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**I. INTRODUCTION**

Yields and production spectra of hadrons containing strange quarks have been measured previously at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Tevatron at various center-of-mass energies [1–3]. Measurements of particle production provide insight into the behavior of QCD interactions at low momentum transfer, typically described by models with empirical parameters tuned from experimental data. Accurate modeling of such interactions is also essential for constraining the effects of the underlying event in the high-$p_T$ collisions studied at the LHC. As the strange quark is heavier than the up and down quarks, the production of strange hadrons is suppressed relative to hadrons containing only up and down quarks. However, since the mass of the strange quark is comparable in value to the $Q_{CD}$ scale constant, it is not sufficiently heavy for perturbative techniques to be used in modeling the production of strange hadrons and experimental input is required to tune it in Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. Moreover, the ratio of the production of strange antibaryons to strange baryons is related to the transfer of baryon number from the colliding protons to the midrapidity region and can be used to constrain “diquark” [4] and “string-junction” [5] models in MC generators. Because the initial state in $pp$ collisions has a net baryon number of 2, these models can be tested even at zero rapidity at the LHC.

In this paper, the production of $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ hadrons is studied using the first 190 $\mu$b$^{-1}$ collected by the ATLAS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 7 $\mu$b$^{-1}$ at 900 GeV. In addition, the measurement of the ratio between $\bar{\Lambda}$ and $\Lambda$ baryon production is presented. Data were collected with a minimum-bias trigger with the same selection as in the inclusive minimum-bias measurement of charged particles [6]. Strange hadrons are reconstructed in the $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$, and $\bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \bar{p}\pi^+$ decay modes by identifying two tracks originating from a displaced vertex, exploiting the long lifetimes of strange hadrons ($c\tau = 2.7$ cm for $K^0_S$ hadrons and $c\tau = 7.9$ cm for $\Lambda$ hadrons). The measured distributions are

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dp_T}, \quad \frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dy}, \quad \frac{1}{N_{ev}} \frac{dN_{ev}}{dy}. \quad (1)$$

where $N$ is the number of $K_S^0$ or $\Lambda$ hadrons, $p_T$ is the transverse momentum, $y$ is the rapidity [7], and $N_{ev}$ is the number of events with two charged particles satisfying $p_T > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The $\Lambda$ distributions do not include $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons, while the ratio of $\bar{\Lambda}$ to $\Lambda$ is presented versus $p_T$ and $y$ as a separate measurement. The kinematic spectra of strange hadrons are extracted from the reconstructed distributions by correcting for detector effects modeled with MC simulation samples that are validated with data. The observed distributions are corrected to the $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 100$ MeV phase-space region where tracks can be reconstructed (imposed on the charged decay products) with minimum and maximum flight-length requirements imposed on the $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ hadrons to avoid model-dependent extrapolations outside of the detector acceptance. A similar approach was used in the ATLAS measurement of charged-hadron production [6].

**II. THE ATLAS DETECTOR**

The ATLAS detector [8] at the LHC [9] covers almost the whole solid angle around the collision point with layers of tracking detectors, calorimeters, and muon chambers. It has been designed to study a wide range of physics topics at LHC energies. For the measurements presented in this paper, the tracking devices and the trigger system are used. The ATLAS inner detector (ID) has full coverage in pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists
of a silicon pixel detector (Pixel), a silicon microstrip detector (SCT), and a transition radiation tracker (TRT). The sensitive elements of these detectors cover a radial distance from the interaction point of 51–150 mm, 299–560 mm, and 563–1066 mm, respectively, and are immersed in a 2 T axial magnetic field. The ID barrel (end-cap) region consists of 3 (2 × 3) Pixel layers, 4 (2 × 9) double-layers of single-sided silicon microstrips with a 40 mrad stereo angle, and 73 (2 × 160) layers of TRT straws. Typical position resolutions are 10, 17, and 130 μm for the R − φ coordinate and, in the case of the Pixel and SCT, 115 and 580 μm for the second measured coordinate. A track from a charged particle traversing the barrel detector would typically have 11 silicon hits (3 pixel clusters and 8 strip clusters) and more than 30 straw hits.

