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We show that cloaking of isolated objects using transformation-based cloaks is subject to a diameter-bandwidth product limitation: as the size of the object increases, the bandwidth of good (small-cross-section) cloaking decreases inversely with the diameter, as a consequence of causality constraints even for perfect fabrication and materials with negligible absorption. This generalizes a previous result that perfect cloaking of isolated objects over a nonzero bandwidth violates causality. Furthermore, we demonstrate broader causality-based scaling limitations on any bandwidth-averaged cloaking cross section, using complex analysis and the optical theorem to transform the frequency-averaged problem into a single-scattering problem with transformed materials.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we extend the result that perfect isolated-object cloaking is impossible over a nonzero bandwidth [1,2] to show that even imperfect transformation-based cloaking of isolated objects necessarily has a bandwidth that decreases with the size of the object. More generally, we show that the cloaking efficiency (the ratio of total cross section to geometric cross section) must worsen proportionally to the diameter when averaged over any finite bandwidth for cloaking of isolated objects in air (or any medium of negligible loss and dispersion). Unlike our previous proof that sensitivity to imperfections worsens with diameter at individual frequencies [3], the result in this paper holds even for perfect fabrication and for materials with negligible absorption over the desired bandwidth. Our proof involves some unusual mathematical techniques. First, we equate the frequency-averaged problem to a single-scattering problem at a complex frequency with the help of a version of the optical theorem. Second, we map the complex-frequency problem to an equivalent real-frequency problem with transformed materials. We can then use the fact that Im ε and Im μ are greater than 0 for any physical causal material at Re ω and Im ω > 0 [4] to analyze an “effective” absorption loss in a manner similar to our previous work [3]. Finally, in Sec. III we numerically verify this scaling in an example of a spherical cloak that is a perfect Pendry cloak at one frequency but has causal dispersion.

The idea of transformation-based invisibility cloaks was proposed in 2006 [1], a fascinating idea that was followed by many theoretical works [5–28] and several experimental demonstrations of cloaking of isolated objects at one frequency [29–32]. However, as pointed out by Pendry et al. using a speed-of-light argument [1] and by Miller with a more formal approach [2], perfect cloaking of an isolated object in vacuum over a nonzero bandwidth is impossible due to causality. This severe limitation helped inspire the idea of ground-plane cloaking [33–37] and its experimental demonstration [38–47], which circumvents such causality limitations (although it is still subject to other practical scaling difficulties [3,48]). However, the Pendry et al. and Miller proofs say little about imperfect cloaking (a nonzero but small scattering cross section).

By continuity, if near-perfect cloaking is attained at a single frequency, “good” cloaking (the total cross section bounded by some given fraction of the geometric cross section) must persist over some finite bandwidth. We show that causality imposes an even stronger constraint than forbidding perfect cloaking over a finite bandwidth: for a bandwidth-limited cloak, we show that causality constraints imply that the bandwidth of good cloaking scales inversely with the object diameter. (The impossibility of perfect cloaking over a finite bandwidth follows from our results as a special case.) Moreover, our proof holds for isolated objects in any transparent medium (negligible loss and dispersion), not just in vacuum.

