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Abstract. Vortices in the simplest superconducting state of graphene contain very low energy excitations, whose existence is connected to an index theorem that applies strictly to an approximate form of the relevant Bogoliubov-deGennes equations. When Zeeman interactions are taken into account, the zero modes required by the index theorem are (slightly) displaced. Thus the vortices acquire internal structure, that plausibly supports interesting dynamical phenomena.

PACS numbers:
1. Introduction

In this paper we will draw together several lines of thought. Electronic properties of the two-dimensional material graphene have attracted theoretical interest for many years [1], and of course recently [2]. Many of graphene’s unusual properties derive from the fact that its conduction and valence bands touch at two points, forming conical energy surfaces near those points. At neutral filling, the Fermi energy coincides with the apex of the cone. As we shall discuss momentarily, one can induce superconductivity in graphene, probably in several forms [3]. Even the simplest such superconducting state has been found to have unusual properties with respect to Andreev reflection [4]. Here we point out that vortices, or more generally multivortices, in this state acquire interesting internal structure. This occurs because each vortex supports a low-energy mode of the equation for electronic excitations, i.e. the Bogoliubov-deGennes (BdG) equations [5]. Indeed, an approximate form of the BdG equation maps, after appropriate identifications, to an equation of relativistic field theory that has been investigated by Jackiw and Rossi [6], who discovered zero-energy solutions. E. Weinberg [7] subsequently demonstrated that the existence of these zero-energy solutions is connected to an index theorem.

The structured vortices resemble in some respects vortices in $p+ip$ superconductors [8] or in the Pfaffian quantum Hall state [9]. On the surface of topological insulators [10] there is a single Dirac band, and the index theory discussed here predicts the presence of single Majorana mode in the induced vortex core, as was studied previously by other means [11]. That single Majorana mode imparts a form of nonabelian statistics to the vortices. In graphene, however, the presence of valley and spin quantum numbers, each two valued, leads to appearance of even number of in-gap modes in the vortex core. The doubled modes of graphene do not lead to such exotic quantum statistics, but plausibly [12] they will support the phenomenon of deconfined quantum criticality [13], which has not yet been observed experimentally.

2. Induced Superconductivity in Graphene

At neutral filling the density of states at the Fermi surface, which degenerates to two points, is very small, and in two dimensions fluctuations are important [14], so the prospect for intrinsic superconductivity in undoped graphene is problematic. If, however a graphene layer is put in contact with a superconducting substrate, then electron-electron interactions can induce anomalous (non-conserving) terms in the effective Hamiltonian, according to the general scheme

$$\mathcal{H} = -g\Psi^\dagger\Psi^\dagger\Psi\Psi + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\rightarrow -g\langle\Psi^\dagger\Psi\rangle\psi^\dagger\psi + \text{h.c.} \equiv -g\Delta_0^2\psi^\dagger\psi + \text{h.c.}$$

(1)

where $\Psi$ is the total electron field, $\Delta_0$ is the bulk condensate, and $\psi$ is the electron field in the graphene layer; here only the anomalous terms have been retained. Upon
diagonalizing the quadratic Hamiltonian for $\psi$, a graphene condensate $\Delta \equiv \langle \psi \psi \rangle$ is induced.

That broad-brush sketch took no notice of the intricate internal structure of the graphene field $\psi$ and (possibly) of $\Delta_0$ and consequently $\Delta$. The relevant, low-energy modes of $\psi$ are labeled by a 2-momentum $k$ and three binary indices. The first binary index is the valley index, which specifies whether the mode arises from expansion around total momentum $p = \pm K + k$; here $\pm K$ are the two momenta where the bands touch. The second binary index labels a pseudospin, arising from the non-trivial residual symmetry of the unit cell, which roughly speaking specifies whether the electron is on the A or B sublattice of the bipartite honeycomb lattice. This pseudospin is very significant dynamically, as it appears in the effective Dirac equation for these modes (see below). Finally, the third binary index labels ordinary spin.

As in helium-3 \cite{17}, or for that matter QCD \cite{18}, internal structure for the fermion field opens up many possibilities for the form of condensation. For definiteness, let us assume that the bulk condensate pairs electrons of opposite total 2-momentum. (This excludes LOFF-type bulk superconductivity \cite{19}.) Then only intervalley pairing of the form

$$\Delta_{+ss;-ss}(k) \equiv \langle \psi_{+ss}(k) \psi_{-ss}(-k) \rangle \quad (2)$$

is induced; intravalley pairing requires $\Delta_0(\pm 2K) \neq 0$. (The possibility of intrinsic intravalley pairing has been discussed \cite{20}. Here the three indices are the respective binary indices mentioned previously and $*$ is a wildcard. A general restriction arises from Fermi statistics, but it is very weak: components of the condensate which are overall antisymmetric under interchange of spatial, pseudospin, and spin must be symmetric in the valley index, and vice versa.

