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Abstract

Mutations in the presenilin genes cause the majority of early-onset familial Alzheimer’s disease. Recently, presenilin mutations have been identified in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), a common cause of heart failure and the most prevalent diagnosis in cardiac transplantation patients. However, the molecular mechanisms, by which presenilin mutations lead to either AD or DCM, are not yet understood. We have employed transgenic Drosophila models and optical coherence tomography imaging technology to analyze cardiac function in live adult Drosophila. Silencing of Drosophila ortholog of presenilins (dPsn) led to significantly reduced heart rate and remarkably age-dependent increase in end-diastolic vertical dimensions. In contrast, overexpression of dPsn increased heart rate. Either overexpression or silencing of dPsn resulted in irregular heartbeat rhythms accompanied by cardiomyofibril defects and mitochondrial impairment. The calcium channel receptor activities in cardiac cells were quantitatively determined via real-time RT-PCR. Silencing of dPsn elevated dIP3R expression, and reduced dSERCA expression; overexpression of dPsn led to reduced dRyR expression. Moreover, overexpression of dPsn in wing disc resulted in loss of wing phenotype and reduced expression of wingless. Our data provide novel evidence that changes in presenilin level leads to cardiac dysfunction, owing to aberrant calcium channel receptor activities and disrupted Wnt signaling transduction, indicating a pathogenic role for presenilin mutations in DCM pathogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder and the most common form of dementia in the elderly, affecting one in 10 individuals over 65 and nearly half over 85 years old (http://www.alz.org/). The presenilin 1 and 2 genes (PSEN1 and PSEN2) encode highly conserved polytopic membrane proteins (PS1 and PS2) that are required for γ-secretase activity [1]. Mutations in presenilins underlie the majority of cases of early-onset familial AD. Most mutations in presenilins increase the relative ratio between the longer (Aβ42) and shorter (Aβ40) amyloid peptides (Aβ42/Aβ40) [2]. Aβ42 is the main component of amyloid plaques in the brains of AD patients, and the progressive formation of amyloid plaques is regarded as a key neuropathological feature of AD [3]. Recently, mutations in presenilins have also been reported in dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) patients [4, 5], indicative of allelic heterogeneity. DCM is defined clinically by dilatation and impaired contraction of one or both ventricles. DCM occurs in all ages, most common between 20–60 years. DCM causes roughly one-third of cases of congestive heart failure and is the most prevalent diagnosis in individuals referred for cardiac transplantation. Half of DCM patients die within 15 years after diagnosis [6]. Presenilin mutations were identified in DCM patients in the PSEN1 5’ promoter and a large cytoplasmic loop between transmembrane domains six and seven, and PSEN2 NH2 terminus [4, 5]. Presenilin mutations have also commonly been found in 20% of severe DCM patients who required heart transplantation due to heart failure [5]. The PSEN1 5’ promoter region variants in DCM patients lead to significant reduction in transcriptional activities and decreased expression of PS1 protein in the myocardium [5]. The PSEN2-R62H missense mutation in DCM patients enhanced PS2 degradation, reduced PS2 stability in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, and altered compromised PS2 function in Notch signaling in C. elegans [7]. Together, these data suggest that PSEN1 and PSEN2 mutations or variants contribute to DCM pathogenesis through loss of gene function, and implicate novel mechanisms of cardiomyopathy.

Both AD and DCM are associated with high morbidity and mortality, but currently have no cure. The molecular mechanisms by which presenilin mutations lead to DCM pathogenesis are not yet understood. AD brain contains Aβ oligomers, which have been shown to impair neurotransmission [8]. Likewise, amyloid oligomers have been found in the failing heart of DCM patients associated with cardiomyocyte apoptosis [5], in cardiomyocytes derived from human heart-failure patients, and in mice model of desmin-related cardiomyopathy [9]. Protein misfolding is implicated in the etiology and pathogenesis of both AD and DCM [5]. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) is a multifunctional protein that plays a key role in the metabolism of cholesterol and triglycerides; APOE gene ε4 variant is not only the largest known genetic risk factor for late-onset AD [10], but also increases both low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level and coronary heart disease risk [11]. Moreover, cerebral angiopathy contributes to cognitive decline and dementia in AD patients and mouse models [12]. The expression of vascular MEOX2 is low in AD; silencing of MEOX2 in brain capillaries results in aberrant brain angiogenesis, reduced vascular density and faulty clearance of Aβ [13]. These indicate the connection between AD and cardiovascular diseases.

