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A Corticostriatal Neural System Enhances Auditory Perception through Temporal Context Processing

Eveline Geiser, Michael Notter, and John D. E. Gabrieli

The temporal context of an acoustic signal can greatly influence its perception. The present study investigated the neural correlates underlying perceptual facilitation by regular temporal contexts in humans. Participants listened to temporally regular (periodic) or temporally irregular (nonperiodic) sequences of tones while performing an intensity discrimination task. Participants performed significantly better on intensity discrimination during periodic than nonperiodic tone sequences. There was greater activation in the putamen for periodic than nonperiodic sequences. Conversely, there was greater activation in bilateral primary and secondary auditory cortices (planum polare and planum temporale) for nonperiodic than periodic sequences. Across individuals, greater putamen activation correlated with lesser auditory cortical activation in both right and left hemispheres. These findings suggest that temporal regularity is detected in the putamen, and that such detection facilitates temporal-lobes cortical processing associated with superior auditory perception. Thus, this study reveals a corticostriatal system associated with contextual facilitation for auditory perception through temporal regularity processing.

Introduction

The temporal structure of acoustic signals is fundamental to their perception. For example, temporal structure influences language parsing (Cutler and Otake, 2002; Frazier et al., 2006) and categorization of acoustic sequences (Hannon and Johnson, 2005). The temporal context of a sequence also crucially affects the perception of elements within that sequence, such as pitch and time intervals (Povel and Okkerman, 1981; Thorpe and Trehub, 1989; Jazayeri and Shadlen, 2010; Borchert et al., 2011; see Sanabria et al., 2011 for a review). If a sound sequence contains temporal regularity, in music also referred to as beat or meter (Lerdahl and Jackendoff, 1983), the sensory processing and the speed of perception of tones concurrent with the regular temporal grid is improved (Barnes and Jones, 2000; Jones et al., 2002, their Experiment 2; Lange, 2009; Ellis and Jones, 2010; Sanabria et al., 2011). Sensory facilitation through temporal regularity is commonly explained by temporal expectation that is derived from the structure of a sound sequence (Barnes and Jones, 2000; London, 2004). Indeed, the anticipation of an event cued by a single static event enhances behavioral performance (Correa et al., 2005; Nobre et al., 2007). Similarly, researchers assume that listeners anticipate the time when future events will occur on the basis of perceived regularity, which in turn facilitates perception (Large and Jones, 1999; Jones et al., 2002). Our study aimed to investigate the neural systems underlying perceptual facilitation in a regular temporal context in human auditory processing.

The perception of temporal regularity has been associated with a thalamic-corticostriatal network that is generally important for timing perception (Mauk and Buonomano, 2004; Buhusi and Meck, 2005; Meck et al., 2008). Specifically, the basal ganglia are associated with temporal regularity perception due to their presumed function in coincidence detection (Matell and Meck, 2004; Buhusi and Meck, 2005; Meck, 2006; Grahn and Brett, 2007; Teki et al., 2011). Cortical areas associated with related timing tasks include supplementary motor cortex, anterior cingulate, and premotor cortex (Chen et al., 2008b; see Coull and Nobre, 2008 for a review; Grahn and McAuley, 2009; Grahn and Rowe, 2009). These studies have shown the importance of the thalamo-corticostriatal network for beat perception on explicit timing tasks (i.e., tasks in which people judge timing per se). However, the neural mechanism by which temporal regularity facilitates auditory perception on implicit timing tasks, where timing is not judged directly but timing influences perceptual performance, is unknown. The implicit influence of timing on perception is likely widespread in audition.

We investigated what neural systems are associated with the facilitation of auditory perception through temporal regularity. Participants made intensity judgments on sound sequences that were temporally regular (periodic) or irregular (nonperiodic). We asked whether temporal regularity would facilitate the accuracy of intensity judgments, and what neural systems were associated with such facilitation. These neural systems may support...
the use of temporal regularity to enhance auditory perception in a broad range of auditory process in which temporal regularity facilitates perception.