The ATLAS detector has a three-level trigger system; data for this measurement were collected with level 1 signals from the beam pickup timing devices (BPTX) and the minimum-bias trigger scintillators (MBTS). The BPTX stations consist of electrostatic button pickup detectors attached to the beam pipe at ±175 m from the center of the detector. The coincidence of the BPTX signal between the two sides of the detector is used to determine when beam bunches are colliding in the center of the detector. The MBTS are mounted at each end of the detector in front of the liquid-argon end-cap calorimeter cryostats at z = ±3.56 m. They are segmented into eight sectors in azimuth and two rings in pseudorapidity (2.09 < |η| < 2.82 and 2.82 < |η| < 3.84). Data were collected for this analysis using a trigger requiring a BPTX coincidence and MBTS trigger signals. The MBTS trigger used for this paper is configured to require at least one hit above threshold from either side of the detector, referred to as a single-arm trigger.

III. DATA SAMPLES AND EVENT SELECTION

The data used in this analysis consist of about 16 × 10^6 events recorded by ATLAS in March and April 2010, corresponding to about 190 μb^{-1} of proton-proton collisions provided by the LHC at the center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV, as well as 1 × 10^6 events corresponding to about 7 μb^{-1} at sqrt{s} = 900 GeV recorded in December 2009. Data events are required to pass the same data-quality and event requirements as those used in Ref. [6]. These include a primary vertex reconstructed from two or more tracks with p_T > 100 MeV and transverse distance of closest approach to the beam-spot position of at most 4 mm. Events containing more than one primary vertex are rejected. After the selection, the fraction of events with more than one interaction in the same bunch crossing in these early LHC data is estimated to be at the 0.1% level and is neglected.

A sample of 20 × 10^6 nondiffractive minimum-bias MC events generated with PYTHIA using the early ATLAS MC09 tune [10,11] and GEANT4 [12] simulation is passed through the same reconstruction as the data sample. The distribution of the longitudinal position of the primary vertex in the simulated sample is reweighted to make it consistent with data. Samples of single-diffractive and double-diffractive events generated with the same tune are combined with the nondiffractive sample according to their relative total cross sections in the same manner as in Ref. [6]. The distributions of the longitudinal position of the primary vertex are found to be nearly identical in the simulated minimum-bias and diffractive samples. For some systematic studies, a fully simulated sample of events produced with the PHOJET generator [13] is used. To compare the data at particle level with different phenomenological models describing minimum-bias events, the following samples are also used:

(i) PYTHIA6 using the AMBT2B-CTEQ6L1 tune [14,15];
(ii) PYTHIA6 using the Perugia2011 tune [16] (CTEQ5L parton distribution functions (PDFs) [17]);
(iii) PYTHIA6 using the Z1 tune [18] (CTEQ5L PDFs);
(iv) PYTHIA8 using the 4C tune [19,20] (CTEQ6L1 PDFs);
(v) HERWIG++ 2.5.1 [21,22], using the UE7-2 underlying-event tune at 7 TeV and the MU900-2 minimum-bias tune at 900 GeV [23] (both with MRST2007LO* PDFs [24]).

IV. V^0 RECONSTRUCTION AND SELECTION

Tracks with p_T > 50 MeV are reconstructed within the |η| < 2.5 acceptance of the ID as described in detail in Refs. [6,25,26]. To form K_S^0 candidates, oppositely charged track pairs with p_T > 100 MeV and at least two silicon hits are fit to a common vertex, assuming the pion mass for both tracks. The K_S^0 candidates are required to satisfy the following criteria:

(i) The χ^2 of the two-track vertex fit is required to be less than 15 (with 1 degree of freedom).
(ii) The transverse flight distance, defined by the transverse distance between the secondary vertex (K_S^0 decay point) and the reconstructed primary vertex, is required to be between 4 mm and 450 mm.
(iii) The cosine of the pointing angle in the transverse plane (cosθ_K) between the K_S^0 momentum vector and the K_S^0 flight direction, defined as the line connecting the reconstructed primary vertex to the decay vertex, is required to be greater than 0.999 (equivalent to an angle of 2.56°).

For Λ and Λ̄ decays, the track with the higher p_T is assigned the proton mass and the other track is assigned the pion mass. In the simulated sample this identification is correct for 99.8% of the candidates. The Λ and Λ̄ candidates are required to satisfy the following criteria:

(i) The χ^2 of the two-track vertex fit is required to be less than 15 (with 1 degree of freedom).
(ii) The transverse flight distance is required to be between 17 mm and 450 mm.
(iii) The cosine of the pointing angle is required to be greater than 0.9998 (equivalent to an angle of 1.15°). 
(iv) The $p_T$ of the $\Lambda$ candidate is required to be greater than 500 MeV.