PSEN1 and PSEN2 are strongly expressed in the heart and essential for cardiac morphogenesis [14, 15]. To date, there is no reported information regarding the in vivo functional role of PS1 in adult heart. Mice null for Ps1 are either dead at birth (Ps1−/−) or
embryonically lethal (Ps1−/−/Ps2−/+), exhibiting multiple developmental defects including a cardiac anomaly [15]. The basic mechanisms of heart development and control of cardiac function are highly conserved between human and *Drosophila melanogaster*, or the fruit fly, which has been successfully used to characterize genes associated with human diseases, including cardiomyopathy [16, 17]. Moreover, the morphological and rhythm changes can be readily analyzed in the relatively simple organization of fly heart with an emerging medical imaging technology optical coherence tomography (OCT) [16, 18, 19]. OCT enables real-time, in vivo, micron-scale and three-dimensional imaging of biological tissues [20]. OCT has been used for a wide range of clinical applications in human, including ophthalmology, endoscopy, cardiovascular imaging [20–22], and recently, studying cardiac functions in live *Drosophila* [23].

The human *PSEN1* and *PSEN2* are represented by a highly conserved single ortholog, *dPsn*, in *Drosophila* [24, 25]. To better understand the pathogenic role(s) of presenilin mutations in DCM, we have employed transgenic *Drosophila* models to analyze the effects of overexpression or RNAi silencing of *dPsn* on cardiac function, assessed by employing the noninvasive OCT technology. In addition, we also examined heart ultrastructure, calcium channel receptor level, wing development and expression of the wingless (wg) protein, the central component of Wnt signaling transduction pathway that regulates cardiac development.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Transgenic Flies and Fly Culture**

Fly culture and crosses were carried out according to standard procedures. The following fly strains were used: *GMR-GAL4* [26, 27], *Sd-GAL4* [28], *PtC-GAL4* [29], *24B-GAL4* [30], 2XUAS-*dPsn*; GMR-GAL4 [25] and UAS-*dPsnRNAi* [31].

**Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) for Drosophila Cardiac Function Assessment**

The OCT system used is modified based on a swept source OCT engine (Thorlabs Inc). To study the cardiac function, the flies were anesthetized with Fly Nap for 2 minutes and mounted on glass slides before imaging. Two-dimensional cross-sectional images consisting 64 A-lines covering 250 μm over the heart chamber were continuously acquired for 5 seconds at ~120 fps. The imaging plane was consistently chosen to be within segments A6–A7 of the *Drosophila* heart chamber. This OCT system achieved a finer imaging resolution and significantly improved imaging speed compared to previously reported OCT apparatus for *Drosophila* heart imaging [23]. Three to four repeated measurements were acquired for each fly. The same experimental groups were repeatedly measured and the results were included in the final data. Post-processing the image data was performed with custom written Matlab (Math-works, Inc) codes to obtain the dimensions of the heart chamber for each frame. M-mode image over the center of the chamber was generated together with the dimension over time. Functional parameters, including heart rate (HR, beat per minute, BPM), rhythmicity of the heartbeat, and the end-systolic and end-diastolic vertical dimensions (ESD and EDD, μm) and fraction shorting (FS, %), were extracted from the dimension plots. The prevalence of arrhythmia was quantitatively analyzed via identifying irregular heartbeat rhythm in the dimension plots for the number of arrhythmia flies in each group (%).

**Heart Ultrastructure Analysis by Transmission Electron Microscopy**

10–15 fly hearts from 30-day-old flies of each genotype group were fixed, embedded, sectioned and examined as modified from previously in a JEOL JEM 1011 transmission
electron microscope for ultrastructure analyses [32]. In brief, tissues were fixed in glutaraldehyde, stained en bloc, dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol and then embedded in Epon. Thin sections were cut, collected on formvar-coated grids, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a JEOL JEM 1011 transmission electron microscope at 80 KV. Images were collected using an Advanced Microscopy Techniques digital imaging system.

