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A search is presented for gluinos decaying via the supersymmetric partner of the top quark using events with two same-sign leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum. The analysis is performed with 2.05 fb\(^{-1}\) of integrated luminosity from pp collisions at \(\sqrt{s} = 7\) TeV collected by the ATLAS detector at the LHC. No excess beyond the standard model expectation is observed, and exclusion limits are derived for simplified models where the gluino decays via the supersymmetric partner of the top quark and in the minimal supergravity and constrained minimal supersymmetric standard model framework. In those scenarios, gluino masses below 550 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. within the parameter space considered, significantly extending the coverage with respect to existing limits. Depending on the model parameters, gluino masses up to 750 GeV can also be excluded at 95% C.L.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.241802 PACS numbers: 12.60.Jv, 13.85.Rm, 14.80.Ly

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–7] is a theory beyond the standard model (SM) which predicts new bosonic partners for the existing fermions and fermionic partners for the known bosons. In the framework of a generic R-parity conserving minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM, SUSY particles are produced in pairs [8,9] and the lightest supersymmetric particle is stable, providing a possible candidate for dark matter.

In SUSY models, the gluino is a strongly interacting Majorana fermion. Pair-produced gluinos therefore have an equal probability to produce a pair of leptons that have the same charge [same-sign (SS)] and the opposite charge from their decays. The supersymmetric partner of the top quark (top squark) has two mass eigenstates with \(t_{\tilde{1}}\) being the lightest. Top squarks and \(\tilde{t}_1\) squarks can be produced in the decay of the gluino via \(g \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}^*_1\), \(\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1\), \(\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}^*_1\) [10–14]. The \(\tilde{t}_1\) squark can further decay to the lightest chargino \((\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_1)\) or lightest neutralino \((\tilde{\chi}^0_1)\) via \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\) or \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}^0_1\) producing isolated leptons in the semileptonic top-quark decay or in the leptonic \(\tilde{\chi}^\pm_1\) decay and enriching the signal events with two or more leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum \((E_{T}^{\text{miss}})\) from the undetected neutralinos. This analysis considers events with a pair of isolated SS leptons, multiple high-\(p_T\) jets, and large \(E_{T}^{\text{miss}}\). The requirement of SS leptons in the event suppresses the contribution from SM processes and thus enhances the potential signal significance even for final state topologies with relatively soft jet kinematics. This Letter presents the first search for gluino-mediated top squark production using the SS signature, although other searches with SS leptons have been performed [15–18]. The analysis presented here complements the results of the ATLAS search based on a single lepton plus \(b\) jets [19], enhancing the sensitivity in the experimentally difficult region near the kinematic limit for the production of two top quarks and a neutralino and for the region with low top squark masses.

ATLAS is a general-purpose detector [20] at the LHC. This search uses pp collision data recorded from March to August 2011 at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV. The data set corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of 2.05 ± 0.08 fb\(^{-1}\) [21,22] after the application of data quality requirements. Events are selected by using single lepton and dilepton triggers that have constant efficiency as a function of lepton \(p_T\) above the offline \(p_T\) cuts used in the analysis.

Jets are reconstructed from three-dimensional calorimeter energy clusters by using the anti-\(k_T\) jet algorithm [23,24] with a radius parameter of 0.4. The measured jet energy is corrected for inhomogeneities in, and for the noncompensating nature of, the calorimeter by using \(p_T\)- and \(\eta\)-dependent correction factors [25]. Only jet candidates with \(p_T > 20\) GeV within \(|\eta| < 2.8\) are retained. Events with any jet that fails the jet quality criteria designed to remove noise and noncollision backgrounds [25] are rejected.

Electron candidates are required to have \(p_T > 20\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.47\) and satisfy the “tight” selection criteria defined in Ref. [26]. They are also required to be isolated: The scalar sum of \(p_T\) of tracks within a cone in the \(\eta - \phi\) plane of radius \(\Delta R = 0.2\) around the candidate excluding its own track, \(\Sigma p_T\), must be less than 10% of the electron \(p_T\). Muon candidates are required to have \(p_T > 20\) GeV, \(|\eta| < 2.4\) and are identified by matching an extrapolated inner detector track and one or more track segments in the muon spectrometer [27]. They must have longitudinal and
transverse impact parameters within 1 and 0.2 mm of the primary vertex [28], respectively, and $\Sigma p_T < 1.8$ GeV.

The calculation of $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ [29] is based on the vectorial sum of the $p_T$ of the reconstructed jets (with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$), leptons, and the calorimeter energy clusters not belonging to reconstructed objects.

During part of the data-taking period, a localized electronics failure in the electromagnetic calorimeter created a dead region ($\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi \approx 1.4 \times 0.2$). For jets in this region, a correction to their energy is made by using the energy depositions in the neighboring cells and is propagated to $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. If this correction projected onto the direction of the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is larger than 10 GeV or 10% of the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, the event is discarded [30]. Events with reconstructed electrons in the calorimeter dead region are also rejected.