These requirements reduce the combinatorial background. The smaller signal-to-background ratio in the $\Lambda$ sample with respect to the $K_S^0$ sample requires a tighter pointing requirement, while the larger value of the flight-distance selection exploits the longer lifetime of the $\Lambda$ baryon. The minimum $p_T$ cut removes poorly reconstructed candidates. The distributions of the invariant mass of the $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$ candidates in the data and MC samples are shown in Fig. 1.

Figures 2 and 3 show the reconstruction efficiency of $K_S^0$, $\Lambda$, and $\Lambda$ candidates versus the radial position of the decay vertex, $p_T$, and rapidity. The efficiency is determined from simulation by comparing the number of generated $K_S^0$ hadrons with the number of reconstructed candidates after all selection criteria are applied. The efficiency turn-on curve versus $p_T$ is mainly an effect of tracking efficiency, while the radial plot clearly shows the drops in efficiency when crossing detector layers, reflecting the lower efficiency of reconstructing and selecting tracks that have fewer hits in the silicon detector. (The effect is most pronounced at the Pixel layers, located roughly at radii of 50, 80, and 120 mm).
V. EFFICIENCY AND CORRECTION PROCEDURE

The measured $K_0^S$ and $\Lambda$ production quantities are distributions versus rapidity and transverse momentum as well as the number of $K_0^S$ or $\Lambda$ candidates per event (the “multiplicity”). To remove the background from the $p_T$ and rapidity distributions, the reconstructed invariant-mass distribution is fitted for signal and background separately in every bin of $p_T$ and rapidity. The background-subtracted distributions are then corrected through an unfolding algorithm for detector resolution of the $p_T$ and rapidity measurements as well as for the reconstruction efficiency. In the measurement of the production ratio of $\bar{\Lambda}$ to $\Lambda$ baryons, a separate correction procedure is employed accounting for the difference in the detector response to positively and negatively charged baryons.

A. Corrections to $K_0^S$ and $\Lambda$ distributions

The corrections are evaluated separately for the 7 TeV and 900 GeV samples and are described sequentially below. The final distributions are normalized to unity by dividing by the total number of measured hadrons.

1. Background correction

The number of signal candidates in a given bin of the rapidity and transverse-momentum distributions is determined by fitting the invariant-mass spectrum of the $K_0^S$ or $\Lambda$ candidates in that bin. The value and statistical uncertainty on the bin are then determined from the fitted signal yield and its uncertainty. For the $K_0^S$ candidates the functional form that is found to describe well the shape in data combines the sum of two Gaussian shapes for the signal peak and a third-order polynomial for the combinatorial background. The means of the two Gaussian components are constrained to be the same, while the widths and relative fractions are determined from the fit. For the $\Lambda$ candidates a second-order polynomial is used for the background and the following modified Gaussian shape is used for the signal:

$$C \cdot \exp[-0.5 \cdot x^{1+1/(1+0.5 \cdot x)}], \quad x = \left| \frac{m - \mu}{\sigma} \right|,$$

where $m$ is the invariant mass and the fitted parameters are the normalization parameter $C$, the mean $\mu$, and the width $\sigma$. This shape is found to model the invariant mass better than the sum of two Gaussian shapes.

The results of the fits to the entire 7 TeV data and MC samples are summarized in Table I. The means of the mass peaks obtained from the fits in data are in reasonable agreement with simulation and with the world average [27]. The agreement demonstrates the accuracy of the track momentum scale and of the modeling of the inner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit mean [MeV]</th>
<th>World average [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_0^S$ data</td>
<td>497.536 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_0^S$ MC</td>
<td>497.495 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda$ data</td>
<td>1115.75 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda$ MC</td>
<td>1115.72 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Lambda}$ data</td>
<td>1115.81 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\Lambda}$ MC</td>
<td>1115.76 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3 (color online). The efficiency in 7 TeV MC for reconstructing $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates after all selection criteria versus the transverse flight distance (top), $p_T$ (middle), and rapidity (bottom). The uncertainties are statistical only.
detector’s 2 T solenoid magnetic field, which has been mapped to a precision of about 0.4 mT [28]. Although the deviation of data from the simulated and world-average values is statistically significant since the uncertainties do not include systematic effects, it is no larger than about 100 keV and does not affect the results presented in this paper, as the mean mass position is not directly used in the measurement.

The contamination from secondary $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$ production from long-lived baryon decays or nuclear interactions in the detector material is at the negligible level of 0.1% for $K_S^0$ decays in simulation and at the 10% level in the $\Lambda$ case, where it is subtracted from the measured data distributions. The modeling of secondary $\Lambda$ baryons is performed by varying the pointing-angle selection and comparing its efficiency between MC and data. The measured deviations at the level of 2% in the efficiency are assessed as a systematic uncertainty. The effect of $\Lambda$ contamination in the $K_S^0$ signal and vice versa is similarly studied and the contamination of less than 1% is included in the evaluation of systematic uncertainties.