With different bulk superconductors, one can imagine many exotic possibilities being realized, e.g. gapless or gapped $p$-wave or $d$-wave pairing (or LOFF states \cite{19}). The most conventional superconductors, however, are $T$-invariant, $s$-wave, and spin singlet. Assuming that the primary interaction in Eqn. (1) conserves spin (as is appropriate at least for light elements), then the induced superconductivity will likewise be $s$-wave spin singlet, and therefore symmetric in space and antisymmetric in spin. This leaves two possibilities: symmetric in both valley and pseudospin; or antisymmetric in both valley and pseudospin. The first possibility encompasses $3 \times 3 = 9$ components, the second just 1. Finally, although there is not full rotational invariance in pseudospin, the underlying $C_{6v}$ symmetry of the honeycomb lattice on a homogeneous substrate is enough to insure that the induced, invariant quadratic term is antisymmetric, i.e. pseudospin singlet in the usual sense. For the decomposition of the tensor product of a 2-dimensional spinor representation of $C_{6v}$ with itself contains the identity representation of that group only once.

The preceding discussion pointed to many byways worthy of further investigation. For our present purpose, however, the central conclusion is that conventional bulk superconductors will induce a very specific form of condensate, antisymmetric in each
of the internal indices, describable by a single complex-number field. We shall adopt that choice, implicit in [4], in what follows.

3. Multivortices and Near-zero Modes

The BdG equations from [4], extended to include an electromagnetic vector potential, take the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
H^p_+ + H^A_+ - E & 0 & \Delta(r) & 0 \\
0 & H^p_- + H^A_- - E & 0 & \Delta(r) \\
\Delta^*(r) & 0 & -H^p_+ + H^A_+ - E & 0 \\
0 & \Delta^*(r) & 0 & -H^p_- + H^A_- - E
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_+ \\
v_- \\
v_+ \\
v_-
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\tag{3}
\]

where we absorb the coupling constant into \( \Delta \), put \( \hbar = \epsilon_f = 1 \), measure energies relative to the cone apices, and write \( H_{\pm} = H^p_{\pm} + H^A_{\pm}, \) defining \( H^p_{\pm} \equiv -i(\sigma_x \partial_x \pm \sigma_y \partial_y) \) and \( H^A_{\pm} \equiv -q(\sigma_x A_x \pm \sigma_y A_y) \). Here the subscripts refer to the valley index and the internal indices, the internal indices are for pseudospin, \( u, v \) refer to particle and hole modes, respectively. In this approximation ordinary spin is taken to be dynamically inert, and does not appear. Note that the condensate mixes spin up particles with spin down holes, and vice versa.

These equations decouple into two independent sets, one involving \( (u_+, v_-) \) and \( H_+ \), the other those objects with the complementary indices. The equations for the second set can be related to those for the first by reflection about the \( x \) axis. Restricting to the first set, dropping the indices, and focusing on \( E = 0 \), we find the equations

\[
-\left[ -i(\sigma_x \partial_x + \sigma_y \partial_y) - q(\sigma_x A_x + \sigma_y A_y) \right] u + \Delta(r) v = 0 \tag{4}
\]

\[
\Delta^*(r) u + \left[ i(\sigma_x \partial_x + \sigma_y \partial_y) - q(\sigma_x A_x + \sigma_y A_y) \right] v = 0 \tag{5}
\]

Now putting \( v = \sigma_y u^* \) we find that the second equation reduces to the complex conjugate of the first, which reads

\[
-\left[ -i(\sigma_x \partial_x + \sigma_y \partial_y) - q(\sigma_x A_x + \sigma_y A_y) \right] u + \Delta(r) \sigma_y u^* = 0 \tag{6}
\]

Equation (6) is precisely the equation for zero modes of the relativistic two-component Dirac equation studied in Refs. [6, 7].