The key to our proof in Sec. II is the transformation of a frequency-averaged scattering problem (weighted by a Lorentzian window for convenience), which is hard to analyze, to a single complex-frequency scattering problem that is easy to analyze by relating the complex frequency to equivalent complex materials. In order to make this transformation, we rely on the optical theorem [49], which relates the total cross section to the imaginary part of a forward-scattering amplitude, since the latter is a causal linear response and hence an analytic function in the upper half of the complex-frequency plane [4]. A review of the optical theorem and its history and applications can be found in [50]. The use of the optical theorem, and similar relationships based on conservation of energy, to apply complex analysis to scattering problems is most common in quantum field theory [51]. Similar in spirit to this paper, the optical theorem is also used in the “Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics in Moscow (ITEP) sum rules” of quantum chromodynamics to relate integrals of scattering cross sections multiplied by Lorentzian windows (or powers thereof) to scattering amplitudes at single points in momentum space via contour integration [51]. On another related note, contour integration of the imaginary part of Green’s functions (and other scattering amplitudes) is also a key technique for computing Casimir interactions in quantum field theory [52], where the relationship between the imaginary part of the Green’s function and the field fluctuation statistics (the fluctuation-dissipation theorem) is, like the optical theorem, derived from energy-conservation considerations [53].
A transformation-based cloak, as depicted in Fig. 1, works by mapping an object (in “physical” space X) to a single point (in “virtual” space X′) and the cloak region (volume Vc) to empty space (volume V′c), via a coordinate transformation that is the identity outside the cloak. If the ambient materials are εa and μa, then an ideal cloak is obtained by constructing the materials ε = JεaJ′/ det J and μ = JμaJ′/ det J in the cloak, where J is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation. In our previous work [3] we showed that the cloaking problem at one frequency becomes increasingly difficult as the size of the object being cloaked increases. In particular, we proved that the imperfections due to absorption losses and random fabrication disorder must decrease asymptotically with the object diameter in order to maintain good cloaking performance: for the total (scattering + absorption) cross section to be less than a given fraction f of a geometric cross section sg, we showed that bounds on the attainable refractive index contrast in the cloak, b < n/na < B (n = εμ/εμ), which we showed to be equivalent to bounds on the singular values of J. Using these bounds, we were able to obtain useable field amplitudes in the cloak in terms of the field amplitudes in the virtual space (which are a constant for incident plane waves); such bounds, in turn, impose bounds on the allowed absorption losses and random disorder. In particular, we showed in the case of absorption losses that ΔImε scales proportionally to 1/V′c, a measure of the inverse diameter.

We now wish to analyze the effect of other imperfections, especially inevitable material dispersion, on the cloaking performance, even in the idealized case of perfect fabrication and negligible absorption. In particular, we suppose that one is interested in the average total cross section σtot over a bandwidth Δω around an operating frequency ωop, which (for reasons described below) it is convenient to define via a Lorentzian averaging weight as

\[ \langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{\text{tot}} \frac{\Delta \omega / \pi}{(\omega - \omega_{\text{op}})^2 + \Delta \omega^2} d\omega. \]  

As we increase the diameter d of the object and cloak, keeping the materials and transformation mapping fixed and simply rescaling the whole system, we show that the cloaking problem becomes increasingly difficult, in the sense that \( \langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g \sim d \) (where \( \sim \) means proportional to), with \( s_g \) being a geometric cross-sectional area of the object. (This scaling breaks down when \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} / s_g \) is no longer small, i.e., when it is no longer an approximate cloak.) The only assumptions are that the ambient medium εa and μa is approximately lossless and dispersionless over the bandwidth of interest (e.g., for cloaking in air) and that the attainable refractive index contrast (eigenvalues of \( \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu / \varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \)) is \( \leq B \) for some finite bound \( B \) (as in our previous work [3]).

Our analysis in the following sections constitutes the following steps. First, in Sec. II A, using complex analysis combined with the optical theorem, we relate the frequency average of Eq. (1) to a single scattering problem at a complex frequency so that we no longer need to consider the cross section at many frequencies at once. Second, in order to understand the precise meaning of this complex-frequency scattering problem, in Sec. II B we derive a variant of the optical theorem that is particularly easy to analyze. Third, in Sec. II C we relate this complex-frequency scattering problem to an equivalent scattering problem at a real frequency with transformed complex materials. Fourth, in Sec. II D we use analysis similar to our proof in [3] to show that the “losses” introduced by these effective complex materials must scale with diameter, and hence mean \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) must scale with diameter. Finally, for the special case of a bandwidth-limited cloak (a cloak that is very good at one frequency but spoiled at other frequencies by material dispersion), we show that the bandwidth must narrow inversely with diameter in Sec. II E.

A. Frequency average of the scattering cross section

By the optical theorem [49], \( \sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega) = \text{Im} \ f(\omega) \), where \( f \) is a forward-scattering amplitude (at least for the case of an incident plane wave, but a generalization is given in the next section for any incident field), and so

\[ \langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} = \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega) \frac{\Delta \omega / \pi}{(\omega - \omega_{\text{op}})^2 + \Delta \omega^2} d\omega. \]  

Because \( f \) is a causal linear response, it is analytic for \( \text{Im} \ \omega > 0 \), and therefore we can perform a contour integration to obtain \( \langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} \) in terms of the residue of the single pole of the Lorentzian in the upper half of the complex plane:

\[ \langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} = \text{Im} \ f(\omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega). \]  

This corresponds to a single scattering problem at a complex frequency, analyzed in more detail below.