Events in which the two highest-$p_T$ leptons ($\ell = e, \mu$) have the same charge and with at least four jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV are selected. In addition, two signal regions are considered: SR1, which requires $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV, and SR2, which in addition requires $m_T > 100$ GeV, where $m_T$ is the transverse mass of the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ and the highest-$p_T$ lepton defined as $m_T^2 = 2p_T^T E_T^{\text{miss}}[1 - \cos(\Delta \phi (\ell, E_T^{\text{miss}}))]$. This final $m_T$ cut helps reduce the $t\bar{t}$ background. The signal regions are optimized based on several models where SS dileptons are produced in gluino decays. In signals such as the MSUGRA-CMSSM (minimal supergravity or constrained minimal supersymmetric standard model) [31,32], the directions of the lepton and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ are strongly correlated, as they originate from the decay of a common parent particle (usually $\tilde{\chi}_1^{-}$ or the next-to-lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$). This leads to a softer $m_T$ spectrum than that found in gluino-mediated top squark signal models, where the lepton and the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ originate from different parent particles and are thus uncorrelated.

Simulated Monte Carlo (MC) event samples are used to aid in the description of the background and to model the SUSY signal. Top-quark pair and single-top production are simulated with MC@NLO [33], fixing the top-quark mass at 172.5 GeV and using the next-to-leading-order (NLO) parton density function (PDF) set CTEQ6.6 [34]. Samples of $W +$ jets and $Z +$ jets with both light- and heavy-flavor jets are generated with ALPGEN [35] and PDF set CT10.1 [36]. The fragmentation and hadronization for the ALPGEN and MC@NLO samples are performed with HERWIG [37], using JIMMY [38] for the underlying event. Samples of $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}W$, and $t\bar{t}WW$ (referred to as $t\bar{t} + X$) are generated with MADGRAPH [39] interfaced to PYTHIA [40]. The total LO cross section for these samples is 0.39 pb and is normalized to NLO by using a $K$ factor of 1.3 [41]. Diboson samples are generated with HERWIG for $W^+W^-$, $WZ$, and $ZZ$ processes and with MADGRAPH for $W^+W^-\gamma$ $q\bar{q}$ processes. The total NLO cross section for the diboson background is 71 pb [42,43]. SUSY signal processes are simulated for various models by using HERWIG++ [37] v2.4.2. The SUSY sample yields are normalized to the results of NLO calculations, as obtained by using PROSPINO [44] v2.1. The CT10.1 [45] parameterization of the PDFs is used.

The SM backgrounds are evaluated by using a combination of MC simulation and data-driven techniques. SM processes that generate events containing jets which are misidentified as leptons or where a lepton from a $b$- or c-hadron decay is selected are collectively referred to as “fake-lepton” background. It generally consists of semileptonic $t\bar{t}$, single-top, $W +$ jets, and strong light- and heavy-flavor jet production. The contribution from the fake-lepton background is estimated from data with a method similar to that described in Refs. [49,50] by loosening the lepton identification and isolation criteria. For electrons the “medium” criteria are used instead of the “tight” criteria [26], and for both electrons and muons the isolation criterion is relaxed. The method counts the number of observed events containing loose-loose, loose-tight, tight-loose, and tight-tight lepton pairs. The probability of loose real leptons passing the tight selection criteria is obtained by using a $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ sample. The probability of loose fake leptons to pass the tight selection criteria is determined as a function of the lepton $p_T$ by using multijet control samples obtained by requiring two SS leptons and low $E_T^{\text{miss}}$. By using these probabilities, relations are obtained for the observed event counts in the signal regions as functions of the numbers of events containing fake-fake, fake-real, real-fake, and real-real lepton pairs. These can be solved simultaneously to estimate the number of background events [49,50]. The results of the estimations have been validated with data in control regions obtained by reversing the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ or jet multiplicity cuts used in the signal regions.

Background events from charge misidentification (dominated by electrons which have undergone hard bremsstrahlung with subsequent photon conversion) are estimated by using a partially data-driven technique [16]. The probability of charge misidentification is calculated from MC simulations and corrected by consideration of the number of events in the data with SS electron pairs and invariant mass within 15 GeV of the Z-boson mass. This probability is applied to $t\bar{t}$ MC events producing $e\pm\ell^\mp$ pairs to evaluate the number of SS events from incorrect charge assignment in each signal region. The probability of misidentifying the charge of a muon and the contributions in the signal regions from charge misidentification of $Z/\gamma^* +$ jets and other SM backgrounds are negligible.

Contributions from other SM background sources (diboson and $t\bar{t} + X$) are evaluated by using the MC samples described above. In these processes, real SS lepton pairs are produced, and their contribution to the signal regions can be described with MC simulations. In particular, the
contribution from the experimentally unmeasured $t\bar{t} + X$ processes has been studied by using several MC generators. The background from cosmic rays is evaluated with data by using the method in Ref. [16], and its contribution is negligible in the signal regions.