For later use, and to make our discussion self-contained, let us briefly review the solutions of Eqn. (6) for multivortices. With \( A = -\hat{e}_\theta A(r) \), and using polar coordinates, we find for the upper component of \( u \) – call it \( a \) – the equation

\[
e^{i\theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\hat{e}_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) a - qAe^{i\theta} a + \Delta a^* = 0 \tag{7}
\]

and for the lower component, \( b \), the equation

\[-e^{-i\theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\hat{e}_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) b + qAe^{-i\theta} b - \Delta b^* = 0 \tag{8}\]

Let us focus on the former. First, we can remove the vector potential term by rescaling \( a = \exp(q \int_0^r A(s)ds)\hat{a} \): For a vortex \( A \) is vanishes at the origin, and \( A \) also vanishes
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\[ \propto r^{-1} \text{ as } r \to \infty, \text{ so the redefinition will not affect the normalizability of the zero-modes we find below, which die exponentially at infinity.} \]

We suppose that \( \Delta(r, \theta) = \Delta(n)(r)e^{im\theta} \), with \( \Delta(n)(r) \to r^{[n]} \) as \( r \to 0 \) and \( \Delta(n)(r) \to \text{const.} \) as \( r \to \infty \), as is appropriate to an \( n \)-fold multivortex. (In this situation \( A(r) \to -\frac{n}{2\pi}r^{-1} \), so the vector potential asymptotically “pulls in” \( \tilde{a} \) by a power of half the vorticity.) The definite partial wave solutions of Eqn. (7) are of two types. One type involves a single angular dependence, \( \tilde{a} = f(r)e^{il\theta} \). The consistency condition for \( l \) is easily found to be \( 2l = n - 1 \). The second type involves two angular dependencies, \( \tilde{a} = f(r)e^{il\theta} + g(r)e^{im\theta} \). The consistency condition for \( l \) and \( m \) is \( l + m = n - 1 \).

For the first type, we derive the radial equation

\[ f' - \frac{l}{r}f + \Delta(n)f^* = 0 \tag{9} \]

Assuming for simplicity that \( \Delta(n) \) is real, and positive at infinity, \( f \) can be taken real. At large \( r \) the middle term can be dropped, and the solution dies exponentially. At small \( r \) the last term can be dropped, and we see that solution is normalizable for \( l \geq -\frac{1}{2} \), or, since \( l \) is integral, \( l \geq 0 \). For the second type, we derive the radial equations

\[ f' - \frac{l}{r}f + \Delta(n)g^* = 0 \tag{10} \]
\[ g' - \frac{m}{r}g + \Delta(n)f^* = 0 \tag{11} \]

Now there are both growing and dying modes at infinity. In order to assure that the dying mode matches onto a normalizable solution at the origin, we must require both \( l, m \geq 0 \). With the same assumptions on \( \Delta(n) \), there is a solution \( f_0, g_0 \) with \( f \) and \( g \) both real and in phase at infinity, and another \( if_0, -ig_0 \) with \( f \) and \( g \) pure imaginary and out of phase. On the other hand, from the definition interchange of \( l \) and \( m \) is a trivial operation. Thus for positive \( n \) we find an \( n \)-real dimensional manifold of zero modes. When \( n \) is odd there is one solution of the first type, corresponding to \( l = \frac{n - 1}{2} \), and \( n - 1 \) of the second type, corresponding to \( n - 1 \geq l \geq 1 \). When \( n \) is even all solutions are of the second type, with \( n - 1 \geq l \geq 0 \).

A very similar analysis applies to the \( b \) equation, Eqn. (8). In that case, there are \( |n| \) normalizable modes for \( n \geq 0 \), and none for \( n \leq 0 \). In all cases, the number of solutions of the \( a \) equations minus the number of solutions of the \( b \) equations equals \( n \), the vorticity. This suggests the existence of an underlying index theorem, since index theorems generally express the difference between the number of zero modes of a differential operator \( D \) and the number of solutions of its adjoint \( D^\dagger \) – by definition, the index of \( D \) – in terms of topological data in the structure of \( D \) \cite{21}. Index theorems can be very valuable in physics, because they reveal the presence of low-energy modes whose existence might otherwise be hard to anticipate, and insure that the existence of such low-energy modes is robust against many kinds of perturbations (or approximations). Following E. Weinberg, if we write for the upper component \( a = h + ik \), with \( h \) and \( k \) real, and similarly \( \Delta = \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \), and combine \( h, k \) into a two-component spinor, then
the Dirac equation Eqn. (6) takes the form
\[
0 = \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - qA_x \right) + \tau_1 \phi_2 + i \tau_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + qA_y \right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} h \\ k \end{array} \right) \equiv D \left( \begin{array}{c} h \\ k \end{array} \right)
\] (12)
while for the lower component, similarly decomposed, we find the adjoint equation. There is indeed an index theorem for \(D\), essentially equating the index to the vorticity [7].