**Immmuno-Staining and Western Blot Analyses**

The third instar wing discs were fixed, blocked and probed with primary and secondary antibodies accordingly. Wings from adult flies were dissected in isopropanol and mounted in Canada Balsam mounting medium. Western Blot analyses were performed and repeated at least twice using protein isolated from 7-day old fly heart and muscle and the intensity of signals was analyzed using image program Quantity One version 4.6.2. In brief, the levels of analyzed proteins were normalized using the levels of dActin for loading differences controls, and quantification in total protein amounts and presented as the percentage of those in the transgenic flies in comparison with that in controls. The following anti-bodies were used: Mouse anti-wg antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 1:1000); the secondary anti-mouse Alexa 546 (Invitrogen, 1:200); Rabbit-anti-dPsn (Genescript, against CTF, 1:1000); mouse anti-actin (DSHB; 1:1000).

**CDNA synthesis and Real-Time RT-PCR**

The cDNAs used for real time RT-PCR were synthesized from total RNA isolated from heart and muscle of 7-day old adult Drosophila. The cDNA was synthesized using SUPERSCRIPT Preamplification System for First-Strand cDNA Synthesis (Invitrogen). Real-time RT-PCR quantification with dlP3R, dRyR, dSERCA or dActin-specific sense and antisense primers was done on an iCycler (BIORAD) using SYBR Green PCR Core Reagents (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. House-keeping gene dActin as internal control was co-amplified under the same PCR conditions. All standards and unknown samples were run in triplicates per reaction. The fluorescence intensity was calculated using iCycler software version 3.1. The expressions of dlP3R, dRyR and dSERCA were given as relative number of copies (%) of mRNA molecules, as calibrated by co-amplification of dActin. dlP3R, dRyR and dSERCA levels were shown as Mean ± Standard Deviation (Std) of repeated experiments. p values were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t test. p<0.05 is defined statistically significant.

**Statistical Analyses of Cardiac Function**

The cardiac functions, including HR, ESD and EDD and FS, were compared between 7-day old and 30-day old flies overexpressing or silencing dPsn vs. age-matched controls and between 7-day old and 30-day old flies of each genotype group. We used a SAS GLM program for statistical analyses. Results were shown as Mean ± Standard Error (SE). p<0.05 is defined statistically significant (actual p values are shown).

**RESULTS**

**Cardiac Function and Heart Ultrastructure Analysis**

We employed the well established UAS-dPsn or UAS-dPsn-RNAi transgenic flies and 24B-GAL4 driver [30] to overexpress or RNAi silence full-length wild-type (WT) dPsn specifically in fly heart and muscle [24, 25, 30, 31]. The 24B-GAL4 line allows targeted expression in mesoderm and all cardiac and muscular cells with a uniform and high level of expression in the most anterior heart cells [33]. Flies in which dPsn was either overexpressed or silenced by 24B-GAL4 appeared normal at eclosion. We quantitatively
measured cardiac function, including heart rate (HR, beat per minute, BPM), rhythmicity of the heartbeat, the end-systolic and end-diastolic vertical dimensions -ESD and EDD (μm), fraction shorting (FS, %), in adult flies with a customized OCT system.

