Coded Strobing Photography: Compressive Sensing of High Speed Periodic Videos

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As Published</td>
<td><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2010.87">http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2010.87</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Final published version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessed</td>
<td>Mon Feb 04 07:28:53 EST 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable Link</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/73075">http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/73075</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>Article is made available in accordance with the publisher’s policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher’s site for terms of use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Terms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coded Strobing Photography: Compressive Sensing of High Speed Periodic Videos

Ashok Veeraraghavan, Member, IEEE, Dikpal Reddy, Student Member, IEEE, and Ramesh Raskar, Member, IEEE

Abstract—We show that, via temporal modulation, one can observe and capture a high-speed periodic video well beyond the abilities of a low-frame-rate camera. By strobing the exposure with unique sequences within the integration time of each frame, we take coded projections of dynamic events. From a sequence of such frames, we reconstruct a high-speed video of the high-frequency periodic process. Strobing is used in entertainment, medical imaging, and industrial inspection to generate lower beat frequencies. But this is limited to scenes with a detectable single dominant frequency and requires high-intensity lighting. In this paper, we address the problem of sub-Nyquist sampling of periodic signals and show designs to capture and reconstruct such signals. The key result is that for such signals, the Nyquist rate constraint can be imposed on the strobe rate rather than the sensor rate. The technique is based on intentional aliasing of the frequency components of the periodic signal while the reconstruction algorithm exploits recent advances in sparse representations and compressive sensing. We exploit the sparsity of periodic signals in the Fourier domain to develop reconstruction algorithms that are inspired by compressive sensing.

Index Terms—Computational imaging, high-speed imaging, compressive sensing, compressive video sensing, stroboscopy.

1 INTRODUCTION

Periodic signals are all around us. Several human and animal biological processes such as heartbeat, breathing, several cellular processes, industrial automation processes, and everyday objects such as a hand mixer and a blender all generate periodic processes. Nevertheless, we are mostly unaware of the inner workings of some of these high-speed processes because they occur at a far greater speed than can be perceived by the human eye. Here, we show a simple but effective technique that can turn an off-the-shelf video camera into a powerful high-speed video camera for observing periodic events.

Strobing is often used in entertainment, medical imaging, and industrial applications to visualize and capture high-speed visual phenomena. Active strobing involves illuminating the scene with a rapid sequence of flashes within a frame time. The classic example is Edgerton's Rapatronto capture a golf swing [13]. In modern sensors, it is achieved passively by multiple exposures within a frame time [36], [28] or fluttering [29]. We use the term “strobing” to indicate both active illumination and passive sensor methods.

In case of periodic phenomenon, strobing is commonly used to achieve aliasing and generate lower beat frequencies. While strobing performs effectively when the scene consists of a single frequency with a narrow sideband, it is difficult to visualize multiple or a wider band of frequencies simultaneously. Instead of direct observation of beat frequencies, we exploit a computational camera approach based on different sampling sequences. The key idea is to measure appropriate linear combinations of the periodic signal and then decode the signal by exploiting the sparsity of the signal in Fourier domain. We observe that by coding during the exposure duration of a low-frame-rate (e.g., 25 fps) video camera, we can take appropriate projections of the signal needed to reconstruct a high-frame-rate (e.g., 2,000 fps) video. During each frame, we strobe and capture a coded projection of the dynamic event and store the integrated frame. After capturing several frames, we computationally recover the signal independently at each pixel by exploiting the Fourier sparsity of periodic signals. Our method of coded exposure for sampling periodic signals is termed “coded strobing” and we call our camera the “coded strobing camera” (CSC). Fig. 1 illustrates the functioning of CSC.

1.1 Contributions

- We show that sub-Nyquist sampling of periodic visual signals is possible and that such signals can be captured and recovered using a coded strobing computational camera.
- We develop a sparsity-exploiting reconstruction algorithm and expose connections to Compressive Sensing.
- We show that the primary benefit of our approach over traditional strobing is increased light throughput and ability to tackle multiple frequencies simultaneously postcapture.

1.2 Benefits and Limitations

The main constraint for recording a high-speed event is light throughput. We overcome this constraint for periodic
signals via sufficient exposure duration (in each frame) and extended observation window (multiple frames). For well-lit nonperiodic events, high-speed cameras are ideal. For a static snapshot, a short exposure photo (or single frame of the high-speed camera) is sufficient. In both cases, light throughput is limited but unavoidable. Periodic signals can also be captured with a high-speed camera. But one will need a well-lit scene or must illuminate it with unrealistically bright lights. For example, if we use a 2,000 fps camera for vocal cord analysis instead of strobing using a laryngoscope, we would need a significantly brighter illumination source and this creates the risk of burn injuries to the throat. A safer option would be a 25 fps camera with a strobed light source and then exploit the periodicity of vocal fold movement. Here, we show that an even better option in terms of light throughput is a computational camera approach. Further, the need to know the frequency of the signal at capture time is also avoided. Moreover, the computational recovery algorithm can tackle the presence of multiple fundamental frequencies in a scene, which poses a challenge to traditional strobing.

1.3 Related Work

1.3.1 High-Speed Imaging Hardware

Capturing high-speed events with fast, high-frame-rate cameras requires imagers with high photoresponsivity at short integration times, synchronous exposure, and high-speed parallel readout due to the necessary bandwidth. In addition, they suffer from challenging storage problems. A high-speed camera also fails to exploit the interframe coherence, while our technique takes advantage of a simplified model of motion. Edgerton has shown visually stunning results for high-speed objects using extremely narrow-duration flash [13]. These snapshots capture an instant of the action but fail to indicate the general movement in the scene. Multiple low-frame-rate cameras can be combined to create high-speed sensing. Using a staggered exposure approach, Shechtman et al. [33] used frames captured by multiple collocated cameras with overlapped exposure time. This staggered exposure approach also assisted a novel reconfigurable multicamera array [37].

Although there are very few methods to superresolve a video temporally [15], numerous superresolution techniques have been proposed to increase the spatial resolution of images. In [17], a superresolution technique to reconstruct a high-resolution image from a sequence of low-resolution images was proposed by a backprojection method. A method of doing superresolution on a low-quality image of a moving object by first tracking it, estimating motion and deblurring the motion blur, and creating a high-quality image was proposed in [4]. Freeman et al. [14] proposed a learning-based technique for superresolution from one image where the high-frequency components like the edges of an image are filled by patches obtained from examples with similar low-resolution properties. Finally, fundamental limits on superresolution for reconstruction-based algorithms have been explored in [1], [22].