Materials and Methods

Participants. Seventeen participants (9 men, age 25.1 ± 4.4 years) were tested. All participants were right-handed, according to the Annett-Handedness-Questionnaire (Annett, 1992), and had no history of neurological, major medical, psychiatric, or hearing disorders. Participants gave written informed consent for the experiment and were paid for their participation. All procedures were approved by the local ethics committee and were performed in accordance with the revised version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Stimuli and experimental task. Each stimulus sequence comprised 14 consecutive tones that consisted of a double base frequency of 440 Hz and three harmonics with half the amplitude of the base frequency. Each tone had a duration of 80 ms and rise and fall times of 16 and 32 ms, respectively. Fifty percent of the stimulus sequences contained an intensity manipulation in one of tones 11–13. The differences in intensity varied between 115 and 155% of the base amplitude, as described in the next section. Three intensity differences created three levels of perceptual difficulty, ranging from an easy judgment (high-intensity difference) to a difficult judgment (low-intensity difference). Thus, the experiment adopted a full-factorial model, including experimental conditions of "regularity" (periodic × nonperiodic) and "intensity" (low-, middle-, high-intensity difference).

The periodic stimulus sequences had intertone-onset intervals of either 200 ms (50%) or 250 ms (50%). To construct the nonperiodic stimulus sequences, the intertone-onset intervals were shortened (50%) and lengthened (50%) by values randomly chosen from a uniform distribution and normalized so that the distribution displayed a standard deviation (SD) of 50 ms. The shortened and lengthened intervals were distributed across the sequence in an alternating pattern. A visual example of periodic and nonperiodic stimulus sequences is shown in Figure 1. The stimulus sequences had an average duration of 2.65 ± 0.12 s (50%) and 3.3 ± 0.12 s (50%) respectively, which was balanced over the experimental conditions. A total of 288 experimental stimulus sequences and 72 empty trials were presented during 6 experimental sessions of ~8 min each. Each experimental session was composed of one of three possible task difficulties. The serial order of task difficulties was randomized over each. Each experimental session was composed of one of three possible task difficulties. The serial order of task difficulties was randomized over each.

Experimental procedure. After obtaining informed consent, participants were instructed and performed 6 practice trials outside of the scanner. While in the scanner, participants performed a behavioral experiment with three different levels of intensity randomly presented (110, 125, and 150% of base amplitude). No imaging data were acquired during this behavioral experiment. Based on these behavioral data, we approximately equated participants’ sensitivity to intensity differences to choose three levels of intensity (easy: 135–155%; middle: 125–135%; difficult: 105–115%) for each participant to be presented in the fMRI-experiments. The levels were chosen so that the middle level would approximate a d-prime of 1.5 for each participant.

fMRI acquisition. Structural and functional data were collected on a 3 tesla Siemens scanner equipped with a 32-channel head coil at the Athinoula A. Martinos Imaging Center at McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. A 3-dimensional high-resolution isotropic T1-weighted sequence (TR/TE/T1/flip angle = 2.53 s/3.48 ms/1.1 s/700) provided 176 contiguous slices with 256 × 256 mm in plane resolution and a slice thickness of 1 mm (voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm). Functional MRI images were acquired using a standard gradient echo sequence (TR/TE/flip angle = 2 s/30 ms/900) that acquired 28 axial functional images with 240 × 240 mm plane resolution, 4 mm slice thickness and a 0.8 mm interslice gap (voxel size = 2.5 × 2.5 × 4.0 mm) in ascending order, covering the entire brain. Auditory stimulus sequences were presented through fMRI-compatible insert earphones by Sensimetrics (http://www.sens.com/). Participants’ ears were covered with foam ear-defenders for comfort. Stimulus sequences were presented using Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, http://www.neurobs.com/). We implemented a sparse temporal acquisition paradigm collecting one volume every 6 s. Extensive piloting was done to make sure the peak of the BOLD response was covered. The acquisition took place between 4 and 6 s after the onset of the stimulus sequence.