B. The optical theorem and analytic continuation to complex ω

Consider any finite-volume scatterer (in a linear time-invariant system), described by some change \( \Delta \varepsilon \) and \( \Delta \mu \) in the permittivity and the permeability compared to the ambient medium. Let the incident field (in the absence of the scatterer) be described by a six-component vector field \( \psi_{\text{inc}} = \frac{\psi_{\text{inc}}}{\mathbf{H}_{\text{inc}}} \). In the presence of the scatterer, this is modified to a new total field \( \psi = \psi_{\text{inc}} + \psi_{\text{scat}} \), the sum of the incident and scattered fields. The charge perturbations in the scatterer are described by bound electric and magnetic polarization currents \( \mathbf{J} = -i \omega \Delta \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{K} = -i \omega \Delta \mu \mathbf{H} \), respectively, which can be combined into a six-component current field \( \xi = (\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{K}) \). Abstractly, we can write \( \xi = A \psi_{\text{inc}} \) for some linear
operator \( A \) relating the incident fields to the induced currents, and causality (currents come after fields) implies that \( A \) is an analytic function in the upper half of the complex-\( \omega \) plane [4].

Physically, the scattered field is the field produced by these oscillating induced currents \( \xi \) in the scatterer, and the interactions of the currents and fields provide a simple way to characterize the absorbed and scattered powers. The total absorbed power \( P_{\text{abs}} \), assuming an ambient medium with negligible dissipation, equals the total time-averaged (\( \psi \)) incoming Poynting flux:

\[
P_{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left( \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \Delta \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{H}^* \cdot \Delta \mathbf{K} \right)
\]

where we have defined the inner product \( \langle \cdots, \cdots \rangle \). Similarly, the scattered power is the work done by the currents on the scattered field:

\[
P_{\text{scat}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left( \langle \psi_{\text{inc}}, \xi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \langle \psi_{\text{scat}}, \xi \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \langle \psi_{\text{scat}}, \xi \rangle.
\]

Combining the previous two sections, we can now relate the frequency-averaged scattering cross section, for an incident plane wave (say, in the \( x \) direction) to the solution of a single scattering problem at a single complex frequency:

\[
\left\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \right\rangle \Delta \omega = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \langle \psi_0, e^{-i \omega x/c} A(\omega) e^{i \omega x/c} \psi_0 \rangle,
\]

evaluated at \( \omega = \omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega \). \( A(\omega) e^{i \omega x/c} \psi_0 \) represents the induced currents \( \xi \), for the materials evaluated at the complex \( \omega \), in response to an exponentially decaying incident plane wave (multiplied by a constant amplitude \( \psi_0 \)). The interpretation of this problem is simplified by the fact that a complex frequency is mathematically equivalent to using modified materials at a real frequency \( \omega_{\text{op}} \). In particular, consider Maxwell’s equations in the frequency domain:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -i \omega \mu \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{K},
\]

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = +i \omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J},
\]

where \( \mathbf{J} \) and \( \mathbf{K} \) are (free) electric and magnetic current densities, respectively. For our complex \( \omega \), the \( +i \omega \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \) term becomes \( i(\omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega) \varepsilon(\omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega) \mathbf{E} = i(\varepsilon(\omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega)(1 + i \Delta \omega/\omega_{\text{op}})) \omega_{\text{op}} \mathbf{E} \), which looks exactly like the term for a real frequency \( \omega_{\text{op}} \) at a modified permittivity \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \).

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \varepsilon(\omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega) \left( 1 + i \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_{\text{op}}} \right).
\]

Similarly for \( \mu \rightarrow \mu \).

Thus, operating at a complex frequency is equivalent to two changes in the materials. First, the multiplication by \( 1 + i \Delta \omega/\omega_{\text{op}} \) corresponds to an effective absorption added throughout all space (including the ambient medium). Second, we evaluate \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) at \( \omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega \) rather than at the real frequency \( \omega_{\text{op}} \), which will change their values in the presence of material dispersion.