1.3.2 Stroboscopy and Periodic Motion

Stroboscopes (from the Greek word στρωβόλωρσις for “whirling”) play an important role in scientific research, to study machinery in motion, in entertainment, and medical imaging. Muybridge in his pioneering work used multiple triggered cameras to capture the high-speed motion of animals [25] and proved that all four of a horse’s hooves left the ground at the same time during a gallop. Edgerton also used a flashing lamp to study machine parts in motion [13]. The most common approaches for “freezing” or “slowing down” the movement are based on temporal aliasing. In medicine, stroboscopes are used to view the vocal cords for diagnosis. The patient hums or speaks into a microphone, which, in turn, activates the stroboscope at either the same or a slightly lower frequency [20], [30]. However, in all healthy humans, vocal-fold vibrations are aperiodic to a greater or lesser degree. Therefore, strobolaryngoscopy does not capture the fine detail of each individual vibratory cycle; rather, it shows a pattern averaged over many successive nonidentical cycles [24], [32]. Modern stroboscopes for machine inspection [11] are designed for observing fast repeated motions and for determining RPM. The idea can also be used to improve spatial resolution by introducing high-frequency illumination [16].

1.3.3 Processing

In computer vision, the periodic motion of humans has received significant attention. Seitz and Dyer [31] introduced a novel motion representation, called the period trace, that provides a complete description of temporal variations in a cyclic motion, which can be used to detect motion trends and irregularities. A technique to repair videos with large static background or cyclic motion was presented in [18]. Laptev et al. [19] presented a method to detect and segment periodic motion based on sequence alignment without the need for camera stabilization and tracking. The authors of [5] exploited the periodicity of moving objects to perform 3D reconstruction by treating frames with the same phase as being of the same pose observed from different views. In [34], the authors showed a strobe-based approach for capturing high-speed motion using multi-exposure images obtained within a single frame of a camera. The images of a baseball appear as distinct nonoverlapping positions in the image. High temporal and spatial resolution can be obtained via a hybrid imaging device, which consists of a high spatial
resolution digital camera in conjunction with a high-frame-rate but low-resolution video camera [6]. In cases where the motion can be modeled as linear, there have been several interesting methods to engineer the motion blur point spread function so that the blur induced by the imaging device is invertible. These include coding the exposure [29] and moving the sensor during the exposure duration [21]. The method presented in this paper tackles a different but broadly related problem of reconstructing periodic signals from very low-speed images acquired via a conventional video camera (albeit enhanced with coded exposure).

1.3.4 Comparison with Flutter Shutter

In [29], the authors showed that by opening and closing the shutter according to an optimized coded pattern during the exposure duration of a photograph, one can preserve high-frequency spatial details in the blurred captured image. The image can then be deblurred using a manually specified point-spread function. Similarly, we open and close the shutter according to a coded pattern and this code is optimized for capture. Nevertheless, there are significant differences in the motion models and reconstruction procedures of both these methods. In flutter shutter (FS), a constant velocity linear motion model was assumed and deblurring was done in blurred pixels along the motion direction. On the other hand, CSC works even on very complicated motion models as long as the motion is periodic. In CSC, each of the captured frames is the result of modulation with a different binary sequence, whereas in FS, a single frame is modulated with a “all-pass” code. Further, our method contrasts fundamentally with FS in reconstruction of the frames. In FS, the system of equations is not underdetermined, whereas in CSC, we have a severely underdetermined system. We overcome this problem by $\ell_1$-norm regularization, appropriate for enforcing sparsity of periodic motion in time. In FS, a single system of equations is solved for entire image, whereas in CSC, at each pixel we temporally reconstruct the periodic signal by solving an underdetermined system.

1.4 Capture and Reconstruction Procedure

The sequence of steps involved in the capture and reconstruction of a high-speed periodic phenomenon with typical physical values is listed below, with references to the appropriate sections for detailed discussion.

- **Goal:** Using a 25 fps camera and a shutter which can open and close at 2,000 Hz, capture a high-speed periodic phenomenon of unknown period by observing for $5\text{ s}$. The length of the binary code needed is $N = 2,000 \times 5 = 10,000$. For an upsampling factor of $U = 2,000/25 = 80$, find the optimal pseudorandom code of length $N$ (Section 3.1).
- Capture $M = 25 \times 5 = 125$ frames by fluttering the shutter according to the optimal code. Each captured frame is an integration of the incoming visual signal modulated with a corresponding subsequence of binary values of length $U = 80$ (Section 2.3).
- Estimate the fundamental frequency of the periodic signal (Section 2.4.3).
- Using the estimated fundamental frequency, at each pixel, reconstruct the periodic signal of length $N = 10,000$ from $M = 125$ values by recovering the signal’s sparse Fourier coefficients (Section 2.4).

2 Strobing and Light Modulation

2.1 Traditional Sampling Techniques

Sampling is the process of converting a continuous domain signal into a set of discrete samples in a manner that allows approximate or exact reconstruction of the continuous domain signal from just the discrete samples. The most fundamental result in sampling is that of the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem. Fig. 2 provides a graphical illustration of traditional sampling techniques applied to periodic signals.

**Nyquist sampling.** Nyquist-Shannon sampling states that when a continuous domain signal is bandlimited to $[0, f_0]$ Hz, one can exactly reconstruct the bandlimited signal, by just observing discrete samples of the signal at a sampling rate $f_s$ greater than $2f_0$ [27]. When the signal has frequency components that are higher than the prescribed bandlimit, then during the reconstruction, the higher frequencies get aliased as lower frequencies making the reconstruction erroneous (see Fig. 2b(c)). If the goal is to capture a signal whose maximum frequency $f_{\text{max}}$ is 1,000 Hz, then one needs a high-speed camera capable of 2,000 fps in order to acquire the signal. Such high-speed video cameras are light limited and expensive.

**Band-pass sampling (strobing).** If the signal is periodic, as shown in Fig. 2a(a), then we can intentionally alias the periodic signal by sampling at a frequency very close to the fundamental frequency of the signal as shown in Fig. 2a(e). This intentional aliasing allows us to measure the periodic signal. This technique is commonly used for vocal fold visualization [24], [32]. However, traditional strobing suffers from the following limitations: The frequency of the original signal must be known at capture time so that one may perform strobing at the right frequency. Second, the strobe signal must be “ON” for a very short duration so that the observed high-speed signal is not smoothed out and this makes traditional strobing light inefficient. Despite this handicap, traditional strobing is an extremely interesting and useful visualization tool (and has found several applications in varying fields).