Systematic uncertainties are estimated in the signal regions for the background and the SUSY signal processes. The primary sources of systematic uncertainties in the background are the jet energy scale calibration (35%), the jet energy resolution (10%), uncertainties on lepton and jet reconstruction and identification (5%), and MC modeling and theoretical cross section uncertainties (40%–70%). In particular, the theoretical uncertainties on the cross section of the $t\bar{t} + X$ processes are found to be between 35% and 55% by varying factorization and renormalization scales and 25% due to PDF uncertainties. In addition, a 50% uncertainty is assigned on the $K$ factor used to obtain the NLO cross section [41]. In the fake-lepton background estimation, systematic uncertainties are assigned to the probabilities for loose fake leptons to pass the tight selection. This accounts for potentially different compositions of the signal and control regions. These uncertainties vary in the 10%–80% range depending on the lepton $p_T$ and are evaluated by using data samples with jets of different energies. The absolute uncertainty for each background source is given in Table I. Systematic uncertainties on the signal expectations are evaluated through statistical and systematic uncertainties. For illustration, the distribution for a signal obtained with the decay $g \rightarrow t\bar{t}X_1$ in $g \bar{g}$ pair-produced events with $m_{\tilde{g}} = 650$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{g}^0} = 150$ GeV is also shown. The data are in agreement with the SM background expectation, and once four jets of $p_T > 50$ GeV are required no event is observed with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV.

Table I shows the number of expected events in the signal regions for each background source together with the observed number of events. The expectation from the SM is estimated to be less than one event for each signal region with no events observed in the data. Limits at 95% confidence level (C.L.) are derived on the visible cross section $\sigma_{\text{vis}} = \sigma \times e \times A$, where $\sigma$ is the total production cross section for any new signal producing SS dileptons, $A$ is the acceptance defined by the fraction of events passing
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**Figure 1** (color online). Number of jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV for events with 2 SS leptons and the $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ distribution for events with 2 SS leptons and at least four jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV. The contributions from all the SM backgrounds are shown together with their total statistical and systematic uncertainties. For illustration, the distribution for a signal obtained with the decay $g \rightarrow t\bar{t}X_1$ via off mass-shell $t$ (m$_t = 1.2$ TeV) in $g \bar{g}$ pair-produced events with $m_{\tilde{g}} = 650$ GeV and $m_{\tilde{g}^0} = 150$ GeV.
scalar and gaugino mass parameters

CMSSM framework in terms of limits on the universal scalar and gaugino masses, together with existing limits [19]. The −1σ limit lies outside the range of the figure. The production cross section upper limits at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV , where gluino masses below 660 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV, neutralino masses below 215 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV, neutralino masses below 215 GeV are excluded over the entire m0 region considered. These are equivalent to the exclusion of gluino masses below ~550 GeV independent of the squark mass (and gluino masses below ~750 GeV for squark masses below 1 TeV).

In summary, a search for SUSY with two SS leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum has been performed by using 2.05 fb⁻¹ of ATLAS data. With no events observed in the signal regions, limits have been derived in the context of models where top quarks are produced in gluino decays and MSUGRA-CMSSM scenarios. In all these signal models, gluino masses below ~550 GeV are the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets tanβ = 10, and Higgs mixing parameter µ > 0. In this model, values of m1/2 below 300 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for m0 values below 750 GeV, and m1/2 values below 180 GeV are excluded over the entire m0 region considered. These are equivalent to the exclusion of gluino masses below ~550 GeV independent of the squark mass (and gluino masses below ~750 GeV for squark masses below 1 TeV).

FIG. 3 (color online). Expected and observed 95% C.L. exclusion limits in the g → t̄tχ0 (via off mass-shell t̄, m1 = 1.2 TeV) simplified model as a function of the gluino and top squark masses, together with existing limits [19]. The −1σ limit lies outside the range of the figure. The production cross section upper limits at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV, where gluino masses below 660 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV, neutralino masses below 215 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L. for top squark masses below 460 GeV, neutralino masses below 215 GeV are excluded over the entire m0 region considered. These are equivalent to the exclusion of gluino masses below ~550 GeV independent of the squark mass (and gluino masses below ~750 GeV for squark masses below 1 TeV).

In summary, a search for SUSY with two SS leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum has been performed by using 2.05 fb⁻¹ of ATLAS data. With no events observed in the signal regions, limits have been derived in the context of models where top quarks are produced in gluino decays and MSUGRA-CMSSM scenarios. In all these signal models, gluino masses below ~550 GeV are

FIG. 4 (color online). Expected and observed 95% C.L. exclusion limits in the MSUGRA-CMSSM (m0, m1/2) plane for tanβ = 10, A0 = 0, and µ > 0, compared to existing limits [52–56].
excluded at 95% C.L. within the parameter space considered, and gluino masses up to ~750 GeV are excluded at 95% C.L., depending on the model parameters. The results of this analysis are complementary to and extend the current exclusion limits on the gluino mass beyond those from other ATLAS searches [19,52].
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