The equations involving \((u_-, v_+)\) and \(H_-\) contribute another \(|n|\) zero modes, after a parallel analysis. Finally there is another overall doubling, when we restore the physical spin variable.

So far our discussion has been based on the approximate BdG equation (3), which does not include the Zeeman coupling of spin (as opposed to pseudospin) to the magnetic field. This is a small effect quantitatively, but it has significant qualitative and conceptual implications. The Zeeman coupling makes an additional diagonal contribution \(\pm \kappa B \mathbf{1}\) to the matrix in (3), where \(B\) is the magnetic field, with the sign depending on spin. (Intuitively: since the background Cooper pairs are spin singlets, mixing with them does not affect the Zeeman energy.) As a result the former zero-energy states are shifted. In first-order perturbation theory in \(\kappa\), we have the shifts
\[
\epsilon_\pm = \pm \kappa \frac{\int_0^\infty dr 2\pi r B(r) |a(r)|^2}{\int_0^\infty dr 2\pi r |a(r)|^2}
\] (13)
Thus it is roughly proportional to the average magnetic field over the mode. For a crude estimate of the “minimal” splitting, take the product of the bare \(g\)-factor of an electron and the quantized minimal quantum fluxoid spread over a square micron, then \(\epsilon \sim 10^{-7}\) eV, i.e. 1 mK. Larger splittings might be obtained using in-plane magnetic fields, which couple to spin but do not frustrate the superconducting order parameter.

It might seem paradoxical that a small perturbation can shift — and thus remove, as strict zero modes – zero modes whose existence was tied to topology. In the present context, however, inclusion of the Zeeman term blocks passage from the amended Eqns. (4, 5) to Eqn. (6), for which the index theorem applies. Alternatively, we could formally include a Zeeman coupling-like term directly in Eqn. (6). We would then still find zero modes to satisfy the index theorem for the slightly perturbed \(D\), but we could not use them to get zero modes of the amended Eqns. (4, 5).

4. Comments

(i) It is convenient to have a single word to convey the concept “soliton that acquires internal structure due to existence of localized low-energy modes of quantum fields it interacts with”. We propose the term *modicule*, pronounced mode-icule, in view of the resemblance of such entities to emergent molecules.

(ii) *Experimental probes*: General techniques for probing the internal structure of vortices and multivortices, notably including tunneling microscopy, were outlined
in the pioneering work of Virtanen and Salomaa [22]. As they emphasized, multivortices can be encouraged to form at defects. The characteristic Zeeman splittings, predicted above, might be probed in absorption. (Note that the spatial wave functions for opposite spins are accurately matched.)

(iii) **Ragged multivortices and edges:** Because the existence and number of zero modes is governed by an index theorem, and the relevant topological information is insensitive to the existence even of large holes where \( \Delta \) vanishes, we will have near-zero modes associated with the edge of an annular region of graphene superconductor threaded by magnetic flux. Nor is symmetry required.

(iv) **Quantum statistics:** For odd \( n \), and in particular for the unit vortex \( n = \pm 1 \), we have unpaired, essentially real (“Majorana”) modes. There are four of them, due to the spin and valley degeneracies. To a first approximation they are dynamically independent, because the spin couples feebly and intervalley scattering requires large momentum exchange \( \pm 2K \). If we quantize them separately we would find following Ivanov [14] four copies of the Clifford algebra discovered by Nayak and Wilczek [23]. As a consequence the exchange operation, which acts as

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_j &\rightarrow \gamma_k \\
\gamma_k &\rightarrow -\gamma_j
\end{align*}
\]

for a single mode, reduces to the trivial (non-entangling)

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_j^1 \otimes \gamma_j^2 &\rightarrow \gamma_k^1 \otimes \gamma_k^2 \\
\gamma_k^1 \otimes \gamma_k^2 &\rightarrow \gamma_j^1 \otimes \gamma_j^2
\end{align*}
\]

for two, or any even number.

(v) **Comparing \( p + ip \):** An effective Dirac equation of similar structure appears in the theory of superconductors with bulk \( p_x + ip_y \) pairing [8]. The approximation underlying it, however, is quite different. Specifically, the momentum dependence is assumed to arise from the gap parameter, by expanding locally \( \Delta(r, p) \rightarrow |\Delta(r)| (e^{i\theta(r)}(p_x + ip_y)) \) in the Bogoliubov-deGennes equation, where \( \theta \) is the phase of the order parameter. There are two potential problems with that approximation: it applies only if the ordinary momentum dependence can be neglected, and it breaks down when \( \Delta(r) \) vanishes.
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