**Nonuniform sampling.** With periodic sampling, aliasing occurs when the sampling rate is not adequate because all frequencies of the form $f_s + k \cdot f_s$ ($k$ an integer) lead to identical samples. One method to counter this problem is to employ nonuniform or random sampling [7], [23]. The key idea in nonuniform sampling [7], [23] is to ensure a set of sampling instants such that the observation sequence for any two frequencies is different at least in one sampling instant. This scheme has never found widespread practical applicability because of its noise sensitivity and light inefficiency.

2.2 Periodic Signals

Since the focus of this paper is on high-speed video capture of periodic signals, we first study the properties of such signals.

2.2.1 Fourier Domain Properties of Periodic Signals

Consider a signal $x(t)$ which has a period $P = 1/f_p$ and a bandlimit $f_{\text{max}}$. Since the signal is periodic, we can express it as
Therefore, the Fourier transform of the signal $x(t)$ contains energy only in the frequencies corresponding to $jf_P$, where $j \in \{-Q, -(Q-1), \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, Q\}$. Thus, a periodic signal has a maximum of $(K = 2Q + 1)$ nonzero Fourier coefficients. Therefore, periodic signals, by definition, have a very sparse representation in the Fourier domain. Recent advances in the field of compressed sensing (CS) [12], [9], [2], [8], [35] have developed reliable recovery algorithms for inferring sparse representations if one can measure arbitrary linear combinations of the signals. Here, we propose and describe a method for measuring such linear combinations and use the reconstruction algorithms inspired by CS to recover the underlying periodic signal from its low-frame-rate observations.

2.2.2 Effect of Visual Texture on Periodic Motion

Visual texture on surfaces exhibiting periodic motion introduces high-frequency variations in the observed signal (Fig. 3d). As a very simple instructive example, consider the fan shown in Fig. 3a. The fan rotates at a relatively slow rate of 8.33 Hz. This would seem to indicate that in order to capture the spinning fan, one only needs a 16.66 fps camera. During exposure time of 60 ms of a 16.66 Hz camera, the figure “1” written on the fan blade completes about half a revolution blurring it out (Fig. 3b). Shown in Fig. 3c is the time profile of the intensity of a single pixel using a high-speed video camera. Note that the sudden drop in intensity

\[ x(t) = x_{DC} + \sum_{j=1}^{j=Q} a_j \cos(2\pi j f_P t) + b_j \sin(2\pi j f_P t). \]
due to the dark number “1” appearing on the blades persists only for about 1 millisecond. Therefore, we need a 1,000 fps high-speed camera to observe the “1” without any blur. In short, the highest temporal frequency observed at a pixel is a product of the highest frequency of the periodic event in time and the highest frequency of the spatial pattern on the objects across the direction of motion. This makes the capture of high-speed periodic signals with texture more challenging.

### 2.2.3 Quasi-Periodic Signals

Most real-world “periodic signals” are not exactly so, but almost; there are small changes in the period of the signal over time. We refer to such a broader class of signals as quasi-periodic. For example, the Crest toothbrush we use in our experiments exhibits a quasi-periodic motion with fundamental frequency that varies between 63 and 64 Hz. Fig. 4a shows few periods of a quasi-periodic signal at a pixel of a vibrating tooth brush. Variation in fundamental frequency $f_P$ between 63 and 64 Hz over time can be seen in Fig. 4b. Variation in $f_P$ of a quasi-periodic signal is reflected in its Fourier transform, which contains energy not just at multiples $j f_P$ but in a small band around $j f_P$. Nevertheless, like periodic signals, the Fourier coefficients are concentrated at $j f_P$ (Fig. 4c) and are sparse in the frequency domain. The coefficients are distributed in a band $[j f_P - j \Delta f_P, j f_P + j \Delta f_P]$. For example, $\Delta f_P = 0.75$ Hz in Fig. 4d.

### 2.3 Coded Exposure Sampling (or Coded Strobing)

The key idea is to measure appropriate linear combinations of the periodic signal and then recover the signal by exploiting the sparsity of the signal in the Fourier domain (Fig. 5). Observe that by coding the incoming signal during the exposure duration, we take appropriate projections of the desired signal.

#### 2.3.1 Camera Observation Model

Consider a luminance signal $x(t)$. If the signal is bandlimited to $[-f_{Max}, f_{Max}]$, then in order to accurately represent and recover the signal, we only need to measure samples of the signal that are $\frac{1}{2f_{Max}}$ apart, where $\delta t$ represents the temporal resolution with which we wish to reconstruct the signal. If the total time of observing the signal is $N\delta t$, then the $N$ samples can be represented in an $N$-dimensional vector $x$.

In a normal camera, the radiance at a single pixel is integrated during the exposure time, and the sum is recorded as the observed intensity at a pixel. Instead of integrating during the entire frame duration, we perform amplitude modulation of the incoming radiance values, before integration. Then, the observed intensity values $y$ at a given pixel can be represented as

$$ y = Cx + \eta, \tag{2} $$

where the $M \times N$ matrix $C$ performs both the modulation and integration for frame duration, and $\eta$ represents the observation noise. Fig. 5 shows the structure of matrix $C$. If the camera observes a frame every $T_s$ seconds, the total number of frames/observations would be $M = N\delta t/T_s$ and

![Fig. 4.](image)

**(a)** Six periods of an $N = 32,768$ ms long quasi-periodic signal at a pixel of a scene captured by a 1,000 fps high-speed camera. (b) Fundamental frequency $f_P$ varying with time. (c) Fourier coefficients of the quasi-periodic signal shown in (a). (d) On zoom, we notice that the signal energy is concentrated in a band around the fundamental frequency $f_P$ and its harmonics.

![Fig. 5.](image)

**Fig. 5.** The observation model shows the capture process of the CSC, where different colors correspond to different frames and the binary shutter sequence is depicted using the presence or absence of color. Note that each frame uses a different binary subsequence. The signal model illustrates the sparsity in the frequency spectrum of a periodic signal.
so $y$ is an $M \times 1$ vector. The camera sampling time $T_s$ is far larger than the time resolution we would like to achieve (6); therefore, $M \ll N$. The upsampling factor (or decimation ratio) of CSC can be defined as

$$\text{Upsampling factor} = U = \frac{N}{M} = \frac{2f_{\text{Max}}}{f_s}. \quad (3)$$

For example, in the experiment shown in Fig. 15, $f_{\text{Max}} = 1,000$ Hz, and $f_s = 25$ fps. Therefore, the upsampling factor achieved is 80, i.e., the frame rate of CSC is 80 times smaller than that of an equivalent high-speed video camera. Even though the modulation function can be arbitrary, in practice it is usually restricted to be binary (open or closed shutter). Effective modulation can be achieved with codes that have a 50 percent transmission, i.e., the shutter is open for 50 percent of the total time, thereby limiting light loss at capture time to just 50 percent.