2. Resolution correction

The PYTHIA MC09 simulation sample is used to fill a two-dimensional migration matrix, where one dimension is binned in the generated value of the variable of interest ($p_T$, rapidity, or multiplicity) and the other is binned in the reconstructed value of the same variable. This matrix thus models the effect of the experimental resolution on the true value of $p_T$ or rapidity for reconstructed candidates, which are matched to the generated candidates using a hit-based matching algorithm [26]. This matrix is then used to unfold the migration across bins in the background-subtracted distributions in data.

3. Efficiency correction

The resolution-corrected $p_T$ and rapidity distributions from the previous step are corrected bin by bin for the reconstruction efficiency, $\epsilon_i$, in a given bin $i$. The correction factor, $1/\epsilon_i$, is derived from the PYTHIA MC09 sample as the ratio of generated to reconstructed candidates in bin $i$ of the generated distribution. Only the generated $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$ hadrons originating from the primary vertex and decaying within the tracking acceptance are considered: the two pions (the proton and the pion) that the $K_S^0$ ($\Lambda$) hadron decays to are required to have $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 100$ MeV, while the $K_S^0$ or $\Lambda$ hadron itself is required to satisfy the appropriate minimum flight-distance requirement and a maximum flight-distance requirement of 450 mm, which corresponds to the effective acceptance imposed by the silicon hit-content selection on the tracks. The reconstructed distributions in data are thus corrected to particles produced within the same acceptance, as extrapolating to regions not probed by the inner detector would introduce a dependence on the MC generator model in the correction procedure. The efficiency derived from MC is binned in $p_T$ or rapidity and the effectiveness of the entire correction procedure is evaluated through pseudoexperiments where the PHOJET MC sample is unfolded using migration matrices filled from the PYTHIA MC09 sample. (See Sec. VI).

B. Corrections to the $\bar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ production ratio

The background in the $\bar{\Lambda}$ and $\Lambda$ distributions is subtracted in the same manner as the $K_S^0$ background but with the modified Gaussian shape for the signal component. As most systematic tracking effects cancel in the production ratio, the ratio is corrected only for the difference in reconstruction efficiency between $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ decays. This difference is mainly a consequence of the difference in tracking efficiency between protons (for $\Lambda$ candidates) and antiprotons (for $\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates) caused by different interactions with detector material. The correction is estimated from the MC sample in bins of $p_T$ and rapidity by comparing the reconstruction efficiency for $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ decays, which is shown in Fig. 3. The ALICE experiment has reported that the nuclear-interaction cross section of antiprotons used by GEANT4 is overestimated [1,29], resulting in an overestimated efficiency difference between $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ reconstruction as shown in Fig. 3. Validation and correction of the model of detector material and the GEANT modeling of material-interaction cross sections and the associated systematic uncertainties are described in Sec. VI.

VI. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The systematic uncertainties are evaluated separately for the measurement of the $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$ distributions and for the measurement of the $\bar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ production ratio. For the $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$ distributions, systematic uncertainties are evaluated for the reconstruction efficiency, the background-subtraction procedure, the method of correcting for the resolution and efficiency, and the event selection. For the measurement of the $\bar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ production ratio, the modeling of proton and antiproton reconstruction, the effect of $\Lambda$ baryons interacting with the detector material before decaying, and the production of secondary $\Lambda$ baryons are considered.

A. Reconstruction efficiency

The systematic uncertainty on the efficiency is evaluated by comparing impact-parameter distributions between the MC and data samples. This uncertainty is then cross-checked by comparing decay-time distributions with the lifetime of $K_S^0$ mesons and comparing the selection efficiencies between MC and data.
The systematic uncertainty on the tracking efficiency is evaluated using the transverse impact parameter, $d_0$, of the tracks produced in the $K^0_S$ or $\Lambda$ decay. The $d_0$ measurement is sensitive to different orientations of tracks with respect to the primary vertex and it is correlated with the measured flight distance of the $K^0_S$ candidate through the vertexing of the decay point. Figures 4 and 5 show a comparison of the reconstructed $d_0$ distributions in the data and MC samples.

