-$^{13}$C’ labeled GNNQQNY monoclinic crystals, characteristic of their IP β-sheet structure. Diamonds indicate experimental values, and the solid line shows simulations for a 4.4Å distance with 28ms $T_2$ relaxation. (b) DQ buildup for G7-$^{13}$C’ labeled fibrils, showing the combined intensity of all three fibril forms, along with simulated data for a 4.9 Å C’-C’ distance and 16 ms $T_2$ relaxation. Both DRAWS experiments were done at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 500$ MHz with a MAS rate of 5.88 kHz. (c) 2D panels from R$^2$W experiments on 51/49 mixed G7-$^{13}$C’ and G7-$^{13}$Cα labeled GNNQQNY fibrils, at $\omega_{0H}/2\pi = 700$ MHz and 11.095, 11.130, and 11.185 kHz MAS frequencies. Cross-peaks reflect an intermolecular contact between the G7-C’ and G7-Cα and occur consistently within the same conformer. (d) Intermolecular R$^2$W profiles, where red diamonds, blue squares and black triangles indicate experimental Cα intensities, normalized to the corresponding carbonyl peak volume. Solid lines indicate simulated R$^2$W profiles for distances of 4.5 - 4.9 (±1.0) Å. (e) Schematic showing the arrangement of the labeled sites in the IP β-sheet of the monoclinic crystal structure.
Figure 6.
(a) 1D $^{13}$C spectrum of $^{13}$C'-Gly-labeled GNNQQNY fibrils at $\omega_{1H}/2\pi = 700$MHz and $\omega_{1H}/2\pi = 10$ kHz, showing the overlapping $^{13}$C' signals from the three distinct conformers; (b) Experimental (triangles) and simulated (lines) REDOR dephasing curves from a 57/43 $^{15}$N-Gly/$^{13}$C'-Gly mixed GNNQQNY fibril sample. These simulations correspond to $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C distances of 4.6, 4.7, and 5.5 Å, respectively (see text for details). (c) 2D TEDOR spectrum showing form-specific cross-peaks within each fibril form (16 ms TEDOR mixing time).
Figure 7.
Ca-Ca segments of $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C 2D spectra on a mixed GN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-N]QQNY / GNN[U-$^{13}$C,$^{15}$N-Q]QNY fibril sample. (a) Experiment employing 14 ms $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C PAR mixing at 9.5 kHz MAS rate and $\omega_{H0}/2\pi = 700$ MHz. Several intermolecular cross-peaks can be observed, between the backbone Ca’s of N9 and Q10. Most are reflect ‘intra-form’ contacts, similar to the results on G7. One inter-form (between conformer #1 and #2) contact is indicated in grey. (b) Same section from a 2D $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C DARR experiment with 10 ms DARR mixing, showing the diagonal peaks along with their assignments ($\omega_{r}/2\pi = 9.5$ kHz at $\omega_{H0}/2\pi = 700$MHz).
Figure 8.
Torsion angle measurements. (a) Column graph comparing the TALOS and NCCN torsion angle results for the monoclinic GNNQQNY crystals to the angles obtained by X-ray crystallography(4). (b) Ramachandran plots of the GNNQQNY crystals, based on the X-ray results. The crystalline backbone angles fall within the $\beta$-sheet region (N12 is marked as open symbols). Here each point represents a particular amino acid. (c) Torsion angles determined for the GNNQQNY fibrils (for residues N8 to Q11). The fibril secondary structure shows a different distribution, with a significant deviation for the N-terminal residues of form #2. Overall, we see a structure composed primarily of $\beta$-sheet in the fibrils, based on our new MAS NMR data.
Table 1