We analyzed 7-day and 30-day old adult male flies overexpressing \(dPsn\) (UAS-dPsn; 24B-GAL4) or RNAi silencing \(dPsn\) (UAS-dPsnRNAi; 24B-GAL4) in comparison with that of age-matched male controls (24B-GAL4/+). Compared with age-matched controls, at either 7-day, 30-day old age group alone, or 7-day and 30-day old combined age group, overexpression of \(dPsn\) significantly increased HR; in contrast, silencing of \(dPsn\) significantly decreased HR. Remarkably, either overexpression or RNAi silencing of \(dPsn\) in heart resulted in very irregular heartbeats: heartbeat rhythm changed rapidly between fast and slow pace within 1–2 seconds, or vice versa. Quantitative analysis of arrhythmia prevalence demonstrated that silencing of \(dPsn\) significantly increased the number of flies with arrhythmia at 30-day old flies (57%) or combined age group (54%) compared to that in age-matched control flies. Likewise, overexpression of \(dPsn\) resulted in significant increase in the number of flies with arrhythmia at 30-day old flies (46%) compared to 7-day old flies (19%). Control flies aging from 7-day to 30-day old barely changed arrhythmia prevalence (26% to 27%). Overexpression of \(dPsn\) decreased ESD at 30-day old flies or combined age group. Flies in which \(dPsn\) was silenced showed significantly reduced ESD (30-day old flies alone and combined age group) and EDD (7-day old flies and combined age group). Compared with 7-day old flies, 30-day old flies in which \(dPsn\) was silenced exhibited a significant decrease in HR and a remarkable increase in EDD and FS. 30-day old of flies in which \(dPsn\) was overexpressed or control flies also showed a trend for age-dependent decrease in HR and significant increase in EDD compared with that in 7-day old flies (Table 1).

Consistent with cardiac function alterations, analysis of heart ultrastructure by transmission electron microscopy revealed normal myofibril structure in control flies Fig. (3A). In contrast, myofibrils of heart from flies overexpressing \(dPsn\) exhibited irregular structure with vacuoles, a broadening of Z-line, and swollen mitochondria Fig. (3B). RNAi silencing of \(dPsn\) led to an overall decrease in the density of myofibrils with vacuoles, a breaking Z-line, and severely degenerative mitochondria with impaired structure Fig. (3C).

To assess the effects of RNAi silencing or overexpression of \(dPsn\) in transgenic flies, we carried out Western blot analysis using protein lysates isolated from the heart and muscle of 7-day old flies Fig. (4). Silencing of \(dPsn\) led to a 60% decrease in \(dPsn\) expression, and overexpression of \(dPsn\) led to a 180% increase in \(dPsn\) expression as compared to controls Fig. (4).

**Calcium Channel Receptor Expression**

In cardiac muscle, \(Ca^{2+}\) influx during depolarization of action potential initiates the sequence of events leading to contraction. \(Ca^{2+}\) signaling is primarily modulated by the functional properties of \(Ca^{2+}\) release channels. There are two main types of \(Ca^{2+}\) release channels, the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (IP\(_3\)R) and the ryanodine receptor (RyR) [34].

The removal of cytosolic \(Ca^{2+}\) allows for relaxation of muscle fibres. The \(Ca^{2+}\) uptake into sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) store occurs predominately by the cardiac isomorph of the SR \(Ca^{2+}\)-ATPase pump SERCA2a [34]. We have identified that the human \(IP_3R, RyR\) and \(SERCA2a\) are represented by highly conserved single orthologs in *Drosophila*, as *dIP_3R* (*Itp-r83A*), *dRyR* (*Rya-r44F*) and *dSERCA* (*Ca-P60A*) (www.flybase.org). We quantitatively determined the expression of *dIP_3R, dRyR* and *dSERCA* levels via real-time RT-PCR amplification of cDNA samples from 7-day old flies in which \(dPsn\) was overexpressed.
(UAS-dPsn; 24B-GAL4), or silenced (UAS-dPsn\textsuperscript{RNAi}; 24B-GAL4) and control (24B-GAL4/+). dip\textsubscript{3R}, dRyR and dSERCA levels were normalized by co-amplified internal control gene dActin. dip\textsubscript{3R} expression levels were 1.17 fold higher in flies overexpressing dPsn, and 2.19-fold higher in flies dPsn was silenced as compared to controls Fig. (5A). Overexpressing of dPsn led to significantly decreased dRyR expression (60% of control levels), while silencing of dPsn slightly increased dRyR expression (1.05-fold of control levels) Fig. (5B). Furthermore, overexpressing of dPsn slightly increased dSERCA expression (1.1-fold of control levels), and silencing of dPsn significantly decreased dSERCA expression (40% of control levels) Fig. (5C).