In a given two-dimensional $p_T$-rapidity bin, the $d_0$ distribution in the MC sample is normalized to data. The absolute values of the deviations between data and MC for all $d_0$ bins are summed, corrected for the expected value from statistical fluctuations, and divided by the integral of the distribution. This summed relative difference is then assigned as the relative systematic uncertainty on the efficiency in that $p_T$-rapidity bin. The two-dimensional $p_T$-rapidity uncertainty map is then projected onto each axis to determine the one-dimensional uncertainty on the efficiency versus either $p_T$ or rapidity. The two-dimensional $p_T$-rapidity uncertainty map is then projected onto each axis to determine the one-dimensional uncertainty on the efficiency versus either $p_T$ or rapidity. The two-dimensional $p_T$-rapidity uncertainty map is then projected onto each axis to determine the one-dimensional uncertainty on the efficiency versus either $p_T$ or rapidity.

### 2. Decay-time distributions

The distribution of the $K^0_S$ proper decay time is used to cross-check the modeling of the reconstruction efficiency in MC simulation. This method is sensitive to the variation of efficiency versus flight distance and $p_T$, as both are correlated with the decay time. The background-subtracted decay-time distribution in data is unfolded in the same manner as the $p_T$ and rapidity distributions, accounting for bin migration and efficiency separately according to the MC corrections. The unfolded distribution in data is then fitted with an exponential shape and the lifetime compared with the world-average value. The fitted value of the lifetime, $89.37 \pm 0.13$ ps, is consistent with the world-average value of $89.58$ ps to better than $0.3\%$, indicating excellent modeling of the variation of tracking efficiency versus flight distance.

### 3. Selection requirements

Although the previous two methods already include systematic uncertainties due to the flight-distance and

FIG. 4 (color online). The distribution of the reconstructed transverse impact parameter in 7 TeV data and MC for pions originating in $K^0_S$ decays after all selection criteria are imposed.

FIG. 5 (color online). The distribution of the reconstructed transverse impact parameter in 7 TeV data and MC for protons and antiprotons originating in $\Lambda$ (top) and $\bar{\Lambda}$ decays (bottom) with $p_T > 500$ MeV after all selection criteria are imposed.
kinematic selection criteria, the separate systematic effect of the selection requirements is studied as an additional cross-check on the reconstruction efficiency; the result of this study is not included in the total uncertainty. The signal efficiency of each criterion is evaluated by fitting the invariant-mass distribution before and after the selection is imposed in the same manner as in the background subtraction, with all other selection criteria already applied. The difference between the data and MC samples in the value of this efficiency is taken as a measure of how accurately the selection is modeled in the MC sample. The deviation is evaluated in bins of $p_T$ and rapidity, with the finest granularity allowed by the stability and precision of the fitting procedure. For the silicon hit-content, flight-distance, track-momentum, and $\chi^2$ requirements, the deviation is at the 1% level in most bins and under 2% in all bins. For the pointing-angle requirement, the deviation is at the 2% level in most regions, but can reach higher levels in a few bins in regions of large material and at low $p_T$. These systematic effects due to the selection requirements are consistent with the quoted systematic uncertainties obtained from the impact-parameter study.

B. Background

The systematic uncertainty on the background subtraction is evaluated by comparing the signal yield from the fit to the invariant-mass distribution with the number obtained by simple sideband subtraction. The deviation for the $K_0^s$ candidates is at the 1% level in the barrel rapidity region and rises to roughly 4% in the forward rapidity region, as can be seen in Fig. 6. The uncertainty for the $\Lambda$ candidates is roughly twice as large, as can be seen in Fig. 7, reflecting the smaller signal-to-background levels. The 2% uncertainty due to secondary $\Lambda$ production is also included in Fig. 7.

C. Correction procedure for resolution and efficiency

To test the accuracy of the unfolding procedure, the reconstructed $p_T$ and rapidity distributions in the PHOJET MC sample are unfolded using the corrections derived from the PYTHIA MC sample. As the difference between the PHOJET and PYTHIA distributions is larger than the difference between the PYTHIA and data distributions, this is a conservative test of any model dependence in the unfolding procedure. To remove the effect of statistical...
fluctuations, the reconstructed distribution in the PHOJET sample is used to generate 10 000 pseudoexperiments by Poisson variation of each bin. The pseudoexperiments are then unfolded and the residual distribution for each $p_T$ or rapidity bin with respect to the particle-level distribution in the PHOJET sample is fitted to a Gaussian shape. The fitted residual mean is an indication of the bias due to the unfolding procedure in the bin, while the width is an estimate of the statistical uncertainty on the unfolding. The bias is at the 3% level or less in most $K_0^0$ rapidity bins and at the 5% level in the $p_T$ bins with most of the $K_0^0$ candidates. For the $\Lambda$ candidates, the bias is at the 8% level in most rapidity bins and at the 5% level in the $p_T$ bins with most of the candidates. These biases are assigned as the systematic uncertainty on the unfolding procedure. The bias due to unfolding the multiplicity distribution is evaluated in a similar manner, with the resulting uncertainty rising with multiplicity and reaching the 20% level in the three-candidate bin in the $K_0^0$ case and 40% in the $\Lambda$ case.