### 2.3.2 Signal Model

If $x$, the luminance at a pixel, is bandlimited, then it can be represented as

$$x = Bs, \quad (4)$$

where the columns of $B$ contain Fourier basis elements. Moreover, since the signal $x(t)$ is assumed to be periodic, we know that the basis coefficient vector $s$ is sparse, as shown in Fig. 5. Putting together the signal and observation model, the intensities in the observed frames are related to the basis coefficients as

$$y = Cx + \eta = CBS + \eta = As + \eta, \quad (5)$$

where $A$ is the effective mixing matrix of the forward process. Recovery of the high-speed periodic motion $x$ amounts to solving the linear system of equations (5).

### 2.4 Reconstruction Algorithms

To reconstruct the high-speed periodic signal $x$, it suffices to reconstruct its Fourier coefficients $s$ from modulated intensity observations $y$ of the scene.

**Unknowns, measurements, and sparsity.** In (5), the number of unknowns exceeds the number of known variables by a factor $U$ (typically 80), and hence, the system of equations (5) is severely underdetermined ($M \ll N$). To obtain robust solutions, further knowledge about the signal must be used. Since the Fourier coefficients $s$ of a periodic signal $x$ are sparse, a reconstruction technique enforcing sparsity of $s$ could still hope to recover the periodic signal $x$.

We present two reconstruction algorithms, one which enforces the sparsity of the Fourier coefficients and is inspired by compressive sensing and other which additionally enforces the structure of the sparse Fourier coefficients.

#### 2.4.1 Sparsity Enforcing Reconstruction

Estimating a sparse vector $s$ (with $K$ nonzero entries) that satisfies $y = As + \eta$ can be formulated as an $\ell_0$ optimization problem:

$$(P0): \quad \min \|s\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y - As\|_2 \leq \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

Although for general $s$, this is an NP-hard problem, for $K$ sufficiently small, the equivalence between $\ell_0$ and $\ell_1$-norm [8] allows us to reformulate the problem as one of $\ell_1$-norm minimization, which is a convex program with very efficient algorithms [12], [8], [2]:

$$\begin{align*}
(P1) & : \quad \min \|s\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|y - As\|_2 \leq \epsilon.
\end{align*} \quad (7)$$

The parameter $\epsilon$ allows for the variation in the modeling of signal's sparsity and/or noise in the observed frames. In practice, it is set to a fraction of captured signal energy (e.g., $\epsilon = 0.03\|y\|_2^2$) and is dictated by the prior knowledge about camera noise in general and the extent of periodicity of the captured phenomenon. An interior point implementation (BPDN) of (P1) is used to accurately solve for $s$. Instead, in most experiments in this paper, at the cost of minor degradation in performance we use CoSaMP [26], a faster greedy algorithm, to solve (P0). Both (P0) and (P1) don’t take into account the structure in the sparse coefficients of the periodic signal. By additionally enforcing the structure of the sparse coefficients $s$, we achieve robustness in recovery of the periodic signal.

#### 2.4.2 Structured Sparse Reconstruction

We recall that periodic/quasi-periodic signals are 1) sparse in the Fourier basis and 2) if the period is $P = 1/jf_p$, the only frequency content the signal has is in the small bands at the harmonics $jf_p, j$ an integer. Often, the period $P$ is not known a priori. If the period is known or can be estimated from the data $y$, then for a hypothesized fundamental frequency $f_H$, we can construct a set $S_{f_H}$ with basis elements $\{j|f_H - \Delta f_H, jf_H + \Delta f_H\}$, for $j \in \{-Q, \ldots, 0, 1, \ldots, Q\}$, such that all of the sparse Fourier coefficients will lie in this smaller set. Now the problem (P0) can instead be reformulated as

$$(P_{\text{Structured}}): \quad \min \|s\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\|y - As\|_2 \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{nonZero}(s) \in S_{f_H} \quad \text{for some} \ f_H \in [0, f_{\text{Max}}]. \quad (8)$$

where nonZero$(s)$ is a set containing all of the nonzero elements in the reconstructed $s$. Since the extent of quasi-periodicity is not known a priori, the band $\Delta f_H$ is chosen safely large and the nonzero coefficients continue to remain sparse in the set $S_{f_H}$. Intuitively, problem $P_{\text{Structured}}$ gives a better sparse solution compared to (P0) since the nonzero coefficients are searched over a smaller set $S_{f_H}$. An example of a periodic signal and its recovery using sparsity enforcing (P1) and structured sparsity is shown in Fig. 6b. The recovery using $P_{\text{Structured}}$ is exact whereas (P0) fails to recover the high-frequency components.

The restatement of the problem provides two significant advantages. First, it reduces the problem search space of the original $\ell_0$ formulation. To solve the original $\ell_0$ formulation, one has to search over $N^K$ sets. For example, if we observe a signal for 5 seconds at 1 ms resolution, then $N = 5000$ and $N^K$ is prohibitively large (10^{24} for $K = P = 100$). Second, this formulation implicitly enforces the quasi-periodicity of the recovered signal and this extra constraint allows us to solve for the unknown quasi-periodic signal with far fewer measurements than would otherwise be possible. The type of algorithms that exploit further statistical structure in the support of the sparse coefficients come under model-based compressive sensing [3].
2.4.3 Knowledge of Fundamental Frequency

**Structured sparse** reconstruction performs better over a larger range of upsampling factors and, since the structure of nonzero coefficients is dependent on fundamental frequency \( f_P \), we estimate it first.