Wing Development and Wingless Expression

The UAS promoter used in the dPsn transgenic models allows for conditional overexpression or silencing of dPsn with specific GAL4 drivers in the wing or other tissues, in addition to heart. Drosophila adult wing and thoracic body wall arise from the larval wing disc. Wing development is regulated by multiple signaling pathways, including Wnt, Notch, epidermal growth factor receptor, hedgehog and de-capentaplegic. The wing pattern provides an important tool in isolating and characterizing genes affecting multiple signaling pathways, e.g. Notch and wg [35]. To assess the potential role of dPsn in wing development, we ectopically overexpressed or RNAi silenced dPsn in wing disc using two wing-specific GAL4 drivers, Sd-GAL4 and Ptc-GAL4.

Sd-GAL4 drives the overexpression of dPsn in wing disc in the pattern of scalloped (sd) gene, which regulates the expression of a number of targeted genes including wg, the central component of Wnt signaling transduction pathway [28]. Flies in which dPsn was silenced by RNAi in the wing by the Sd-GAL4 driver were embryonically lethal. Compared with control flies (Sd-GAL4+/+) Fig. (6A), overexpression of dPsn (UAS-dPsn; Sd-GAL4) in wing disc resulted in the complete loss of both wings, which were consistently observed in over 200 flies in repeated experiments Fig. (6D). We next examined the expression of wg protein by immunostaining 30 wing discs dissected from the third instar larvae with anti-wg antibody. wg was expressed as a broad strip in the notum, a thinner strip in the prospective wing margin-dorsal/ventral (D/V) boundary, and a strip encircling the prospective wing blade in WT Fig. (6B). Consistent with the phenotype observed in adult flies, there was moderately decreased wg expression in flies overexpressing dPsn, especially in the prospective wing blade region Fig. (6E), along with morphological alterations in wing discs. Compared with control flies Fig. (6C), wing discs of flies overexpressing dPsn were thinner, and reduced in size, especially in the dorsal/ventral compartment suggesting disc degeneration Fig. (6F). Together, these data showed that overexpression of dPsn in wing disc by Sd-GAL4 results in severe wing malformation and reduces wg expression.

Patched (Ptc) is a segment polarity gene that regulates the development of wing compartments centering at the anterior/posterior border (defined between L3 and L4 vein) [29]. Compared with control flies Fig. (6G), overexpression of dPsn driven by Ptc-GAL4 resulted in severe loss of intervein cells narrowing the intervein sector between L3 and L4 vein and forming extra vein cells to fill the intervein region. The intervein sector between L4 and L5 was enlarged; and pcv vein was extended Fig. (6H); in contrast, silencing of dPsn driven by Ptc-GAL4 led to loss of acv vein with normal intervein cells between L3 and L4 vein Fig. (6I). Collectively, these data show that overexpression or silencing of dPsn in wing disc by Ptc-GAL4 alters wing vein formation.

DISCUSSION

We have found that either overexpression or RNAi silencing of dPsn in Drosophila leads to significant changes in HR and to an irregular heartbeat rhythm accompanied with
cardiomyofibril defects. While either overexpression or silencing of \(dPsn\) decreased end-systolic and end-diastolic heart chamber size and increased FS compared to age-matched controls, flies in which \(dPsn\) was silenced exhibited an age-dependent remarkably increase in EDD and FS. Taken together, these data indicate that overexpression or RNAi silencing of \(dPsn\) in heart primarily leads to irregular heartbeat rhythm via regulating the electrical activity that stimulates the myocardium of the heart for contraction.