The statistical uncertainty on the corrected distributions in data is evaluated from the spread in the residual distribution when unfolding 10 000 pseudoexperiments generated from the reconstructed data distributions. These uncertainties include both the fluctuations in the reconstructed distribution itself and any statistical spread from the correction procedure.

### D. Event selection

As the data sample and event selection requirements in this measurement are identical to those used in Ref. [6], the systematic uncertainties on the event selection are taken directly from that analysis. These include uncertainties on the presence of beam backgrounds, the trigger efficiency, the efficiency of primary vertexing, and the presence of additional primary vertices from pileup collisions. The total systematic uncertainty on the number of $K_0^0$ and $\Lambda$ hadrons due to the event selection is 0.1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systematic uncertainty</th>
<th>Antiproton cross section ($p_T$-dependent)</th>
<th>±1.0–2.8%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction with material</td>
<td>±3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary production</td>
<td>±1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>±3.5–4.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II. Summary of all systematic uncertainties on the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ production ratio, in %.

![FIG. 8](color online). The corrected $p_T$ distribution of $K_0^0$ mesons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.

![FIG. 9](color online). The corrected rapidity distribution of $K_0^0$ mesons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.
E. Total uncertainty on $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ production

All the systematic and statistical uncertainties on the $K^0_S$ distributions in 7 TeV data are summarized in Fig. 6. The total uncertainty, which is dominated by the systematic component, is at the 5% level in the peak of the $p_T$ distribution and rises to 10% at higher $p_T$. In the rapidity distribution, the uncertainty is at 4% in the central region and rises to 6–8% in the forward region. Figure 7 summarizes the systematic and statistical uncertainties on the $\Lambda$ distributions, which are larger everywhere but show qualitatively similar behavior.

F. Systematic uncertainty on the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ ratio

Several systematic effects on the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ production ratio are considered:

(i) The modeling of the interaction cross section for antiprotons in detector material and its difference from the corresponding cross section for protons;

(ii) The interactions of $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons in the detector material before decaying;

(iii) Contamination from secondary $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons.

1. Modeling of proton and antiproton reconstruction

The cross sections used by the GEANT4 simulation to model the nuclear interactions of antiprotons with material have been found to be overestimated by the ALICE experiment [1,29]. Any such overestimate biases the correction to the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ ratio described in Sec. V B. To constrain the accuracy of the GEANT4 model, patterns of hits on tracks in the outermost two layers of the SCT are compared between data and MC. For tracks that have hits in the three Pixel layers and the first two SCT layers, the fraction that do not have hits in the outer two layers is a measure of the inefficiency due to material interactions in those layers. This inefficiency is compared between data and MC for protons (antiprotons) coming from the selected $\Lambda$ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) candidates and corrected for background contributions using the invariant-mass sidebands. While the data and MC are consistent for proton tracks, the efficiency for antiprotons is significantly lower in MC than in data, consistent with the expectation that the interaction cross section for antiprotons is overestimated in GEANT4. Comparing the ratio of antiproton-to-proton efficiency in the outer two layers between data and MC, a multiplicative correction factor to the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ ratio is extracted as a function of $p_T$ of the $\Lambda$ candidate. This factor ranges from 0.9 at
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**FIG. 10** (color online). The corrected multiplicity distribution of $K^0_S$ mesons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.
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**FIG. 11** (color online). The corrected $p_T$ distribution of $K^0_S$ mesons in 900 GeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.
$p_T = 500$ MeV to 0.99 at $p_T = 2$ GeV. ($\Lambda$ candidates below 500 MeV are rejected as not enough proton candidates are reconstructed at low $p_T$ to reliably evaluate the correction factor for these candidates.) As several correction factors can be formed from various combinations of hit patterns in the outer two layers, the largest variation among them is taken as a systematic uncertainty on this correction. This uncertainty ranges from 5% at $p_T = 500$ MeV to about 1% at $p_T = 2$ GeV. As an additional cross-check, a sample of protons is selected using the specific energy loss $dE/dx$ measurement in the Pixel detector [30] and similar data-MC correction factors are calculated using the efficiency to extend the Pixel tracks to the SCT. The results of the $dE/dx$ method are consistent with the hit-pattern study.