### C. From complex frequencies to complex materials

Combining the previous two sections, we can now relate the frequency-averaged scattering cross section, for an incident plane wave (say, in the \( x \) direction) to the solution of a single scattering problem at a single complex frequency:

\[
\left\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \right\rangle \Delta \omega = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \langle \psi_0, e^{-i \omega x/c} A(\omega) e^{i \omega x/c} \psi_0 \rangle,
\]

where \( f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_{\text{inc}}, A \psi_{\text{inc}} \rangle \). The interpretation of \( f(\omega) \) as a forward-scattering amplitude when \( \psi_{\text{inc}} \) is a plane wave is actually irrelevant to our proof, but it follows from the fact that \( f \) in that case is simply the forward-plane-wave Fourier component of the field \( \sim i \xi/\omega \) corresponding to the currents \( \xi \).

Thus, operating at a complex frequency is equivalent to two changes in the materials. First, the multiplication by \( 1 + i \Delta \omega/\omega_{\text{op}} \) corresponds to an effective absorption added throughout all space (including the ambient medium). Second, we evaluate \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) at \( \omega_{\text{op}} + i \Delta \omega \) rather than at the real frequency \( \omega_{\text{op}} \), which will change their values in the presence of material dispersion.

### D. Consequences of dispersion on frequency-averaged scattering

So far, we have shown that the problem of finding the frequency-averaged scattering cross section is equivalent to solving a certain scattering problem at a single complex frequency, which in turn is equivalent to a scattering problem at a single real frequency \( \omega_{\text{op}} \) with modified complex materials \( \vec{\varepsilon} \) and \( \vec{\mu} \). In this section, we analyze the consequences of those effective material modifications, divided into two separate material changes as discussed above, for cloaking performance.
1. Irrelevance of artificial absorption

The first change in the materials is the multiplication of ε and μ by $1 + i \Delta \omega / \omega_0$. But this change does not hurt the cloaking performance because it is done uniformly everywhere in space. More explicitly, if $\epsilon = \mathcal{J} \epsilon_0 \mathcal{J}^T / \det \mathcal{J}$ is a valid transformation-based cloak, so is $\epsilon = (1 + i \Delta \omega / \omega_0) \epsilon'$, where $\epsilon'$ is now a transformation-based cloak for a lossy ambient medium $\epsilon_0' (1 + i \Delta \omega / \omega_0)$, and similarly for μ. Therefore this change in the materials leaves the scattering across section invariant.

2. Impact of material dispersion

The second change in the materials is that we need to evaluate ε and μ at the complex frequency $\omega_0 + i \Delta \omega$ instead of $\omega_0$, and here the presence of material dispersion (unavoidable for any material other than vacuum) acts to spoil the cloak. In particular, as reviewed in the Appendix, causality and other fundamental principles imply that Im ε and Im μ are both strictly positive at $\omega_0 + i \Delta \omega$ for $\Delta \omega > 0$ [4], corresponding to an unavoidable additional absorption in the complex-frequency scattering problem. Unlike the artificial absorption in the previous section, this is an absorption defect introduced only in the cloak: ε (both Im and Re) differs from the cloaking transformation of the ambient medium by

$$\Delta \epsilon = [\epsilon(\omega_0 + i \Delta \omega) - \epsilon(\omega_0)] \left(1 + i \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0}\right),$$

and similarly for μ. The key assumptions here are that the ambient medium has no dispersion over the given bandwidth, so that the ideal cloaking transformation at the complex ω is given by the second term in $\Delta \epsilon$, and that the ambient medium is lossless, so that $\epsilon(\omega_0)$ is real and Im $\Delta \epsilon > 0$. More generally, it is sufficient for the dispersion and loss of the ambient medium to be small enough, compared to the dispersion of the cloak materials, such that Im $\Delta \epsilon > 0$.