Initiation of cardiac muscle contraction requires an increase in intracellular calcium from resting concentration. The increase in intracellular calcium then triggers further release of calcium from SR stores via the \(Ca^{2+}\) release channel receptor RyR. The release of calcium can be further enhanced by activation of the \(Ca^{2+}\) release channel receptor \(IP_3R\). This “calcium-induced calcium release” process ensures rapid and significant increases in intracellular calcium, which are essential to contraction [34]. SERCA2a transfers \(Ca^{2+}\) from the cytosol of the cell to the lumen of the SR during cardiac muscle relaxation [34]. In cultured fibroblasts from DCM patients with \(PSEN1-D333G\) or \(PSEN2-S130L\) mutations, histamine-induced resting intracellular \(Ca^{2+}\) concentrations are elevated [4]. In mouse heart muscle, Ps1 and Ps2 physically interact and colocalize with both \(IP_3R\) and the cardiac form of RyR (RyR2) to SR [36, 37]. In Ps1- and Ps2-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts, free \(Ca^{2+}\) concentrations in SR are decreased and \(IP_3R\) levels are increased [38]. In neuronal cells, overexpression of AD-associated \(PSEN1-M146V\) or \(PSEN2-N141I\) mutants directly increases \(IP_3R\) activity [37]. Primary hippocampal neurons from Ps1 mutant knock-in mice exhibit greatly increased levels of RyR and enhanced \(Ca^{2+}\) release [36]. Sorcin reduces the open probability of RyR2 [39]. Ps2, sorcin, and RyR2 interact with each other in mouse heart [36]. Ps2-deficient mice develop normally with no evidence of cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis, but exhibit increased cardiac contractility and potentiated peak \(Ca^{2+}\) [36].

Elevated \(Ca^{2+}\) attenuates the association of RyR2 with Ps2, and enhances the association of sorcin with Ps2 [36]. Moreover, PS1 and SERCA2a physically interact and are co-immunoprecipitated in the myocardium of DCM patients [5]. SERCA activity is diminished in fibroblasts null for both Ps1 and Ps2. Enhancing presenilin levels in Xenopus laevis oocytes accelerates clearance of cytosolic \(Ca^{2+}\) by increasing SERCA activity [40]. This suggests that the presenilins play an important role in cardiac excitation-contraction coupling and \(Ca^{2+}\) signaling via the interaction between PS2, RyR2 and sorcin, and regulation of the SERCA pump. Consistent with previous findings, we found that silencing of \(dPsn\) in flies elevated \(dIP_3R\) expression, and that overexpression of \(dPsn\) led to reduced \(dRyR\) expression. Silencing of \(dPsn\) remarkably reduced \(dSERCA\) expression, which together with degenerated myofibrils may lead to insufficient cardiac muscle relaxation to reduce the end-systolic and end-diastolic cardiac chamber size. These data thus provide evidence that presenilins may regulate SR \(Ca^{2+}\) storage or intracellular \(Ca^{2+}\) homeostasis in cardiac cells.

We found that 30-day old flies exhibited significantly decreased HR, increased heart chamber size and arrhythmia prevalence compared to 7-day old flies. This is consistent with previous findings indicating a decline in cardiac function with increasing age in human or Drosophila [41]. Compared with flies overexpressing \(dPsn\) or control flies, flies in which \(dPsn\) was silenced exhibited a more remarkably age-dependent decrease in HR and increase in EDD and arrhythmia. This indicates that silencing of \(dPsn\) more severely exacerbates age-dependent cardiac dysfunction than does overexpression of \(dPsn\) or control flies. Evidence has shown that the insulin receptor (IR) and associated pathways have a dramatic and heart-autonomous influence on age-related cardiac performance in flies, suggestive of potentially similar mechanisms in regulating cardiac aging in vertebrates [41]. IR belongs to tyrosine kinase receptor family whose activation is essential for insulin signaling in target tissues. IR undergoes PS/γ-secretase-dependent processing to produce IR intracellular domain (ICD). PS/γ-secretase inactivation resulted in reduced levels of tyrosine
autophosphorylation of the IR β-subunit upon insulin stimulation [42]. Moreover, insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR), which shares strong structural homology with IR, was reported to be a substrate for PS/γ-secretase activity [43]. These data suggest that the PS/γ-secretase proteolysis of IR may act as a modulator of the insulin signaling pathway.