Behavioral data analysis. For the behavioral data acquired during the fMRI-experiment, the percentage of correct answers was calculated for stimulus sequences either with or without an intensity difference in every experimental condition. The d-prime was calculated for discrimination pairs from each experimental condition. Hit rates of 100 and 0%, respectively, were approximated to two decimal places, resulting in a highest possible d-prime value of 4.6. D-prime values were averaged over all conditions and participants, and they were subjected to a 2 × 3 repeated-measures ANOVA and post hoc paired t tests.

fMRI analysis. The functional imaging data processing was performed by Nipype (Gorgolewski et al., 2011), using the software package SPM8 (Welcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, United Kingdom, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/), Freesurfer (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/), and FSL (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/index.html), unless indicated otherwise. Anatomical data were processed using Freesurfer. The resulting scalp-striped T1-image was later dilated and used for spatial normalization. Functional data were realigned to the first functional volume and were coregistered to individual structural volumes. For spatial smoothing, we applied an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 4 mm full-width-at-half-maximum. Motion-related artifact detection (threshold 1 SD from the participant mean) and intensity-related artifacts (threshold 3 SDs from the participant mean) were identified per participant and excluded. The statistical evaluation was performed separately on each voxel (Friston et al., 1995) in the individual participant’s space. Six covariates of interest were included for the conditions of interest. Covariates were convolved with a FIR (Finite Impulse Response) function containing one single basis function and a window length of 2 s, an interscan interval of 8 s and a microtime resolution of 16. The serial autocorrelation of the BOLD time series was modeled using a first-order autoregressive model. The six experimental runs were treated as separate sessions. No global intensity normalization was applied. Low-frequency drifts were removed by including linear and quadratic regressors. No high-pass filter was used. Contrasts of interest on the individual level were calculated and normalized to an averaged anatomical template using ANTs (ANTS Software; Avants et al., 2008; Klein et al., 2009; see Fig. 3). Coordinates in Table 1 refer to the approximate region of peak activations on the MNI-T1-template. Random-effects analysis was performed using one-sampled t tests on the second level. Unless otherwise indicated, whole-brain analyses were thresholded at p < 0.001 and topologically false discovery rate (FDR)–corrected for multiple comparisons on the cluster level at p < 0.05. Functional ROIs were calculated to characterize effects previously reported on the whole-brain level. ROIs were constructed from the significant activations of the two group con-
Temporal regularity enhanced auditory perception for tone intensity, and there were two associated patterns of brain activation: (1) increased activation for temporally regular (periodic) relative to irregular (nonperiodic) sequences in the putamen, and (2) decreased activation for temporally regular relative to irregular sequences in bilateral auditory regions of the temporal lobes. These two brain regions appeared to be functionally coupled, because across individuals greater activation in left and right putamens was associated with lesser activation in left and right auditory cortical regions, respectively. Thus, the present finding suggests that temporal regularity facilitates perception by an interaction between a striatal mechanism encoding temporal regularity, and a cortical mechanism that performs the perceptual analysis, such as intensity detection, that is supported by the regularity.