We analyze the consequences of this effective absorption imperfection similarly to our previous work [3]. It is convenient to first transform the imperfections to virtual space (as shown in Fig. 1) where the scattering problem is easier, because in the absence of $\Delta \epsilon$ and $\Delta \mu$ we have a plane wave in a homogeneous medium. In particular, given an upper bound $B$ on the index contrast as discussed above, one obtains $\Delta \epsilon' \geq \Delta \epsilon / B$ in virtual space, and similarly for $\mu$ [3]. Furthermore we are interested only in the regime in which we have a good cloak—once the imperfections become so large as to make the cloak useless, all of the scaling relations break down and the problem is no longer interesting. This is the regime in which $\Delta \epsilon$ and $\Delta \mu$ are small, and therefore virtual space at complex ω is equivalent to a homogeneous medium with small imperfections, and perturbative methods are applicable. In particular, the lowest-order scattering current (in virtual space) is simply $\mathbf{J}' = -i \omega_0 \Delta \epsilon \mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}}$, and similarly for $\mathbf{K}'$. In the notation of Sec. II B, $\xi' = A' \psi_{\text{inc}} \approx -i \omega_0 \Delta \epsilon \mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}}$. Substituting this into Eq. (7), we find that the exponential factors $e^{\pm i \Delta \omega / \Gamma}$ exactly cancel, leaving

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_i} |\mathbf{E}_0|^2 \text{Im} \Delta \epsilon' + |\mathbf{H}_0|^2 \text{Im} \Delta \mu'. \quad (11)$$

This has two main consequences. First, mean $\sigma_{\text{tot}} > 0$ for $\Delta \omega > 0$ since Im $\Delta \epsilon$ and Im $\Delta \mu$ are strictly positive as noted above; even if the cloak is a perfect cloak at $\omega_0$, it is imperfect when averaged over any nonzero bandwidth. This is, therefore, an alternative proof of the results of Pendry et al. [1] and Miller [2] that cloaking of isolated objects over a nonzero bandwidth is impossible for physical, causal materials. Second, exactly as we showed for other imperfections in previous work [3], it immediately follows that $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} \propto V_c \sim V_c \sim V_0$, and hence the frequency-averaged cloaking efficiency $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g$ scales proportionally to a mean diameter $V_0 / s_g$. This is the central result of our proof, but to better understand its consequences we consider some special cases in the next section.

E. Scaling of cloaking bandwidth with diameter

As shown in the previous section, the fractional cross section mean $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle / s_g$, averaged over a bandwidth $\Delta \omega$ around $\omega_0$, must scale proportionally to the diameter $d$, at least as long as $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g \ll 1$ (i.e., until cloaking breaks down completely). There are two possible sources of this linear scaling, depending on whether $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega}$ is limited by the cross section at $\omega_0$ (due to imperfections in the cloak at the design frequency) or by the bandwidth of a dip in the cross section around $\omega_0$ (due to material dispersion degrading a near-perfect single-frequency cloak). In the former case, the physical mechanism is simply that the losses due to imperfections at $\omega_0$ scale with diameter, as we already proved in Ref. [3]. In this case, however, it leads to a new prediction: in a bandwidth-limited cloak, the bandwidth must narrow as the object diameter increases. In fact, we show in this section that the bandwidth generically varies inversely with the diameter in this case.

First, let us consider the bandwidth scaling from generic dimensional considerations. Because of material dispersion, one expects good cloaking to be possible only in some limited bandwidth $\sim \Gamma$ around some design frequency $\omega_0$, in which case a small mean $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle / s_g$ requires $\Delta \omega \ll \Gamma$. If we Taylor-expand $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g$ in $\Delta \omega / \Gamma$, we generically expect an expansion of the form

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g = \sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_0) / s_g + C \Delta \omega / \Gamma + O[(\Delta \omega / \Gamma)^2]$$

for some coefficient C, where C is generically greater than 0 if $\omega_0$ is chosen to be a minimum of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$. For sufficiently small bandwidths $\Delta \omega \ll \Gamma \sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_0) / s_g$, this is dominated by the scattering at $\omega_0$, which scales linearly with diameter in the presence of imperfections as shown in Ref. [3]. On the other hand, for a good single-frequency cloak at $\omega_0$, there is a regime $\Gamma \sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_0) / s_g \ll \Delta \omega \ll \Gamma$ where the second term dominates, i.e., where material dispersion is the limiting factor. The $C \Delta \omega / \Gamma$ term must therefore also scale linearly with the diameter in order for $\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} / s_g$ to scale linearly for such $\Delta \omega$, and hence we can conclude that the bandwidth $\Gamma$ of the cloak generally scales inversely with diameter.