2. Interactions with material before decay and secondary $\Lambda$ production

When evaluated versus the radial position of the decay vertex, the reconstructed $\bar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ ratio shows sharp discrete changes of up to 10% at the detector layers. In the MC sample, the dominant cause of this effect is the asymmetric interaction of $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons with the detector material before decay, since such interactions preclude the reconstruction of the final state of interest. In addition, roughly 15% of the effect is caused by secondary baryons asymmetrically produced at the detector layers by nuclear interactions of other particles. To constrain the modeling of these effects in the MC sample, the difference between data and MC in the change of the ratio at the detector layers is evaluated. The data/MC differences at every layer of the tracker are added together and the sum is assessed as a systematic uncertainty. Although the value varies in different regions of the detector due to detector geometry, the largest value of 2.6% (obtained in the central region) is conservatively assigned to the entire measured tracking acceptance. Other evaluations of possible effects of interactions with material in the MC sample yield an additional 1.5% uncertainty, for a total uncertainty of 3%. Although the radial study already includes the effect of secondary $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons produced at the detector layers, an additional uncertainty of 1.5% evaluated from the MC sample is assessed to account for the effect of $\Lambda$ baryons produced in the decay of heavier strange baryons.

**FIG. 12** (color online). The corrected rapidity distribution of $K_S^0$ mesons in 900 GeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.

**FIG. 13** (color online). The corrected multiplicity distribution of $K_S^0$ mesons in 900 GeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.
3. Total uncertainty on $\Lambda$ production ratio

The systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table II. The uncertainty is largest at low $p_T$, where it is at the 4.5% level, and approaches the 3.5% level at higher $p_T$, where the effect of the proton and antiproton modeling in GEANT4 is smallest.

VII. RESULTS

In all corrected distributions, $K^0_S$ mesons are required to have a flight distance between 4 mm and 450 mm and to decay to two charged pions with $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 100$ MeV, while $\Lambda$ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ baryons are required to have $p_T > 500$ MeV, flight distance between 17 mm and 450 mm, and to decay to a proton and a pion with $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 100$ MeV. Only $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ hadrons consistent with originating from the primary vertex are considered. The $p_T$ and rapidity distributions are normalized to the number of $K^0_S$ or $\Lambda$ hadrons, while the multiplicity distributions are normalized to the total number of events with two charged particles satisfying $p_T > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The multiplicity distributions are corrected for branching fractions to the measured final states using world-average values [27]. Predictions from several MC generators are shown with the same acceptance requirements.

**Fig. 14** (color online). The corrected $p_T$ distribution of $\Lambda$ baryons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.

**Fig. 15** (color online). The corrected rapidity distribution of $\Lambda$ baryons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.

Figures 8 and 9 show the corrected production distributions of $K^0_S$ mesons versus transverse momentum and rapidity, respectively, in 7 TeV data. Figure 10 shows the distribution of $K^0_S$ multiplicity in 7 TeV data. Figures 11 and 12 show the corrected production distributions of $K^0_S$ mesons versus transverse momentum and rapidity, respectively, in 900 GeV data, while Fig. 13 shows the distribution of $K^0_S$ multiplicity in 900 GeV data. Figures 14 and 15 show the corrected production distributions of $\Lambda$ baryons versus transverse momentum and rapidity, respectively, in 7 TeV data, while Fig. 16 shows the distribution of $\Lambda$ multiplicity in 7 TeV data. Figures 17 and 18 show the corrected production distributions of $\Lambda$ baryons versus transverse momentum and rapidity, respectively, in 900 GeV data, while Fig. 19 shows the distribution of $\Lambda$ multiplicity in 900 GeV data.

The fully corrected $\bar{\Lambda}/\Lambda$ production ratio is shown in Fig. 20 versus the absolute value of rapidity and in Fig. 21 versus $p_T$, along with predictions from several MC models. The ratio is shown only for candidates with $p_T > 500$ MeV. The corrected ratio is consistent with unity everywhere, while the uncertainties within the barrel, transition, and end-cap regions in rapidity are highly correlated due to common detector corrections and systematic effects. The measurement is statistically limited at higher
while at lower $p_T$ the systematic effects of the modeling of antiproton reconstruction in simulation dominate the uncertainty. Figures 22 and 23 show the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ production ratio in 900 GeV data.