Behavioral results

The novel finding that temporal regularity facilitates auditory intensity discrimination is consistent with reports that temporal regularity facilitates other dimensions of auditory perception, including temporal cue perception (Large and Jones, 1999; Barnes and Jones, 2000; McAuley and Miller, 2007; Correa et al., 2009; Ellis and Jones, 2010; Sanabria et al., 2011) and pitch perception (Jones et al., 2002). This suggests that the temporal-regularity facilitation of auditory perception may generalize broadly to other auditory dimensions. The facilitation of perception by temporal regularity was robust, with 14 of 17 participants showing behavioral facilitation at all three levels of task difficulty (the 3 other participants showing facilitation at one level). In general, listeners display a preference for periodic over nonperiodic sequences (Martin, 1972; Handel and Lawson, 1983; Essens and Povel, 1985; Essens, 1986; Collier and Wright, 1995; McDermott and Jones, 2000; McAuley and Miller, 2007; Correa et al., 2009; Ellis and Jones, 2010; Sanabria et al., 2011) and pitch perception (Jones et al., 2002). This suggests that the temporal-regularity facilitation of auditory perception may generalize broadly to other auditory dimensions. The facilitation of perception by temporal regularity was robust, with 14 of 17 participants showing behavioral facilitation at all three levels of task difficulty (the 3 other participants showing facilitation at one level). In general, listeners display a preference for periodic over nonperiodic sequences (Martin, 1972; Handel and Lawson, 1983; Essens and Povel, 1985; Essens, 1986; Collier and Wright, 1995; McDermott and Hauser, 2005; Patel et al., 2005). This preference may be associated with enhanced ease of perceptual analysis.

Functional imaging results

Enhanced auditory perception for intensity was associated with activations in the basal ganglia (greater for periodic sequences) and auditory regions of the temporal lobes (greater for nonperiodic sequences). The opposite pattern of activation in the two brain regions suggests that the activations were not simply correlates of task difficulty, but rather reflect cooperation and functionally distinct striatal and cortical mechanisms that exploited temporal regularity so as to enhance perceptual accuracy.
The thalamo-corticostriatal system is known to be involved in timing and temporal regularity perception (Matell and Meck, 2004; Mauk and Buonomano, 2004; Buhusi and Meck, 2005; Meck, 2006; Chen et al., 2008b; Meck et al., 2008). Studies focusing on beat-based, or temporally regular, timing perception have found activation in the striatum for regular temporal processing (Grahn and Brett, 2007). In our study, striatal activation was confined to the putamen, as in some studies (Grahn and Brett, 2007; Grahn and Rowe, 2009), whereas other studies have reported activation in both putamen and caudate (Teki et al., 2011). Prior studies of beat-based timing examined explicit timing tasks involving direct judgments about or attention to timing per se, such as regularity detection (Grahn and Rowe, 2009), duration discrimination (Teki et al., 2011), rhythm reproduction or beat synchronization (Riecker et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2008a), or simply instruction to listen to the beat (Grahn and Brett, 2007). In contrast, the present study involved a judgment about intensity, and temporal regularity was used implicitly to support intensity discrimination. Explicit judgments on temporal regularity are likely rare relative to many situations in which the focus of attention is not the temporal regularity of the auditory input, but rather perception of the content of the input. Thus, the present study indicates that the striatum encodes temporal regularity even when that regularity is not the object of attention. This expands the potential role of the striatum in using temporal regularity to enhance perception.

In the present study, only the right putamen exhibited reliable activation for periodic relative to nonperiodic stimulus sequences, but the same kind of activation was evident at a more liberal threshold in the left putamen, there was no significant difference between left and right putamen activation, and there were comparable negative correlations across participants in left and right hemispheres between putamen and auditory cortical activations. Therefore, it seems likely that the activation was essentially bilateral and not reflecting hemispheric specialization.

Temporal regularity, and its related superior auditory performance, was associated with reduced activations in primary and secondary auditory cortices in both hemispheres, namely, the Heschl’s gyrus and the planum temporal. Superior performance in auditory tasks is sometimes associated with increased or expanded fMRI signals, as in studies investigating musical expertise (Ohnishi et al., 2001; Elmer et al., 2011) or auditory training (Callan et al., 2003). However, other studies report the opposite effect: decreased auditory cortex activation related to superior performance after auditory training (Jäncke et al., 2001b; Erickson et al., 2007). Enhanced performance due to repetition also correlates with reduced cortical activation, or repetition suppression, in auditory cortex (Bergerbest et al., 2004; De Lucia et al., 2010; Doehrmann et al., 2010). Thus, our study is aligned with prior reports indicating reduced BOLD signal in sensory cortices can be associated with superior or more efficient perceptual performance.