This is best illustrated by a simple example. Consider the case where $\sigma_{\text{tot}} / s_g$ achieves a minimum $f \approx 1$ at $\omega_0$ with an approximately Lorentzian line shape of width $\Gamma$, going to 1 at...
frequencies far from $\omega_{\text{op}}$:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega)}{s_g} = 1 - \frac{\Gamma^2}{(\omega - \omega_{\text{op}})^2 + \Gamma^2(1 - f)}. \quad (12)$$

The integral of Eq. (1) for this $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega)$ can be evaluated analytically to obtain

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\Delta \omega} = 1 - \frac{1 - f}{1 + \Delta \omega / \Gamma} \approx f + (1 - f)\Delta \omega / \Gamma + O[(\Delta \omega / \Gamma)^2].$$

Since this must scale linearly with diameter for any $f \ll 1$ and any $\Delta \omega \ll \Gamma$, it follows that both $f$ and $1/\Gamma$ scale linearly with diameter until cloaking breaks down.

It is important to note that the linear scaling of mean $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ with diameter, proved in the previous sections, is independent of whether the cloak was designed to have minimal $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_{\text{op}})$ (i.e., optimizing a single $\omega$) or minimal mean $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ (equivalently, optimizing at a complex $\omega$), as long as mean $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \ll s_g$. The only difference can lie in whether mean $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ is limited primarily by the bandwidth or by $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_{\text{op}})$. However, one can always choose the bandwidth $\Delta \omega$ large enough to be in the regime where bandwidth becomes the limiting factor, so it follows that the bandwidth of any $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\omega_{\text{op}}) \ll s_g$ dip in $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ must narrow with object diameter.

### III. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

To illustrate and validate our predictions of this scaling of cloaking bandwidth, we performed explicit numerical calculations of the scaling for an example bandwidth-limited spherical-cloaking problem (near perfect at one frequency, but degraded by causal dispersion at other frequencies) in vacuum (with nondimensionalized units $\varepsilon_\theta = \varepsilon_\phi = 1$ and $\mu_\theta = \mu_\phi = 1$).

At an operating frequency $\omega_{\text{op}}$, we use an exact “Pendry” cloak [1]: a sphere of radius $R_1$ is surrounded by a cloak of radius $R_2 > R_1$ that is linearly mapped to an empty space ($R'_1 = 0$), resulting in materials:

$$\varepsilon_r(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \mu_r(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_2} \left(\frac{r - R_1}{r}\right)^2,$$

$$\varepsilon_\theta(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \varepsilon_\phi(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \mu_\theta(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \mu_\phi(\omega_{\text{op}}) = \frac{R_2}{R_2 - R_1}.$$

We fixed $R_2 = 1.5 R_1$, so that the cloaking material parameters are the same for all $R_1$, merely rescaled in space as the object becomes larger or smaller.

By construction, at $\omega_{\text{op}}$ we have a perfect cloak, and the only limiting factor is the bandwidth: we use idealized lossless materials but with causal dispersion relations that satisfy the Kramers-Kronig constraints. In particular, we use a combination of two limiting cases: a plasma model (a limit of a Drude model as losses go to zero) and a limit of lossless Lorentzian resonance (corresponding to a polarization field described by a lossless harmonic oscillator) at a frequency $\omega_0$.

Combined with the prescribed Pendry et al. values at $\omega_{\text{op}}$ from above, this results in dispersion relations

$$\varepsilon_r(r, \omega) = \mu_r(r, \omega) = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{R_2}{R_2 - R_1} \left(\frac{r - R_1}{r}\right)^2\right] \frac{\omega_{\text{op}}^2}{\omega^2},$$

$$\varepsilon_\theta = \varepsilon_\phi = \mu_\theta = \mu_\phi = 1 + \frac{R_1}{R_2 - R_1} \frac{\omega_0^2 - \omega_{\text{op}}^2}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.$$  

The Lorentzian resonance frequency $\omega_0$ can be chosen arbitrarily; we used $\omega_0 = 2\omega_{\text{op}}$.