The heart forms very early during mammalian and avian embryogenesis and arises from paired mesodermal regions in the embryo. The regulation of Wnt signal transduction has been implicated as an important event that initiates cardiac development in Drosophila [44]. Wnts are a family of secreted signaling proteins including wg. Presenilin has been implicated in regulating Wnt signaling. First, presenilin-mediated γ-secretase activity is required for the intramembranous cleavage of Notch receptor to release notch intracellular domain (NICD) for the entry to nucleus where it induces the expression of Notch targeted genes [45]. Loss-of-function dPsn mutants exhibit early embryo lethality and phenotypes indicative of a general impairment of Notch signaling throughout the development in Drosophila [24]. This is particularly interesting in view of the fact that there is inhibitory crosstalk between the Notch and the Wnt signaling pathways (when NICD binds to Wnt) [46]. Second, PS1 negatively regulates Wnt signaling by interacting with β-catenin to facilitate β-catenin turnover in vitro [47–49]. β-catenin signaling is required for normal cardiac growth and development [50]. Moreover, the PSEN1-E318G and PSEN1-D333G mutations found in DCM patients [4, 5], are positioned in a large cytoplasmic loop between transmembrane domains six and seven, with which PS1 binds β-catenin [51].

We showed that overexpression of dPsn by Sd-GAL4 in wing disc results in severe malformation in wing development accompanied by reduced expression of wg. These data further support the contention that presenilin negatively regulates Wnt signaling. The Notch signaling pathway plays important roles in the patterning and differentiation of the wing veins [35]. Overexpression of dPsn by Ptc-GAL4 led to severe loss of intervein cells and formation of extra vein; in contrast, silencing of dPsn resulted in normal intervein cells but loss of acv vein. Given the known role of presenilins in γ-secretase complex [1], overexpression of dPsn may elevate γ-secretase activity resulting in enhanced NICD release, thereby potentiating Notch signaling to inhibit intervein cell development but promote vein cell. Conversely, silencing of dPsn may cause wing vein loss due to reduced γ-secretase activity. Mutations in presenilins alter the proteolytic processing of amyloid precursor protein by aberrant γ-secretase activities that results in increased neurotoxic Aβ42 production [8]. However, neither DCM-associated PSEN2-R62H nor PSEN2-S130L mutation engender significant effects on Aβ42 levels or the Aβ42/40 ratio in vitro [52]. In addition, treating WT mice with γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT did not alter cardiac function, including HR, left ventricle systolic and diastolic pressure [36]. These data suggest that the presenilins may regulate cardiac function through other mechanisms in addition to their effect on γ-secretase activity. Based on the previous and current findings, we propose that mutations in PSEN1 and PSEN2 may alter Wnt signaling via β-catenin interaction and NICD inhibitory crosstalk to ultimately cause defects in cardiac function leading to DCM pathogenesis.