**Identification of fundamental frequency.** For both periodic and quasi-periodic signals, we solve a sequence of least-square problems to identify the fundamental frequency \( f_H \). For a hypothesized fundamental frequency \( f_H \), we build a set \( S_{fH} \) with only the frequencies \( f_H \) for both periodic and quasi-periodic signals. Truncated matrix \( A_{fH} \) is constructed by retaining only the columns with indices in \( S_{fH} \). Nonzero coefficients \( \hat{s}_{fH} \) are then estimated by solving the equation \( y = A_{fH} \hat{s}_{fH} \) in a least-squares sense. We are interested in \( f_H \) which has a small reconstruction error \( \| y - \hat{y}_{fH} \| \) (or largest output SNR), where \( \hat{y}_{fH} = A_{fH} \hat{s}_{fH} \). If \( f_P \) is the fundamental frequency, then all of the sets \( S_{fH} \), where \( f_H \) is a factor of \( f_P \), will provide a good fit to the observed signal \( y \). Hence, the plot of output SNR has multiple peaks corresponding to the good fits. From these peaks, we pick the one with largest \( f_H \). In Fig. 7, we show results of experiments on synthetic data sets under two scenarios: noisy signal and quasi-periodicity. We note that the sparsity of quasi-periodic signals is structured and the nonzero elements occur at regular intervals. Hence, unlike the general setting, RIP should be satisfied and evaluated only over a select subset of columns. Since the fundamental frequency \( f_P \) of the signal is not known a priori, it suffices if the isometry is evaluated over a sequence of matrices \( A \) corresponding to hypothesized fundamental frequency \( f_H \). Hence, for a given \( N \) and \( U \), a code matrix \( C \) which results in the smallest condition number over all of the sequence of matrices \( A \) is desired. In
practice, such a $C$ is suboptimally found by randomly generating the binary codes tens of thousands of times and picking the best one.

Compared to a normal camera, CSC blocks half the light but captures all the frequency content of the periodic signal. The sinc response of the box filter of a normal camera attenuates the harmonics near its zeros as well as the higher frequencies as shown in Fig. 2b. To avoid the attenuation of harmonics, the frame duration of the camera has to be changed appropriately. But, this is undesirable since most cameras come with a discrete set of frame rates. Moreover, it is hard to have a priori knowledge of the signal’s period. This problem is entirely avoided by modulating the incoming signal with a pseudorandom binary sequence. Shown in Fig. 8 is the temporal and frequency domain visualization of the effect of CSC on a single harmonic. Modulation with a pseudorandom binary code spreads the harmonic across the spectrum. Thus, every harmonic irrespective of its position avoids the attenuation, the sinc response causes.

We perform numerical experiments to show the effectiveness of CSC (binary code) over the normal camera (all “1” code). Shown in Table 1 is the comparison of the largest and smallest condition numbers of the matrix $A$ arising in CSC and normal camera. For a given signal length $N = 5,000$ and upsampling factor $U = 25$ (the second column in Table 1), we vary the period $P$ and generate different matrices $A$ for both CSC and normal camera. The largest condition number (1.8 x 10^{19}) of mixing matrix $A$ of a normal camera occurs for signal of period $P = 75$. Similarly, the smallest condition number occurs for $P = 67$. On the other hand, the mixing matrix $A$ of CSC has significantly lower maximum (at $P = 9$) and minimum (at $P = 67$) condition numbers. Note that the largest and smallest condition number of CSC matrices $A$ across different upsampling factors $U$ are significantly smaller compared to those of normal camera matrices. This indicates that when the period of the signal is not known a priori, it is prudent to use CSC over normal camera.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition Number $k$ (Period $P$)</th>
<th>$U = 25$</th>
<th>$U = 40$</th>
<th>$U = 47$</th>
<th>$U = 55$</th>
<th>$U = 63$</th>
<th>$U = 91$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC: largest</td>
<td>1.8 x 10^{19} (75)</td>
<td>8.6 x 10^{23} (5)</td>
<td>6.1 x 10^{22} (47)</td>
<td>4.5 x 10^{23} (95)</td>
<td>3.4 x 10^{24} (90)</td>
<td>6.5 x 10^{24} (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC: largest</td>
<td>1.3 x 10^3 (9)</td>
<td>1.4 x 10^4 (7)</td>
<td>6.0 x 10^8 (8)</td>
<td>2.1 x 10^{19} (19)</td>
<td>8.1 x 10^3 (27)</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^7 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC: smallest</td>
<td>5.9 x 10^8 (67)</td>
<td>8.4 x 10^9 (63)</td>
<td>1.5 x 10^8 (54)</td>
<td>2.7 x 10^9 (92)</td>
<td>1.5 x 10^9 (80)</td>
<td>1.6 x 10^8 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC: smallest</td>
<td>16.5 (67)</td>
<td>11.5 (94)</td>
<td>10.1 (98)</td>
<td>9.7 (90)</td>
<td>10.9 (77)</td>
<td>13.2 (53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3.1.2 Performance Evaluation

We perform simulations on periodic signals to compare the performance of sparsity enforcing and structured sparse reconstruction algorithms on CSC frames, structured sparse reconstruction on normal camera frames, and traditional strobing. SNR plots of the reconstructed signal using the four approaches for varying period $P$, upsampling factor $U$, and noise level in $\gamma$ are shown in Fig. 9. The signal length is fixed to $N = 2,000$ units. The advantage of structured sparse reconstruction is apparent from comparing blue and red plots. The advantage of CSC over normal camera can be
seen by comparing blue and black plots. Note that the normal camera performs poorly when the upsampling factor $U$ is a multiple of the period $P$.

### 3.2 Experiments on a Synthetic Animation

We perform experiments on a synthetic animation of a fractal to show the efficacy of our approach. We also analyze the performance of the algorithm under various noisy scenarios. We assume that at every $bt = 1$ ms, a frame of the animation is being observed and that the animation is repetitive with $P = 25$ ms (25 distinct images in the fractal). Two such frames are shown in Fig. 10a. A normal camera running at $f_s = 25$ fps will integrate 40 frames of the animation into a single frame, resulting in blurred images. Two images from a 25 fps video are shown in Fig. 10b. By performing amplitude modulation at the shutter as described in Section 2.3.1, the CSC obtains frames at the same rate as that of the normal camera (25 fps) but with the images encoding the temporal movement occurring during the integration process of the camera sensor. Two frames from the CSC are shown in Fig. 10c. Note that in images (b) and (c) and also in images in other experiments, we rescaled the intensities appropriately for better display. For our experiment, we observe the animation for 5 seconds ($N = 5,000$) resulting in $M = 125$ frames. From these 125 frames, we recover frequency content of the periodic signal being observed by enforcing sparsity in reconstruction as described in Section 2.4. We compare structured sparse reconstruction on normal camera frames, normal sparse and structured sparse reconstruction on CSC frames, and the results are shown in Figs. 10d, 10e, and 10f.
In order to study the feasibility and robustness of the proposed camera, we first tested the approach using a high-speed video camera. We used an expensive 1,000 fps video camera, and captured high-speed video. We had to use strong illumination sources to light the scene and capture reasonably noise-free high-speed frames. We then added several of these frames (according to the strobe code) in software to simulate low-speed coded strobing camera frames. The simulated CSC frames were used to reconstruct the high-speed video. Some results of such experiments are reported in Fig. 12.