This geometry was then simulated using a spectral (spherical-harmonic expansion) scattering-matrix method as described in Ref. [55]. The continuously varying anisotropic material parameters are approximated by a large number of piecewise-homogeneous isotropic layers [55]. The total scattering cross section was computed over a range of frequencies for $R_1 = \lambda_{\text{op}}, 2\lambda_{\text{op}}, 4\lambda_{\text{op}}$ (where $\lambda_{\text{op}} = 2\pi c / \omega_{\text{op}}$), and is plotted in Fig. 2. The results in Fig. 2 are converged with resolution (the number of spherical layers) to within a few percent accuracy. At $\omega_{\text{op}}$, the cloak should theoretically be perfect, but we obtain a small nonzero $\sigma / s_g (< 10^{-3})$ due to the discretization errors, which vanishes with increasing resolution.

As expected, the material dispersion prevents this from being a good cloak except at frequencies in a narrow bandwidth around $\omega_{\text{op}}$, and this bandwidth becomes narrower as the diameter increases. Quantitatively, if we look at the bandwidth at a fixed $\sigma / s_g$ of about 1/4 its maximum, we find that the bandwidths for $R_1 = 2\lambda_{\text{op}}$ and $R_1 = 4\lambda_{\text{op}}$ are $\approx 1/2.1$ and 1/4.1 times the bandwidth for $R_1 = \lambda_{\text{op}}$, respectively, almost exactly the predicted linear scaling.

Far from $\omega_{\text{op}}$, one expects $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ to approach twice the total geometric cross section, in the limit of a large scatterer, because in this limit all of the incident light is scattered. The factor of 2 comes from the definition of the scattering cross section [49], in which the scattered power appears twice: once as the scattered waves propagating in other directions, and once as the “shadow” canceling the forward-propagating wave. Here, the total geometric cross section is that of the cloak, $\pi R_2^2$, so we expect $\sigma_{\text{tot}} / s_g \approx 10 \log_{10} [2(\pi R_2^2) / (\pi R_1^2)] \approx 6.5$ dB away from $\omega_{\text{op}}$ for $R_1 \to \infty$, and this is roughly what is seen in Fig. 2.

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Relative cross section versus frequency for a spherical cloak designed to be a perfect Pendry cloak at $\omega_{\text{op}}$ and showing the effects of material dispersion at other frequencies, computed by a spectral scattering-matrix method. As predicted, the cloaking bandwidth decreases linearly with the object radius, for three object radii relative to $\lambda_{\text{op}} = 2\pi c / \omega_{\text{op}}$. 
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IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, we extended our previous paper [3] to study the bandwidth limitation of isolated-object cloaking which is a key limiting factor for this type of cloaking [1,2]. Although it was known that perfect cloaking was impossible over a nonzero bandwidth, this result did not seem to exclude the possibility of imperfect cloaking over a finite bandwidth. Indeed, imperfect finite-bandwidth cloaking is possible, but we have now shown that it is subject to a severe practical constraint: the bandwidth inevitably narrows proportionally to the object diameter, given fixed materials. Although we cannot infer any hard upper bounds on the size or bandwidth of such cloaking without further information about the attainable materials, this result indicates a fundamental challenge in scaling up small experimental demonstrations to larger cloaks.

The use of gain has been proposed to compensate for loss problems in cloaking [28,56]. Although gain is necessarily nonlinear and can be detected by a sufficiently strong incident field, in the idealization of linear gain then many of the techniques in this paper are complicated by the fact that a linear-gain resonance (the complex conjugate of an absorption resonance) would be nonanalytic (and have negative imaginary parts) in the upper half of the complex-frequency plane. (The average linear-gain resonance of isolated-object cloaks should suffer from similar limitations.) However, the bandwidth limitations described in this paper arise even for idealized materials with negligible dissipation loss, due to dispersion in the real parts of \( \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) alone, in which case even idealized linear gain is inapplicable. (Furthermore, as pointed out in our previous work [3], gain compensation of absorption must become increasingly perfect as the object diameter increases, nor does it compensate for scattering from fabrication disorder.)