In summary, we have demonstrated that either the loss or gain of dPsn levels in heart, results in cardiac dysfunction, owing to aberrant calcium channel receptor activities and disrupted Wnt signaling transduction. Together, these data provide novel in vivo evidence for pathological role(s) of the presenilins in DCM pathogenesis.
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Fig. 1.
Representative M-mode optical coherence tomography (OCT) imaging of cardiac function in 30-day old adult *Drosophila*. A: Control showed normal heart rate (HR): 250 beat per minute (BPM) and rhythm; B. Overexpression of *dPsn* led to increased HR (296BPM) and irregular heartbeats; C: Silencing of *dPsn* caused reduced HR (167BPM), small heart chamber and irregular heartbeat.
Fig. 2. Scatter plots of heart rate (HR), end-systolic vertical dimension (ESD) and end-diastolic vertical dimension (EDD), respectively, of flies in each tested group, generated using a SAS GLM program. A. HR (beat per minute, BPM); B: ESD (μm); C: EDD (μm). Mean ± SE for each tested group is shown.
Fig. 3.
Transmission electron microscopy of the myofibril. A: Controls showed normal myofibril structure; B: Overexpression of dPsn led to loss of regular structure with vacuoles, a broadening of Z-line and swollen mitochondria in myofibril; C: Silencing of dPsn caused an overall decrease in the density of myofibrils with vacuoles, a breaking Z-line, and severely degenerative mitochondria with impaired structure in myofibril. Magnification: Left: 10,000X, Scale bar: 2μm. Right: 30,000X, Scale bar: 500nm.
Fig. 4.
Western Blotting by anti-dPsn detected $dPsn$ –C-terminal fragment (CTF) (25 KDa) in the heart and muscle of controls and transgenic flies in which $dPsn$ was silenced or overexpressed. The dPsn expression level was normalized with respect to dActin (42KDa) loading control. Silencing of $dPsn$ led to a 60% decrease in dPsn expression, and overexpression of $dPsn$ led to a 180% increase in dPsn expression as compared to controls.
Fig. 5.
Quantification of relative Drosophila inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (dIP₃R), the ryanodine receptor (dRyR) and the SR Ca²⁺-ATPase pump SERCA2a (dSERCA) expression by real-time PCR using SYBR Green. A: dIP₃R: overexpression of dPsn increased dIP₃R level 1.17-fold; silencing of dPsn increased dIP₃R expression 2.19-fold. B: dRyR: overexpression of dPsn decreased dRyR expression to 60%; silencing of dPsn increased dRyR expression 1.05-fold. C: dSERCA: overexpression of dPsn increased dSERCA level 1.1-fold; silencing of dPsn decreased dSERCA expression to 40%. Results are shown as Mean ± Std of repeated experiments. Asterisks (*) denote results with p<0.05 compared to control levels.
Fig. 6.
Overexpression or silencing of dPsn in the wing. A. B. C. Control flies had normal wings (A), and wg expression (B) on normal wing disc (C). D. E. F. Overexpression of dPsn in the wing disc by Sd-GAL4 led to complete loss of both wings (D) and moderately decreased wg expression (E) on a thinner and smaller wing disc (F). G. Control fly wings have five longitudinal (L1-5) and two cross-veins: anterior (acv) and posterior (pcv), creating distinct intervein sectors. H. Overexpression of dPsn in the wing by Ptc-GAL4 led to loss of intervein cells between L3 and L4 vein. The intervein sector between L4 and L5 was enlarged and pcv vein was extended. I. silencing of dPsn in the wing by Ptc-GAL4 led to loss of acv vein in the intervein sector between L3 and L4 vein.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HR (BPM) (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>ESD (μm) (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>EDD (μm) (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>FS (%) (Mean ± SE)</th>
<th>Arrhythmia Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-GAL4/+ (Control)</td>
<td>N=31</td>
<td>262 ± 10</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
<td>69 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsn; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=31</td>
<td>307 ± 11</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>56 ± 3             * (p=0.03)</td>
<td>76 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsnRNAi; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>231 ± 11</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>52 ± 4             ** (p=0.006)</td>
<td>67 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>N=86</td>
<td>269 ± 7</td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
<td>58 ± 2</td>
<td>71 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-GAL4/+ (Control)</td>
<td>N=30</td>
<td>254 ± 10</td>
<td>31 ± 4</td>
<td>78 ± 4             # (p=0.008)</td>
<td>62 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsn; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=28</td>
<td>284 ± 11</td>
<td>20 ± 4</td>
<td>66 ± 4             ** (p=0.04)</td>
<td>69 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsnRNAi; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=28</td>
<td>190 ± 8</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>73 ± 4             *** (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>83 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>N=86</td>
<td>243 ± 7</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>73 ± 2             **** (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>71 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7D and 30D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-GAL4/+ (Control)</td>
<td>N=61</td>
<td>258 ± 7</td>
<td>26 ± 2</td>
<td>72 ± 3</td>
<td>66 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsn; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=59</td>
<td>296 ± 8</td>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>61 ± 2             ** (p=0.004)</td>
<td>73 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dPsnRNAi; 24B-GAL4</td>
<td>N=52</td>
<td>209 ± 7</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>63 ± 3             ** (p=0.009)</td>
<td>75 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR: Heart rate.

* $p<0.05$,
** $p<0.01$,
*** $p<0.001$,
**** $p<0.0001$.

* vs. Age-matched controls;
# $p < 0.05$,  
## $p < 0.01$,  
### $p < 0.001$,  
#### $p < 0.0001$; vs. 7-day old age group,  
† shows significant increase;  
‡ shows significant decrease.