4.2 Sensor Integration Mechanism
We implement CSC for our experiments using an off-the-shelf Dragonfly2 camera from PointGrey Research [28], without modifications. The camera allows a triggering mode (Multiple Exposure Pulse Width Mode—Mode 5) in which the sensor integrates the incoming light when the trigger is “1” and is inactive when the trigger is “0.” The trigger allows us exposure control at a temporal resolution of $\delta t = 1$ ms. For every frame, we use a unique triggering sequence corresponding to a unique code. The camera outputs the integrated sensor readings as a frame after a specified number of integration periods. Also, each integration period includes at its end a period of about 30 ms, during which the camera processes the integrated sensor readings into a frame. The huge benefit of this setup is that it allows us to use an off-the-shelf camera to slow down high-speed events around us. On the other hand, the hardware bottleneck in the camera restricts us to operating at an effective frame rate of 10 fps (100 ms) and a strobe rate of 1,000 strobes/second ($\delta t = 1$ ms).

4.3 Ferroelectric Shutter
The PointGrey Dragonfly2 provides exposure control with a time resolution of 1 ms. Hence, it allows us a temporal resolution of $\delta t = 1$ ms at recovery time. However, when the maximum linear velocity of the object is greater than 1 pixel per ms, the reconstructed frames have motion blur. One can avoid this problem with finer control over the exposure time. For example, a DisplayTech ferroelectric liquid crystal shutter provides an ON/OFF contrast ratio of about 1,000 : 1, while simultaneously providing very fast switching time of about 250 $\mu$s. We built a prototype where the Dragonfly2 captures the frames at usual 25 fps and also triggers a PIC controller after every frame, which, in turn, flutters the ferroelectric shutter with a new code at a specified temporal frequency. In our experiment, we set the temporal resolution at 500 $\mu$s, i.e., 2,000 strobes/second.

4.4 Retrofitting Commercial Stroboscopes
Another exciting alternative to implement CSC is to retrofit commercial strobes. Commercial strobes used in laryngoscopy usually allow the strobe light to be triggered via a trigger input. Stroboscopes that allow such an external trigger for the strobe can be easily retrofitted to be used as a CSC. The PIC controller used to trigger the ferroelectric shutter can instead be used to synchronously trigger the strobe light of the stroboscope, thus converting a traditional stroboscope into a coded stroboscope.

5 Experimental Results
To validate our design, we conduct two kinds of experiments. In the first experiment, we capture high-speed
videos and then generate CSC frames by appropriately adding frames of the high-speed video. In the second set of experiments, we captured videos of fast moving objects with a low-frame-rate CSC implemented using a Dragonfly2 video camera. Details about the project and implementation can be found at http://www.umiacs.umd.edu/~dikpal/Projects/codedstrobing.html.

5.1 High-Speed Video of Toothbrush

We capture a high-speed (1,000 fps) video of a pulsating Crest toothbrush with quasi-periodic linear and oscillatory motions at about 63 Hz. Fig. 4b shows the frequency of the toothbrush as a function of time. Note that even within a short window of 30 seconds, there are significant changes in frequency. We render a 100, 20, and 10 fps CSC (i.e., a frame duration of 10, 50, and 100 ms, respectively) by adding appropriate high-speed video frames, but reconstruct the moving toothbrush images at a resolution of 1 ms, as shown in Fig. 12c. Frames of the CSC operating at 100, 20, and 10 fps ($U = 10, 50,$ and 100, respectively) are shown in Fig. 12b. The fine bristles of the toothbrush add high-frequency components because of texture variations. The bristles on the circular head moved almost 6 pixels within 1 ms. Thus, the captured images from the high-speed camera themselves exhibited blur of about 6 pixels, which can be seen in the recovered images. Note that contrary to what it seems to the naked eye, the circular head of the toothbrush does not actually complete a rotation. It just exhibits oscillatory motion of 45 degrees and we are able to see it from the high-speed reconstruction.

To test the robustness of coded strobing capture and recovery on the visual quality of images, we corrupt the observed images $y$ with white noise having $SNR = 15$ dB. The results of the recovery without and with noise are shown in Fig. 13.

We compare frames recovered from CSC to those recovered from a normal camera (by enforcing structured sparsity) to illustrate the effectiveness of modulating the
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Fig. 12. Reconstruction results of an oscillating toothbrush under three different capture parameters ($U$): Images for simulation captured by a 1,000 fps high-speed camera at time instances $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ are shown in (a). The second row (b) shows a frame each from the coded strobing capture (simulated from frames in (a)) at upsampling factors $U = 10, 50,$ and 100, respectively. Reconstruction at time instances $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ from the frames captured at $U = 10$ is shown in the first column of (c).

Fig. 13. Reconstruction results of the toothbrush with upsampling factor $U = 10$ without and with 15 dB noise in (a) and (b), respectively.
frames. The normal camera doesn’t capture the motion in the bristles as well (Fig. 14) and is saturated.

5.2 Mill-Tool Results Using Ferroelectric Shutter
We use a Dragonfly2 camera with a ferroelectric shutter and capture images of a tool rotating in a mill. Since the tool can rotate at speeds as high as 12,000 rpm (200 Hz), to prevent blur in reconstructed images, we use the ferroelectric shutter for modulation with a temporal resolution of 0.5 ms. The CSC runs at 25 fps (40 ms frame length) with the ferroelectric shutter fluttering at 2,000 strobes/second. Shown in Fig. 15 are the reconstructions at 2,000 fps ($\Delta t = 0.5$ ms) of a tool rotating at 3,000, 6,000, 9,000, and 12,000 rpm. Without a priori knowledge of scene frequencies, we use the same strobbed coding and the same software decoding procedure for the mill tool rotating at different revolutions per minute (rpm). This shows that we can capture any sequence of periodic motion with unknown period with a single predetermined code. In contrast, in traditional strobing, prior knowledge of the period is necessary to strobe at the appropriate frequency. Note that the reconstructed image of the tool rotating at 3,000 rpm is crisp (Fig. 15a) and the images blur progressively as the rpm increases. Since the temporal resolution of the Dragonfly2 strobe is 0.5 ms, the features on the tool begin to blur at speeds as fast as 12,000 rpm (Fig. 15d). In fact, the linear velocity of the tool across the image plane is about 33 pixels per ms (for 12,000 rpm), while the width of the tool is about 45 pixels. Therefore, the recovered tool is blurred to about one-third its width in 0.5 ms.