**VIII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

While the shape of the rapidity distribution for $K_S^0$ mesons in 7 TeV data agrees with the hadron-level PYTHIA distributions to 5% (Fig. 9), the PYTHIA tunes fall more slowly than data versus $p_T$ above 2 GeV (Fig. 8), although the deviations are within 15% everywhere except at the lowest $p_T$ bin. This shape discrepancy is much improved from the earlier generation of tunes used in ATLAS, as the current models have been tuned using minimum-bias data from the LHC experiments. The best agreement is observed in the PYTHIA6 Z1 tune, but the variation among the PYTHIA tunes is small. Although the shape of the HERWIG++ distribution (UE7-2 tune) agrees with data above 3 GeV, it does a poor job at lower momenta. All of the MC models underestimate the number of $K_S^0$ mesons per minimum-bias event (Fig. 10), but the experimental uncertainties preclude drawing a significant conclusion about the shape of the multiplicity distribution.

In the case of $\Lambda$ baryons at 7 TeV, all of the tunes disagree with data at high-$p_T$ and to a greater degree than in the $K_S^0$ case (Fig. 14). The worst agreement is for PYTHIA8, which deviates from data by a factor of about 2.5 at the highest measured momenta. The Perugia2011 and Z1 tunes also significantly overestimate the production of $\Lambda$ baryons per event at both energies (Fig. 16).

The AMBT2B tune agrees with 900 GeV data for $K_S^0$ mesons to better than about 25% across the whole $p_T$ range (Fig. 11), while HERWIG++ (MU900-2 tune) disagrees with data more strongly than in the 7 TeV case (UE7-2 tune). The number of $K_S^0$ mesons per event (Fig. 13) is underestimated as in the 7 TeV data. In the $\Lambda$ $p_T$ distribution (Fig. 17) all tunes agree with data better at 900 GeV than at 7 TeV.

The $\Lambda/\Lambda$ production ratio at both energies is consistent with unity everywhere and does not show a significant variation with either rapidity or $p_T$ within our total uncertainties. HERWIG++ (MU900-2 tune) shows a decrease in the ratio versus both $p_T$ and rapidity at 900 GeV that is not reproduced by the data (Fig. 22). The measurement is consistent with other antibaryon-baryon ratio measurements from the ALICE, LHCb, and STAR experiments.

![Graph 16](image16.png)  
**FIG. 16 (color online).** The corrected multiplicity distribution of $\Lambda$ baryons in 7 TeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, which are normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.

![Graph 17](image17.png)  
**FIG. 17 (color online).** The corrected $p_T$ distribution of $\Lambda$ baryons in 900 GeV data compared with the hadron-level distributions in the MC samples for a variety of tunes, normalized to unity. The bottom part of the plot shows the ratio of the MC and data distributions, with the shaded band showing the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the data sample added in quadrature.
Measurements from several other experiments are shown in Fig. 24 in terms of the difference between the rapidity of the observed baryons and the rapidity of the proton beam ($y_{\text{beam}} = 8.9$ and $6.9$ at 7 TeV and 900 GeV, respectively), along with a combined fit to the following functional form [29] that has been found empirically to describe the data at several energies:

$$\frac{1}{\text{ratio}} = 1 + C \times e^{(\alpha_f - \alpha_p)\Delta y},$$

where $\alpha_f$ and $\alpha_p$ are related to the string-junction and Pomeron models, respectively. Following Ref. [29], the parameters are fixed to $\alpha_f = 0.5$ and $\alpha_p = 1.2$ and the value $C = 4.6 \pm 0.5$ is obtained from the fit, assuming that the uncertainties are uncorrelated among the measurements.

In summary, measurements are presented of the $p_T$, rapidity, and multiplicity distributions of $K^0_S$ and $\Lambda$ production in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector, as well as the $\Lambda/\Lambda$ production ratio. The data results are compared with several recent PYTHIA MC models that were tuned on early LHC data and are found to describe the data significantly better than the previous generation of tunes. All PYTHIA tunes underestimate the production of $K^0_S$ mesons per event and overestimate the production of $\Lambda$ baryons per event. The HERWIG++ tunes significantly disagree with data in both $p_T$ and multiplicity at the respective energies. Despite the general
improvement in the agreement with data, no considered model agrees in both the $p_T$ and multiplicity quantities simultaneously, indicating the need for further model development. The $\Lambda/\Lambda$ ratio is consistent with unity in data, indicating that no significant transport of baryon number to midrapidities is present, in accordance with standard model predictions and measurements from other experiments.
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