Assignment of fibril form resonances for the three predominant forms found in GNNQQNY fibrils. These assignments are based on various SSNMR assignments measurements performed at $\omega_0/2\pi = 700$ MHz. The uncertainty in the chemical shifts is estimated to be ~ 0.25 ppm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resonances</th>
<th>$^{13}$C chemical shift (ppm)</th>
<th>$^{15}$N chem. shift (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C'</td>
<td>$C_{\alpha}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fibril form 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly$_7$</td>
<td>171.2</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_8$</td>
<td>172.8</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_9$</td>
<td>173.5</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{10}$</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{11}$</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_{12}$</td>
<td>172.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fibril form 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly$_7$</td>
<td>170.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_8$</td>
<td>173.8</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_9$</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{10}$</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{11}$</td>
<td>174.1</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_{12}$</td>
<td>173.1</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>fibril form 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly$_7$</td>
<td>170.1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_8$</td>
<td>174.2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_9$</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{10}$</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln$_{11}$</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn$_{12}$</td>
<td>173.6</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CSI and TALOS-based torsion angle data for GNNQNY fibrils. Some of these data were previously reported (30). Asterisks indicate data at the termini of the peptide that are unsuitable for TALOS analysis, since it relies on triplets of residues. The data for Asn-9 in fibril form #2 were inconclusive (marked with X; see also Figure S5). More CSI data analysis is included in the SI (fig. S4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>residue</th>
<th>Fibril form 1</th>
<th>Fibril form 2</th>
<th>Fibril form 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TALOS</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>TALOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8</td>
<td>−108 ±20</td>
<td>127 ±10</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9</td>
<td>−101 ±11</td>
<td>131 ±13</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>−131 ±14</td>
<td>151 ±13</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>−150 ±12</td>
<td>149 ±12</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Backbone torsion angle $\psi$ for monoclinic crystalline GNNQQNY. X-ray and TALOS data are literature values (4,30). Y13 is omitted since it has no defined $\psi$ angle, as the C-terminal residue. Multiple minima are listed where applicable and uncertainty ranges are included in parentheses. In these cases the global minimum (‘best fit’) is listed first.

| residue | X-ray $\psi/^\circ$ | TALOS $\psi/^\circ$ | NCCN $|\psi/^\circ|$ |
|---------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| G7      | $-162.2$           | -                   | 162 (154-168)   |
| N8      | 141.2              | 131 ±10             | 151 (137-158)   |
| N9      | 125.2              | 136 ± 9             | 122 (<146)      |
| Q10     | 112.8              | 126 ±14             | 116 (87-136); 18 (<64) |
| Q11     | 126.8              | 142 ±15             | 117; 23 (<139)  |
| N12     | 97.7               | 119 ±13             | 105; 44 (<137)  |
Table 4

Summary of MAS NMR-based torsion angles in GNNQQNY fibrils. The data include data determined from TALOS chemical shift analysis (Table 2), chemical shift index analysis and Ψ torsion angles determined via NCCN torsion angle measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>Fibril conformer 1</th>
<th>Fibril conformer 2</th>
<th>Fibril conformer 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ϕ</td>
<td>ψ</td>
<td>ϕ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>166 ±10</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8</td>
<td>-108 ±20</td>
<td>145 ±20</td>
<td>54 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40 ±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9</td>
<td>-101 ±11</td>
<td>136 ±18</td>
<td>-88 ±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-116 ±19</td>
<td>130 ±12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>-131 ±14</td>
<td>130 ±15</td>
<td>-142 ±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-146 ±15</td>
<td>121 ±20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>-150 ±12</td>
<td>136 ±15</td>
<td>-129 ±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-145 ±12</td>
<td>153 ±9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12 b)</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) While both TALOS and NCCN analysis favor the listed torsion angles, there appears to be a secondary minimum with β-sheet-like torsion angles (ϕ ~ −80°; ψ ~ 117°) that may also be consistent with our experimental data (ref. Figure S9 in the SI and main text below).

b) Results for N12 reflect the secondary structure identified by CSI analysis (figure S4 in SI).
Table 5

Overview of intermolecular distance measurements. Where applicable, distances between non-identical sites (i.e. C'-Cα and C'-N contacts) only reflect the shorter distance of the two in-equivalent pairings that characterize intra-sheet contacts (see e.g. Fig 6e). X-ray crystallographic distances are based on PDB files 1YJP and 2OMM, respectively (4,5). Note that the systematic errors listed reflect the assumptions made in the analysis, most notably assuming a lack of (undetermined) dynamical averaging and sometimes does not account for all multispin interactions (see text and SI for specific details). The presence of significant molecular motion would imply shorter actual distances than listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intermolecular distance</th>
<th>MAS NMR</th>
<th>X-ray crystallography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Conformer # 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7C'-G7C'</td>
<td>DRAWS 1)</td>
<td>4.9±1.0Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7C'-G7Cα</td>
<td>R2W</td>
<td>4.5±1.0Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7C'-G7N</td>
<td>REDOR 2)</td>
<td>4.5±0.8Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Analysis assumed equivalent distance for all three fibril forms.

2) Results based on simple model with single 13C-15N coupling.