5.3 Toothbrush Using Dragonfly2 Camera
We use a Dragonfly2 camera operating in Trigger Mode 5 to capture a coded sequence of the Crest toothbrush oscillating. The camera operated at 10 fps, but we reconstruct video of the toothbrush at 1,000 fps ($U = 100$), as shown in Fig. 16. Even though the camera acquires a frame every 100 ms, the reconstruction is at a temporal resolution of 1 ms. If we assume that there are $L$ photons per millisecond (ms), then each frame of the camera would acquire around $0.5 \times 100 \times L$ photons. In comparison, each frame of a high-speed camera would accumulate $L$ photons, while a traditional strobing camera would accumulate $L \times f_p/f_s = 6.3L$ photons per frame.

5.4 High-Speed Video of a Jog
Using frames from a high-speed (250 fps) video of a person jogging in place, we simulate in the computer the capture of the scene using a normal camera and the CSC at upsampling factors of $U = 25, 50,$ and $75$. The coded frames from CSC are used to reconstruct back the original high-speed frames by enforcing structured sparsity. The result of the reconstruction
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**Fig. 14.** Reconstruction results of the toothbrush with upsampling factor $U = 50$. Note that using CSC to capture periodic scenes allows us better reconstruction over using a normal camera.

**Fig. 15.** Tool bit rotating at different rpm captured using coded strobing: The top row shows the coded images acquired by a PGR Dragonfly2 at 25 fps, with an external FLC shutter fluttering at 2,000 Hz. (a)-(d) Reconstruction results, at 2,000 fps (temporal resolution $\Delta t = 500 \mu s$), of a tool bit rotating at 3,000, 6,000, 9,000, and 12,000 rpm, respectively. For better visualization, the tool was painted with color prior to the capture.

**Fig. 16.** Demonstration of CSC at upsampling factor $U = 100$ using Dragonfly2. (a) Captured image from a 10 fps CSC (Dragonfly2). (b)-(c) Two reconstructed frames. While the CSC captured an image frame every 100 ms, we obtain reconstructions with a temporal resolution of 1 ms.
using frames from the CSC is contrasted with frames captured using a normal camera in Fig. 17a. At any given pixel, the signal is highly quasi-periodic since it is not a mechanically driven motion, but our algorithm performs reasonably well in capturing the scene. In Fig. 17b, we contrast the reconstruction at a pixel for \( U = 25, 50, \) and 75.

6 Benefits and Limitations

6.1 Benefits and Advantages

Coded strobing allows three key advantages over traditional strobing: 1) signal to noise ratio (SNR) improvements due to light efficiency, 2) no necessity for prior knowledge of dominant frequency, and 3) the ability to capture scenes with multiple periodic phenomena with different fundamental frequencies.

Light throughput. Light efficiency plays an important role if one cannot increase the brightness of external light sources. Let us consider the linear noise model (scene independent), where the SNR of the captured image is given by \( \frac{L T_{\text{Exposure}}}{\sigma_{\text{gray}}} \) where \( L \) is the average light intensity at a pixel and \( \sigma_{\text{gray}} \) is a signal-independent noise level which includes effects of dark current, amplifier noise, and A/D converter noise. For both traditional and coded strobing cameras, the duration of the shortest exposure time should at most be \( t_s = 1/(2f_{\text{Max}}) \). In traditional strobing, this short exposure \( t_s \) is repeated once every period of the signal, and therefore, the total exposure time in every frame is given by \( T_{\text{Strobing}} = (1/2f_{\text{Max}})(f_p/f_s) \). Since the total exposure time within a frame can be as large as 50 percent of the total frame duration for CSC, \( T_{\text{Coded}} = 1/2f_s \). The decoding process in coded strobing introduces additional noise, and this decoding noise factor is

\[
d = \sqrt{\text{trace}((A^T A)^{-1})/M}.
\]

Therefore, the SNR gain of CSC as compared to traditional strobing is given by

\[
\text{SNR}_{\text{Gain}} = \frac{\text{SNR}_{\text{Coded}}}{\text{SNR}_{\text{Strobing}}} = \frac{(LT_{\text{Coded}})/(\sigma)}{(LT_{\text{Strobing}})/(\sigma)} = \frac{f_{\text{Max}}}{df_p}
\]

For example, in the case of the tool spinning at 3,000 rpm (or 50 Hz), this gain is \( 20 \log (1,000/(2 \cdot 50)) = 20 \text{ dB} \) since \( f_{\text{Max}} = 1,000 \text{ Hz} \) for strobe rate 2,000 strobes/second. So, coded strobing is a great alternative for light-limited scenarios such as medical inspection in laryngoscopy (where patient tissue burn is a concern) and long-range imaging.

Knowledge of fundamental frequency. Unlike traditional strobing, coded strobing can determine signal frequency in a postcapture, software only process. This allows for interesting applications, such as simultaneous capture of multiple signals with very different fundamental frequencies. Since the processing is independent for each pixel, we can support scenes with several independently periodic signals and capture them without a priori knowledge of the frequency bands, as shown in Fig. 18a. Shown in Fig. 15 are the reconstructions obtained for the tool which was rotating at 3,000, 4,500, 6,000, and 12,000 rpm. In all of these cases, the same coded shutter sequence was used at capture time. Also, the reconstruction algorithm can also eminently handle both periodic and quasi-periodic signals using the same framework.

Multiple periodic signals. Unlike traditional strobing, coded strobing allows us to capture and recover scenes with multiple periodic motions with different fundamental frequencies. The capture in coded strobing doesn’t rely on the frequency of the periodic motion being observed and the recovery of the signal at each pixel is independent of the other. This makes it possible to capture a scene with periodic motions with different fundamental frequencies all at the same time using the same hardware settings. The different motions are reconstructed independently by first estimating the respective fundamental frequencies and then reconstructing by enforcing structured sparsity.