Ground-plane cloaking does not suffer from any intrinsic limitation on its bandwidth from causality, nor does our proof apply in that case. The reason our proof does not apply (at least, in the present form) to ground-plane cloaking is that the figure of merit is no longer \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \): a ground plane is actually designed to reflect waves, albeit to reflect them in a way that mimics the ground plane. On the other hand, our previous work [3,48] showed that even ground-plane cloaks are increasingly sensitive to imperfections as the size of the object increases. This suggests that ground-plane cloaks should also be increasingly sensitive to material dispersion, for cloaking over a finite bandwidth, as the object size increases, and a challenge for future work is to quantify (or disprove) this relationship.

Although the results of this paper are specific to transformation-based cloaking, we conjecture that other types of isolated-object cloaks should suffer from similar limitations. In particular, any cloak types that direct the light around the object, such as some of the waveguide cloaks [57] and resonance-based [58] or multipole-cancellation [59,60] cloaks, are subject to the same causality constraints on perfect cloaking [1,2], so it seems reasonable that there should be similar bandwidth constraints on their imperfect cloaking. (These are also not “true” cloaks in the sense that they are designed only for plane-wave, i.e., far-field, sources.) Cloaking by cancellation of individual scattering channels, such as individual multipole terms [59,60], should also become more difficult as the object size increases because the number of relevant multipole terms increases (quadratically) with the diameter. On the other hand, waveguide cloaks where the waveguides go through the object [61] may not have causality constraints, but should suffer from other limitations given that the volume of such a cloak is proportional to the volume of the object—this implies that an analog of our previous results [3] on the scaling limitations of imperfections and losses should apply. (In addition, the necessity of waveguide dispersion to confine light for all polarizations within narrow waveguides should imply some bandwidth limitation as the object size, and hence the differential group delay, increases.)

An alternative direction for cloaking theory (and experiment) is to consider relaxations of the cloaking problem that might prove more practical. In particular, it would be valuable to make precise the intuition that the cloaking problem becomes easier if the incident waves are restricted (e.g., to plane waves from a certain range of angles) and/or the observer is limited (e.g., only scattered waves at certain angles are visible, or only amplitude but not phase can be detected, or sufficiently small time delays are undetectable), since this is arguably the situation in most experiments. For example, current “stealth” aircraft are designed in the radar regime mainly to reduce backscattering only [62]. So it is clear that a sufficiently relaxed cloaking problem is practical even for large objects, and one interesting goal is to find the “weakest” relaxation that remains practical at useful scales.
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APPENDIX

Here, we review a known consequence of causality that is the key to our analysis above: for a passive medium, \( \Im \varepsilon(\omega) > 0 \) in the upper half plane \( \Im \omega > 0 \) as proved in Ref. [4]. A condensed proof of this fact is as follows: \( \Im \varepsilon \) is analytic in \( \omega \) in the upper half plane by causality, and is therefore a harmonic function in the upper half plane, and so can obtain its minimum only on the boundary of its domain, except in the trivial case of vacuum where it is a constant function (\( \Im \varepsilon = 0 \)). In particular, consider the upper right quadrant of the complex-\( \omega \) plane. Along the positive real axis, \( \Im \varepsilon \geq 0 \) for a passive material (in the absence of gain), even for idealized lossless materials. Along the positive imaginary axis, \( \Im \varepsilon = 0 \) since \( \varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon(\omega)^* \) for real-valued physical fields [49]. As \( |\omega| \to \infty \) one must have \( \Im \omega \to 0 \). Hence the minimum of \( \Im \varepsilon \) along the boundary of the upper right quadrant is zero and it is strictly positive in the interior.
For physical materials, $\text{Im} \omega > 0$ along the positive real-$\omega$ axis except at $\omega = 0$ in order to satisfy the second law of thermodynamics, and this is the usual case in which the above statement is proved [4]. However, it is also interesting to consider the idealized limit of lossless materials (such as a plasma model or a lossless resonance), in order to study bandwidth-averaged cloaking with idealized materials. Our proof, above, works even in this case as long as one is a little cautious about the case of poles in $\varepsilon$ lying exactly on the real-$\omega$ axis, in order to exclude the case where $\text{Im} \varepsilon$ diverges to $-\infty$ as the real axis is approached from above. In particular, we must restrict ourselves to idealized materials that are the limit of physical lossy materials as the losses go zero, so that we are taking the limit as poles approach the real axis from below. In this case, $\text{Im} \varepsilon$ in the upper right quadrant is the limit of a strictly positive quantity and hence cannot go to $-\infty$.