One interpretation of the findings is that the encoding of temporal regularity in the putamen reduced the demands of auditory analysis in temporal cortex, resulting in both more accurate perception and less activation. The finding that across participants, in both hemispheres, greater putamen activation for periodic sequences correlated with lesser cortical activation for those sequences supports this possibility. Although the experimental design cannot establish a causal relation between the two regions of activation, it appears most likely that reduced activation for periodic sequences in auditory cortex reflects reduced processing demands for the periodic sequences.

Superior performance and decreased auditory cortical activation could reflect an attentional mechanism guided by temporal expectation. The dynamic-attending theory posits that a temporally regular, periodic context facilitates perception through stimulus sequence-induced entrainment of temporal expectation, resulting in periodic increase and decrease of attention (Large and Jones, 1999; Barnes and Jones, 2000). Similarly, temporal expectation induced by priming or stimulus-driven predictability results in perceptual facilitation reflected in decreased reaction times (Nobre et al., 2007). Our participants were required to listen carefully to each tone of a sequence to perform the task. Consequently, anticipating the time point when a tone would appear could facilitate this process, and entrainment would reduce demands on attention when processing periodic acoustic sequences.
Prior imaging studies examining regular versus irregular auditory stimuli did not find greater activation in auditory cortex for irregular stimuli (Geiser et al., 2008; Grahn and McAuley, 2009). Those studies, however, used tasks that required detection of whether a sequence was regular; nonregular sequences could be identified at the earliest moment temporal irregularity was detected, whereas regular sequences required attention to the entire sequence to make certain that no irregularity was included. Lengthier attention to regular sequences could have obscured any reduction in activation associated with regularity. Thus, one interpretation of our results is that reduced cortical activation reflects reduced attention in making a perceptual decision. Indeed, manipulation of attention has been shown to influence auditory cortex activation. Increased attention resulted in increased cortical activation (Jäncke et al., 2001a; Rinne et al., 2005), specifically in the secondary auditory cortex (Griffiths and Warren, 2002; Jäncke et al., 2002; Ahveninen et al., 2006, 2011; Brechmann et al., 2007). Thus, reduced activation in the auditory cortex in the present study could reflect reduced attentional demands during periodic sequence processing.

Enhanced intensity detection for periodic sequences could result from the coincidence of temporal processing and auditory perception. Electrophysiological findings suggest a neural mechanism by which implicit timing perception induces perceptual facilitation. Spontaneous rhythmic activity at 1–4 Hz (delta activity), measured through intercranial microelectrodes in sensory cortices of nonhuman primates or in the human electroencephalogram, modulates excitability of cortical neurons and, consequently, sensitivity to external stimuli (Bishop, 1932; Buzsáki and Chrobak, 1995; Lakatos et al., 2005; Stefanics et al., 2010). Influenced by attention and task relevant stimulus properties including temporal predictability, this spontaneous activity can be synchronized to an external stimulus, thus influencing neuronal excitability (Lakatos et al., 2005, 2009; Besle et al., 2011). These electrophysiological studies measured neural activity in auditory cortex, and the present study, which found activation for temporal regularity in the putamen, suggests that a source of influence on auditory cortex could be a synchronization process within thalamo–corticostral loops.

The present findings suggest that there is a cortical–striatal system that enhances auditory perception through temporal context processing. The bilateral and negative correlation between putamen and auditory–cortical activations suggests that stronger representation of temporal regularity in the striatum reduces the processing burden of auditory cortex. While we cannot determine a causal direction between activations in the putamen and in the auditory cortex, this finding indicates a functional relation between striatal and auditory cortex activations. Anatomical connections between the striatum and the superior temporal region found in nonhuman primates (Yeterian and Pandya, 1998; Borgmann and Jürgens, 1999) could mediate this functional relation between striatum and cortex that enhances auditory perception on the basis of temporal regularity.
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