We perform experiments on an animation with two periodic motions with different fundamental frequencies. Shown in Fig. 18a are a few frames of the animation with a rotating globe on the left and a horse galloping on the right. The animation was created using frames of a rotating globe, which repeats every 24 frames, and frames of the classic galloping horse, which repeats every 15 frames. For simulation, we assume that a new frame of the animation is being observed at a resolution of \( \delta t = 1 \text{ ms} \) and we observe the animation for a total time of 4.8 seconds \( (N = 4,800) \). This makes the period of the globe 24 ms \( (f_p = 41.667 \text{ Hz}) \) and that of the horse 15 ms \( (f_p = 66.667 \text{ Hz}) \). The scene is captured using a 25 fps \( (U = 40) \) camera and few of the captured CSC frames are shown in Fig. 18b. The reconstructed frames obtained by enforcing structured sparsity are shown in Fig. 18c. Prior to the reconstruction of the scene at each pixel, fundamental frequencies of the different motions were estimated. For one pixel on the horse (marked blue in Fig. 18a) and one pixel on the globe (marked red), the output SNR \( \|y\|/\|y - \hat{y}\| \) is shown as a function of hypothesized fundamental frequency \( f_H \) in Fig. 18d. The fundamental frequency is accurately estimated as 66.667 Hz for the horse and 41.667 Hz for the globe.
The previous benefits assume significance because modern cameras, such as the PointGrey DragonFly2, allow coded strobing exposure, and hence, there is no need for expensive hardware modifications. We instantly transform this off-the-shelf camera into a 2,000 fps high-speed camera using our sampling scheme. On the other hand, traditional strobing has been extremely popular and successful because of its direct view capability. Since our reconstruction algorithm is not yet real-time, we can only provide a delayed viewing of the signal. Table 2 lists the most important characteristics of the various sampling methodologies presented.

6.2 Artifacts and Limitations
We address the three most dominant artifacts in our reconstructions: 1) blur in the reconstructed images due to time resolution, 2) temporal ringing introduced during deconvolution process, and 3) saturation due to specularity.

6.2.1 Blur
As shown in Fig. 19, we observe blur in the reconstructed images when the higher spatiotemporal frequency of the motion is not captured by the shortest exposure time of 0.5 ms. Note that the blur when $\delta t = 0.5$ ms is less compared to when $\delta t = 1$ ms. The width of the tool is about 45 pixels and the linear velocity of the tool across the image plane is 33 pixels per millisecond. Hence, there is a blur of about 16 pixels in the reconstructed image when $\delta t = 0.5$ ms and 33 pixels when $\delta t = 1$ ms. Note that this blur is not a result of the reconstruction process and is dependent on the smallest temporal resolution. It must also be noted here that while 12,000 rpm (corresponding to 200 Hz) is significantly less compared to the 2,000 Hz temporal resolution offered by coded strobing, the blur is a result of visual texture on the tool.

6.2.2 Temporal Ringing
Temporal ringing is introduced in the reconstructed images during the reconstruction (deconvolution) process. For simplicity, we presented results without any regularization in the reconstruction process (Fig. 12c). Note that in our algorithm, reconstruction is per pixel and the ringing is over time. Fig. 20a shows temporal ringing at two spatially close pixels. Since the waveforms at these two pixels are related (typically phase shifted), the temporal ringing appears as spatial ringing in the reconstructed images (Fig. 16). Either data-independent Tikhonov regularization or data-depen-
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Fig. 18. Recovery of multiple periodic motion in a scene. (a) Periodic events with different periods in the same scene. The scene as captured by CSC with $U = 40$ is shown in (b). The recovered frames are shown in (c). Shown in (d) is the estimated fundamental frequency of the globe and the horse at points marked red and blue. Note that the last peak in both the globe and the horse corresponds to the respective fundamental frequency of 41.667 and 66.667 Hz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sampling Rate</th>
<th>Best Scenario</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-speed (Nyquist)</td>
<td>$2 f_0$</td>
<td>Scene within $f_0$</td>
<td>Robust</td>
<td>Costly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strobing (band-pass)</td>
<td>Lower than $f_0$</td>
<td>Periodic and brightly lit</td>
<td>Direct-view</td>
<td>Linear search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-uniform strobing</td>
<td>Lower than $f_0$</td>
<td>Brightly lit</td>
<td>No aliasing</td>
<td>Not robust to noise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coded Strobing</td>
<td>Lower than $f_0$</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>Light-efficient</td>
<td>No direct-view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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and are reconstructed as well as other regions. The typical cause of saturation in the captured signal is due to specularities in the observed scene. Saturation in the captured signal results in sharp edges, which, in turn, leads to ringing artifacts in the reconstructed signal. In Fig. 20b, we can see that the periodic signal recovered from saturated \( y \) has temporal ringing. Since reconstruction is independent for each pixel, the effect of saturation is local and does not affect the rest of the pixels in the image. The typical cause of saturation in the captured image is due to specularities in the observed scene. Specularities, that are not saturated, do not pose a problem and are reconstructed as well as other regions.

6.3.2 Saturation
Saturation in the captured signal \( y \) results in sharp edges, which leads to ringing artifacts in the reconstructed signal. In Fig. 20b, we can see that the periodic signal recovered from saturated \( y \) has temporal ringing. Since reconstruction is independent for each pixel, the effect of saturation is local and does not affect the rest of the pixels in the image. The typical cause of saturation in the captured image is due to specularities in the observed scene. Specularities, that are not saturated, do not pose a problem and are reconstructed as well as other regions.

7 DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Spatial Redundancy
In this paper, we discussed a method called coded strobing that exploits the temporal redundancy of periodic signals, and in particular, their sparsity in the Fourier domain in order to capture high-speed periodic and quasi-periodic signals. The analysis and the reconstruction algorithms presented considered the data at every pixel as independent. In reality, adjacent pixels have temporal profiles that are very similar. In particular (see Fig. 21), the temporal profiles of adjacent pixels are related to each other via a phase shift, which depends upon the local speed and direction of motion of scene features. This redundancy is currently not being exploited in our current framework. We are currently exploring extensions of the CSC that explicitly model this relationship and use these constraints during the recovery process.

7.2 Spatiotemporal Resolution Trade-Off
The focus of this paper was on the class of periodic and quasi-periodic signals. One interesting and exciting avenue for future work is to extend the application of the CSC to a wider class of high-speed videos such as high-speed videos of statistically regular dynamical events (e.g., waterfall, fluid dynamics, etc.), and finally, to arbitrary high-speed events such as bursting balloons, etc. One alternative we are pursuing in this regard is considering a scenario that allows for spatiotemporal resolution trade-offs, i.e., use a higher resolution CSC in order to reconstruct lower resolution high-speed videos of arbitrary scenes. The spatiotemporal redundancy (like priors) can be used to improve the visual quality of the reconstructed videos.

7.3 Conclusions
In this paper, we presented a simple, yet powerful sampling scheme and reconstruction algorithm that turns a normal video camera into a high-speed video camera for periodic signals. We show that the current design has many benefits over traditional approaches and show a working prototype that is able to turn an off-the-shelf 25 fps PointGrey Dragonfly2 camera into a 2,000 fps high-speed camera.
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